

6. REPRESENTATION OF INTEGERS AS SUMS OF TWO SQUARES

Which $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ can be represented as a sum $n = x^2 + y^2$ for $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$? Obviously need $n \geq 0$. Can clearly assume that x and y are nonnegative. We have $0 = 0^2 + 0^2$, $1 = 1^2 + 0^2$, $2 = 1^2 + 1^2$, $4 = 2^2 + 0^2$, $5 = 2^2 + 1^2$, but no such representation for $n = 3, 6$ or 7 .

Important note: $(2k)^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, and $(2k+1)^2 = 8\binom{k+1}{2} + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ (and so certainly $\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$).

6.1. The case $n = p$, prime. Which primes are the sum of two squares?

Theorem 6.1. *An odd prime p is a sum of two squares (of integers) iff $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.*

Proof. As $x^2, y^2 \equiv 0$ or $1 \pmod{4}$, so $x^2 + y^2 \equiv 0$ or 1 or $2 \pmod{4}$. Assuming $p = x^2 + y^2$, then as p is odd, we have $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

Conversely, assume $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, and, knowing that then $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = 1$, take $r \in \mathbb{N}$ with $r^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$. Define $f(u, v) = u + rv$ and $K = \lfloor \sqrt{p} \rfloor$. Note that

$$K < \sqrt{p} < K + 1, \tag{1}$$

as $\sqrt{p} \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Consider all pairs (u, v) with $0 \leq u \leq K$ and $0 \leq v \leq K$. There are $(K+1)^2 > p$ such pairs, and so the multiset of all $f(u, v)$ for such u, v has, by the Pigeonhole Principle, two such pairs $(u_1, v_1) \neq (u_2, v_2)$ for which $f(u_1, v_1) \equiv f(u_2, v_2) \pmod{p}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 + rv_1 &\equiv u_2 + rv_2 \pmod{p} \\ u_1 - u_2 &\equiv -r(v_1 - v_2) \pmod{p} \\ a &\equiv -rb \pmod{p}, \end{aligned}$$

say, where $a = u_1 - u_2$ and $b = v_1 - v_2$ are not both 0. Hence $a^2 \equiv -b^2 \pmod{p}$ as $r^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$, so that $p \mid (a^2 + b^2)$. But $|a| \leq K$, $|b| \leq K$, giving

$$0 < a^2 + b^2 \leq 2K^2 < 2p.$$

So $a^2 + b^2 = p$. □

6.2. The general case. We now look at what happens if a prime $\equiv -1 \pmod{4}$ divides a sum of two squares.

Proposition 6.2. *Let $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ be prime, and $q \mid (x^2 + y^2)$. Then $q \mid x$ and $q \mid y$, so that $q^2 \mid (x^2 + y^2)$.*

Proof. Assume that it is not the case that both x and y are divisible by q , say $q \nmid x$. Then from $x^2 + y^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$ we get $(yx^{-1})^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{q}$, contradicting $\left(\frac{-1}{q}\right) = -1$. □

Proposition 6.3. *If n is a sum of two squares and m is a sum of two squares then so is nm .*

Proof. If $n = a^2 + b^2$ and $m = c^2 + d^2$ then

$$nm = (a^2 + b^2)(c^2 + d^2) = (ac - bd)^2 + (ad + bc)^2.$$

□

(This identity comes from complex numbers:

$$(a + ib)(c + id) = ac - bd + i(ad + bc)$$

gives

$$|a + ib|^2 \cdot |c + id|^2 = |ac - bd + i(ad + bc)|^2$$

and hence the identity.)

Corollary 6.4. *If $n = A^2 \prod_i n_i$ where $A, n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and each n_i is a sum of two squares, then so is n .*

Proof. Use induction on i to get $n/A^2 = \prod_i n_i = a^2 + b^2$ say. Then $n = (Aa)^2 + (Ab)^2$. □

We can now state and prove our main result.

Theorem 6.5 (Fermat). *Write n in factorised form as*

$$n = 2^{f_2} \prod_{p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}} p^{f_p} \prod_{q \equiv -1 \pmod{4}} q^{g_q},$$

where (of course) all the p 's and q 's are prime. Then n can be written as the sum of two squares of integers iff all the g_q 's are even.

Proof. If all the g_q are even then $n = A^2 \times$ (product of some p 's) and also $\times 2$ if f_2 is odd. So we have $n = A^2 \times \prod_i (a_i^2 + b_i^2)$ by Theorem 6.1 (using also $2 = 1^2 + 1^2$ if f_2 odd). Hence, by Corollary 6.4, n is the sum of two squares.

