# The magnitude of metric spaces I

Tom Leinster (Glasgow/EPSRC)

Parts joint with

Mark Meckes (Case Western) Simon Willerton (Sheffield)

These slides are available on my web page

# Background

For many mathematical objects, there is a canonical notion of size.

Sets have cardinality

Sets	have	cardinality
Vector spaces	have	dimension

Sets	have	cardinality
Vector spaces	have	dimension
Topological spaces	have	Euler characteristic

Sets	have	cardinality
Vector spaces	have	dimension
Topological spaces	have	Euler characteristic
Posets	have	Euler characteristic

Sets	have	cardinality
Vector spaces	have	dimension
Topological spaces	have	Euler characteristic
Posets	have	Euler characteristic
Probability spaces	have	entropy

For many mathematical objects, there is a canonical notion of size.

Sets	have	cardinality
Vector spaces	have	dimension
Topological spaces	have	Euler characteristic
Posets	have	Euler characteristic
Probability spaces	have	entropy

Purpose of talk: introduce a new canonical notion of size...

For many mathematical objects, there is a canonical notion of size.

Sets	have	cardinality
Vector spaces	have	dimension
Topological spaces	have	Euler characteristic
Posets	have	Euler characteristic
Probability spaces	have	entropy

Purpose of talk: introduce a new canonical notion of size...

Metric spaces have magnitude

For many mathematical objects, there is a canonical notion of size.

Sets	have	cardinality
Vector spaces	have	dimension
Topological spaces	have	Euler characteristic
Posets	have	Euler characteristic
Probability spaces	have	entropy

Purpose of talk: introduce a new canonical notion of size...

Metric spaces have magnitude

 $\ldots\,$  and provide evidence that it subsumes many invariants of integral geometry.

There is a general concept of enriched category.

There is a general concept of enriched category. It includes:

sets

- sets
- posets

- sets
- posets
- (ordinary) categories

- sets
- posets
- (ordinary) categories
- associative algebras

- sets
- posets
- (ordinary) categories
- associative algebras
- metric spaces

There is a general concept of enriched category. It includes:

- sets
- posets
- (ordinary) categories
- associative algebras
- metric spaces

• ...

There is a general concept of enriched category. It includes:

- sets
- posets
- (ordinary) categories
- associative algebras
- metric spaces
- ...

There is a general definition of the magnitude of a (suitably finite) enriched category.

There is a general concept of enriched category. It includes:

- sets
- posets
- (ordinary) categories
- associative algebras
- metric spaces
- ...

There is a general definition of the magnitude of a (suitably finite) enriched category. It includes:

There is a general concept of enriched category. It includes:

- sets
- posets
- (ordinary) categories
- associative algebras
- metric spaces
- ...

There is a general definition of the magnitude of a (suitably finite) enriched category. It includes:

• cardinality of finite sets

There is a general concept of enriched category. It includes:

- sets
- posets
- (ordinary) categories
- associative algebras
- metric spaces
- ...

There is a general definition of the magnitude of a (suitably finite) enriched category. It includes:

- cardinality of finite sets
- Euler characteristic of posets

There is a general concept of enriched category. It includes:

- sets
- posets
- (ordinary) categories
- associative algebras
- metric spaces
- ...

There is a general definition of the magnitude of a (suitably finite) enriched category. It includes:

- cardinality of finite sets
- Euler characteristic of posets

• . . .

There is a general concept of enriched category. It includes:

- sets
- posets
- (ordinary) categories
- associative algebras
- metric spaces
- ...

There is a general definition of the magnitude of a (suitably finite) enriched category. It includes:

- cardinality of finite sets
- Euler characteristic of posets

• ...

and

There is a general concept of enriched category. It includes:

- sets
- posets
- (ordinary) categories
- associative algebras
- metric spaces
- ...

There is a general definition of the magnitude of a (suitably finite) enriched category. It includes:

- cardinality of finite sets
- Euler characteristic of posets
- . . .

