

Discrete Geometry

The (Refined) Polynomial Method

Michael Tang

13th January 2021

- 1 Elementary Preliminaries
- 2 Properties of Polynomial Spaces

Recall we have

$$g_j(\rho, \gamma, \alpha, \lambda) = \frac{1}{\binom{\lambda+k_j}{k_j}} |\mathcal{B}_{\rho, \gamma}(\alpha, \lambda)|,$$

where

$$\mathcal{B}_{\rho, \gamma}(\alpha, \lambda) := \cup_{r \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{B}_{\rho}^r$$

were the sets of carefully chosen basis elements.

I find it useful to think of $g_j = O(1)$, and $|\mathcal{B}| = O(\lambda^{k_j})$.

Properties We Need

Lemma (Uniform Boundedness)

Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$. $\exists p, q$ are such that $\alpha_p < \alpha_q - \lambda$, then $g(p, \gamma, \alpha, \lambda) = 0$ for all p and γ .

Lemma (Monotonicity)

If $\alpha^{(1)}$ and $\alpha^{(2)}$ are such that $\exists p \in J \cap \gamma$ so that $\alpha_p^{(1)} - \alpha_{p'}^{(1)} \leq \alpha_p^{(2)} - \alpha_{p'}^{(2)}$ for all $p' \in \mathcal{P}$, then

$$|\mathcal{B}_p(\alpha^{(1)})| \leq |\mathcal{B}_p(\alpha^{(2)})|.$$

Lemma (Lipschitz Continuity)

Fix p, γ, λ . Then for every $p \in J$

$$\left| |\mathcal{B}_p(\alpha^{(1)})| - |\mathcal{B}_p(\alpha^{(2)})| \right| \lesssim \lambda^{\dim \gamma - 1} \sum_{p' \in J} |(\alpha_p^{(1)} - \alpha_{p'}^{(1)}) - (\alpha_p^{(2)} - \alpha_{p'}^{(2)})|.$$

Elementary Work

Suppress j . Fix a k -plane γ , and let $\mathcal{P} = J \cap \gamma$. We may restrict our attention to \mathcal{P} .

Let $\mathbf{v} = (v_p)_p : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.¹ Define

$$\mathbb{T}(\mathbf{v}, \lambda) := \{f \in \mathbb{F}_\lambda[x_1, \dots, x_k] : f \text{ vanishes to order } \geq v_p \quad \forall p \in \mathcal{P}\}.$$

Let

$$b_p(\mathbf{v}, \lambda) := \text{codim}_{\mathbb{T}(\mathbf{v}, \lambda)} \mathbb{T}(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{e}_p, \lambda) := \dim \mathbb{T}(\mathbf{v}, \lambda) - \dim(\mathbb{T}(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{e}_p, \lambda)).$$

This describes in how many ways we can increase the order of vanishing by 1 at p .

Examples:

- $b_{p_1, \gamma}((1, 2), 5) = 3 - 2 = 1, \quad (k = 1, \mathcal{P} = \{p_1, p_2\}) .$
- $b_{p, \gamma}(1, 2) = 5 - 3 = 2 \quad (k = 2, \mathcal{P} = \{p\}) .$

¹Think of this as a vector of order of vanishing.

Lemma (Prelim. Uniform Boundedness)

If $v_p > \lambda$ for some $p \in \mathcal{P}$ then $\dim \mathbb{T}(v, \lambda) = 0$.

Proof.

Suggestions? A polynomial of degree at most λ cannot vanish to order greater than λ at any point, so $\mathbb{T}(v, \lambda)$ contains only the zero polynomial. □

Lemma (Prelim. Monotonicity)

Let $p \in \mathcal{P}$. Suppose $v^{(1)}, v^{(2)} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\mathcal{P}}$ satisfy $v^{(1)} \geq v^{(2)}$, with equality at p . Then $b_p(v^{(1)}, \lambda) \leq b_p(v^{(2)}, \lambda)$.

