

Generalised Spencer cohomology and supersymmetry (Freiburg, 27/5/2019)

(joint work with Andrea Santi & Paul de Nedeiros 2016-...)

Plan

- I. Classical prelude: the LA of isometries of a riemannian manifold
- II. Super-analogue: the Killing superalgebra of a supergravity background
- III. Generalised spencer cohomology of Poincaré superalgebras
- IV. Some calculations

I. The lie algebra of isometries of a riemannian mfd

(M^n, g) riemannian $\underline{\text{iso}}(M, g) = \{ \xi \in \mathcal{X}(M) \mid \mathcal{L}_\xi g = 0 \}$
 \hookrightarrow real lie algebra of dim $\leq \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ ($n = \dim M$)

ξ Killing $\Leftrightarrow \nabla \xi : X \mapsto \nabla_X \xi$ is skewsymmetric: $g(\nabla_X \xi, Y) = -g(X, \nabla_Y \xi)$

Killing's identity: $\nabla^2 \xi = R(\xi, -) \Rightarrow \xi$ is determined by $\xi(p), \nabla \xi(p)$ for any $p \in M$. Equivalently,

$$\underline{\text{iso}}(M, g) \cong \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ A \end{pmatrix} \in \begin{matrix} TM \\ \oplus \\ \underline{\text{so}}(TM) \end{matrix} \mid \mathcal{D} \begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ A \end{pmatrix} = 0 \right\}$$

\uparrow Killing transport connection

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ A \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \eta \\ B \end{pmatrix} \right] = \begin{pmatrix} A\eta - B\xi \\ [A, B] - R(\xi, \eta) \end{pmatrix}$$

If $(M^n, g) = \mathbb{E}^n$, then $\underline{\text{iso}}(\mathbb{E}^n) \cong \underline{\text{so}}(n) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n$ (euclidean lie algebra)

The euclidean lie algebra is \mathbb{Z} -graded: $\underline{\text{so}}(n) \oplus \mathbb{R}^n$ and hence trivially filtered: $\mathcal{E}^0 = \underline{\text{so}}(n)$, $\mathcal{E}^{-1} = \underline{\text{so}}(n) \oplus \mathbb{R}^n$.

In general, $\underline{\text{iso}}(M^n, g)$ is filtered but not graded: $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}^{-1} = \mathcal{K}^0 = 0$ and \mathcal{K} is a linear subspace of \mathcal{E} but not a filtered subalgebra. However, the associated graded $\text{gr}(\mathcal{K})$ is a graded subalgebra of $\text{gr}(\mathcal{E}) \cong \mathcal{E}$.

The curvature is the obstruction to \mathcal{K} being a filtered subalgebra of \mathcal{E} .

II. The Killing superalgebra of a supergravity background

For definiteness, let's consider $d=11$ SUGRA. A $d=11$ SUGRA background consists of a lorentzian spin 11 -manifold (M, g, \mathbb{S}) and a closed 4-form F together with a connection \mathcal{D} on \mathbb{S} :
 \uparrow rank 32 real symplectic spinor bundle $\mathbb{S} \rightarrow M$

$$\mathcal{D}_X \xi = \nabla_X \xi + \frac{1}{8} F \cdot X \cdot \xi + \frac{1}{24} X \cdot F \cdot \xi \quad \forall \xi \in \Gamma(\mathbb{S})$$

whose curvature $R^D \in \Omega^2(M; \text{End } \mathbb{S})$ is "Clifford-valued":

$$\sum_i e_i \cdot R^D(e_i, -) \equiv 0 \iff \begin{cases} dF = 0 & (\text{Bianchi}) \\ d * F = \frac{1}{2} F \wedge F & (\text{Maxwell}) \\ \text{Ric}_g = \mathcal{E}(F, g) & (\text{Einstein}) \end{cases}$$

(M, g, F, \mathbb{S}) is **supersymmetric** $\iff \exists 0 \neq E \in \Gamma(\mathbb{S})$ such that $DE = 0$.
 $\dim \ker D \leq 32 = \text{rank } \mathbb{S}$.