#### and

magnitude of metric spaces.

Today (my talk)

# Today (my talk)

1. Magnitude of finite metric spaces

# Today (my talk)

- 1. Magnitude of finite metric spaces
- 2. Magnitude of infinite metric spaces

# Today (my talk)

- 1. Magnitude of finite metric spaces
- 2. Magnitude of infinite metric spaces

## Tomorrow (Simon Willerton)

# Today (my talk)

- 1. Magnitude of finite metric spaces
- 2. Magnitude of infinite metric spaces

## Tomorrow (Simon Willerton)

Example computations

# Today (my talk)

- 1. Magnitude of finite metric spaces
- 2. Magnitude of infinite metric spaces

## Tomorrow (Simon Willerton)

Example computations

Asymptotic behaviour of magnitude

# Today (my talk)

- 1. Magnitude of finite metric spaces
- 2. Magnitude of infinite metric spaces

## Tomorrow (Simon Willerton)

Example computations

Asymptotic behaviour of magnitude

Magnitude of manifolds

1. Magnitude of finite metric spaces

## The definition of magnitude
Let  $A = \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$  be a finite metric space.

Let  $A = \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$  be a finite metric space.

Write  $Z_A$  for the  $n \times n$  matrix with

$$(Z_A)_{ij} = e^{-d(a_i,a_j)} \in [0,1].$$

Let  $A = \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$  be a finite metric space.

Write  $Z_A$  for the  $n \times n$  matrix with

$$(Z_A)_{ij} = e^{-d(a_i,a_j)} \in [0,1].$$

A weighting on A is a column vector w such that

$$Z_A w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Let  $A = \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$  be a finite metric space.

Write  $Z_A$  for the  $n \times n$  matrix with

$$(Z_A)_{ij} = e^{-d(a_i,a_j)} \in [0,1].$$

A weighting on A is a column vector w such that

$$Z_A w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

If A admits a weighting, the magnitude of A is

$$|\mathbf{A}| = w_1 + \cdots + w_n.$$

Let  $A = \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$  be a finite metric space.

Write  $Z_A$  for the  $n \times n$  matrix with

$$(Z_A)_{ij} = e^{-d(a_i,a_j)} \in [0,1].$$

A weighting on A is a column vector w such that

$$Z_A w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

If A admits a weighting, the magnitude of A is

$$|\mathbf{A}| = w_1 + \cdots + w_n.$$

Fact: This is independent of the choice of weighting.

Let  $A = \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$  be a finite metric space.

Write  $Z_A$  for the  $n \times n$  matrix with

$$(Z_A)_{ij} = e^{-d(a_i,a_j)} \in [0,1].$$

A weighting on A is a column vector w such that

$$Z_A w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

If A admits a weighting, the magnitude of A is

$$|\mathbf{A}| = w_1 + \cdots + w_n.$$

Fact: This is independent of the choice of weighting. 'Usually'  $Z_A$  is invertible.

Let  $A = \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$  be a finite metric space.

Write  $Z_A$  for the  $n \times n$  matrix with

$$(Z_A)_{ij} = e^{-d(a_i,a_j)} \in [0,1].$$

A weighting on A is a column vector w such that

$$Z_A w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

If A admits a weighting, the magnitude of A is

$$|\mathbf{A}| = w_1 + \cdots + w_n.$$

Fact: This is independent of the choice of weighting. 'Usually'  $Z_A$  is invertible. Then there is exactly one weighting

Let  $A = \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$  be a finite metric space.

Write  $Z_A$  for the  $n \times n$  matrix with

$$(Z_A)_{ij} = e^{-d(a_i,a_j)} \in [0,1].$$

A weighting on A is a column vector w such that

$$Z_A w = egin{pmatrix} 1 \ dots \ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

If A admits a weighting, the magnitude of A is

$$|\mathbf{A}| = w_1 + \cdots + w_n.$$

Fact: This is independent of the choice of weighting.

