

## HOLOMORPHIC DIFFERENTIAL FORMS OF HIGHER DEGREE ON KUGA'S MODULAR VARIETIES

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

1976 Math. USSR Sb. 30 119

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0025-5734/30/1/A07>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 195.37.209.182

The article was downloaded on 20/09/2010 at 14:28

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

**HOLOMORPHIC DIFFERENTIAL FORMS OF HIGHER DEGREE ON  
 KUGA'S MODULAR VARIETIES**

UDC 517.4

V. V. ŠOKUROV

**ABSTRACT.** In the paper a canonical isomorphism between the space of cusp forms  $S_{w+2}(\Gamma)$  of weight  $w + 2$  with respect to the modular group  $\Gamma$  and the space of holomorphic differential forms of higher degree on Kuga's modular variety  $B_\Gamma^w$  is constructed.

**Bibliography:** 6 titles.

The main aim of this work is the proof of Theorem 5 (see [6]). The case  $w = 0$  of this theorem is classical, and the case  $w = 1$  has been proved by Shioda [5]. The latter paper served as a departure point for the author. At present M. Kifer and I. Skornjakov have obtained the corresponding results for the Hilbert modular group. The author expresses his gratitude to Ju. I. Manin, in whose seminar this work was done.

**§0. Main results**

**0.1.** All algebraic varieties, their morphisms, and differentials to be considered below are defined over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $B$  denote a nonsingular projective surface with a canonical projection  $\Phi: B \rightarrow \Delta$  and a section  $\sigma: \Delta \rightarrow B$ . Assume that its general fiber is an elliptic curve and  $\Delta$  is a nonsingular projective curve. A point  $v \in \Delta$  is called a point of *nonsingular type* if the fiber  $B_v = \Phi^{-1}(v)$  is an elliptic curve. Let  $\Delta'$  be the set of points of nonsingular type and  $B' = B|_{\Delta'}$  ( $B$  restricted over  $\Delta'$ ). Then the nonsingular algebraic variety

$$(B^w)' = \underbrace{B' \times_{\Delta'} B' \times_{\Delta'} \dots \times_{\Delta'} B'}_w$$

is defined for any natural number  $w$ . The variety  $(B^w)'$  has a smooth canonical projective compactification  $B^w$  which is constructed in §3 proceeding from a singular projective compactification  $\bar{B}^w$ . The construction of  $B^w$  is given in §2. The variety  $B^w$  has a canonical projection  $\Phi: B^w \rightarrow \Delta$  and is called *Kuga's variety* [6].

**0.2.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a subgroup of finite index in  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ . We will consider pairs  $(\Gamma, w)$ ,  $w$  being a natural number, such that for odd  $w$  one has

$$-E \notin \Gamma. \tag{*}$$

In the case (\*) a nonsingular projective elliptic surface  $B_\Gamma$  is canonically defined (see §4 of [5]). This is an elliptic surface over  $\Delta_\Gamma$ , the corresponding modular curve. In the case

*AMS (MOS) subject classifications* (1970). Primary 10D15; Secondary 14D05, 14E15.

when the condition (\*) does not hold one can construct a certain nonsingular projective elliptic surface  $B_\Gamma$  over a modular curve  $\Delta_\Gamma$  with the functional invariant  $J_\Gamma = j \circ \varphi_\Gamma$  (see Lemma 1.5). Here  $j$  is the absolute invariant function on  $\text{SL}(2, \mathbf{Z}) \setminus \overline{H}$ , and  $\varphi_\Gamma$  is the following canonical projection:

$$\Delta_\Gamma = \Gamma \setminus \overline{H} \rightarrow \text{SL}(2, \mathbf{Z}) \setminus \overline{H}.$$

The nonsingular projective variety  $B_\Gamma^w$  is called *Kuga's modular variety*.

**0.3. MAIN THEOREM.** a) *There are canonical isomorphisms*

$$H^0(B_\Gamma^w, \Omega^{w+1}) \simeq S_{w+2}(\Gamma), \quad H^{w+1}(B_\Gamma^w, \mathcal{O}) \simeq H^0(B_\Gamma^w, \overline{\Omega}^{w+1}) \simeq \overline{S_{w+2}}(\Gamma),$$

where  $S_{w+2}(\Gamma)$  is the space of  $\Gamma$ -cusp forms of weight  $w+2$  (see §2.1 of [4]).

b)

$$\begin{aligned} \dim H^0(B_\Gamma^w, \Omega^{w+1}) &= (w+1)(g-1) + \sum_{b \geq 1} (v(I_b) + v(I_b^*)) \frac{w}{2} \\ &+ (v(\text{II}) + v(\text{IV}^*)) \left[ \frac{w+2}{3} \right] + (v(\text{III}) + v(\text{III}^*)) \left[ \frac{w+2}{4} \right], \text{ if } w \text{ is even;} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dim H^0(B_\Gamma^w, \Omega^{w+1}) &= (w+1)(g-1) + \sum_{b \geq 1} v(I_b) \frac{w}{2} \\ &+ \sum_{b \geq 1} v(I_b^*) \frac{w+1}{2} + v(\text{IV}^*) \left[ \frac{w+2}{3} \right], \text{ if } w \text{ is odd,} \end{aligned}$$

where  $v(*)$  is the number of points in  $\Delta$  of type  $*$  (see §1) and  $g$  is the genus of the curve  $\Delta_\Gamma$ .

To part a) of the theorem corresponds Theorem 5.6, and to part b) Corollary 5.7.  $\square$

**0.4.** Let us describe as a complement to the theorem the homomorphism

$$S_{w+2}(\Gamma) \rightarrow H^0(B_\Gamma^w, \Omega^{w+1}), \quad \Phi \mapsto \omega_\Phi, \quad (0.1)$$

which is the required isomorphism in the case (\*). Let  $H' = H - \text{SL}(2, \mathbf{Z})\{\eta\}$ ,  $\eta = e^{2\pi i/3}$ , and  $\Delta' = \Gamma \setminus H' \subset \Delta_\Gamma$ . Then there is a canonical isomorphism (see Proposition 5.2 b))

$$B_\Gamma^w|_{\Delta'} \simeq \Gamma \times \mathbf{Z}^w \times \mathbf{Z}^w \setminus H' \times \mathbf{C}^w,$$

where the group  $\Gamma \times \mathbf{Z}^w \times \mathbf{Z}^w$  (semidirect product) acts on  $H' \times \mathbf{C}^w$  by the following rule:

$$(\gamma, n, m) : (z, \zeta) \mapsto (\gamma z, (cz+d)^{-1}(\zeta + zn + m)) \quad (0.2)$$

for  $n, m \in \mathbf{Z}^w = \mathbf{Z} \times \dots \times \mathbf{Z}$  ( $w$  factors),  $z \in H'$ ,  $\zeta \in \mathbf{C}^w$ ,

$$\gamma z = \frac{az+b}{cz+d} \quad \text{for } \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma \subset \text{SL}(2, \mathbf{Z}).$$

Let  $\Phi \in S_{w+2}(\Gamma)$ . Then it follows directly from the definition of  $\Phi$  that the differential form of degree  $w+1$

$$\Phi dz \wedge d\xi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\xi_w \tag{0.3}$$

is holomorphic on  $H' \times \mathbf{C}^w$  ( $\mathbf{C}^w = \mathbf{C} \times \dots \times \mathbf{C}$  ( $w$  factors));  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_w)$  and is invariant under the action of  $\Gamma \times \mathbf{Z}^w \times \mathbf{Z}^w$ . Consequently, the form (0.3) defines a holomorphic differential  $\omega_\Phi$  of degree  $w + 1$  on the open set  $B_\Gamma^w|_\Delta \subset B_\Gamma^w$ . Since  $\Gamma \times \mathbf{Z}^w \times \mathbf{Z}^w$  acts freely, properly, and discretely on the analytic variety  $H' \times \mathbf{C}^w$ , the differential  $\omega_\Phi$  is extended to a holomorphic form on  $B_\Gamma^w$  and hence defines the map (0.1).

**0.5. REMARKS.** a) In this paper  $w$  is always assumed to be a natural number. The corresponding results for  $w = 0$  have not been formulated. The author has not described them because they are classical.

b) The variety  $B^w$  is obtained from  $\bar{B}^w$  by a resolution of singularities. In §4 the rationality of these singularities is proved. Therefore any resolution of  $\bar{B}_\Gamma^w$  serves for Theorem 0.3. There is always some resolution defined by the results of Hironaka. In §3 we construct a canonical resolution.

### §1. Elliptic surfaces

Denote by  $\Delta$  a nonsingular algebraic curve. Let  $B$  denote a nonsingular algebraic surface with an elliptic structure. This means that a canonical projection  $\Phi: B \rightarrow \Delta$  and a section  $o: \Delta \rightarrow B$  are defined such that the general fiber of  $\Phi$  is an elliptic curve.

Let  $E$  be an open subset of  $\Delta$  with respect to the  $\mathbf{C}$ -topology (all topologies considered in the paper correspond to an analytic structure of varieties). By  $B|_E$  we will denote the analytic space  $\Phi^{-1}(E)$ . If  $E$  is a real topological manifold with a boundary in  $\Delta$  then  $B|_E$  will denote the topological manifold with boundary  $\Phi^{-1}(E)$ . Moreover, we will denote by  $B_v$  a geometrical fiber of  $\Phi$  over a point  $v \in \Delta$ . The restriction symbol  $|$  will be used also for arbitrary varieties with a projection on  $\Delta$ .

Before we state the classification theorem on fibers of an elliptic surface we recall the meaning of the expression

$$B_v = \Theta_{v,0} + \sum_{i \geq 1} \mu_{v,i} \Theta_{v,i},$$

$\Theta_{v,i}$  are different components of the fiber, and  $\mu_{v,i}$  are its multiplicities. We assume that  $\Theta_{v,0}$  is the component containing the point  $o(v)$ , and that its multiplicity is equal to 1. Sometimes, if no confusion arises, the index  $v$  in  $\Theta_{v,i}$  will be dropped. In the sequel we everywhere assume for the surface  $B$  that the  $\Theta_{v,i}$  are not exceptional curves of the first kind and the functional invariant  $J$  is not constant.

**1.1. THEOREM (KODAIRA).** *The fiber  $B_v$  is one of the following types:*

$I_0$ :  $B_v = \Theta_0$ ,  $\Theta_0$  being a nonsingular elliptic curve;

$I_1$ :  $B_v = \Theta_0$ ,  $\Theta_0$  being a rational curve with a node  $q$ ;

$I_2$ :  $B_v = \Theta_0 + \Theta_1$ ,  $\Theta_0$  and  $\Theta_1$  being nonsingular rational curves,  $\Theta_0 \cdot \Theta_1 = q_1 + q_2$ , where  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  are two differential points;

II:  $B_v = \Theta_0$ ,  $\Theta_0$  being a rational curve with a single singular point which is a cusp;

III:  $B_v = \Theta_0 + \Theta_1$ ,  $\Theta_0$  and  $\Theta_1$  being nonsingular rational curves,  $\Theta_0 \cdot \Theta_1 = 2q$ ;

IV:  $B_v = \Theta_0 + \Theta_1 + \Theta_2$ ,  $\Theta_0$ ,  $\Theta_1$  and  $\Theta_2$  being nonsingular rational curves,  $\Theta_0 \cdot \Theta_1 = \Theta_0 \Theta_2 = \Theta_1 \cdot \Theta_2 = q$ .

