

Lecture 4

I

① Let K be a field.

Let $\|\cdot\|: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be a valuation.

Def: K is complete if every Cauchy sequence
in K converges to an element in K .

If K is not complete, we can construct
its completion - \hat{K} with $\|\cdot\|: \hat{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$
valuation by

1) $\hat{K} = \text{ring of all Cauchy sequences in } K$

2) $I \subset \hat{K}$ the ideal of sequences $\rightarrow 0$

3) $\hat{K} = \hat{K}/I$

4) $K \subset \hat{K}$ constant sequences

5) $|\alpha| = \lim |\alpha_n| \quad \alpha = \{\alpha_n\}$
 $n \rightarrow \infty$

Remark: $(\hat{K}, \|\cdot\|)$ is unique up to isomorphism

If $(\hat{K}', \|\cdot'\|)$ is another completion,

then there is $f: \hat{K} \rightarrow \hat{K}'$ s.t. $|\alpha'| = |f(\alpha)| \quad \forall \alpha \in \hat{K}$
isomorphism of fields

Ex: \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{R}

② Th (Ostrowski)

Suppose $\|\cdot\|$ is Archimedean and K is complete.

Then either $K = \mathbb{R}$ or $K = \mathbb{C}$ (and $\|\cdot\|$ is usual)
 $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{R}}$ see [01].

Proof: We may assume $\mathbb{R} \subseteq K$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{R}} = \|\cdot\|$.
 Why?

Let $x \in K$ s.t. $x \notin \mathbb{R}$. Let us show that " $x \in \mathbb{C}$ ".
 "satisfies a quadratic equation".

Let $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be $f(z) = |x^2 - (z + \bar{z})x + z\bar{z}|$.

Then f is continuous. And \exists its minimum $= m$.

Claim: $\exists N > 0$ s.t. $f(z) \geq m \forall z \in \mathbb{C}$ s.t. $|z| \geq N$.

Put $S = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : f(z) = m\}$.

Then S is bounded by claim. And closed.

Then $\exists z_0 \in S$ with greatest $|z_0|$.

If we show that $m = 0$, we are done.

Thus, we suppose $m > 0$.

2 cont

$$\text{Put } g(t) = t^2 - (z_0 + \bar{z}_0)t + z_0\bar{z}_0 + \varepsilon$$

for $\varepsilon \in (0, m)$:

Let z_1 and $\bar{z}_1 \in \mathbb{C}$ be its roots.

Then $z_1 \bar{z}_1 = z_0 \bar{z}_0 + \varepsilon > |z_0|^2$. Then $f(z_1) > m$

$$\text{Put } G(t) = (g(t) - \varepsilon)^n - (-\varepsilon)^n = \prod_{i=1}^{2n} (t - d_i)$$

Then $G(z_1) = 0$. $d_1 - d_{2n} \in \mathbb{C}$

wlog, $\underline{[z_1 = d_1]}$. Put x into $G^2(t) = \prod_{i=1}^{2n} (x^2 - (d_i + \bar{d}_i)x + d_i \bar{d}_i)$

$$|G(x)|^2 = \prod_{i=1}^{2n} f(d_i) \geq f(d_1) \cdot m^{2n-1}$$

 \wedge

$$(|g(x) - \varepsilon|^n + |\varepsilon|^n)^2 = (|f(z_0)|^n |1 + \varepsilon^n|)^2 = (m^n + \varepsilon^n)^2$$

 \Downarrow

$$\frac{f(d_1)}{m} \leq \left(1 + \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{m}\right)^n\right)^2$$

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Rightarrow f(d_1) \leq m$. But $f(d_1) > m$.

 \square

(3)

From now on II is not Archim.

$$K \subset \mathbb{R} \quad \begin{cases} v(x) = -\log|x| & \text{for } v(\alpha_n) = v(\alpha) \\ \forall x \neq 0 \in K. \end{cases}$$

REMARK: $|x| \neq |y| \Rightarrow |x+y| = \max\{|x|, |y|\}$

Then $\alpha_n \rightarrow \alpha$ $|\alpha_n|$ "stabilizes" (unless $\alpha=0$)
because $|\alpha_n - \alpha| < |\alpha| \quad \forall n > 0$, so that

$$|\alpha_n| = \max\{|\alpha_n - \alpha|, |\alpha|\} = |\alpha|.$$

So we do not have "new" $|\alpha|$.