'Usually'  $Z_A$  is invertible. Then there is exactly one weighting, and

$$|A| = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} (Z_A^{-1})_{ij}$$



•  $|\emptyset| = 0$  and  $|\bullet| = 1$ 

•  $|\emptyset| = 0$  and  $|\bullet| = 1$ • Let  $A = (\stackrel{\leftarrow}{\bullet} \stackrel{r}{\to} )$ .

•  $|\emptyset| = 0$  and  $|\bullet| = 1$ • Let  $A = (\stackrel{\leftarrow}{\bullet} \stackrel{r}{\to} )$ . Then

$$Z_{A} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-0} & e^{-r} \\ e^{-r} & e^{-0} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & e^{-r} \\ e^{-r} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

•  $|\emptyset| = 0$  and  $|\bullet| = 1$ • Let  $A = (\bullet^{-r} \to \bullet)$ . Then  $Z_A = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-0} & e^{-r} \\ e^{-r} & e^{-0} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & e^{-r} \\ e^{-r} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ 

and

$$|A| =$$
 sum of all four entries of  $Z_A^{-1}$ 

•  $|\emptyset| = 0$  and  $|\bullet| = 1$ • Let  $A = (\bullet \stackrel{\leftarrow}{\bullet} \stackrel{r}{\bullet})$ . Then

$$Z_A = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-0} & e^{-r} \\ e^{-r} & e^{-0} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & e^{-r} \\ e^{-r} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

 $\mathsf{and}$ 

$$|A| =$$
 sum of all four entries of  $Z_A^{-1} = 1 + tanh(r/2)$ .

•  $|\emptyset| = 0$  and  $|\bullet| = 1$ • Let  $A = (\bullet \stackrel{\leftarrow}{\bullet} \stackrel{r}{\to} \bullet)$ . Then

$$Z_{A} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-0} & e^{-r} \\ e^{-r} & e^{-0} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & e^{-r} \\ e^{-r} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$|A| = \text{sum of all four entries of } Z_A^{-1} = 1 + \tanh(r/2).$$



•  $|\emptyset| = 0$  and  $|\bullet| = 1$ • Let  $A = (\bullet^{\frown r} \to^{\frown})$ . Then  $Z_A = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-0} & e^{-r} \\ e^{-r} & e^{-0} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & e^{-r} \\ e^{-r} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ 



•  $|\emptyset| = 0$  and  $|\bullet| = 1$ • Let  $A = (\stackrel{\leftarrow}{\bullet} \stackrel{r}{\to} \bullet)$ . Then  $Z_A = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-0} & e^{-r} \\ e^{-r} & e^{-0} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & e^{-r} \\ e^{-r} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ 



•  $|\emptyset| = 0$  and  $|\bullet| = 1$ • Let  $A = (\stackrel{\leftarrow}{\bullet} \stackrel{r}{\to} \bullet)$ . Then  $Z_A = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-0} & e^{-r} \\ e^{-r} & e^{-0} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & e^{-r} \\ e^{-r} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ 



• If  $d(a, b) = \infty$  for all  $a \neq b$  then |A| = #A: magnitude = cardinality.

Magnitude assigns to each metric space not just a *number*, but a *function*.

Magnitude assigns to each metric space not just a *number*, but a *function*. For t > 0, write tA for A scaled up by a factor of t:

 $d_{tA}(a,b) = td(a,b).$ 

Magnitude assigns to each metric space not just a *number*, but a *function*. For t > 0, write tA for A scaled up by a factor of t:

 $d_{tA}(a,b)=td(a,b).$ 

The magnitude function of a metric space A is the partially-defined function

$$egin{array}{ccc} [0,\infty) & o & \mathbb{R} \ t & \mapsto & |t\mathcal{A}|. \end{array}$$

Magnitude assigns to each metric space not just a *number*, but a *function*. For t > 0, write tA for A scaled up by a factor of t:

 $d_{tA}(a,b)=td(a,b).$ 

The magnitude function of a metric space A is the partially-defined function

$$egin{array}{ccc} (0,\infty) & o & \mathbb{R} \ t & \mapsto & |tA|. \end{array}$$

E.g.: the magnitude function of  $A = (\bullet^{\leftarrow} 1 \xrightarrow{\rightarrow})$  is

Magnitude assigns to each metric space not just a *number*, but a *function*. For t > 0, write tA for A scaled up by a factor of t:

 $d_{tA}(a,b)=td(a,b).$ 

The magnitude function of a metric space A is the partially-defined function

$(0,\infty)$	$\rightarrow$	$\mathbb R$
t	$\mapsto$	tA .