*In the remaining cases all components  $\Theta_i$  are nonsingular rational curves such that two different components  $\Theta_i$  and  $\Theta_j$  ( $i < j$ ) meet each other at at most one point. Below only nontrivial intersection indices will be noted.*

$$\begin{aligned}
I_b: B_v &= \Theta_0 + \Theta_1 + \dots + \Theta_{b-1} \quad (b \geq 3), \quad (\Theta_0 \cdot \Theta_1) = (\Theta_1 \cdot \Theta_2) = \\
&= \dots = (\Theta_{b-2} \cdot \Theta_{b-1}) = (\Theta_{b-1} \cdot \Theta_0) = 1; \\
I_b^*: B_v &= \Theta_0 + \Theta_1 + \Theta_2 + \Theta_3 + 2\Theta_4 + \dots + 2\Theta_{b+4} \quad (b \geq 0), \quad (\Theta_0 \cdot \Theta_4) \\
&= (\Theta_1 \cdot \Theta_4) = (\Theta_4 \cdot \Theta_5) = \dots = (\Theta_{b+3} \cdot \Theta_{b+4}) = (\Theta_{b+4} \cdot \Theta_2) = (\Theta_{b+4} \cdot \Theta_3) = 1; \\
II^*: B_v &= \Theta_0 + 2\Theta_1 + 3\Theta_2 + 4\Theta_3 + 5\Theta_4 + 6\Theta_5 + 4\Theta_6 + 3\Theta_7 + 2\Theta_8, \quad (\Theta_0 \cdot \Theta_1) \\
&= (\Theta_1 \cdot \Theta_2) = (\Theta_2 \cdot \Theta_3) = (\Theta_4 \cdot \Theta_5) = (\Theta_5 \cdot \Theta_6) = (\Theta_5 \cdot \Theta_7) = (\Theta_6 \cdot \Theta_8) = 1; \\
III^*: B_v &= \Theta_0 + 2\Theta_1 + 3\Theta_2 + 4\Theta_3 + 3\Theta_4 + 2\Theta_5 + 2\Theta_6 + \Theta_7, \quad (\Theta_0 \cdot \Theta_1) = (\Theta_1 \cdot \Theta_2) \\
&= (\Theta_2 \cdot \Theta_3) = (\Theta_4 \cdot \Theta_5) = (\Theta_3 \cdot \Theta_6) = (\Theta_5 \cdot \Theta_7) = 1; \\
IV^*: B_v &= \Theta_0 + 2\Theta_1 + 3\Theta_2 + 2\Theta_3 + 2\Theta_4 + \Theta_5 + \Theta_6, \quad (\Theta_0 \cdot \Theta_1) = (\Theta_1 \cdot \Theta_2) \\
&= (\Theta_2 \cdot \Theta_3) = (\Theta_2 \cdot \Theta_4) = (\Theta_3 \cdot \Theta_5) = (\Theta_4 \cdot \Theta_5) = 1. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

The theorem is a direct corollary of the existence of the section  $\sigma$  and of Theorem 6.2 of [3]. A fiber of type  $I_0$  will be called *nonsingular*; other fibers are called *singular*. Moreover, a point  $v \in \Delta$  will be named by the type of  $B_v$ . There exists a finite subset  $\Sigma \subset \Delta$  which contains all points of singular type. In the sequel we assume furthermore that  $J(\Sigma) \cap \{0, 1, \infty\} = \emptyset$ , where  $J$  is the functional invariant of  $B$ .

In §§2 and 3 projective varieties  $\bar{B}^n$  and  $B^n$  (the latter is nonsingular) will be constructed. Now we will define the surfaces  $\bar{B}^1$  and  $B^1$ .  $B^1$  is constructed from  $B$  by a sequence of monoidal transformations centered at points of fibers of types II, III and IV. In a fiber of type II we make the monoidal transformation centered at the singular point  $q$ . The new fiber over this point is of the form  $\Theta_0 + 2\Theta_1$ , the  $\Theta_i$  being nonsingular rational curves with  $\Theta_0 \cdot \Theta_1 = 2q'$ . Making the monoidal transformation centered at  $q'$ , we obtain a fiber of the form  $\Theta_0 + 2\Theta_1 + 3\Theta_2$ , the  $\Theta_i$  being nonsingular rational curves with  $\Theta_0 \cdot \Theta_1 = \Theta_1 \cdot \Theta_2 = \Theta_0 \cdot \Theta_2 = q''$ . The monoidal transformation centered at  $q''$  defines a surface  $B^1$  over a point of type II. In the case of a fiber of type III we first make the monoidal transformation centered at  $q$ , the point of intersection of the components. Thus we obtain a fiber of the form  $\Theta_0 + \Theta_1 + 2\Theta_2$ , the  $\Theta_i$  being nonsingular rational curves with  $\Theta_0 \cdot \Theta_1 = \Theta_1 \cdot \Theta_2 = \Theta_0 \cdot \Theta_2 = q'$ . The monoidal transformation centered at this point defines the surface  $B^1$  over a point of type III. For points of type IV the surface  $B^1$  is defined by the monoidal transformation centered at the point of intersection of the components.  $B^1$  has a canonical projection  $\varphi^1: B^1 \rightarrow \Delta$ . The proof of the following proposition follows from the construction of the surface  $B^1$ .

**1.2. PROPOSITION.**  $B^1$  is a nonsingular projective surface. A fiber  $B_v^1$  depending on the type of the point  $v$  has the following form:

If  $v$  is of type  $I_b$  ( $b \geq 0$ ),  $I_b^*$  ( $b \geq 0$ ),  $II^*$ ,  $III^*$  or  $IV^*$ , then  $B_v^1 = B_v$ .

In other cases all components  $\Theta_i$  are nonsingular rational curves. Writing only nontrivial intersection indices, we have, if  $v$  is of type

$$\begin{aligned}
II: B_v^1 &= \Theta_0 + 2\Theta_1 + 3\Theta_2 + 6\Theta_3, \quad (\Theta_0 \cdot \Theta_3) = (\Theta_1 \cdot \Theta_3) = (\Theta_2 \cdot \Theta_3) = 1; \\
III: B_v^1 &= \Theta_0 + \Theta_1 + 2\Theta_2 + 4\Theta_3, \quad (\Theta_0 \cdot \Theta_3) = (\Theta_1 \cdot \Theta_3) = (\Theta_2 \cdot \Theta_3) = 1; \\
IV: B_v^1 &= \Theta_0 + \Theta_1 + \Theta_2 + 3\Theta_3, \quad (\Theta_0 \cdot \Theta_3) = (\Theta_1 \cdot \Theta_3) = (\Theta_2 \cdot \Theta_3) = 1. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

The following proposition defines a normal projective surface  $\bar{B}^1$ :

**1.3. PROPOSITION.** There exists a unique normal projective surface  $\bar{B}^1$  which is obtained by blowing down connected components of the following curves (the type of the fiber  $B_v^1$  corresponds to the type of the point  $v$ ) on  $B^1$ :

- $\Theta_0 \cup \Theta_1 \cup \Theta_2 \cup \Theta_3$  in a fiber of type  $I_b^*$  ( $b > 0$ );
- $\Theta_0 \cup \Theta_1 \cup \Theta_2 \cup \Theta_3 \cup \Theta_4 \cup \Theta_6 \cup \Theta_7 \cup \Theta_8$  in a fiber of type  $II^*$ ;
- $\Theta_0 \cup \Theta_1 \cup \Theta_2 \cup \Theta_4 \cup \Theta_5 \cup \Theta_6 \cup \Theta_7$  in a fiber of type  $III^*$ ;
- $\Theta_0 \cup \Theta_1 \cup \Theta_3 \cup \Theta_4 \cup \Theta_5 \cup \Theta_6$  in a fiber of type  $IV^*$ ;
- $\Theta_0 \cup \Theta_1 \cup \Theta_2$  in fibers of type  $II, III, IV$ .  $\square$

In the notation of [3] (Chapter 8) we have

**1.4. COROLLARY.** a) For points  $v$  of type  $I_0, I_0^*, II, III, IV, II^*, III^*$  or  $IV^*$  there is an isomorphism of analytic spaces

$$\bar{B}^1|_E \simeq C \setminus F, \tag{1.1}$$

where  $C$  is a cyclic group of order  $\kappa = \max\{\mu_{v,i}\}$

b) For points  $v$  of type  $I_b^*$  ( $b \geq 1$ )

$$\bar{B}^1|_E \simeq \{t\} \setminus F, \tag{1.2}$$

where  $t$  is an involution. In both cases  $E$  denotes a sufficiently small disc centered at the point  $v$ .

**PROOF.** The construction of Chapter 8, iv, v, of [3] immediately implies the normality of the analytic spaces  $C \setminus F$  and  $\{t\} \setminus F$ . Therefore the process of constructing  $\bar{B}^1$  is inverse to the resolution of singularities (Chapter 8, iii, of [3]) of  $C \setminus F$  and  $\{t\} \setminus F$ . On the other hand, the process of blowing down exceptional curves in fibers of type  $II, III$  and  $IV$  is inverse to the construction of the surface  $B^1$ .  $\square$

The local descriptions of the surface  $\bar{B}^1$  directly imply the existence of an analytic, and by §1.3 also an algebraic projection  $\bar{\Phi}^1$  with the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B^1 & \xrightarrow{\Psi^1} & \bar{B}^1 \\ & \searrow \phi^1 & \swarrow \bar{\phi}^1 \\ & \Delta & \end{array},$$

where  $\Psi^1$  denotes the blowing down of Proposition 1.3.

Let  $G$  be the homological invariant of an elliptic surface  $B$ . Fix some point  $u_0 \in \Delta' = \Delta - \Sigma$ . Let us consider a closed path  $\beta$  on  $\Delta'$  originating at  $u_0$ . Then the natural connection on  $B|_{\Delta'}$  defines a homomorphism of the homology groups

$$s_\beta : H_1(B_{u_0}, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(B_{u_0}, \mathbf{Z}), \tag{1.3}$$

which corresponds to this path. Fix some negative definite basis  $e_1, e_2$  in the group  $H_1(B_{u_0}, \mathbf{Z})$  (that corresponds to the choice of periods  $z \in H, 1$  of the elliptic curve  $B_{u_0}$ ). Write the homomorphism  $s_\beta$  in the basis  $e_1, e_2$  as a right action of a matrix  $S_\beta \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbf{Z})$ . The given matrices define a representation

$$S : \pi_1(\Delta') \rightarrow \text{SL}(2, \mathbf{Z}) \tag{1.4}$$

of the fundamental group  $\pi_1(\Delta') = \pi_1(\Delta', u_0)$ . Representation (1.4) uniquely defines the sheaf  $G$ . Each matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = S_\beta$ , where  $\beta$  is a small positive circle centered at some point  $v \in \Delta$ , is conjugated in  $\text{SL}(2, \mathbf{Z})$  to one of the following matrices:

$$\pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} (b \geq 0), \quad \pm \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \pm \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \pm \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The last matrix is determined uniquely by the point  $v$  and is denoted by  $A_v$ .  $A_v$  will be called the *normal monodromy form* of an elliptic surface in the point  $v \in \Delta$ . The matrix

$A_v$  determines the type of the point  $v$  (see Table 1), which is a direct corollary of the construction of Chapter 8 of [3].

TABLE 1

Type of a point $v$	$I_b$	$I_b^*$	II	III	IV	II*	III*	IV*
Normal form $A_v$	$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix}$	$-\begin{vmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix}$	$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{vmatrix}$	$\begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{vmatrix}$	$\begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{vmatrix}$	$\begin{vmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{vmatrix}$	$\begin{vmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{vmatrix}$	$\begin{vmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{vmatrix}$

The arguments of the beginning of §8 of [3] easily imply the following result.

1.5. LEMMA. For any rational function  $J \in \mathbb{C}(\Delta) \setminus \mathbb{C}$  on  $\Delta$  there exists an elliptic surface  $B$  over  $\Delta$  with the functional invariant  $J$ .  $\square$

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1.3. By Artin's criterion (Theorem 2.9B of [1]) it suffices to prove the negative definiteness of the matrix  $\|\Theta_i \cdot \Theta_j\|$ , where the  $\Theta_i$  are connected components of the blowing down  $\Psi^1$ . After a suitable permutation of  $\Theta_i$  the intersection matrix has the following form:

$$\left( \begin{array}{cccccccc} -2 & 1 & & & & & & \\ 1 & -2 & & & & & & 0 \\ & & 1 & & & & & \\ & & & \ddots & & & & \\ & & & & 1 & & & \\ & & & & & \ddots & & \\ & & & & & & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & & & & & & 1 & -2 \end{array} \right) \Bigg\} n.$$

The corresponding quadratic form ( $n \geq 1$ )

$$-x_1^2 - x_n^2 - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (x_k - x_{k+1})^2$$

is negative definite.  $\square$

§2. Construction of the variety  $\bar{B}^w$

Let  $w$  be some natural number. In this section a projective algebraic variety  $\bar{B}^w$  equipped with a canonical projection  $\bar{\Phi}^w: \bar{B}^w \rightarrow \Delta$  is constructed from  $B$  and  $w$ . In §§2.1 and 2.2 an analytic construction of  $\bar{B}^w$  and  $\bar{\Phi}^w$  is described. Theorem 2.3, using a noncanonical algebraic construction of  $\bar{B}^w$ , proves the projectivity.