Conversely, suppose $q \mid n = a^2 + b^2$, where $q \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$ is prime. Let q^k be the highest power of q dividing both a and b , so say $a = q^k a_1$, $b = q^k b_1$. Then

$$\frac{n}{q^{2k}} = a_1^2 + b_1^2.$$

Now $q \nmid \frac{n}{q^{2k}}$, as otherwise q would divide both a_1 and b_1 , by Prop. 6.2. Hence q^{2k} is the highest power of q dividing n , i.e., $g_q = 2k$ is even. Hence all the g_q 's are even. □

6.3. Related results.

Proposition 6.6. *If an integer n is the sum of two squares of rationals then it's the sum of two squares of integers.*

Proof. Suppose that

$$n = \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{c}{d}\right)^2$$

for some rational numbers a/b and c/d . Then

$$n(bd)^2 = (da)^2 + (bc)^2.$$

Hence, by Thm 6.5, for every prime $q \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$ with $q^i \parallel n(bd)^2$, i must be even. But then if $q^\ell \parallel bd$ then $q^{i-2\ell} \parallel n$, with $i - 2\ell$ even. Hence, by Thm 6.5 (in the other direction), n is the sum of two squares of integers. $\square$

Corollary 6.7. *A rational number n/m is the sum of two squares of rationals iff nm is the sum of two squares of integers.*

Proof. If $nm = a^2 + b^2$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ then

$$\frac{n}{m} = \left(\frac{a}{m}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{b}{m}\right)^2.$$

Conversely, if

$$\frac{n}{m} = \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{c}{d}\right)^2$$

then

$$nm = \left(\frac{am}{b}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{cm}{d}\right)^2.$$

Hence, by Prop. 6.6, nm is the sum of two squares of integers. $\square$

6.4. Finding all ways of expressing a rational as a sum of two rational squares.

Now let h be a rational number that can be written as the sum of two squares of rationals. We can then describe *all* such ways of writing h .

Theorem 6.8. *Suppose that $h \in \mathbb{Q}$ is the sum of two rational squares: $h = s^2 + t^2$, where $s, t \in \mathbb{Q}$. Then the general solution of $h = x^2 + y^2$ in rationals x, y is*

$$x = \frac{s(u^2 - v^2) - 2uvt}{u^2 + v^2} \quad y = -\left(\frac{t(u^2 - v^2) + 2uvs}{u^2 + v^2}\right), \quad (2)$$

where $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$ not both zero.

Proof. We are looking for all points $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Q}^2$ on the circle $x^2 + y^2 = h$. If (x, y) is such a point, then for $x \neq s$ the chord through (s, t) and (x, y) has rational slope $(t - y)/(s - x)$.

Conversely, take a chord through (s, t) of rational slope r , which has equation $y = r(x - s) + t$. Then for the intersection point (x, y) of the chord and the circle we have

$$x^2 + (r(x - s) + t)^2 = h,$$

which simplifies to

$$x^2(1 + r^2) + 2rx(t - rs) + (r^2 - 1)s^2 - 2rst = 0,$$

using the fact that $t^2 - h = -s^2$. This factorises as

$$(x - s)((1 + r^2)x + 2rt + s(1 - r^2)) = 0.$$

For $x \neq s$ we have

$$x = \frac{s(r^2 - 1) - 2rt}{1 + r^2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} y &= t + r(x - s) \\ &= - \left(\frac{t(r^2 - 1) + 2sr}{1 + r^2} \right), \end{aligned}$$

on simplification. Finally, substituting $r = u/v$ gives (2). Note that $v = 0$ in (2) (i.e., $r = \infty$) gives the point $(r, -s)$. $\square$

Corollary 6.9. *The general integer solution x, y, z of the equation $x^2 + y^2 = nz^2$ is*

$$(x, y, z) = (a(u^2 - v^2) - 2uvb, b(u^2 - v^2) + 2uva, u^2 + v^2),$$

where $n = a^2 + b^2$, with $a, b, u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$, and u, v arbitrary.

(If n is not the sum of two squares, then the equation has no nonzero solution, by Prop. 6.6.)

In particular, for $n = 1 = 1^2 + 0^2$, we see that the general integer solution to Pythagoras' equation $x^2 + y^2 = z^2$ is

$$(x, y, z) = (u^2 - v^2, 2uv, u^2 + v^2).$$

For a so-called *primitive* solution — one with $\gcd(x, y) = 1$ — choose u, v with $\gcd(u, v) = 1$ and not both odd.

The same method works for $Ax^2 + By^2 + Cz^2 = 0$.