E.g.: the magnitude function of  $A = (\stackrel{\leftarrow}{\bullet} \stackrel{1}{\to})$  is



The magnitude function of A is the partially-defined function

$$egin{array}{ccc} 0,\infty) &
ightarrow & \mathbb{R} \ t &\mapsto & |tA| \end{array}$$

The magnitude function of A is the partially-defined function

$$egin{array}{ccc} (0,\infty) & o & \mathbb{R} \ t & \mapsto & |tA|. \end{array}$$

Theorem

Let A be a finite metric space.

The magnitude function of A is the partially-defined function

$$egin{array}{rcl} 0,\infty)&
ightarrow&\mathbb{R}\ t&\mapsto&|tA|, \end{array}$$

Theorem

Let A be a finite metric space. Then:

• The magnitude function of A has only finitely many singularities

The magnitude function of A is the partially-defined function

$$egin{array}{rcl} 0,\infty)&
ightarrow&\mathbb{R}\ t&\mapsto&|tA|, \end{array}$$

Theorem

Let A be a finite metric space. Then:

- The magnitude function of A has only finitely many singularities
- For  $t \gg 0$ , the magnitude function of A is strictly increasing

The magnitude function of A is the partially-defined function

$$egin{array}{ccc} (0,\infty) & o & \mathbb{R} \ t & \mapsto & |tA|, \end{array}$$

#### Theorem

Let A be a finite metric space. Then:

- The magnitude function of A has only finitely many singularities
- For  $t \gg 0$ , the magnitude function of A is strictly increasing
- $\lim_{t\to\infty} |tA| = \#A.$

#### The magnitude function of A is the partially-defined function

$$egin{array}{ccc} 0,\infty)&
ightarrow&\mathbb{R}\ t&\mapsto&|t\mathcal{A}|. \end{array}$$

#### Warning example:



#### The magnitude function of A is the partially-defined function

$$egin{array}{ccc} 0,\infty)&
ightarrow&\mathbb{R}\ t&\mapsto&|t\mathcal{A}|. \end{array}$$







#### The magnitude function of A is the partially-defined function

$$egin{array}{ccc} 0,\infty)&
ightarrow&\mathbb{R}\ t&\mapsto&|t\mathcal{A}|. \end{array}$$



#### Warning example:



#### The magnitude function of A is the partially-defined function

$$egin{array}{ccc} 0,\infty)&
ightarrow&\mathbb{R}\ t&\mapsto&|t\mathcal{A}|. \end{array}$$



#### Warning example:



#### The magnitude function of A is the partially-defined function

$$egin{array}{ccc} 0,\infty)&
ightarrow&\mathbb{R}\ t&\mapsto&|t\mathcal{A}|. \end{array}$$



#### Warning example:



#### The magnitude function of A is the partially-defined function

$$egin{array}{ccc} 0,\infty)&
ightarrow&\mathbb{R}\ t&\mapsto&|t\mathcal{A}|. \end{array}$$



#### Warning example:


# The magnitude function of a space

#### The magnitude function of A is the partially-defined function

$$egin{array}{ccc} 0,\infty)& o&\mathbb{R}\ t&\mapsto&|t\mathcal{A}|. \end{array}$$



#### Warning example:

Let A be the 5-point space given by the shortest-path metric on the graph opposite.