2.1. Let  $U'$  be the universal covering of  $\Delta'$  and  $\tilde{z}: U' \rightarrow \Delta'$  a canonical projection (base point  $u_0$ ). There is a multi-valued analytic function  $z(u)$  defined on  $\Delta'$  such that  $j(z(u)) = J(u)$ , where  $j$  is the absolute invariant. A choice of a negative definite basis  $e_1, e_2$  of the group  $H_1(B_{u_0}, \mathbb{Z})$  determines the choice of a branch of  $z(u)$  at the point  $u_0$ . Let  $z: U' \rightarrow H, \tilde{u} \mapsto z(\tilde{u})$  be the corresponding single-valued function on  $U'$ . Let  $S$  be the representation (1.4), and denote by  $\beta: \tilde{u} \mapsto \beta\tilde{u}, \beta \in \pi_1(\Delta')$ , the action of the fundamental group on the universal covering  $U'$ . Then

$$z(\beta\tilde{u}) = \frac{az(\tilde{u}) + b}{cz(\tilde{u}) + d} = S_\beta z(\tilde{u}), \tag{2.1}$$

where  $S_\beta + \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, \beta \in \pi_1(\Delta')$ . For  $\beta \in \pi_1(\Delta')$  the analytic function

$$f_\beta(\tilde{u}) = (cz(\tilde{u}) + d)^{-1}$$

is defined on  $U'$ , for which

$$f_{\beta\gamma}(\tilde{u}) = f_\beta(\gamma\tilde{u}) \cdot f_\gamma(\tilde{u})$$

for any  $\beta, \gamma \in \pi_1(\Delta')$ . Define an action of the group  $\mathcal{G}^w = \pi_1(\Delta') \times \mathbf{Z}^w \times \mathbf{Z}^w$  (semidirect product) on the analytic variety  $U' \times \mathbf{C}^w$  as follows:

$$(\beta, n, m) : (\tilde{u}, \zeta) \mapsto (\beta\tilde{u}, f_\beta(\tilde{u})(\zeta + z(\tilde{u})n + m)), \tag{2.2}$$

where  $\beta \in \pi_1(\Delta')$ ,  $n, m \in \mathbf{Z}^w$ ,  $\tilde{u} \in U'$  and  $\zeta \in \mathbf{C}^w$ . The group  $\mathcal{G}^w$  acts properly, discretely and without fixed points. Hence the analytic variety

$$\bar{B}^w|_{\Delta'} = \mathcal{G}^w \backslash U' \times \mathbf{C}^w$$

is defined, which has a canonical projection  $\bar{\Phi}^w|_{\Delta'}$  on  $\Delta'$  induced by the map  $(\tilde{u}, \zeta) \mapsto (\tilde{u})$ . It is easy to prove the existence of the following isomorphism (the horizontal arrow) with a commutative diagram (Chapter 8, I, of [3]):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bar{B}^w|_{\Delta'} & \simeq & \underbrace{B|_{\Delta'} \times_{\Delta'} \dots \times_{\Delta'} B|_{\Delta'}}_w \\ \bar{\Phi}^w|_{\Delta'} \searrow & & \swarrow \underbrace{\Phi|_{\Delta'} \times_{\Delta'} \dots \times_{\Delta'} \Phi|_{\Delta'}}_w \\ & \Delta & \end{array}$$

The rest of the construction of  $\bar{B}^w$  consists in a compactification of  $\bar{B}^w|_{\Delta'}$  over points of  $\Sigma$  and an analytic continuation of  $\bar{\Phi}^w|_{\Delta'}$ .

**2.2.** Let  $u_i \in \Sigma = \{u_1, \dots, u_t\}$ . Denote by  $\tau$  a local parameter at the point  $u_i$  and by  $E$  a small disk  $|\tau| < \varepsilon$  on  $\Delta$ ; set  $E' = E - u_i$ .

(i) If  $u_i$  is one of the types  $I_0, I_0^*, II, II^*, III, III^*, IV$  or  $IV^*$ , then the analytic variety  $F$  (see Corollary 1.4 and Chapter 8, ii, iv, of [3]) is an elliptic fibration over a disc  $D = \{\sigma \in \mathbf{C} \mid |\sigma|^* < \varepsilon\}$ . Following Kodaira [3], we will denote points of  $F$  by  $[\sigma, \zeta]$ , where  $\zeta \in \mathbf{C}$  is considered modulo the lattice  $\mathbf{Z} + z(\sigma)\mathbf{Z}$  over  $D$ . Let  $F^w$  be the analytic variety

$$F^w = \underbrace{F \times_D \dots \times_D F}_w$$

We will also denote by  $[\sigma, \zeta]$ ,  $\zeta \in \mathbf{C}^w$ , the points of  $F^w$ . For suitable  $\tau, \zeta$  and  $\sigma$  the action of the group  $C$  has the following form:

$$e_\kappa : [\sigma, \zeta] \mapsto [e_\kappa\sigma, f_1(\sigma)\zeta], \tag{2.3}$$

where  $e_\kappa = e^{2\pi i/\kappa}$  is a generator of  $C$  and  $f_1(\sigma)$  is an analytic function from Table 2. The functions  $z(\sigma)$  describe the lattice which defines  $F^w$ .

TABLE 2

Fiber type →	$I_0$	$I_0^*$	II	II*	III	III*	IV	IV*
$\kappa$	1	2	6	6	4	4	3	3
$z(\sigma)$	—	—	$\frac{\eta - \eta^2\sigma^{2h}}{1 - \sigma^{2h}}$ $h \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$	$\frac{\eta - \eta^2\sigma^{2h}}{1 - \sigma^{2h}}$ $h \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$	$\frac{i + i\sigma^{2h}}{1 - \sigma^{2h}}$ $h \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$	$\frac{i + i\sigma^{2h}}{1 - \sigma^{2h}}$ $h \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$	$\frac{\eta - \eta^2\sigma^h}{1 - \sigma^h}$ $h \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$	$\frac{\eta - \eta^2\sigma^h}{1 - \sigma^h}$ $h \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$
$f_1(\sigma)$	1	-1	$-z(\sigma)^{-1}$	$(z(\sigma) + 1)^{-1}$	$-z(\sigma)^{-1}$	$z(\sigma)^{-1}$	$-(z(\sigma) + 1)^{-1}$	$z(\sigma)^{-1}$
$J(v)$	C	C	0	0	1	1	0	0

Here  $h = \text{ord}_v(J - J(v))$  and  $\eta = e^{2\pi i/3}$ . Formula (2.3) defines also an action of the group  $C$  on  $F^\omega$  in the coordinates  $[\sigma, \zeta]$ .  $C$  acts on  $F^\omega$  properly, discretely and with a finite number of fixed points.

Therefore the analytic space  $\bar{B}^\omega|_E = C \setminus F^\omega$  is normal with a finite number of singular points. The function  $\sigma^\kappa$ , which is regular and invariant on  $F^\omega$ , induces a projection  $\bar{\Phi}^\omega|_E: \bar{B}^\omega|_E \rightarrow E$ . There exists an isomorphism (the horizontal arrow) with a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bar{B}^\omega|_{\Delta'}|_{E'} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \bar{B}^\omega|_E|_{E'} \\ \bar{\Phi}^\omega|_{\Delta'}|_{E'} \searrow & & \swarrow \bar{\Phi}^\omega|_E|_{E'} \\ & E' & \end{array} \tag{2.4}$$

Thus a compactification of  $\bar{B}^\omega$  and an analytic continuation of the map  $\bar{\Phi}^\omega|_{\Delta'}$  are defined over points with finite monodromy.

(ii) If  $u_i$  is of type  $I_b$ ,  $b \geq 1$ , then the analytic space

$$\bar{B}^\omega|_E = \underbrace{B|_E \times_E \dots \times_E B|_E}_\omega$$

is defined with a canonical projection

$$\bar{\Phi}^\omega|_E = \underbrace{\Phi|_E \times_E \dots \times_E \Phi|_E}_\omega$$

Since in this case we also have an analytic isomorphism (2.4),  $\bar{B}^\omega|_E$  and  $\bar{\Phi}^\omega|_E$  define a compactification of  $\bar{B}^\omega|_{\Delta'}$  over points of type  $I_b$ .

(iii) In the case when the point  $u_i$  is of type  $I_b^*$ ,  $b \geq 1$ , we let

$$F^\omega = \underbrace{F \times_D F \times_D \dots \times_D F}_\omega$$

The involution  $t$  (see Corollary 1.4 and Chapter 8 of [3]) determines an analytic involution on  $F^\omega$ . Hence the analytic quotient space  $\bar{B}^\omega|_E = \{t\} \setminus F^\omega$  is defined. Moreover, since the projection is an invariant analytic function (equal to  $\sigma^2$  in the Kodaira coordinates  $(\sigma, \omega)$  [3]), a projection  $\bar{\Phi}^\omega|_E$  is defined. The pair  $\bar{B}^\omega|_E, \bar{\Phi}^\omega|_E$  defines a compactification of  $\bar{B}^\omega|_{\Delta'}$  over  $u_i$ .

**2.3. THEOREM.**  $\bar{B}^\omega$  is a projective algebraic variety. In particular,  $\bar{\Phi}^\omega$  is an algebraic morphism.

REMARK. The varieties  $\bar{B}^1$  defined in 1.3, 2.1 and 2.2 coincide.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.3. To each point  $u_i$  there corresponds a natural number  $\kappa_i = \max\{\mu_{u_i, l}\}$ . Let  $\kappa_0$  be the least common multiple of  $\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_r$ , and let  $d = \kappa_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \kappa_r$ . Then an abelian cover  $\tilde{\Psi}: \tilde{\Delta} \rightarrow \Delta$  of degree  $d$ , with  $d/\kappa_i$  ramification points of index  $\kappa_i - 1$  over the point  $u_i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq r$ ) and nonramified over  $\Delta' - u_0$ , is defined. The lifting of invariants  $\tilde{\Psi}^*(J, G)$  defines an elliptic surface  $\tilde{B}$  over  $\tilde{\Delta}$  with fibers of type  $I_b$  ( $b \geq 1$ ) only. Let

$$\tilde{B}^\omega = \underbrace{\tilde{B} \times_{\tilde{\Delta}} \dots \times_{\tilde{\Delta}} \tilde{B}}_\omega$$

The abelian cover  $\tilde{\Psi}$  defines a cover  $\tilde{\Psi}^w: \tilde{B}^w \rightarrow \bar{B}^w$  with the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{B}^w & \rightarrow & \bar{B}^w \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \tilde{\Delta} & \rightarrow & \Delta \end{array}$$

Therefore both  $\bar{B}^w \simeq \tilde{C} \setminus \tilde{B}^w$  and  $\tilde{B}^w$  ( $\tilde{C}$  being the finite abelian group of the cover  $\tilde{\Psi}^w$ ) are projective algebraic varieties.  $\square$

**§3. Kuga’s variety**

In this section a nonsingular projective variety  $B^w$  is defined by resolving singularities of the variety  $\bar{B}^w$  constructed in §2.  $B^w$  is called *Kuga’s variety associated with an elliptic surface B*. The canonical projection  $\Phi^w: B^w \rightarrow \Delta$  is the composition  $\bar{\Phi}^w \circ \Psi^w$ , where  $\Psi^w$  is a resolution of singularities  $s(\bar{B}^w)$  of the variety  $\bar{B}^w$ . By  $s(\mathcal{O}\mathcal{L})$  we will denote the singular locus of an algebraic variety or analytic space  $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{L}$ .

3.1. (i) Let  $\mu$  and  $w$  be natural numbers,  $\mu > 1$ . There is an action

$$e_\mu : (\sigma, \zeta) \mapsto (e_\mu \sigma, e_\mu^{-1} \zeta)$$

of the cyclic group  $C$  of order  $\mu$  on the analytic variety  $\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{C}^w$ , where  $e_\mu = e^{2\pi i/\mu}$  is a generator of  $C$ . Let

$$\mathcal{N}_\mu^w = C \setminus \mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{C}^w.$$

The analytic space  $\mathcal{N}_\mu^w$  has one singular point, the orbit of  $(0, 0)$ . Denote by  $N_\mu^w$  an analytic space which is a connected open set containing the point  $s(\mathcal{N}_\mu^w)$  of  $\mathcal{N}_\mu^w$ .

(ii) Just as in (i), the action

$$e_\mu : (\sigma, \varphi) \mapsto (e_\mu \sigma, e_\mu^{-1} \varphi)$$

of the group  $C$  on  $\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{C}^w$  defines an analytic space  $N_{-\mu}^w$  with one singularity, the orbit of  $(0, 0)$ .

(iii) Let  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  be integers,  $w_1 > 1$  and  $w_2 \geq 0$ . Denote by  $X_1, Y_1, \dots, X_{w_1}, Y_{w_1}$  and  $Z_1, \dots, Z_{w_2}$  coordinates on the variety  $\mathbf{C}^{2w_1} \times \mathbf{C}^{w_2}$ . Then the system of equations  $X_1 \cdot Y_1 = \dots = X_{w_1} \cdot Y_{w_1}$  defines an analytic space  $\mathcal{N}^{w_1, w_2}$  in  $\mathbf{C}^{2w_1} \times \mathbf{C}^{w_2}$ . A connected neighborhood of the point  $(0, 0)$  in  $\mathcal{N}^{w_1, w_2}$  will be denoted by  $N^{w_1, w_2}$ .

The analytic spaces  $\mathcal{N}_{\pm\mu}^w, N_{\pm\mu}^w$  and  $\mathcal{N}^{w_1, w_2}, N^{w_1, w_2}$  are provided with projections  $\Phi_{\pm\mu}^w$  and  $\Phi^{w_1, w_2}$  on a neighborhood  $U \subset \mathbf{C}$  of the origin, induced by maps  $\sigma^\kappa, \mu/\kappa$  and  $(X_1 Y_1)^\kappa$  respectively. Let  $M$  be an analytic space with a projection  $\Phi: M \rightarrow \Delta$ . We will say that a point  $q \in M$  is of type  $(\pm\mu, w)_f$  or  $(w_1, w_2)$  if the following commutative diagram is defined:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N \subset M & & \\ \tilde{\Phi} \downarrow & & \downarrow \Phi \\ U \subset \Delta & & \end{array}$$

where horizontal arrows are isomorphic imbeddings and  $q$  is contained in the image of the upper arrow, while  $N = N_{\pm\mu}^w, N = N^{w_1, w_2}$  and  $\tilde{\Phi} = \Phi_{\pm\mu}^w, \tilde{\Phi} = \Phi^{w_1, w_2}$  respectively. It is easy to show that the type of a point is uniquely defined except the case  $(-2, w)_f = (2, w)_f$ . Letting  $\mu = 1$  in (i) or (ii) and  $w_1 = 1$  or  $0$  in (iii), we introduce nonsingular types

of points  $(\pm 1, w)_f, (1, w_2)_i$  and  $(0, w_2)_i$ .<sup>(1)</sup> The type  $(0, w_2)_i = (\pm 1, w)_f$  describes nonsingular points of  $M$  in which the projection  $\Phi$  is regular at  $\kappa = 1$ .