COROLLARY: Cauchy $\{\alpha_n\} \Leftrightarrow \alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n \rightarrow 0$

$\sum \alpha_n$ converge $\Leftrightarrow \alpha_n \rightarrow 0$.

As before $\hat{O} \subset \mathbb{R} \quad \{x \in \mathbb{R} \text{ s.t. } |x| \leq 1\}$
 $\hat{I} \subset \hat{O} \quad \{x \in \hat{R} \text{ s.t. } |x| < 1\}$

COROLLARY: $\hat{O}/\hat{I} \cong O/I$, & \hat{I} = maximal ideal.

where $\hat{O} \subset \mathbb{R}$ $I \subset O$ are defined
on the same way.

④ Now we ASSUME \mathcal{I} is discrete. \(\checkmark\)
 And normalized ($v(K^*) = \mathbb{Z}$)

$\exists \sigma \in K$ s.t. $v(\sigma) = 1$.

σ is a \mathcal{O} . σ is prime.

$\forall x \in K^*$ $x = u\sigma^m$, $u \in \mathcal{O}^*$ $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proposition: Let $R \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ be a system of representatives for \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{I} . Suppose $a \in R$.

$\forall x \in K^*$ $x = \sigma^m(a_0 + a_1\sigma + a_2\sigma^2 + \dots)$

$a_i \in R$, $a_0 \neq 0$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof: $x = \sigma^m u$ $u \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}^*$.

$\exists a_0 \in \mathcal{I} \text{ mod } \hat{\mathcal{I}}$ $u = a_0 + \sigma \cdot b$, $b \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}$

Apply the same for b , instead of x .

Use convergence.



Ex: \mathbb{Q}_p 1/p \mathbb{Q}_p

Ex: $\mathbb{C}[[t]]$ $a \in \mathbb{C}$ $I = \langle t-a \rangle \dots \mathbb{C}((x))$

LAURENT

SERIES.

⑤ Proposition: $\hat{\mathcal{O}} \cong \varprojlim \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{I}^n$

(6) Basic version:

Th: $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x]$ s.t. $f(\alpha) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$
 $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ $f'(\alpha) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

Then $\exists! d \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ s.t. $f(d) = 0$, $d \equiv \alpha \pmod{p}$.

Proof: Put $\alpha_1 = \alpha$.

Let $\alpha_2 = \alpha_1 + pt_1$ s.t. $f(\alpha_2) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$.

Why t_1 exists?

$$f(\alpha_2) = f(\alpha_1) + f'(\alpha_1)pt_1 + \frac{f''(\alpha_1)}{2}(pt_1)^2 + \dots$$

Now by induction $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ s.t.

$$\cdot f(\alpha_n) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^n}$$

$$\cdot \cancel{\text{if}} \quad \alpha_n \equiv \alpha \pmod{p}$$

Put $\alpha_{n+1} = \alpha_n + p^n t_n$. Then

$$f(\alpha_{n+1}) = f(\alpha_n) + f'(\alpha_n)p^n t_n + \dots$$

$$\text{Q: } f'(\alpha_n)p^n t_n \equiv ? \pmod{p^{n+1}}$$

$$\text{A: } \equiv f'(\alpha)p^n t_n$$

Done \square

Exs square roots mod $p > 2$.

7 K.iii complete, (~~discrete~~) V

\mathcal{O} = ring of x with $|x| \leq 1$.

I = ideal with $|x| < 1$.

Th Let $f(x) \in \mathcal{O}[x]$ s.t. $f \neq 0 \pmod{I}$.

Suppose that $f(x) \equiv \bar{g}(x)\bar{h}(x) \pmod{I}$

and $\bar{g}, \bar{h} \in \mathcal{O}_f[x]$ are relatively prime.

Then $f(x) = g(x)h(x)$ for some $g, h \in \mathcal{O}[x]$ s.t.

$$\cdot g \equiv \bar{g}(x) \pmod{I}$$

$$\cdot h(x) \equiv \bar{h}(x) \pmod{I}$$

$$\cdots \deg g = \deg \bar{g}.$$

Proof: Put $d = \deg f$, $m = \deg \bar{g}$. Then $\deg \bar{h} = d-m$.