# The magnitude function of a space

#### The magnitude function of A is the partially-defined function

$$egin{array}{ccc} 0,\infty)&
ightarrow&\mathbb{R}\ t&\mapsto&|t\mathcal{A}|. \end{array}$$



### Warning example:

Let A be the 5-point space given by the shortest-path metric on the graph opposite.



Roughly, these are the spaces for which 'surprising' behaviour does not occur.

Roughly, these are the spaces for which 'surprising' behaviour does not occur.

### Definition

A finite metric space A is positive definite if its matrix  $Z_A$  is positive definite.

Roughly, these are the spaces for which 'surprising' behaviour does not occur.

### Definition

A finite metric space A is positive definite if its matrix  $Z_A$  is positive definite.

Positive definite  $\Rightarrow$  invertible, so then |A| is defined.

Roughly, these are the spaces for which 'surprising' behaviour does not occur.

### Definition

A finite metric space A is positive definite if its matrix  $Z_A$  is positive definite.

Positive definite  $\Rightarrow$  invertible, so then |A| is defined.

### Theorem

Let  $A = \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$  be a positive definite metric space.

Roughly, these are the spaces for which 'surprising' behaviour does not occur.

### Definition

A finite metric space A is positive definite if its matrix  $Z_A$  is positive definite.

Positive definite  $\Rightarrow$  invertible, so then |A| is defined.

### Theorem

Let  $A = \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$  be a positive definite metric space. Then:

|A| ≥ 0

Roughly, these are the spaces for which 'surprising' behaviour does not occur.

### Definition

A finite metric space A is positive definite if its matrix  $Z_A$  is positive definite.

Positive definite  $\Rightarrow$  invertible, so then |A| is defined.

### Theorem

Let  $A = \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$  be a positive definite metric space. Then:

- |A| ≥ 0
- every subspace  $B \subseteq A$  is positive definite, and  $|B| \leq |A|$

Roughly, these are the spaces for which 'surprising' behaviour does not occur.

### Definition

A finite metric space A is positive definite if its matrix  $Z_A$  is positive definite.

Positive definite  $\Rightarrow$  invertible, so then |A| is defined.

### Theorem

Let  $A = \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$  be a positive definite metric space. Then:

- |A| ≥ 0
- every subspace  $B \subseteq A$  is positive definite, and  $|B| \le |A|$

• 
$$|A| = \sup_{v \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} \frac{(\sum v_i)^2}{v^{\mathrm{t}} Z_A v}.$$

### Theorem

Every finite subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  is positive definite.

#### Theorem

Every finite subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  is positive definite. In particular, every finite subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  has well-defined magnitude.

#### Theorem

Every finite subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  is positive definite. In particular, every finite subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  has well-defined magnitude.

Outline of proof:

### Theorem

Every finite subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  is positive definite. In particular, every finite subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  has well-defined magnitude.

Outline of proof:

• Reduce to showing that the Fourier transform of  $x\mapsto e^{-\|x\|}$  is everywhere positive

### Theorem

Every finite subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  is positive definite. In particular, every finite subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  has well-defined magnitude.

Outline of proof:

- Reduce to showing that the Fourier transform of  $x \mapsto e^{-\|x\|}$  is everywhere positive
- Use known formula for this Fourier transform.

### Theorem

Every finite subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  is positive definite. In particular, every finite subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  has well-defined magnitude.

Outline of proof:

- Reduce to showing that the Fourier transform of  $x \mapsto e^{-\|x\|}$  is everywhere positive
- Use known formula for this Fourier transform.

More generally, write  $\ell_p^N$  for  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with the  $\ell^p$  metric.

### Theorem

Every finite subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  is positive definite. In particular, every finite subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  has well-defined magnitude.

Outline of proof:

- Reduce to showing that the Fourier transform of  $x \mapsto e^{-\|x\|}$  is everywhere positive
- Use known formula for this Fourier transform.

More generally, write  $\ell_p^N$  for  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with the  $\ell^p$  metric.