**3.2. PROPOSITION.** *Table 3 expresses the dependence of the type of a point of a fiber  $\bar{B}_v^w$  on the type of point  $v \in \Delta$ .*

TABLE 3

Type of a point $v \in \Delta$	I, II, III, IV	I <sub>0</sub> <sup>*</sup> , II <sup>*</sup> , III <sup>*</sup> , IV <sup>*</sup>	I <sub>b</sub> , $b \geq 1$	I <sub>b</sub> <sup>*</sup> , $b \geq 1$
Type of a point $q \in \bar{B}_v^w$	$(\mu, w)_f,$ $\mu   \kappa$	$(-\mu, w)_f,$ $\mu   \kappa$	$(w_1, w_2)_i$ . $w_1 + w_2 = w$	$(w_1, w_2)_i, w_1 + w_2 = w;$ $(\pm \mu, w)_f, \mu   \kappa (\kappa = 2).$

Denote by  $s_i(\bar{B}^w)$  the reduced variety of singular points of type  $(w_1, w_2)_i$ . Let  $\Psi_i^w: \bar{B}_i^w \rightarrow \bar{B}^w$  be the monoidal transformation centered at  $s_i(\bar{B}^w)$ . We will say that a point  $q \in M$  is of *normal type* if a neighborhood of this point is represented by a neighborhood of the origin in  $C^w$  such that the projection  $\Phi: M \rightarrow \Delta$  is given by the function  $Y_1^{n_1} \cdots Y_w^{n_w}$ , where the  $n_i$  are positive integers and the  $Y_i$  are coordinates on  $C^w$ . If each point of a fiber  $M_v$  is normal, we will say that the fiber is of *normal type*.

**3.3. THEOREM.**  $\Psi_i^w$  resolves singularities of type  $(w_1, w_2)_i$ .  $\bar{B}_i^w$  is a projective variety with fibers of normal type over points of type I<sub>b</sub> ( $b \geq 0$ ). Points of the remaining fibers are of normal or of finite type  $(\pm \mu, w)_f$ . (The canonical projection  $\bar{\Phi}_i^w = \bar{\Phi}^w \circ \Psi_i^w$ .)

Let  $D_v$  be the reduced fiber of  $\bar{B}_i^w$  over a point  $v \in \Delta$ . By the monoidal transformation over the point  $v$  we will mean the monoidal transformation of  $\bar{B}_i^w$  centered at  $(\kappa - 1)D_v$  if  $v$  is of type I<sub>b</sub> ( $b \geq 0$ ), II, III or IV, or the simultaneous monoidal transformation of  $\bar{B}_i^w$  centered at  $D_v, \dots, (\kappa - 1)D_v$  if  $v$  is of type I<sub>b</sub><sup>\*</sup> ( $b \geq 0$ ), II<sup>\*</sup>, III<sup>\*</sup> or IV<sup>\*</sup>. Denote by  $\Psi_f^w$  the composition of monoidal transformations over all points  $v \in \Delta$ . The last transformation does not touch fibers of type I<sub>b</sub> ( $b \geq 0$ ). Let  $\Psi^w = \Psi_i^w \circ \Psi_f^w$  and denote by  $B^w$  the image of this transformation.  $B^w$  has a canonical projection  $\Phi^w = \bar{\Phi}^w \circ \Psi^w$ .

**3.4. THEOREM.**  $B^w$  is a nonsingular projective variety with each fiber of normal type.

Theorem 3.3 permits us to reduce the investigation of the transformation  $\Psi^w$  to the local case; that is, to consider it as being defined on an analytic space  $N_{\pm \mu}^w$ .

Let  $((X, Y_1, \dots, Y_w), (u_1: v_1: \dots: v_w))$  be coordinates in the space  $C^{w+1} \times P^w$ . Then the regular map

$$\mathcal{N}_\mu^w \rightarrow s(\mathcal{N}_\mu^w) \rightarrow C^{w+1} \times P^w, \tag{3.1}$$

$$\text{the orbit of } (\sigma, \zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_w) \mapsto ((\sigma^\mu, \zeta_1^\mu, \dots, \zeta_w^\mu), (\sigma: \zeta_1: \dots: \zeta_w))$$

is defined. Let  $\mathcal{U}$  denote the closure of the image of the map (3.1).

**3.5. LEMMA.** a) (3.1) is a biregular imbedding.

b)  $\mathcal{U}$  is a nonsingular variety.

**PROOF.** a) The injectivity of (3.1) is obvious, and the regularity of the inverse map follows from the fact that any branch of the function  $\sqrt[z]{z}$ ,  $z \in C$ , is regular on a small neighborhood of a nonzero point.

<sup>(1)</sup>This is  $C^{w_2+1}$  with projection  $Z_1^*$ ,  $Z_1$  being the first coordinate.

b) The charts  $W_0 = \{u_1 \neq 0\}$ ;  $W_j = \{v_j \neq 0\}$  ( $1 \leq j \leq w$ ) cover  $\mathcal{U}$ .

In these charts  $\mathcal{U}$  is given in coordinates  $X, v_j/u_1$ ;  $Y_j, u_1/v_j, v_l/v_j$  ( $1 \leq l \leq w, l \neq j$ ) by the equations

$$W_0 \cap \mathcal{N} : Y_j = X \cdot \left(\frac{v_j}{u_1}\right)^\mu, \tag{3.2}$$

$$W_j \cap \mathcal{N} : \begin{cases} X = Y_j \left(\frac{u_1}{v_j}\right)^\mu \\ Y_l = Y_j \left(\frac{v_l}{v_j}\right)^\mu. \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

Hence  $\mathcal{U}$  is nonsingular.  $\square$

By this lemma a birational map

$$\mathcal{N} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{N}_\mu^w, \tag{3.4}$$

is defined and is inverse to (3.1). Let  $D$  be the fiber over the origin for some projection  $\Phi_\mu^w$ .

**3.6. LEMMA.** *The map (3.4) is extended to a regular one  $\mu|\kappa$  which is the monoidal transformation centered at  $(\kappa - 1)D$ .*

Consider the following map into the space  $\mathbf{C}^{w+1} \times (\mathbf{P}^w)^{\mu-1}$  with coordinates  $((X, Y_1, \dots, Y_w), (u_1 : v_{1,1} : \dots : v_{1,w}), \dots, (u_{\mu-1} : v_{\mu-1,1} : \dots : v_{\mu-1,w}))$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_{-\mu}^w - s(\mathcal{N}_{-\mu}^w) &\rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{w+1} \times (\mathbf{P}^w)^{\mu-1}, \\ \text{the orbit of } (\sigma, \zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_w) &\mapsto ((\sigma^\mu, \zeta_1^\mu, \dots, \zeta_w^\mu), (\sigma : \zeta_1^{\mu-1} : \dots : \zeta_w^{\mu-1}), \\ &\dots, (\sigma^{\mu-1} : \zeta_1 : \dots : \zeta_w)). \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Denote by  $\mathcal{U}$  the closure of the image of the map (3.5) (this will not lead to confusion with the preceding or the following, since we will always indicate the map to which  $\mathcal{U}$  corresponds).

**3.7. LEMMA.** a) (3.5) is a biregular imbedding.

b)  $\mathcal{U}$  is a nonsingular variety.

**PROOF.** It is evident that the open sets  $\{v_{ij} \neq 0\}$  ( $1 \leq j \leq w$ ),  $\{u_{i-1}v_{i,j} \neq 0\}$  ( $2 \leq i \leq \mu - 1$ ) and  $\{u_{\mu-1} \neq 0\}$  cover  $\mathcal{U}$ . It follows from the relations ( $i \leq n \leq \mu - 1$ )

$$u_n^i = v_{n,j}^i \cdot \left(\frac{u_i}{v_{i,j}}\right)^n \cdot Y_j^{n-i}, \quad v_{n,l}^{\mu-i} = v_{n,j}^{\mu-i} \cdot \left(\frac{v_{i,l}}{v_{i,j}}\right)^{\mu-n}$$

which hold for points of the set  $\mathcal{U} \cap \{v_{i,j} \neq 0\}$ , where  $1 \leq l \leq w, l \neq j$ , that there exists an inclusion

$$\mathcal{N} \cap \{v_{i,j} \neq 0\} \subset \bigcap_{i \leq n \leq \mu-1} \{v_{n,j} \neq 0\}. \tag{3.6}$$

By analogy the relation ( $1 \leq k \leq i - 1$ )

$$v_{k,j}^i = u_k^{i-1} \cdot \left(\frac{v_{i-1,j}}{u_{i-1}}\right)^k \cdot Y_j^{i-k-1}$$

for points of  $\mathcal{U} \cap \{u_{i-1} \neq 0\}$  implies the inclusion

$$\mathcal{N} \cap \{u_{i-1} \neq 0\} \subset \bigcap_{1 \leq k \leq i-1} \{u_k \neq 0\}. \tag{3.7}$$

Let us introduce the following charts on the variety  $\mathbf{C}^{w+1} \times (\mathbf{P}^w)^{\mu-1}$ :

$$W_j^i = \left( \bigcap_{1 \leq k \leq i-1} \{u_k \neq 0\} \right) \cap \left( \bigcap_{i \leq n \leq \mu-1} \{v_{n,j} \neq 0\} \right).$$

Since  $W_j^\mu = W_j^\mu$ , for brevity we denote this chart by  $W^\mu$ . The inclusions (3.6) and (3.7) imply that  $\mathcal{U}$  is covered by charts  $W_j^i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq \mu - 1$ ) and  $W^\mu$ . In each chart we distinguish some subsystem of coordinates and relations which express other coordinates of points of  $\mathcal{U}$  via the distinguished ones:

$$W_j^1: Y_j, u_1/v_{1,j}, v_{\mu-1,l}/v_{\mu-1,j}, X = \left(\frac{u_1}{v_{1,j}}\right)^\mu \cdot Y_j^{\mu-1}, Y_l = \left(\frac{v_{\mu-1,l}}{v_{\mu-1,j}}\right)^\mu \cdot Y_j, \tag{3.8}$$

$$\frac{u_n}{v_{n,j}} = \left(\frac{u_1}{v_{1,j}}\right)^n \cdot Y_j^{n-1}, \quad \frac{v_{n,l}}{v_{n,j}} = \left(\frac{v_{\mu-1,l}}{v_{\mu-1,j}}\right)^{\mu-n};$$

$$W_j^i \ (2 \leq i \leq \mu - 1): v_{i-1,j}/u_{i-1}, u_i/v_{i,j}, v_{\mu-1,l}/v_{\mu-1,j},$$

$$X = \left(\frac{v_{i-1,j}}{u_{i-1}}\right)^{\mu-i} \cdot \left(\frac{u_i}{v_{i,j}}\right)^{\mu-i+1}, \quad Y_j = \left(\frac{v_{i-1,j}}{u_{i-1}}\right)^i \cdot \left(\frac{u_i}{v_{i,j}}\right)^{i-1},$$

$$Y_l = \left(\frac{v_{\mu-1,l}}{v_{\mu-1,j}}\right)^\mu \cdot \left(\frac{v_{i-1,j}}{u_{i-1}}\right)^i \cdot \left(\frac{u_i}{v_{i,j}}\right)^{i-1}, \tag{3.9}$$

$$\frac{v_{k,j}}{u_k} = \left(\frac{v_{i-1,j}}{u_{i-1}}\right)^{i-k} \cdot \left(\frac{u_i}{v_{i,j}}\right)^{i-k-1}, \quad \frac{v_{k,l}}{u_k} = \left(\frac{v_{\mu-1,l}}{v_{\mu-1,j}}\right)^{\mu-k} \cdot \left(\frac{v_{i-1,j}}{u_{i-1}}\right)^{i-k} \cdot \left(\frac{u_i}{v_{i,j}}\right)^{i-k-1},$$

$$\frac{u_n}{v_{n,j}} = \left(\frac{v_{i-1,j}}{u_{i-1}}\right)^{n-i} \cdot \left(\frac{u_i}{v_{i,j}}\right)^{n-i+1}, \quad \frac{v_{n,l}}{v_{n,j}} = \left(\frac{v_{\mu-1,l}}{v_{\mu-1,j}}\right)^{\mu-n}; \tag{3.10}$$

$$W^\mu: X, v_{\mu-1,j}/u_{\mu-1}, Y_j = X^{\mu-1} \cdot \left(\frac{v_{\mu-1,j}}{u_{\mu-1}}\right)^\mu, \quad \frac{v_{k,j}}{u_k} = X^{\mu-k-1} \cdot \left(\frac{v_{\mu-1,j}}{u_{\mu-1}}\right)^{\mu-k}.$$

Hence  $\mathcal{U}$  is nonsingular. The injectivity of (3.5) is obvious, and the regularity of the inverse map follows from the fact that the image of the map (3.5) lies in charts  $W_j^1$  and  $W^\mu$  with distinguished coordinates (3.8), (3.10) and the branch of the functions  $\sqrt[\mu]{Y_j}$  and  $\sqrt[\mu]{X}$  is regular on the corresponding chart.  $\square$

By virtue of this lemma a birational map inverse to (3.5)

$$\mathcal{N} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{-\mu}^{sw} \tag{3.11}$$

is defined. Let  $D$  be a fiber for some projection  $\Phi_{-\mu}^w$ .