Let g_0, h_0 be some polynomials in $\mathcal{O}[x]$

s.t. $g_0 \equiv \bar{g} \pmod{I}$, $h_0 \equiv \bar{h} \pmod{I}$ $\deg g_0 = m$.

Then $\exists a(x), b(x) \in \mathcal{O}[x]$ s.t. $ag_0 + bh_0 \equiv 1 \pmod{I}$

$$\begin{cases} f - g_0h_0 \\ ag_0 + bh_0 - 1 \end{cases} \text{ are } 0 \pmod{I}.$$

Let n be "^{biggest}~~smallest~~" coef of these poly.

Then they are $\equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ $n \in I$.

7 cont

We are looking for

$$\begin{cases} g = g_0 + p_1 \sigma + p_2 \sigma^2 + \dots \\ h = h_0 + q_1 \sigma + q_2 \sigma^2 \dots \end{cases}$$

$p_i, q_i \in \mathcal{O}[x]$ of $\boxed{\deg \leq m}$, $\leq d-m$.

$$\begin{cases} g_{n-1} = g_0 + p_1 \sigma + \dots + p_{n-1} \sigma^{n-1} \\ h_{n-1} = h_0 + q_1 \sigma + \dots + q_{n-1} \sigma^{n-1} \end{cases} \leftarrow$$

* $\boxed{f = g_{n-1} h_{n-1} \pmod{\sigma^n}}$ by induction

$$\begin{cases} g_n = g_{n-1} + p_n \sigma^n \\ h_n = h_{n-1} + q_n \sigma^n \end{cases}$$

* $f - g_{n-1} h_{n-1} = (g_{n-1} q_n + h_{n-1} p_n) \sigma^n \pmod{\sigma^{n+1}}$

$\boxed{g_0 q_n + h_0 p_n = f_n \pmod{\sigma}}$

? But $g_0 a + h_0 b \equiv 1 \pmod{\sigma}$ ($f_n = \frac{f - g_{n-1} h_{n-1}}{\sigma^n}$)

$g_0 a f_n + h_0 b f_n \equiv f_n \pmod{\sigma}$

$g \in \mathcal{O}[x]$ $\Leftrightarrow f_m f_n = q_0 g_0 + p_n$ $\deg p_n < \deg g_0 = m$

highest
coeff of g_0
is unit
 $g_0 \equiv g \pmod{\sigma}$
 $\deg g_0 < \deg f$

$g_0 a f_n + h_0 (q_0 g_0 + p_n) \equiv f_n$

$g_0 (a f_n + h_0 q_0) + h_0 p_n \equiv f_n \pmod{\sigma}$

(8)

VII

Corollary: \mathbb{Q}_p has $(p-1)$ -th roots
of unity ($p-1$) of the

Corollary: $f(x) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x + \dots + \alpha_n x^n \in K[x]$
(complete, non-archimedean).

Suppose $\alpha_0 \neq 0$ and $\alpha_n \neq 0$, f is RED.

Then $\max\{|\alpha_0|, \dots, |\alpha_n|\} = \max\{|\alpha_0|, |\alpha_n|\}$

(If $\alpha_0 \in \mathcal{O}$ and $\alpha_0 = 1$, then $f \in \mathcal{O}[x]\})$

Proof: We MAY ASSUME $f \in \mathcal{O}[x]$,
and $\max\{|\alpha_0|, \dots, |\alpha_n|\} = 1$.

Let α_r be the first coeff s.t. $|\alpha_r| = 1$

$$f(x) = x^r (\alpha_r + \alpha_{r+1} x + \dots + \alpha_n x^{n-r}) \bmod I.$$

If $|\alpha_r| < 1$ and $|\alpha_n| < 1$, then $r \in (0, n)$.

≠ Hensel lemma □

(9)

$K, \|\cdot\|$ as before (complete
non-Archimedean)

$L \subset K$ algebraic extension.

Remark. If L is finite, put $N_{L/K} : K \rightarrow L$ by

$$N_{L/K}(J) = \det(T_J)$$

where $\forall T_J \in \text{End}_K(L)$ multiplication by J .