### Theorem (Meckes)

Let  $p \leq 2$ . Then every finite subset of  $\ell_p^N$  is positive definite.

There is a definition of the entropy of a probability distribution on a finite set.

There is a definition of the entropy of a probability distribution on a finite set.

There is also a definition of the entropy of a probability distribution on a finite metric space, taking the metric into account.

There is a definition of the entropy of a probability distribution on a finite set.

There is also a definition of the entropy of a probability distribution on a finite metric space, taking the metric into account.

There is a definition of the entropy of a probability distribution on a finite set.

There is also a definition of the entropy of a probability distribution on a finite metric space, taking the metric into account.

This is important in theoretical ecology:

• points represent species

There is a definition of the entropy of a probability distribution on a finite set.

There is also a definition of the entropy of a probability distribution on a finite metric space, taking the metric into account.

- points represent species
- distances represent differences (e.g. genetic) between species

There is a definition of the entropy of a probability distribution on a finite set.

There is also a definition of the entropy of a probability distribution on a finite metric space, taking the metric into account.

- points represent species
- distances represent differences (e.g. genetic) between species
- probabilities represent relative frequencies of species

There is a definition of the entropy of a probability distribution on a finite set.

There is also a definition of the entropy of a probability distribution on a finite metric space, taking the metric into account.

- points represent species
- distances represent differences (e.g. genetic) between species
- probabilities represent relative frequencies of species
- entropy measures biological diversity.

There is a definition of the entropy of a probability distribution on a finite set.

There is also a definition of the entropy of a probability distribution on a finite metric space, taking the metric into account.

This is important in theoretical ecology:

- points represent species
- distances represent differences (e.g. genetic) between species
- probabilities represent relative frequencies of species
- entropy measures biological diversity.

### Maximum diversity/entropy problem:

Given a list of species, which frequency distribution maximizes the diversity?

There is a definition of the entropy of a probability distribution on a finite set.

There is also a definition of the entropy of a probability distribution on a finite metric space, taking the metric into account.

This is important in theoretical ecology:

- points represent species
- distances represent differences (e.g. genetic) between species
- probabilities represent relative frequencies of species
- entropy measures biological diversity.

### Maximum diversity/entropy problem:

Given a list of species, which frequency distribution maximizes the diversity?

The solution is given in terms of weightings and magnitude.

There is a definition of the entropy of a probability distribution on a finite set.

There is also a definition of the entropy of a probability distribution on a finite metric space, taking the metric into account.

This is important in theoretical ecology:

- points represent species
- distances represent differences (e.g. genetic) between species
- probabilities represent relative frequencies of species
- entropy measures biological diversity.

### Maximum diversity/entropy problem:

Given a list of species, which frequency distribution maximizes the diversity?

The solution is given in terms of weightings and magnitude.

Magnitude can be understood as something like maximum entropy.

2. Magnitude of infinite metric spaces

Idea: Define magnitude of infinite spaces via finite approximations.

Idea: Define magnitude of infinite spaces via finite approximations. This works best if we stay in the world of positive definite spaces.

Idea: Define magnitude of infinite spaces via finite approximations. This works best if we stay in the world of positive definite spaces.

### Definition

A metric space is **positive definite** if every finite subspace is positive definite.

Idea: Define magnitude of infinite spaces via finite approximations. This works best if we stay in the world of positive definite spaces.

## Definition

A metric space is positive definite if every finite subspace is positive definite.

E.g.:  $\mathbb{R}^N$  is positive definite.

Idea: Define magnitude of infinite spaces via finite approximations. This works best if we stay in the world of positive definite spaces.

Definition

A metric space is positive definite if every finite subspace is positive definite.

E.g.:  $\mathbb{R}^N$  is positive definite.

Definition

Let A be a compact, positive definite metric space.

Idea: Define magnitude of infinite spaces via finite approximations. This works best if we stay in the world of positive definite spaces.

## Definition

A metric space is positive definite if every finite subspace is positive definite.