Facts:

- Rank of a linear map = $\text{codim}_{\text{domain}} \text{kernel}$.
- Let $U, W \leq V$ be subspaces of V . Then
 - $\text{codim}_U(W \cap U) \leq \text{codim}_V W$.
- So restriction of a linear map to a subspace decreases the rank.

Proof.

- $\mathbb{T}(v + e_p, \lambda)$ is the kernel of the vector valued map \mathcal{D} sending $f \in \mathbb{T}(v, \lambda)$ to all its v_p -th order derivatives at p . Thus $b_p(v, \lambda)$ is the rank of this map.
- So for $i = 1, 2$, $b_p(v^{(i)}, \lambda)$ is the rank of these maps $\mathcal{D}_{(i)}$. Since $v^{(1)} \geq v^{(2)}$, $\mathbb{T}(v^{(1)}, \lambda) \leq \mathbb{T}(v^{(2)}, \lambda)$. So $\mathcal{D}_{(1)}$ is the restriction of $\mathcal{D}_{(2)}$ to $\mathbb{T}(v^{(1)}, \lambda)$, and the rank of a linear map decreases.



Lemma (Prelim. Continuity)

Let $p, q \in \mathcal{P}$. Suppose $v^{(i)}$ is an increasing sequence in $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\mathcal{P}}$, doing so strictly at p . Then

$$0 \leq \sum_{r \in \mathcal{N}} b_p(v^{(r)}, \lambda) - \sum_{r \in \mathcal{N}} b_p(v^{(r)} + \mathbf{e}_q, \lambda) \leq \text{codim}_{\mathbb{T}(0, \lambda)} \mathbb{T}(0, \lambda - 1).$$

Note:

$$\text{codim}_{\mathbb{T}(0, \lambda)} \mathbb{T}(0, \lambda - 1) = \binom{k + \lambda - 1}{k - 1} = O(\lambda^{k-1}).$$

(1/4).

Firstly, for all r ,

$$b_p(v^{(r)}, \lambda) \geq b_p(v^{(r)} + e_q, \lambda),$$

establishing the lower bound. Fix r and consider $b_p(v^{(r)}, \lambda) - b_p(v^{(r)} + e_q, \lambda)$. We will next show that this is at most

$$b_p(v^{(r)}, \lambda) - b_p(v^{(r)}, \lambda - 1),$$

by showing that

$$0 \leq b_p(v^{(r)} + e_q, \lambda) - b_p(v^{(r)}, \lambda - 1).$$

Proof.

(2/4) Let f be an arbitrary linear factor which vanishes at q but no other point in \mathcal{P} . Then

$$\begin{aligned} b_p(v^{(r)} + e_q, \lambda) &= \text{codim}_{\mathbb{T}(v^{(r)} + e_q, \lambda)} \mathbb{T}(v^{(r)} + e_q + e_q, \lambda) \\ &\geq \text{codim}_{f \cdot \mathbb{T}(v^{(r)}, \lambda - 1)} f \cdot \mathbb{T}(v^{(r)} + e_p, \lambda - 1) \\ &= \text{codim}_{\mathbb{T}(v^{(r)}, \lambda - 1)} \mathbb{T}(v^{(r)} + e_p, \lambda - 1) \\ &= b_p(v^{(r)}, \lambda - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Proof.

(3/4) Start with the quantity from (1/3):