Theorem: Suppose L/K finite.

$$\text{Put } |\cdot|_J = \sqrt{|N_{L/K}(J)|} \quad \forall J \in L.$$

Then, $|\cdot| : L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ valuation.

.. L is complete and $|\cdot|_K = |\cdot|$

.. this is the only way to extend $|\cdot|$ to L .

Ex: $\mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{C}$.

$\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}[i], \mathbb{Z}_p, p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$

EXISTENCE

(10) Proof: $\mathcal{O}_{K^{\text{int}}}$ is integrally closed.

IX

. Put $\mathcal{O}_L \subset L$ the integral closure of $\mathcal{O}_K \subset K$.

Claim: $\mathcal{O}_L = \{\alpha \in L \text{ s.t. } N_{L/K}(\alpha) \in \mathcal{O}_K\}$

Proof: $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_L \Rightarrow N_{L/K}(\alpha) \in \mathcal{O}_K$ (use Chapter I
see br 2)

\Leftarrow Take $\alpha \in L^*$ s.t. $N_{L/K}(\alpha) \in \mathcal{O}_K$.

$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x^\alpha + Q_{d-1}x^{d-1} + \dots + Q_0 = 0 \in K[x] \\ \text{minimal polynomial.} \end{array} \right.$

$N_{L/K}(\alpha) = \pm \alpha^m \in \mathcal{O}_K$ (characteristic T
poly as a power
of minima!!)

$\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_L$ $|Q_0| \leq 1, Q_0 \in \mathcal{O}_K$

$f(x) \in \mathcal{O}_K[x] \Leftarrow$ Corollary of Hensel Lemma

$$\Rightarrow |\alpha| = 0 \Leftrightarrow \alpha = 0$$

$$\cdot |\alpha \beta| = |\alpha| |\beta|$$

$$\cdot |\alpha \beta| \leq \max\{|\alpha|, |\beta|\} \Rightarrow |\alpha| \leq 1 \Rightarrow |\alpha + 1| \leq 1$$

⑪ Uniqueness

X

$R \subset L$ in another extension.

$O_R \subset O_L$ $I_L \subset O_L$ max ideal

Similarly, let $O'_L, I'_L \subset O'_L$ be valuator ring and ideal.

$$|d| \leq 1$$

$$|d'| < 1$$

Take $d \in O_L$ s.t. $d \notin O'_L$.

Let $f(x) = x^d + \alpha_1 x^{d-1} + \dots + \alpha_d$ be minimal $/R$ polynomial of d .

Then $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d \in O_R$ (we just proved this).

$$d \notin O'_L \Rightarrow d^{-1} \in I_L \Rightarrow 1 = -\alpha_1 d^{-1} - \alpha_d (d^{-1})^d$$

$$\begin{matrix} \nearrow \\ I_L \end{matrix}$$

↓

$$|d| < 1 \Rightarrow |d'| \leq 1$$

Approximation Theorem: $\exists d \text{ s.t. } |d| \leq 1$

if not equivalent

$$|d'| > 1$$

equivalent $|d|=|d'|$

But on R they ARE the SAME!

(12) Completeness.

XI

This follows from general result.

Th: \mathbb{R}^n complete.

Then all norms on \mathbb{R}^n are equivalent to
(say to $\max\{|x_i|\}$.)

Proof: $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \quad \| \cdot \| = \max\{|x_i|\},$

$\| \cdot \|$ = any other norm.

Then $\| \cdot \| \leq (\sum |(a_{ij})|) \| \cdot \|.$

Want $\| \cdot \| \geq g\| \cdot \|$. $n=1 \quad g=1$.

\mathbb{R}^{n-1}

$\forall i \in \mathbb{C} \quad \exists p_i \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{K}^n \quad \forall v_j = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$
 $\| v_j \| \leq p_i$ with p_i

$v_j = (v_1, \dots, 1, \dots, v_n) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ \iff complete
 still closed

If s.t. all vectors have the $\| \cdot \| \geq g$.

$$|(x_1, \dots, x_n) \cdot \frac{1}{x_r}| \geq g$$

$$|x_r| = \max\{|x_i|\}$$