**3.8. LEMMA.** *The map (3.11) can be extended to a regular one  $\mu|\kappa$  which is the simultaneous monoidal transformation with centers at  $D, \dots, (\kappa - 1)D$ .*

**PROOF OF LEMMAS 3.6 AND 3.8.** a) Let us prove first of all that the maps (3.4) and (3.11) can be extended to regular ones. The local ring of the singular point of the spaces  $\mathcal{U}_\mu^w$  and  $\mathcal{U}_{-\mu}^w$  is generated respectively by the functions

$$\sigma^{i_0} \cdot \zeta_1^{i_1} \dots \zeta_w^{i_w},$$

where  $i_0, \dots, i_w$  are positive integers with  $i_0 + \dots + i_w = \mu$ , and by

$$\sigma^\mu, \sigma_{\zeta_1}^{i_1}, \dots, \sigma_{\zeta_w}^{i_w}, \zeta_1^{i_1} \dots \zeta_w^{i_w},$$

where  $i_1, \dots, i_w$  are positive integers with  $i_1 + \dots + i_w = \mu$ . Therefore it suffices to check the regularity of these functions on  $\mathcal{U}$ . The expression of the functions in charts covering  $\mathcal{U}$  produced below proves their regularity:

$$\begin{aligned} W_0 \cap \mathcal{N} : \sigma^{i_0} \cdot \zeta_1^{i_1} \dots \zeta_w^{i_w} &= X \cdot \left(\frac{v_1}{u_1}\right)^{i_1} \dots \left(\frac{v_w}{u_1}\right)^{i_w}; \\ W_j \cap \mathcal{N} : \sigma^{i_0} \cdot \zeta_1^{i_1} \dots \zeta_w^{i_w} &= \left(\frac{u_1}{v_j}\right)^{i_0} \dots \left(\frac{v_{j-1}}{v_j}\right)^{i_{j-1}} \cdot Y_j \left(\frac{v_{j+1}}{v_j}\right)^{i_{j+1}} \dots \left(\frac{v_w}{v_j}\right)^{i_w}; \\ W_j^t \cap \mathcal{N} : \sigma^\mu = X, \sigma_{\zeta_l} = \sigma_{\zeta_j} \cdot \left(\frac{v_{\mu-1,l}}{v_{\mu-1,j}}\right), \zeta_1^{i_1} \dots \zeta_w^{i_w} &= \prod_{l \neq j, l=1}^w \left(\frac{v_{\mu-1,l}}{v_{\mu-1,j}}\right)^{i_l} \cdot Y_j; \\ W_j^1 \cap \mathcal{N} : \sigma_{\zeta_j} &= Y_j \cdot \left(\frac{u_1}{v_{1,j}}\right); \\ W_j^i \cap \mathcal{N} (i > 1) : \sigma_{\zeta_j} &= \left(\frac{v_{i-1,j}}{u_{i-1}}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{u_i}{v_{i,j}}\right); \quad \sigma^\mu = X; \quad \sigma_{\zeta_j} = X \cdot \left(\frac{v_{\mu-1,j}}{u_{\mu-1}}\right); \\ W^\mu \cap \mathcal{N} : \zeta_1^{i_1} \dots \zeta_w^{i_w} &= X^{\mu-1} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^w \left(\frac{v_{\mu-1,j}}{u_{\mu-1}}\right)^{i_j}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\mathcal{U}' \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{\pm\mu}^w$  be the monoidal transformation corresponding to Lemmas 3.6 and 3.8. Then  $\mathcal{U}'$  is the closure of the image of  $\mathcal{U}_{\pm\mu}^w - D$  for the following maps relative to  $(+, -)$ :

$$\text{the orbit of } (\sigma, \zeta) \mapsto (\text{the orbit of } (\sigma, \zeta), (\sigma^\kappa : \sigma^{\kappa-1} \zeta_1 : \dots : \sigma^{\kappa-1} \zeta_w));$$

$$\text{the orbit of } (\sigma, \zeta) \mapsto (\text{the orbit of } (\sigma, \zeta), (\sigma^{f(\mu+1)} : \dots : \sigma^{f\mu+\mu-1} \cdot \zeta_1^{k_1} \dots \zeta_w^{k_w} : \dots));$$

where  $k_1 + \dots + k_w = \mu - i, 1 \leq i \leq \mu - 1, 0 \leq f < \kappa/\mu$ . From the relation

$$(\zeta_1^{k_1} \dots \zeta_w^{k_w})^{\mu-i} = (\zeta_1^{\mu-i \cdot k_1} \dots \zeta_w^{\mu-i \cdot k_w})$$

follows the existence of a regular map  $\mathcal{U}' \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$  for the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{N}' & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{N} \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & \mathcal{N}_{\pm\mu}^w & \end{array} \tag{3.12}$$

b) All fibers of  $\mathcal{U}$  for the projection  $\sigma^\kappa = X^{\kappa/\mu}$  are of normal type, as follows obviously from inspection of the charts (3.2), (3.3) and (3.8)–(3.10). Therefore the functorial properties of monoidal transformations yield the existence of the arrow inverse to the horizontal one in diagram (3.12).  $\square$

PROOF OF THEOREM 3.4. Theorem 3.4 is a direct corollary of Theorem 3.3, Lemmas 3.5–3.8 and the normality of fibers of  $\mathcal{U}$  at the canonical map  $X^{\kappa/\mu}$  (see item b) of the proof of Lemmas 3.6 and 3.8).  $\square$

Let  $(u_i^{i,j} : v_i^{i,j} : u_j^{i,j} : v_j^{i,j})$  be coordinates in the space  $(\mathbf{P}^3)^{w_1(w_1-1)/2}$  (the upper indices  $i, j$  are symmetric). Then we can define the regular map

$$\mathcal{N}^{w_1, w_2} \xrightarrow{s} \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}^{w_1, w_2}) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^{w_1, w_2} \times (\mathbf{P}^3)^{\frac{w_1(w_1-1)}{2}}, \quad (3.13)$$

$$(X_1, Y_1, \dots, X_{w_1}, Y_{w_1}, Z_1, \dots) \mapsto ((X_1, Y_1, \dots, Z_1, \dots), \dots, (X_i:Y_i:X_j:Y_j)),$$

where  $1 \leq i < j \leq w_1$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}$  denote the closure of the image of (3.13).

**3.9. LEMMA.** a) (3.13), being obviously a biregular imbedding, defines a regular extension of the inverse birational map

$$\mathcal{N} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{N}^{w_1, w_2} \quad (3.14)$$

The map (3.14) is the monoidal transformation centered at  $s(N^{w_1, w_2})$ .

b)  $\mathcal{U}$  is a nonsingular variety.

c) All fibers of  $\mathcal{U}$  for the canonical projection  $(X_1 Y_1)^{\times}$  are of normal type.

**PROOF OF THEOREM 3.3.** Theorem 3.3 is a direct corollary of Proposition 3.2 and Lemma 3.9.  $\square$

In the proof of Lemma 3.9 we may obviously assume that  $w_1 = w$  and  $w_2 = 0$ . Let  $x_0 \in \mathcal{U}$ . Define the oriented graph  $\Gamma(x_0)$  whose vertices are integers  $1, 2, \dots, w$  such that vertices  $i$  and  $j$  are joined by an edge  $\vec{ij}$  if  $u_i^{ij} \neq 0$  or  $v_j^{ij} \neq 0$ . An ordered collection of points  $i_1, \dots, i_l \in \Gamma(x_0)$  is called *linearly ordered at the point  $x_0$*  if  $\vec{i_k i_{k+1}} \in \Gamma(x_0)$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, l-1$ .

**3.10. LEMMA.** a) For a point  $x_0 \in \mathcal{U}$ ,  $\vec{ij} \in \Gamma(x_0)$  and  $\vec{jk} \in \Gamma(x_0)$  imply  $\vec{ik} \in \Gamma(x_0)$ . More exactly, if  $u_i^{ij} \neq 0$  and  $u_k^{jk} \neq 0$ , then  $u_k^{ik} \neq 0$ .

b) For any point  $x_0 \in \mathcal{U}$  a linearly ordered collection including all vertices of the graph  $\Gamma(x_0)$  is defined.

**PROOF OF LEMMA 3.9.** b) In view of Lemma 3.10, for any point  $x_0 \in \mathcal{U}$  a linearly ordered collection consisting of all points  $1, 2, \dots, w$  is defined. By symmetry of the pairs  $X_i, Y_i$  on  $\mathcal{U}$  we may assume that the given collection is  $1, 2, \dots, w$ . By virtue of symmetry within the pair  $X_i, Y_i$  we will assume that at a point  $x_0 \in \mathcal{U}$ , and hence in its neighborhood, we have  $u_l^{k,l} \neq 0$ , where  $1 \leq k < l \leq w$  ( $u_{k+1}^{k,k+1} \neq 0$  by the definition of linear order at the point  $x_0$ , and the remaining inequalities hold by Lemma 3.10 a)). Then local coordinates  $X_w, u_{l-1}^{l-1,l}/u_l^{l-1,l}$  ( $2 \leq l \leq w$ ),  $v_1^{1,2}/u_2^{1,2}$  are defined in the point  $x_0$  of  $\mathcal{U}$ . In fact,  $\mathcal{U}$  can be given in the chart  $\cap \{u_l^{k,l} \neq 0\} \subset N^{w_1, w_2} \times (\mathbf{P}^3)^{w_1(w_1-1)/2}$  by the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} X_{l-1} &= X_l \cdot \left( \frac{u_{l-1}^{l-1,l}}{u_l^{l-1,l}} \right), & Y_1 &= X_2 \cdot \left( \frac{v_1^{1,2}}{u_2^{1,2}} \right), & Y_l &= Y_{l-1} \cdot \left( \frac{u_{l-1}^{l-1,l}}{u_l^{l-1,l}} \right), \\ \frac{v_l^{k,l}}{u_l^{k,l}} &= \left( \frac{v_k^{k,l}}{u_l^{k,l}} \right) \cdot \left( \frac{u_k^{k,l}}{u_l^{k,l}} \right), & \frac{v_k^{k,l}}{u_l^{k,l}} &= \left( \frac{v_k^{k,l-1}}{u_{l-1}^{k,l-1}} \right) \cdot \left( \frac{u_{l-1}^{l-1,l}}{u_l^{l-1,l}} \right), \\ \frac{v_k^{k,k+1}}{u_{k+1}^{k,k+1}} &= \left( \frac{v_{k-1}^{k-1,k}}{u_k^{k-1,k}} \right) \cdot \left( \frac{u_{k-1}^{k-1,k}}{u_k^{k-1,k}} \right) \cdot \left( \frac{u_k^{k,k+1}}{u_{k+1}^{k,k+1}} \right), & \frac{u_k^{k,l}}{u_l^{k,l}} &= \left( \frac{u_k^{k,l-1}}{u_{l-1}^{k,l-1}} \right) \cdot \left( \frac{u_{l-1}^{l-1,l}}{u_l^{l-1,l}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

c) Since the canonical projection is of the form

$$(X_1 \cdot Y_1)^{\times} = \left[ \left( \frac{u_1^{1,2}}{u_2^{1,2}} \right) \cdot \left( \frac{v_1^{1,2}}{u_2^{1,2}} \right) \times \prod_{l=3}^w \left( \frac{u_{l-1}^{l-1,l}}{u_l^{l-1,l}} \right)^2 \times X_w^2 \right]^{\times}, \quad (3.16)$$

in a neighborhood of the point  $x_0$ , we have that all fibers are of normal type.

a) Now note that by the monoidal transformation centered at  $s(\mathcal{U}^{w_1, w_2})$  we mean the simultaneous monoidal transformation centered at components of  $s(\mathcal{U}^{w_1, w_2})$ . Then a) obviously follows from the explicit equations of the components

$$X_i = Y_i = X_j = Y_j,$$

where  $1 \leq i < j \leq w_1$ .  $\square$

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 3.2. a) Consider a point  $v \in \Delta'$ . By the definition of  $\Delta'$  this point is of type  $I_0$ . From §2.1 it directly follows that the fiber  $B_v^w = \overline{B}_v^w$  is of nonsingular type; that is,  $(0, w)_i = (\pm 1, w)_f$ .