$$\begin{aligned} & b_p(v^{(r)}, \lambda) - b_p(v^{(r)}, \lambda - 1) \\ &= \text{codim}_{\mathbb{T}(v^{(r)}, \lambda)} \mathbb{T}(v^{(r)} + e_p, \lambda) - \text{codim}_{\mathbb{T}(v^{(r)}, \lambda - 1)} \mathbb{T}(v^{(r)} + e_p, \lambda - 1) \\ &= \left(\dim \mathbb{T}(v^{(r)}, \lambda) - \dim \mathbb{T}(v^{(r)} + e_p, \lambda) \right) \\ &\quad - \left(\dim \mathbb{T}(v^{(r)}, \lambda - 1) - \dim \mathbb{T}(v^{(r)} + e_p, \lambda - 1) \right) \\ &= \left(\dim \mathbb{T}(v^{(r)}, \lambda) - \dim \mathbb{T}(v^{(r)}, \lambda - 1) \right) \\ &\quad - \left(\dim \mathbb{T}(v^{(r)} + e_p, \lambda) - \dim \mathbb{T}(v^{(r)} + e_p, \lambda - 1) \right) \\ &= \text{codim}_{\dim \mathbb{T}(v^{(r)}, \lambda)} \mathbb{T}(v^{(r)}, \lambda - 1) - \text{codim}_{\mathbb{T}(v^{(r)} + e_p, \lambda)} \mathbb{T}(v^{(r)} + e_p, \lambda - 1) \\ &\leq \text{codim}_{\dim \mathbb{T}(v^{(r)}, \lambda)} \mathbb{T}(v^{(r)}, \lambda - 1) - \text{codim}_{\mathbb{T}(v^{(r+1)}, \lambda)} \mathbb{T}(v^{(r+1)}, \lambda - 1), \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows because of the subspace inequality, and that $v^{(r)}$ is strictly increasing at p .

Proof.

(4/4) We now sum over all r :

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{r \in \mathbb{N}} b_p(\mathbf{v}^{(r)}, \lambda) - b_p(\mathbf{v}^{(r)}, \lambda - 1) \\ & \leq \sum_{r \in \mathbb{N}} \operatorname{codim}_{\dim \mathbb{T}(\mathbf{v}^{(r)}, \lambda)} \mathbb{T}(\mathbf{v}^{(r)}, \lambda - 1) - \operatorname{codim}_{\mathbb{T}(\mathbf{v}^{(r+1)}, \lambda)} \mathbb{T}(\mathbf{v}^{(r+1)}, \lambda - 1) \\ & = \dim \mathbb{T}(\mathbf{v}^{(0)}, \lambda) - \dim \mathbb{T}(\mathbf{v}^{(0)}, \lambda - 1) \\ & = \operatorname{codim}_{\mathbb{T}(\mathbf{v}^{(0)}, \lambda)} \mathbb{T}(\mathbf{v}^{(0)}, \lambda - 1) \\ & \leq \operatorname{codim}_{\mathbb{T}(\mathbf{0}, \lambda)} \mathbb{T}(\mathbf{0}, \lambda - 1), \end{aligned}$$

where we have once again used the subspace inequality. □

All the Lemmas we want happen at a particular p . Given a handicap $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$, it turns out that we can define a $v = v^{(p,r)}$ so that $b_p^\gamma(v, \lambda) = |\mathcal{B}_{p,\gamma}^r(\alpha, \lambda)|$. For each $(p, r) \in \mathcal{J} \times \mathbb{N}$, define

$$v_{p'}^{(p,r)}(\alpha) := \begin{cases} \max\{r - (\alpha_p - \alpha_{p'}) + 1, 0\} & p' < p \\ \max\{r - (\alpha_p - \alpha_{p'}), 0\} & \text{o/w.} \end{cases}$$

For each p' , this is the least r' so that $(p, r) \preceq (p', r')$. To see this:

- If $p' < p$, then equality can't occur, so p' needs r' just larger than r to appear after (p, r) .
- If $p' = p$ then the same order (accounting for handicaps) will do.

More Useful Description

- The vector $v = (v_q^{(p,r)})_q$ collects the number of times each q has been counted + 1 until state (p, r) in the priority order.
- The idea is then to check that given hypothesis on α , or sequences $\alpha^{(r)}$, that the associated $v^{(p,r)}$ satisfy the hypothesis of our preliminary Lemmas.

Polynomial Spaces

Define $\mathcal{B}_{p,\gamma}^r(\alpha, \lambda)$ and $\mathcal{B}_{p,\gamma}^r(\alpha, \lambda)$ as previously, as collections of well chosen dual basis elements. Then

$$\text{span} \left(\mathcal{B}_{p'}^r : (p', r') \prec (p, r) \right) = \text{span} \left(\mathbb{B}_{p'}^r : (p', r') \prec (p, r) \right).$$

By definition, if a polynomial f lies in the kernel of this space of operators, then it vanishes to order v . Adding \mathcal{B}_p^r to this span increases the order of vanishing at p by 1. Hence

$$|\mathcal{B}_p^r| = \text{codim}_{\mathbb{T}(v,\lambda)} \mathbb{T}(v + \mathbf{e}_p, \lambda) = b_p(v, \lambda).$$

Uniform Boundedness.