6.5. Sums of three squares, sums of four squares.

Proposition 6.10. *No number of the form $4^a(8k + 7)$, where a is a nonnegative integer, is the sum of three squares (of integers).*

Proof. Use induction on a . For $a = 0$: Now $n^2 \equiv 0, 1$ or $4 \pmod{8}$, so a sum of three squares is $\equiv 1$ or 1 or 2 or 3 or 4 or 5 or $6 \pmod{8}$, but $\not\equiv 7 \pmod{8}$.

Assume result true for some integer $a \geq 0$. If $4^{a+1}(8k + 7) = n_1^2 + n_2^2 + n_3^2$ then all the n_i must be even, and so $= 4(n_1'^2 + n_2'^2 + n_3'^2)$ say. But then $4^a(8k + 7) = n_1'^2 + n_2'^2 + n_3'^2$, contrary to the induction hypothesis. $\square$

In fact (won't prove)

Theorem 6.11 (Legendre 1798, Gauss). *All positive integers except those of the form $4^a(8k + 7)$ are the sum of three squares.*

Assuming this result, we can show

Corollary 6.12 (Lagrange 1770). *Every positive integer is the sum of four squares.*

Proof. The only case we need to consider is $n = 4^a(8k + 7)$. But then $n - (2^a)^2 = 4^a(8k + 6) = 2^{2k+1}(4k + 3)$, which (being exactly divisible by an odd power of 2) is not of the form $4^{a'}(8k' + 7)$, so is the sum of three squares. $\square$

7. FERMAT'S METHOD OF DESCENT

Around 1640, Fermat developed a method for showing that an equation had no integer solutions. In essence, the method is as follows: assume that the equation *does* have a solution. Pick the ‘smallest’ (suitably defined) one. Use the assumed solution to construct a smaller solution, contradicting the fact that the one you started with was the smallest. This contradiction proves that there is in fact no solution. The technique is called *Fermat's method of descent*. It is, in fact, a form of strong induction. (Why?)

We illustrate the method with one example:

Theorem 7.1. *The equation*

$$x^4 + y^4 = z^2 \quad (3)$$

has no solution in positive integers x, y, z .

Corollary 7.2 (Fermat's Last Theorem for exponent 4). *The equation $x^4 + y^4 = z^4$ has no solution in positive integers x, y, z .*

Proof of Theorem 7.1. (From H. Davenport, *The higher arithmetic. An introduction to the theory of numbers*, Longmans 1952, p.162). Suppose that (3) has such a solution. Assume we have a solution with $|z|$ minimal. We can clearly assume that z is positive and $\neq 1$, i.e., that $z > 1$. If $d = \gcd(x, y) > 1$ we can divide by d^4 , replacing x by x/d , y by y/d and z by z/d^2 in (3), obtaining a solution with $|z|$ smaller. So we must have $\gcd(x, y) = 1$.

Now from Corollary 6.9 we know that

$$X^2 + Y^2 = Z^2$$

has general solution (with $\gcd(X, Y) = 1$), possibly after interchanging X and Y of

$$X = p^2 - q^2 \quad Y = 2pq \quad Z = p^2 + q^2,$$

where $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\gcd(p, q) = 1$, so

$$x^2 = p^2 - q^2 \quad y^2 = 2pq \quad z = p^2 + q^2.$$

As a square is $\equiv 0$ or $1 \pmod{4}$, and x is odd (because $\gcd(x, y) = 1$), we see that p is odd and q is even, say $q = 2r$. So

$$x^2 = p^2 - (2r)^2 \quad \left(\frac{y}{2}\right)^2 = pr.$$

Since $\gcd(p, r) = 1$ and pr is a square, we have $p = v^2$ and $r = w^2$ say, so

$$x^2 + (2w^2)^2 = v^4.$$

Note that, as $\gcd(p, q) = 1$, we have $\gcd(x, q) = 1 = \gcd(x, 2w^2)$. Hence applying Corollary 6.9 again

$$x = p_1^2 - q_1^2 \quad 2w^2 = 2p_1q_1 \quad v^2 = p_1^2 + q_1^2,$$

where $\gcd(p_1, q_1) = 1$ and not both are odd. Say p_1 odd, q_1 even. Thus $w^2 = p_1q_1$, giving $p_1 = v_1^2$, $q_1 = r_1^2$, say. Hence

$$v^2 (= p_1^2 + q_1^2) = v_1^4 + r_1^4,$$

which is another solution of (3)! But

$$v^2 = p = \sqrt{z - q^2} < \sqrt{z},$$

giving $v < z^{1/4}$, so certainly $v < z$ (as $z > 1$), contradicting the minimality of z . □