b) Suppose now that the point  $v = u_i \in \Sigma$  is one of the types  $I_0, I_0^*, II, II^*, III, III^*, IV$  or  $IV^*$ . Let  $p \in F^w$ , and let  $C^{\kappa/\mu}$  be the stationary subgroup of this point (see §2.2 (i)). In a neighborhood of  $p$  let us introduce coordinates (depending on the type of the point  $v$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} I_0, I_0^* : \zeta &\rightsquigarrow \zeta - \rho, \\ II, II^*, III, III^* : \zeta &\rightsquigarrow (1 - \sigma^{2h})(\zeta - \rho), \\ IV, IV^* : \zeta &\rightsquigarrow (1 - \sigma^h)(\zeta - \rho). \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

The action of the group  $C^{\kappa/\mu}$  takes the following form in the coordinates (3.17):

$$e_{\mu}^{\kappa/\mu} = e_{\mu} : (\sigma, \zeta) \mapsto (e_{\mu}\sigma, e_{\mu}^{\pm} \zeta), \tag{3.18}$$

where  $+$  corresponds to types  $I_0, II, III, IV$ , and  $-$  corresponds to types  $I_0^*, II^*, III^*, IV^*$ . Therefore the image of  $p$  in the quotient space  $C \setminus F^w = \overline{B}^w|_E$  is of the form  $(\pm \mu, w)_f$ . The proof of (3.18) is a direct calculation using the definition of the action (2.3) and Table 2.

c) If  $v = u_i \in \Sigma$  is of type  $I_b$  ( $b \geq 1$ ), then all points except a finite number (the points  $q_0, \dots, q_{b-1}$  of the intersection of components of the fiber, or of the selfintersection if  $b = 1$ ) are of nonsingular type  $(0, 1)_i$ . The points  $q_i$  are of type  $(1, 0)_i$ . Hence the type of each point of the fiber

$$\overline{B}_v^w = B_v \times \underbrace{\dots \times B_v}_w$$

is a fiber product of types  $(1, 0)_i$  and  $(0, 1)_i$  with projections  $X_1 Y_1$  and  $Z_1$  respectively; that is, of type  $(w_1, w_2)_i$ , and  $w_1 + w_2 = w$ . Note that points of  $(B_v - \{q_i\})^w \subset \overline{B}_v^w$  are of nonsingular type  $(0, w)_i$ .

d) Now we analyze the case when  $v = u_i \in \Sigma$  is of type  $I_b^*$  ( $b \geq 1$ ).  $F$  is an elliptic family over  $D = \{\sigma \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\sigma|^2 < \varepsilon\}$  with one singular fiber  $F_0$  of type  $I_{2b}$ . The involution  $t$  has four fixed points on  $F$  such that at a suitable choice of coordinates  $(\zeta_{\lambda, v})$ ; see Chapter 8, v(2), of [3]) the action of  $t$  has the following form in a neighborhood of these points:

$$t : (\sigma, \zeta_{\lambda, v}) \mapsto (-\sigma, -\zeta_{\lambda, v}).$$

Using the definition of  $\overline{B}^w|_E$  from §2.2 (iii), the case considered above (see b)) and the fact that  $\kappa = 2$ , we obtain the last column of Table 3.  $\square$

PROOF OF LEMMA 3.10. b) For any two points  $i, j$  of the graph  $\Gamma(x_0)$  one of the edges  $\vec{ij}$  or  $\vec{ji}$  is defined. Item b) of the lemma is a direct corollary of this property and of item a).

Item a) will be proved in its second formulation. We have

$$u_i^{i,k} = u_k^{i,k} \cdot \left( \frac{u_j^{j,k}}{u_k^{j,k}} \right) \cdot \left( \frac{u_i^{i,j}}{u_j^{i,j}} \right); \quad v_k^{i,k} = u_k^{i,k} \cdot \left( \frac{v_i^{j,k}}{u_k^{j,k}} \right); \quad v_i^{i,k} = u_k^{i,k} \cdot \left( \frac{v_i^{i,j}}{u_j^{i,j}} \right) \cdot \left( \frac{u_j^{j,k}}{u_k^{j,k}} \right)$$

for the point  $x_0 \in \mathcal{U}$ . Therefore  $u_k^{i,k} \neq 0$ .  $\square$

**3.11. REMARKS.** a) It is easy to verify that the  $B^1$  defined in §1 coincides with the one defined in this section.

b) It is easy to verify also, using local coordinates, that  $\Phi^w$  has a section  $o^w: \Delta \rightarrow B^w$ , which is induced by the map  $\tilde{u} \mapsto (\tilde{u}, 0)$  (see §2.1).

**§4. Regular differential forms of highest degree on  $B^w$**

**4.1. DEFINITION.** A differential form of degree  $i$  which is analytical in all nonsingular points of an analytic space  $M$  will be called in this paper a *regular differential form* of degree  $i$ . Denote by  $H^0r(M, \Omega^i)$  the space of regular differential forms of degree  $i$ . It is evident that for analytic varieties one has a canonical isomorphism  $H^0(M, \Omega^i) \cong H^0r(M, \Omega^i)$ .

Let  $\Psi: M \rightarrow \bar{M}$  be a resolution of singularities of an analytic space  $\bar{M}$ . Then a canonical monomorphism

$$\Psi_*: H^0(M, \Omega^n) \rightarrow H^0r(\bar{M}, \Omega^n)$$

is defined. In the sequel we will assume that  $M$  and  $\bar{M}$  are compact. Let  $\dim M = \dim \bar{M} = n$ . We will say that  $\bar{M}$  has only *rational singularities* if  $\Psi_*$  is an isomorphism.

The next result shows that the definition of rationality is independent of the choice of the resolution  $\Psi$  (if such exists).

**4.2. PROPOSITION.**  $\bar{M}$  has only rational singularities if and only if

- a)  $\bar{M}$  has a compact resolution, and
- b)  $\int_{\bar{M}} \omega \wedge \bar{\omega} < \infty$  for any form  $\omega \in H^0r(\bar{M}, \Omega^n)$  (the integral is improper).

This proposition can be easily deduced from the proof of Theorem 3.1 of [2].  $\square$

The last proposition will be used by us for  $\bar{M} = \bar{B}^w$ .

**4.3. LEMMA.** If  $\omega \in H^0r(\bar{B}^w, \Omega^{w+1})$ , then  $\int_{\bar{B}^w} \omega \wedge \bar{\omega} < \infty$ .

**4.4. COROLLARY.**  $\bar{B}^w$  has only rational singularities; that is, there is a canonical isomorphism

$$H^0(B^w, \Omega^{w+1}) \xleftrightarrow[\Psi^w_*]{\Psi^w_*} H^0r(\bar{B}^w, \Omega^{w+1}).$$

**PROOF.** In view of Proposition 4.2 it suffices to verify a) and b). Assertion b) is Lemma 4.3, proved below; a) is a classical result of Hironaka on the resolution of singularities, which was proved in our situation in §3.  $\square$

**4.5.** Let us consider an analytic surface  $W'$  with an analytic function  $z: W' \rightarrow H$  on which the group  $\mathcal{G}$  acts properly, discretely and without fixed points. We will assume additionally that a representation  $S: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \text{SL}(2, \mathbf{Z})$  is given and  $z$  satisfies the functional equation (2.1); that is, for any  $\beta \in \mathcal{G}$

$$\beta^*z(\tilde{u}) = \frac{az(\tilde{u}) + b}{cz(\tilde{u}) + d} = S_\beta z(\tilde{u}),$$

where  $S_\beta = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbf{Z})$  and  $\tilde{u} \in W'$ . Then by analogy with §2.1 for any natural  $w$  the action (2.2) of the group  $\mathcal{G}^w = \mathcal{G} \times \mathbf{Z}^w \times \mathbf{Z}^w$  on  $W' \times \mathbf{C}^w$  is defined. There are analytic functions  $z$  and  $\zeta_i$ , where  $\zeta_i: W' \times \mathbf{C}^w \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  is the projection on the  $i$ th factor of  $\mathbf{C}^w$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq w$ , defined on  $W' \times \mathbf{C}^w$  in a natural way.  $\zeta_i$  defines the real analytic functions

$$\xi_{1,i}, \xi_{2,i}: W' \times \mathbf{C}^w \rightarrow \mathbf{R}, \quad \zeta_i = \xi_{1,i} + z\xi_{2,i}.$$

**4.6. LEMMA.** *Under the assumptions of §4.5,*

$$a) \quad dz \wedge \bigwedge_{j=1}^w d\zeta_j = dz \wedge \bigwedge_{j=1}^w (d\xi_{1,j} + zd\xi_{2,j}).$$

*For any  $(\beta, n, m) \in \mathcal{G}^w$  the following relations hold:*

- b)  $(\beta, n, m)^*(z - \bar{z}) = f_\beta \cdot \bar{f}_\beta (z - \bar{z}),$
- c)  $(\beta, n, m)^* dz = f_\beta^2 dz,$
- d)  $(\beta, n, m)^*(d\xi_{1,j} + zd\xi_{2,j}) = f_\beta (d\xi_{1,j} + zd\xi_{2,j}).$

Since  $\mathcal{G}^w$  acts properly, discretely and without fixed points on  $W' \times \mathbf{C}^w$ , the analytic variety  $\mathcal{G}^w \backslash W' \times \mathbf{C}^w$  is defined.

Let  $\omega$  be a holomorphic differential form of degree  $w + 1$  on this variety. Then the lifting  $\tilde{\omega}$  of the differential form  $\omega$  on  $W' \times \mathbf{C}^w$  has the form

$$\tilde{\omega} = \Phi' d\tilde{u} \wedge \bigwedge_{j=1}^w d\zeta_j,$$

where  $\Phi'$  is a holomorphic function on  $W' \times \mathbf{C}^w$ . This differential can be written also in the form

$$\tilde{\omega} = \Phi dz \wedge \bigwedge_{j=1}^w d\zeta_j, \tag{4.1}$$

where  $\Phi$  is a meromorphic function on  $W' \times \mathbf{C}^w$ .

**4.7. LEMMA.** *For any element  $(\beta, n, m) \in \mathcal{G}^w$*

$$(\beta, n, m)^* \Phi = f_\beta^{-w-2} \Phi.$$

**PROOF.** Lemma 4.7 is a direct corollary of the invariance of  $\tilde{\omega}$  with respect to  $\mathcal{G}^w$  and of Lemma 4.6.  $\square$

**4.8. COROLLARY.**  $\Phi$  in (4.1) can be viewed as a meromorphic function on  $U'$ .

**PROOF.** It suffices to prove that  $\Phi(\tilde{u}, \zeta_1) = \Phi(\tilde{u}, \zeta_2)$  for any  $\zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in \mathbf{C}^w$  and  $\tilde{u} \in U'$ . In fact, by the above corollary, the definition of  $f_\beta$  and the action of  $(\beta, n, m)$  with  $\beta = \text{id}$  we get

$$\Phi(\tilde{u}, \zeta) = \Phi(\tilde{u}, \zeta + z(\tilde{u})n + m) \tag{4.2}$$

for any  $n, m \in \mathbf{Z}^w$ . Moreover, by the construction of  $\Phi$  from  $\Phi'$  (see the lines before §4.7) it is seen that if  $\Phi(\tilde{u}, \zeta)$  is holomorphic then  $\Phi(\tilde{u}, \zeta')$  is holomorphic for all  $\zeta' \in \mathbf{C}^w$ . This and (4.2) obviously prove the relation  $\Phi(\tilde{u}, \zeta_1) = \Phi(\tilde{u}, \zeta_2)$ , since  $z(\tilde{u}) \in H$ . Thus  $\Phi$  can be viewed as a meromorphic function on  $U'$ .  $\square$

**PROOF OF LEMMA 4.3.** First of all we will make the reduction to the case when  $B$  has singular points only of type  $I_b$  ( $b \geq 1$ ). Consider the elliptic surface  $\tilde{B}$  defined in the

proof of Theorem 2.3. Then a canonical morphism

$$(\tilde{\Psi}^w)^* : H^0r(\bar{B}^w, \Omega^{w+1}) \rightarrow H^0r(\tilde{B}^w, \Omega^{w+1})$$

is defined. Locally  $\tilde{\Psi}^w$  is defined in §2.2, and nonsingular points of  $B^w$  at which  $(\tilde{\Psi}^w)^*\omega$  is not defined for  $\omega \in H^0r(\tilde{B}^w, \Omega^{w+1})$  are isolated. This is easy to see from the proof of Proposition 3.2. Since  $\dim \bar{B}^w \geq 2$ , we have  $(\tilde{\Psi}^w)^*\omega \in H^0r(B^w, \Omega^{w+1})$ .

Let us prove that for any point  $v \in \Delta$  there exists a neighborhood  $U$  such that

$$\int_{\bar{B}^w|_U} \omega \wedge \bar{\omega} < \infty, \tag{4.3}$$

where  $\omega \in H^0r(\bar{B}^w, \Omega^{w+1})$ . By Proposition 3.2 this is evident for points of type  $I_0$ .