By hypothesis, p, q satisfy $\alpha_p < \alpha_q - \lambda$. For each $r \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}^{(p,r)}(\alpha)$. Then

$$v_q \geq r - (\alpha_p - \alpha_q) > r + \lambda > \lambda.$$

Apply preliminary Uniformity Lemma to get $\dim \mathbb{T}(\mathbf{v}, \lambda) = 0$. Hence $b_p(\mathbf{v}, \lambda) = \text{codim}_{\mathbb{T}(\mathbf{v}, \lambda)} \mathbb{T}(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{e}_p, \lambda) = 0$. □

Monotonicity.

Fix $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Let p be such that $\alpha_p^{(1)} - \alpha_{p'}^{(1)} \leq \alpha_p^{(2)} - \alpha_{p'}^{(2)}$ for all $p' \in \mathcal{P}$.^a Recall

$$v_{p'}^{(p,r)}(\alpha) := \begin{cases} \max\{r - (\alpha_p - \alpha_{p'}) + 1, 0\} & p' < p \\ \max\{r - (\alpha_p - \alpha_{p'}), 0\} & \text{o/w.} \end{cases}$$

Let $v^{(i)} := v^{(p,r)}(\alpha^{(i)})$. Then automatically the hypothesis of Preliminary Monotonicity Lemma. The conclusion follows. \square

^aSince α is not “rooted”, this just says α increasing at p .

Lipschitz Continuity.

Let $\alpha = \alpha^{(1)}$ and consider the vector $(\alpha_p - \alpha_{p'})_{p'}$. By incrementally increasing each entry, one at a time, we can increase this to $(\alpha_p^{(2)} - \alpha_{p'}^{(2)})_{p'}$ in precisely

$|(\alpha_p^{(1)} - \alpha_{p'}^{(1)}) - (\alpha_p^{(2)} - \alpha_{p'}^{(2)})|$ moves. So, it suffices to check that for consecutive iterates,

$$0 \leq |\mathcal{B}_p(\alpha, \lambda)| - |\mathcal{B}_p(\alpha + \mathbf{e}_q, \lambda)| = O(\lambda^{k-1}).$$

Recall that $b_p^r(v, \lambda) = |\mathcal{B}_p^r(v, \lambda)|$, and the sets \mathcal{B} are disjoint. The lower bound hence follows from Preliminary Continuity Lemma. It remains to check the upper bound.

Continued...

It suffices to consider

$$\sum_{r \in \mathbb{N}} b_p(v(\alpha), \lambda) - \sum_{r \in \mathbb{N}} b_p(v(\alpha + e_q), \lambda).$$

This is almost ready for Preliminary Continuity Lemma, but need to pass e_q outside the bracket.

Continued...

Recall that $v_{p'}^{(p,r)}(\alpha)$ is one more than the number of times p' has been counted until just before (p, r) . So, there is an r_0 so that if $r < r_0$, then $v(\alpha + e_q) = v(\alpha)$, and if $r \geq r_0$, then $v(\alpha + e_q) = v(\alpha) + e_q$. Easier to see this with an example:

$$(0, 1, 3, -1, 0) \leftrightarrow c|c|bc|abce|acbde|abcde \dots$$

$$(0, 1, 3, 0, 0) \leftrightarrow c|c|bc|abcde|acbde|abcde \dots$$

We now restrict sum to $r \geq r_0$ because initial terms have positive contribution, then bound using Prelim Continuity Lemma. □

We have now deduced that the map $\alpha \mapsto (b_p(\alpha, \lambda))$ is

- bounded,
- monotonically increasing,
- Lipschitz Continuous.

Remains to:

- Establish Vanishing Lemma, and,
- check there is a good handicap.