Associated to every supergravity background there is a lie superalgebra:

$$\mathfrak{h}_0 = \{ \xi \in \mathfrak{X}(M) \mid \mathcal{L}_\xi g = 0 \ \& \ \mathcal{L}_\xi F = 0 \}$$

$$\mathfrak{h}_1 = \{ E \in \Gamma(\mathbb{S}) \mid DE = 0 \} \quad \text{Killing superalgebra of } (M, g, F, \mathbb{S})$$

Example $(M, g) = \mathbb{M}^n$, $F = 0$, $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{S}$
 $\mathfrak{h} = \text{Poincaré superalgebra}$ ↑ mod. $\text{Cl}(1, 10)$ -module

$$\mathfrak{p} = \underbrace{\mathfrak{so}(V)}_0 \oplus \underbrace{\mathbb{S}}_{-1} \oplus \underbrace{V}_{-2}$$

\mathbb{Z} -graded Lie superalgebra
 $\mathfrak{p}_0 = \mathfrak{so}(V) \oplus V$ (Poincaré)
 $\mathfrak{p}_1 = \mathbb{S}$

\mathfrak{p} is also filtered: $0 = \mathfrak{p}^0 \subset \mathfrak{p}^{-1} \subset \mathfrak{p}^{-2} = \mathfrak{p}$

$$\underbrace{\mathfrak{so}(V)}_{\mathfrak{p}^0} \supset \underbrace{\mathfrak{so}(V) \oplus \mathbb{S}}_{\mathfrak{p}^{-1}} \supset \underbrace{\mathfrak{so}(V) \oplus \mathbb{S} \oplus V}_{\mathfrak{p}^{-2}}$$

Theorem (JMF + Sauti '16)

The KSA \mathfrak{h} of a supergravity bg. (M, g, F, \mathbb{S}) is filtered and $\text{gr}(\mathfrak{h})$ is a graded subalgebra of $\text{gr}(\mathfrak{p}) \cong \mathfrak{p}$. (The obstructions to \mathfrak{h} being a filtered subalgebra of \mathfrak{p} are the Riemann curvature of g and F .)

Theorem (JMF + Hustler '12)

If $\dim \mathfrak{h}_1 > \frac{1}{2} \text{rank } \mathbb{S}$, then $\text{ev}_p: [\mathfrak{h}_1, \mathfrak{h}_1] \rightarrow T_p M$ is surjective $\Rightarrow (M, g, F)$ is locally homogeneous. (Conjectured by Patrick Meessen in 2004)

A highly supersymmetric background ($\dim \mathfrak{h}_1 > \frac{1}{2} \text{rank } \mathbb{S}$) can be reconstructed (up to coverings) from its KSA. (JMF + Sauti '16)

III. Generalised Spencer cohomology of Poincaré superalgebras

Algebraic deformations are typically governed by a cohomology theory. In the case of lie (super)algebra deformations, it is Chevalley-Eilenberg cohomology with coefficients in the adjoint module. If the lie (super)algebra is graded, the lie brackets have degree 0 and so does the CE differential, being roughly its dual. This means that we may view $C^*(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g})$ as

$$C^*(g; g) = \bigoplus_d C^{d,*}(g; g)$$

↑ degree

Filtered deformations are controlled by

$$H^{+,*}(g; g) = \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} H^{d,*}(g; g)$$

This cohomology theory is known as generalised Spencer cohomology & has been studied by Cheng & Kac. One starts by calculating $H^{+,2}(g; g)$ where $g_- = \bigoplus_{d \leq 0} g_d$ is the negatively graded part of g .

Let's consider the Poincaré superalgebra $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_2$

$\mathfrak{so}(V)$ S V

If $A, B \in \mathfrak{so}(V)$, $s \in S$, $v, w \in V$,

$$\begin{aligned} [A, B] &= AB - BA & [s, s] &= K(s, s) & \kappa: \odot^2 S &\rightarrow V & \text{dual to Clifford } V \otimes S &\rightarrow S. \\ [A, s] &= As & & & \uparrow & & \\ [A, v] &= Av & & & \text{Dirac current} & & \end{aligned}$$

IV. Calculations

D=11
(JMF + Santi '15)

(V, η) Lorentzian 11-dim'l vector space

$\mathfrak{so}(V) \subset \mathcal{Q}(V) \cong \text{End}(S_+) \oplus \text{End}(S_-)$, $S_{\pm} \cong \mathbb{R}^{32}$

$\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{so}(V) \oplus S \oplus V$, $S = \text{any one of } S_{\pm}$.