Let  $v \in \Delta$  be of type  $I_b$  ( $b \geq 1$ ). In the notation of §2.2, denote by  $W'$  the universal covering of  $E'$ . We may assume that

$$W' = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \text{Im } z > \text{const} > 0\}.$$

By results of Kodaira (see Chapter 8, v, of [3]), for a suitable choice of  $\tau$  there are defined:

- (a) the action  $\mathcal{G} = \mathbf{Z}$ :  $n: z \mapsto z + nb$ ,
- (b) the representation  $S: \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \text{SL}(2, \mathbf{Z})$ ,  $n \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & nb \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , and
- (c) the function  $z: W' \rightarrow H$ ,  $z: z \mapsto z$ ,

satisfying the construction of §4.5. Moreover, there is a canonical analytic isomorphism

$$\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}^w \times \mathbf{Z}^w \setminus W' \times \mathbf{C}^w \simeq \bar{B}^w|_{E'},$$

where the group  $\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}^w \times \mathbf{Z}^w$  acts on  $W' \times \mathbf{C}^w$  as follows:

$$(k, n, m) : (z, \zeta) \mapsto (z + kb, \zeta + zn + m),$$

where  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $n, m \in \mathbf{Z}^w$ ,  $z \in W'$  and  $\zeta \in \mathbf{C}^w$ . Denote by  $\tilde{\omega}$  the lifting to  $W' \times \mathbf{C}^w$  of the differential  $\omega \in H^0r(\bar{B}^w, \Omega^{w+1})$  restricted to  $E'$ . By Corollary 4.8,

$$\tilde{\omega} = \Phi dz \wedge \bigwedge_{j=1}^w d\zeta_j, \tag{4.4}$$

where  $\Phi$  is a holomorphic function on  $W'$  such that  $z = \tilde{u}$ . The map

$$z \mapsto \tau = e^{2\pi iz/b}, \quad \zeta_i \mapsto u_i = e^{2\pi i \zeta_i} \tag{4.5}$$

allows us to construct a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathbf{Z}^w \setminus E' \times (\mathbf{C}^*)^w \simeq \bar{B}^w|_{E'},$$

where the group  $\mathbf{Z}^w$  acts on  $E' \times (\mathbf{C}^*)^w$  as follows:

$$n : (\tau, u_1, \dots, u_w) \mapsto (\tau, u_1 \tau^{bn_1}, \dots, u_w \tau^{bn_w}),$$

where  $n = (n_1, \dots, n_w) \in \mathbf{Z}^w$ . Let  $\tilde{\omega}$  be the lifting to  $E' \times (\mathbf{C}^*)^w$  of the differential  $\omega|_{E'}$  restricted to  $E'$ . Then by (4.4), (4.5) and §4.7

$$\tilde{\omega} = F d\tau \wedge \bigwedge_{j=1}^w \frac{du_j}{u_j}, \tag{4.6}$$

where  $F$  is an analytic function on  $E'$ .  $\bar{B}^w|_E$  contains the analytic subvariety

$$(\mathbf{Z}^w \setminus E' \times (\mathbf{C}^*)^w) \cup (\mathbf{C}^*)^w \simeq B^w|_{E'} \cup (\mathbf{C}^*)^w;$$

in this connection the points of  $(\mathbf{C}^*)^w$  are included in the fibers  $B_v^w$  and are of regular type  $(0, w)_i = (\pm 1, w)_f$ . We may take  $(\tau, u)$  as local coordinates at these points (see §2.2(ii) and Chapter 8, v, of [3]). Thus  $F(\tau)$  is analytic on  $E$ , since  $\omega \in H^0r(\bar{B}^w, \Omega^{w+1})$ . This implies that to prove (4.3) it suffices to verify the inequality

$$\int_{U_\varepsilon} d\tau \wedge \bigwedge_{j=1}^w \frac{du_j}{u_j} \wedge d\bar{\tau} \wedge \bigwedge_{j=1}^w \frac{d\bar{u}_j}{\bar{u}_j} < \infty,$$

where the integral is taken over some fundamental domain  $U_\varepsilon \subset E' \times (\mathbf{C}^*)^w$  for the action of the group  $\mathbf{Z}^w$  (recall that  $E = \{|\tau| < \varepsilon\}$ ). The last assertion is nothing more than an easy exercise in the calculus, if the fundamental domain is taken as

$$U_\varepsilon = \{(\tau, u) \mid 0 < |\tau| < \varepsilon < 1, |\tau|^b < |u_i| < 1\}. \quad \square$$

PROOF OF LEMMA 4.6. a) follows from the relations

$$d\xi_j = d\xi_{1,j} + zd\xi_{2,j} + \xi_{2,j}dz, \quad 1 \leq j \leq w.$$

b) follows from (2.1) and the relation

$$(\beta, n, m)^* \bar{z} = \frac{a\bar{z} + b}{c\bar{z} + d},$$

obtained by conjugacy since  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbf{Z})$ .

c) We have

$$(\beta, n, m)^* dz = d(\beta, n, m)^* z = d\left(\frac{az + b}{cz + d}\right) = f_\beta^2 dz.$$

d) By virtue of b) and the relations

$$f_\beta = (cz + d)^{-1}, \quad \xi_{2,j} = \frac{\zeta_j - \bar{\zeta}_j}{z - \bar{z}}, \quad \xi_{1,j} = \frac{\bar{\zeta}_j z - \zeta_j \bar{z}}{z - \bar{z}}, \quad (\beta, n, m)^* \zeta_j = f_\beta (\zeta_j + zn + m)$$

we have

$$(\beta, n, m)^* \xi_{2,j} = -(\xi_{1,j} + m)c + (\xi_{2,j} + n)d.$$

Since

$$(\beta, n, m)^* z = \frac{az + b}{cz + d},$$

we also get

$$(\beta, n, m)^* \xi_{1,j} = (\xi_{1,j} + m)a - (\xi_{2,j} + n)b.$$

Hence

$$(\beta, n, m)^* (d\xi_{1,j} + zd\xi_{2,j}) = f_\beta (d\xi_{1,j} + zd\xi_{2,j}). \quad \square$$

### §5. Kuga's modular varieties

The group  $\Gamma$  acts in the standard way on the upper half-plane  $H$ :

$$\gamma z = \frac{az + b}{cz + d},$$

where  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$  and  $z \in H$ . The quotient space  $\Gamma \backslash H$  has a natural compactification  $\Delta_\Gamma$ . The finite set  $\Pi = \Delta_\Gamma - \Gamma \backslash H$  of points which are added to obtain the compactification is called the *set of cusp points* of  $\Delta_\Gamma$ .  $\Delta_\Gamma$  is a nonsingular projective algebraic curve. It is called the *modular curve corresponding to the group*  $\Gamma$ . If  $\Gamma = \text{SL}(2, \mathbf{Z})$ , then  $\Delta_{\text{SL}(2, \mathbf{Z})} \cong \mathbf{P}^1$ , the projective line, and the choice of certain (nonhomogeneous) coordinates on this line determines the *absolute invariant function*  $j: \Delta_{\text{SL}(2, \mathbf{Z})} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ . Let  $\Gamma \subset \Gamma' \subset \text{SL}(2, \mathbf{Z})$  be subgroups of finite index. The natural map of quotient spaces  $\Gamma \backslash H \rightarrow \Gamma' \backslash H$  defines a regular morphism of projective curves

$$\Delta_\Gamma \rightarrow \Delta_{\Gamma'}. \tag{5.1}$$

Taking the composition of the map (5.1) for the pair  $\Gamma \subset \text{SL}(2, \mathbf{Z})$  with the absolute invariant function  $j$ , we define the meromorphic function  $J_\Gamma$  on  $\Delta_\Gamma$ .

**5.1.** In the case (\*) the *elliptic modular surface*  $B_\Gamma$  over  $\Delta_\Gamma$  corresponding to the group  $\Gamma$  is canonically defined (see [5], Definition 4.1). If (\*) does not hold, then (noncanonically) by Lemma 1.5 a certain elliptic surface  $B_\Gamma$  with the functional invariant  $J_\Gamma$  is defined over  $\Delta_\Gamma$ . We will also call the given surface the *elliptic modular surface corresponding to the group*  $\Gamma$ . The Kuga variety  $B_\Gamma^w$  associated with the elliptic surface  $B_\Gamma$  will be called *Kuga's modular variety of degree*  $w$  corresponding to the group  $\Gamma$ . Note that both the given variety and  $B_\Gamma$  are defined canonically only in the case (\*). In the sequel the index  $\Gamma$  is dropped for simplicity; thus we write  $\Delta$  instead of  $\Delta_\Gamma$ ,  $\Phi^w$  instead of  $\Phi_\Gamma^w$ , and so on.

We will call a non-cusp point  $v \in \Delta$  an *elliptic point* if  $v$  is the orbit of a point  $z \in H$  such that the stationary subgroup  $\Gamma_z / \pm E$  is nontrivial. In other cases (that is,  $v \in \Delta$  is neither a cusp point nor an elliptic point) we say that  $v$  is *regular*. If  $v$  is an elliptic point of  $\Delta$  and  $z \in H$  is the corresponding point of the orbit, then  $z \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbf{Z})\{i, \eta\}$ . We will say accordingly that the given elliptic point  $v$  is equivalent to  $i$  or  $\eta$ .

Let  $\tilde{\mathbf{Q}} = \mathbf{Q} \cup i\infty$ , and  $\tilde{H} = H \cup \mathbf{Q}$ . A continuous extension of the action of  $\text{SL}(2, \mathbf{Z})$  is defined on the compactification  $\tilde{H}$ . Each cusp point  $p \in \Pi$  has a representative  $q \in \tilde{\mathbf{Q}}$  which is defined up to the action of  $\Gamma$  (see §1.3 of [4]). The stationary subgroup  $\Gamma_q$  of the point  $q$  is conjugate with respect to  $\text{SL}(2, \mathbf{Z})$  to the subgroup generated by  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  or  $\begin{pmatrix} -1 & b \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $b > 0$ , in the case (\*). Therefore in accordance with the above we will say in the case (\*) that a *cusp point*  $v$  is a point of the *first* or the *second kind*. The first assertion of the following proposition translates the classification of points  $v \in \Delta$  introduced just now into the language of types of points (see §1).

**5.2. PROPOSITION.** a) *The notions placed in the columns of Table 4 are equivalent:*

TABLE 4

$v \in \Delta$	regular	cusp	elliptic equivalent to $i$	elliptic equivalent to $\eta$
	Nonsingular of type $(I_0)$ or type $I_0^*$	of type $I_b, I_b^*$ ( $b \geq 1$ )	of type III, III*	of type II, IV*

*In the case (\*), Table 4 takes the simpler form 4(\*).*

TABLE 4(\*)

$v \in \Delta$	regular	cusp		elliptic
	nonsingular type	1st kind	2nd kind	elliptic equivalent to $\eta$
		$I_b (b \geq 1)$	$I_b^* (b \geq 1)$	of type IV*

b) In the case (\*) there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\bar{B}_\Gamma^\omega|_{\Delta-\Pi} \simeq \Gamma \times \mathbf{Z}^w \times \mathbf{Z}^w \setminus H \times \mathbf{C}^w, \tag{5.2}$$

where  $\Gamma \times \mathbf{Z}^w \times \mathbf{Z}^w$  acts according to (0.2).

Let  $s = s_1 + s_2$  ( $t' = t_1 + t_2$ ) denote the number of elliptic (cusp) points of  $\Delta$ , where  $s_1$  is the number of elliptic points equivalent to  $\eta$  and  $s_2$  is the same for  $i$  (the given decomposition is defined in the case (\*), and  $t_i$  is the number of cusp points of kind  $i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ ). By Proposition 5.2c), in the case (\*) we have

$$t_1 = \sum_{b \geq 1} \nu(I_b), \quad t_2 = \sum_{b \geq 1} \nu(I_b^*),$$

where  $\nu(*)$  is the number of points of type \*. For other types we define  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  by these equalities. Then, by virtue of §5.2,  $t' = t_1 + t_2$ .

5.3. COROLLARY. a)

$$t_1 = \sum_{b \geq 1} \nu(I_b), \quad t_2 = \sum_{b \geq 1} \nu(I_b^*),$$

$$s_1 = \nu(II) + \nu(IV^*), \quad s_2 = \nu(III) + \nu(III^*), \quad \nu(II^*) = \nu(IV) = 0.$$

b) In the case (\*)

$$\nu(I_0^*) = \nu(II) = \nu(II^*) = \nu(III) = \nu(III^*) = \nu(IV) = 0,$$

$$t_1 = \sum_{b \geq 1} \nu(I_b), \quad t_2 = \sum_{b \geq 1} \nu(I_b), \quad s = s_1 = \nu(IV^*). \quad \square$$

In view of §§2.1 and 3 we have an isomorphism  $B_\Gamma^w|_{\Delta'} \simeq \mathcal{G}^w \setminus U' \times \mathbf{C}^w$ . Then the function  $z$  defines the cover

$$z : U' \rightarrow H' \subset H - \text{SL}(2, \mathbf{Z}) \{i, \eta\},$$

where  $H'$  is the image of  $U'$  under the map  $z : U' \rightarrow H$ . From the definition of the absolute invariant  $j$  and the functional invariant  $J_\Gamma$  we immediately obtain the following commutative diagram of analytic covers:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U' & \xrightarrow{z} & H' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Delta' = \Gamma \setminus H' & & \end{array} \tag{5.3}$$

5.4. DEFINITION. Consider the following space of analytic functions:

$S_{w+2}(\Gamma, H') = \{\Phi : H' \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ is an analytic function such that } |\Phi| [g]_{w+2} = \Phi$   
 for any  $g \in \Gamma\}$ ,

where (see §2.1 of [4])

$$(\Phi | [g]_{w+2})(z) = (\det g)^{\frac{w+2}{2}} (cz + d)^{-w-2} \Phi(gz);$$

the transformation  $[g]_{w+2}$  is correctly defined for any  $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in GL^+(2, \mathbb{Z})$  if  $gH' \subset H'$ .

Consider  $\Phi \in S_{w+2}(\Gamma, H')$ . This function determines the analytic function  $\tilde{\Phi} : U' \times \mathbb{C}^w \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \tilde{\Phi}((\tilde{u}, \zeta)) = \Phi(z(\tilde{u}))$ ,  $\tilde{u} \in U'$ . Thus the analytic differential form

$$\tilde{\omega}_\Phi = \Phi dz \wedge \bigwedge_{j=1}^w d\zeta_j$$

is defined on  $U' \times \mathbb{C}^w$ . By (2.1) and the definition of  $\Phi$  (see §5.4) we have

$$\Phi(\beta\tilde{u}) = \Phi(z(\beta\tilde{u})) = \Phi(S_\beta z(\tilde{u})) = \Phi(z(\tilde{u})) (cz(\tilde{u}) + d)^{w+2}.$$

Therefore for any element  $(\beta, n, m) \in \mathcal{G}^w$  the relation  $(\beta, n, m)^* \tilde{\Phi} = f_\beta^{-w-2} \tilde{\Phi}$  (cf. §4.7) holds, and by Lemma 4.6 this gives the invariance of the differential  $\tilde{\omega}_\Phi$  with respect to the group  $\mathcal{G}^w$ . The latter allows us to define a canonical homomorphism

$$S_{w+2}(\Gamma, H') \rightarrow H^0(B_\Gamma^w|_{\Delta'}, \Omega^{w+1}), \quad \Phi \mapsto \omega_\Phi|_{\Delta'}, \tag{5.4}$$

where  $\omega_\Phi|_{\Delta'}$  is an analytic differential on  $B_\Gamma^w|_{\Delta'}$  corresponding to  $\tilde{\omega}_\Phi$ .

**5.5. LEMMA.** *The homomorphism (5.4) is an isomorphism.*

**5.6. THEOREM.** a)  $\omega_\Phi|_{\Delta'}$  is extended to a holomorphic differential form  $\omega_\Phi$  of degree  $w + 1$  on Kuga's modular variety  $B_\Gamma^w$  if and only if  $\Phi \in S_{w+2}(\Gamma) \subset S_{w+2}(\Gamma, H')$ .

b) In particular, there is a canonical isomorphism

$$S_{w+2}(\Gamma) \simeq H^0(B_\Gamma^w, \Omega^{w+1}), \quad \Phi \mapsto \omega_\Phi. \tag{5.5}$$

**5.7. COROLLARY.**

$$\dim H^0(B_\Gamma^w, \Omega^{w+1}) = (w+1)(g-1) + \sum_{b \geq 1} (v(I_b) + v(I_b^*)) \frac{w}{2} + (v(II) + v(IV^*)) \left[ \frac{w+2}{3} \right] + (v(III) + v(III^*)) \left[ \frac{w+2}{4} \right]$$

if  $w$  is even, and

$$\dim H^0(B_\Gamma^w, \Omega^{w+1}) = (w+1)(g-1) + \sum_{b \geq 1} v(I_b) \frac{w}{2} + \sum_{b \geq 1} v(I_b^*) \frac{w+1}{2} + v(IV^*) \left[ \frac{w+2}{3} \right]$$

if  $w$  is odd, where  $g$  is the genus of the curve  $\Delta_\Gamma$ .

**PROOF.** This is a direct corollary of the above theorem, Theorems 2.24, 2.25 of [4] and Corollary 5.3.  $\square$

**PROOF OF THEOREM 5.6.** a) Since  $\bar{B}_\Gamma^w|_{\Delta'} = B_\Gamma^w|_{\Delta'}$ , in view of Lemma 5.5 the homomorphism (5.4) defines the isomorphism

$$S_{w+2}(\Gamma, H') \simeq H^0(\bar{B}_\Gamma^w|_{\Delta'}, \Omega^{\omega+1}), \quad \Phi \mapsto \omega_\Phi|_{\Delta'}.$$

Therefore by virtue of Proposition 4.2 it suffices to prove that  $\omega_\Phi|_{\Delta'}$  is extended to a regular differential on  $B_\Gamma^w$  if and only if  $\Phi \in S_{w+2}(\Gamma)$ . Then by Lemma 4.3 and the proof of Theorem 3.1 of [2] it suffices to establish the following equivalence for  $\Phi \in S_{w+2}(\Gamma, H')$ :

$$\Phi \in S_{w+2}(\Gamma) \Leftrightarrow \int_{\bar{B}_\Gamma^w|_{\Delta'}} \omega_\Phi|_{\Delta'} \wedge \bar{\omega}_\Phi|_{\Delta'} < \infty \tag{5.6}$$

(the integral on the right is improper). Obviously

$$\int_{\bar{B}_\Gamma^w|_{\Delta'}} \omega_\Phi|_{\Delta'} \wedge \bar{\omega}_\Phi|_{\Delta'} = \int_{\tilde{U}} \tilde{\omega}_\Phi \wedge \bar{\tilde{\omega}}_\Phi,$$

where the integral on the right is taken over a fundamental domain  $\tilde{U} \subset U' \times \mathbb{C}^w$  with respect to the group  $\mathcal{G}^w$ . Let  $U$  be a fundamental domain with respect to the group  $\Gamma$ . Denote by  $U_1$  some (one-to-one) lifting of  $U$  to  $U'$  using  $z$  from diagram (5.3). Then the fundamental domain  $\tilde{U}$  can be taken as follows:

$$\tilde{U} = \{(\tilde{u}, \zeta) \mid \tilde{u} \in U_1, \zeta_j = t_{1,j} + t_{2,j} \tilde{z}(u), t_{i,j} \in [0, 1]\}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\tilde{U}} \tilde{\omega}_\Phi \wedge \bar{\tilde{\omega}}_\Phi &= \int_{\tilde{U}} |\Phi|^2 dz \wedge \bigwedge_{j=1}^w d\xi_j \wedge \bar{d}\bar{z} \wedge \bigwedge_{j=1}^w d\bar{\xi}_j \\ &= c \int_{\tilde{U}} |\Phi|^2 (\text{Im } z)^w dz \wedge \bar{d}\bar{z} = c \int_U |\Phi|^2 (\text{Im } z)^w dz \wedge \bar{d}\bar{z}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $c \in \mathbb{C}^*$  (an easily calculated number independent of  $\Phi$ ). Therefore for the proof of (5.6) it suffices to establish the following equivalence for  $\Phi \in S_{w+2}(\Gamma, H')$ :

$$\Phi \in S_{w+2}(\Gamma) \Leftrightarrow \int_U |\Phi|^2 (\text{Im } z)^w dz \wedge \bar{d}\bar{z} < \infty.$$

This can easily be deduced from the analyticity of the function  $\Phi$  on  $H'$  and the isolation of its singularities.

b) Let  $\Delta' \supset \Delta'_1$ . Then we have the following commutative diagram of analytic covers:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U'_1 & \rightarrow & U' \\ z \downarrow & & \downarrow z \\ H'_1 & \hookrightarrow & H'. \end{array}$$

Consequently we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S_{w+2}(\Gamma, H') & \rightarrow & H^0(\bar{B}_\Gamma^w|_{\Delta'}, \Omega^{\omega+1}) \\ \circlearrowleft & & \circlearrowleft \\ S_{w+2}(\Gamma, H'_1) & \rightarrow & H^0(\bar{B}_\Gamma^w|_{\Delta'_1}, \Omega^{\omega+1}), \end{array}$$

where vertical inclusions are “restrictions”. This easily implies the canonicity of isomorphism (5.5).  $\square$

PROOF OF LEMMA 5.5. It suffices to show that the function  $\Phi: U' \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfying the equation (see §§4.7, 4.8 and 4.5, where  $W' = U'$ )

$$\beta^*\Phi = f_\beta^{-w-2}\Phi \tag{5.7}$$

coincides with the lifting of a function  $\psi: H' \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  on  $U'$ . In fact,  $H' \simeq S^{-1}(\pm E) \setminus U'$ . Therefore it suffices to show that  $\beta^*\Phi = \Phi$  for  $\beta \in S^{-1}(\pm E)$ . This immediately follows from the functional equation (5.7), since  $S^{-1}(\pm E) = S^{-1}(E)$  for even  $w$  by the definition of  $B_\Gamma$  (see [5], Definition 4.1), and condition (\*) holds for odd  $w$ .  $\square$

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 5.2. a) Table 4(\*) is a simple corollary of Proposition 4.2 of [5].

Table 4 is deduced from Table 2, using elementary properties of the absolute invariant  $j$ , and also the following property of elliptic surfaces:  $B_v$  is of type  $I_b$  or  $I_b^*$  ( $b \geq 1$ ) if and only if  $J(v) = \infty$ . For instance, let  $v \in \Delta$  be an elliptic point equivalent to  $\eta$ . Then, since  $j(\eta) = 0$ , we have  $J_\Gamma(v) = 0$ . Hence  $v$  is of one of the types  $I_0, I_0^*, II, II^*, IV$  or  $IV^*$ . It is evident that  $I_0$  and  $I_0^*$  fall away. By virtue of the relation  $h = 1, h \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ , the case  $II^*$  falls away from the pair  $II, II^*$ . By analogy the case  $IV$  falls away from the pair  $IV, IV^*$  by virtue of the relation  $h = 1, h \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ .

If  $v$  is of type  $IV^*$  or  $II$ , then by Table 2  $v$  is an elliptic point equivalent to  $\eta$ , since  $z(0) = \eta$ .

b) From the definition of the representation  $S$  of §4 and Proposition 4.2 from [5] we obtain by §2.1 an isomorphism

$$\overline{B}_\Gamma^w|_\Delta \simeq \Gamma \times \mathbf{Z}^w \times \mathbf{Z}^w \setminus H' \times \mathbf{C}^w,$$

where  $H' = H - SL(2, \mathbf{Z})\{\eta\}$  and  $\Delta' = \Gamma \setminus H$ . The given isomorphism extends obviously to an isomorphism

$$\overline{B}_\Gamma^w|_{\Delta'} \simeq \Gamma \times \mathbf{Z}^w \times \mathbf{Z}^w \setminus H' \times \mathbf{C}^w,$$

where  $\Delta'$  is the set of regular points of  $\Delta$  and  $H'$  is the inverse image of these points under the natural map  $H \rightarrow \Gamma \setminus H$ . Thus it remains to extend this isomorphism by Table 4(\*) to points  $v$  which are elliptic and equivalent to  $\eta$ . Let  $z_0 \in H$  be a representative of this point. Then, since  $\Gamma_{z_0}$  is a subgroup of order three and  $v$  is a point of type  $IV^*$ , we have

$$F^w \simeq \mathbf{Z}^w \times \mathbf{Z}^w \setminus U \times \mathbf{C}^w,$$

where  $U$  is a neighborhood of the point  $z_0$ ,  $F^w$  is defined in §2.2(i), and the action of  $C$  corresponds to the action of some nontrivial element of  $\Gamma_{z_0}$  on  $\mathbf{Z}^w \times \mathbf{Z}^w \setminus U \times \mathbf{C}^w$ . This obviously implies the existence of an extension of the isomorphism to (5.2).  $\square$

Received 20/OCT/75

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Michael Artin, *Some numerical criteria for contractibility of curves on algebraic surfaces*, Amer. J. Math. **84** (1962), 485–496. MR 26 #3704.
2. Henry B. Laufer, *On rational singularities*, Amer. J. Math. **94** (1972), 597–608. MR 48 #8837.
3. K. Kodaira, *On compact analytic surfaces. II*, Ann. of Math. **77** (1963), 563–626. MR 32 #1730.
4. Gorō Shimura, *Introduction to the arithmetic theory of automorphic functions*, Iwanami Shoten, Tokyo; Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1971. MR 47 #3318.
5. Tetsuji Shioda, *On elliptic modular surfaces*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **24** (1972), 20–59.
6. V. V. Sokurov, *Periods of cusp forms and Kuga varieties*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk **30** (1975), no. 3 (183), 183–184. (Russian)

Translated by I. DOLGACHOV