0 -1 -2

$$\begin{aligned} C^{2,1}(\mathfrak{p}_-; \mathfrak{p}) &= V^* \otimes \mathfrak{so}(V) \\ C^{2,2}(\mathfrak{p}_-; \mathfrak{p}) &= (\wedge^2 V^* \otimes V) \oplus (V^* \otimes S^* \otimes S) \oplus (\odot^2 S^* \otimes \mathfrak{so}(V)) \\ C^{1,3}(\mathfrak{p}_-; \mathfrak{p}) &= (\odot^2 S^* \otimes V^* \otimes V) \oplus (\odot^3 S^* \otimes S) \end{aligned}$$

$$H^{2,2}(\mathfrak{p}_-; \mathfrak{p}) \cong \wedge^4 V \leftarrow \text{the 4-form of D=11 SUGRA}$$

Given $\varphi \in \wedge^4 V$, $\exists!$ unique $\beta^\varphi + \gamma^\varphi \in (V^* \otimes S^* \otimes S) \oplus (\odot^2 S^* \otimes \mathfrak{so}(V))$

and

$$\beta^\varphi(v, s) = \frac{1}{8} \varphi \cdot v \cdot s + \frac{1}{24} v \cdot \varphi \cdot s \leftarrow \text{cf. spinor connection } \mathcal{D}$$

Globalising, $\varphi \rightsquigarrow F \in \Omega^4(M)$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \beta^\varphi &\rightsquigarrow \beta_X = \frac{1}{8} F \cdot X \cdot + \frac{1}{24} X \cdot F \end{aligned} \right\} D_X = \nabla_X + \beta_X, \text{ etc...}$$

Upshot This could be considered a cohomological derivation of D=11 SUGRA, or a way to bypass the construction of the SUGRA in order to study the supersymmetric backgrounds.

D=4 (de Medeiros + JMF + Sauti '16)

(V, η) Lorentzian 4-dim'l vector space

$$\underline{\text{so}}(V) \subset \mathcal{U}(V) \cong \text{End}(S), \quad S \cong \mathbb{R}^4$$

$$\mathcal{P} = \underset{0}{\underline{\text{so}}(V)} \oplus \underset{-1}{S} \oplus \underset{-2}{V}$$

$$C^{2,1}(\mathcal{P}; \mathcal{P}) = V^* \otimes \underline{\text{so}}(V)$$

$$C^{2,2}(\mathcal{P}; \mathcal{P}) = (\wedge^2 V^* \otimes V) \oplus (V^* \otimes S^* \otimes S) \oplus (\odot^2 S^* \otimes \underline{\text{so}}(V))$$

$$C^{1,3}(\mathcal{P}; \mathcal{P}) = (\odot^2 S^* \otimes V^* \otimes V) \oplus (\odot^3 S^* \otimes S)$$

$$H^{2,2}(\mathcal{P}; \mathcal{P}) \cong \wedge^0 V \oplus V \oplus \wedge^4 V \quad \leftarrow \text{"old minimal offshell" multiplet of D=4 N=1 SUGRA}$$

$$\text{Given } \Phi := (a, \psi, \omega) \in \wedge^0 V \oplus \wedge^1 V \oplus \wedge^4 V,$$

$$\exists! \text{ cycle } \beta^\Phi + \gamma^\Phi \in (V^* \otimes S^* \otimes S) \oplus (\odot^2 S^* \otimes \underline{\text{so}}(V)), \text{ and}$$

$$\beta^\Phi(v, s) = -v \cdot (a + \omega) \cdot s + (\psi \wedge v) \cdot \text{vol} \cdot s - 2\eta(\psi, v) \text{vol} \cdot s$$

giving rise to a connection $\mathcal{D}_X = \nabla_X + \beta_X$ on spinors ← old offshell minimal formulation of N=1 D=4 SUGRA

The backgrounds on which \mathcal{D} is flat are:

- | | | |
|------------------|------------------------------------|----------------|
| - Minkowski | - $\text{AdS}_3 \times \mathbb{R}$ | - Nappi-Witten |
| - AdS_4 | - $S^3 \times \mathbb{R}$ | |

(cf. Festuccia + Seiberg)

D=6 (de Medeiros + JMF + Sauti '18)

We have the choice of including the $\text{Sp}(1)$ R-symmetry in the $(1,0)$ $\mathcal{D}=6$ Poincaré superalgebra, and their Spencer cohomologies differ.

Without R-symmetry, we obtain the Killing spinor equations of $(1,0)$ $\mathcal{D}=6$ SUGRA, but with R-symmetry we go beyond(?) SUGRA.

The geometries admitting rigid $\mathcal{D}=6$ $(1,0)$ susy (but not nec. Poincaré) are:

- | | |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| - Minkowski | - $\text{AdS}_3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$ |
| - $\text{AdS}_5 \times \mathbb{R}$ | - $\text{AdS}_3 \times S^3$ |
| - $S^5 \times \mathbb{R}$ | - $\mathbb{R}^{2,1} \times S^3$ |
| - pp-wave | - pp-wave |

← same geometry, but different susy algebra