E.g.:  $\mathbb{R}^N$  is positive definite.

## Definition

Let A be a compact, positive definite metric space. The magnitude of A is

$$|A| = \sup\{|B| : B \text{ is a finite subset of } A\} \in [0, \infty].$$
## From finite to infinite spaces

Idea: Define magnitude of infinite spaces via finite approximations. This works best if we stay in the world of positive definite spaces.

#### Definition

A metric space is positive definite if every finite subspace is positive definite.

E.g.:  $\mathbb{R}^N$  is positive definite.

#### Definition

Let A be a compact, positive definite metric space. The magnitude of A is

$$|A| = \sup\{|B| : B \text{ is a finite subset of } A\} \in [0, \infty].$$

(These definitions are consistent with the definitions for finite spaces.)

Alternative idea: Instead of using finite approximations, work directly with measures on the space.

Alternative idea: Instead of using finite approximations, work directly with measures on the space.

A weight measure on a compact metric space A is a signed Borel measure w such that

for all 
$$a \in A$$
,  $\int_A e^{-d(a,b)} dw(b) = 1$ .

Alternative idea: Instead of using finite approximations, work directly with measures on the space.

A weight measure on a compact metric space A is a signed Borel measure w such that

for all 
$$a \in A$$
,  $\int_A e^{-d(a,b)} dw(b) = 1$ .

If a weight measure exists, the measure magnitude of A is w(A).

Alternative idea: Instead of using finite approximations, work directly with measures on the space.

A weight measure on a compact metric space A is a signed Borel measure w such that

for all 
$$a \in A$$
,  $\int_A^{\cdot} e^{-d(a,b)} dw(b) = 1$ .

If a weight measure exists, the measure magnitude of A is w(A).

Meckes has theorems stating that the two approaches give the same answers, *in so far as* measure magnitude is defined.

Alternative idea: Instead of using finite approximations, work directly with measures on the space.

A weight measure on a compact metric space A is a signed Borel measure w such that

for all 
$$a \in A$$
,  $\int_A^{\cdot} e^{-d(a,b)} dw(b) = 1$ .

If a weight measure exists, the measure magnitude of A is w(A).

Meckes has theorems stating that the two approaches give the same answers, *in so far as* measure magnitude is defined.

But the measure approach currently has some limitations.

Alternative idea: Instead of using finite approximations, work directly with measures on the space.

A weight measure on a compact metric space A is a signed Borel measure w such that

for all 
$$a \in A$$
,  $\int_A^{\cdot} e^{-d(a,b)} dw(b) = 1$ .

If a weight measure exists, the measure magnitude of A is w(A).

Meckes has theorems stating that the two approaches give the same answers, *in so far as* measure magnitude is defined.

But the measure approach currently has some limitations.

So in what follows, we use the finite-approximation definition of magnitude.

Theorem

Let  $L \ge 0$ . Let  $(A_k)$  be a sequence of finite subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}A_k=[0,L]$$

in the Hausdorff topology.

Theorem

Let  $L \ge 0$ . Let  $(A_k)$  be a sequence of finite subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}A_k=[0,L]$$

in the Hausdorff topology. Then

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}|A_k| =$$

Theorem

Let  $L \ge 0$ . Let  $(A_k)$  be a sequence of finite subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}A_k=[0,L]$$

in the Hausdorff topology. Then

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}|A_k|=1+\tfrac{1}{2}L.$$

Theorem

Let  $L \ge 0$ . Let  $(A_k)$  be a sequence of finite subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}A_k=[0,L]$$

in the Hausdorff topology. Then

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}|A_k|=1+\tfrac{1}{2}L.$$

Hence  $|[0, L]| = 1 + \frac{1}{2}L$ 

Theorem

Let  $L \ge 0$ . Let  $(A_k)$  be a sequence of finite subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}A_k=[0,L]$$

in the Hausdorff topology. Then

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}|A_k|=1+\frac{1}{2}L.$$

Hence  $|[0, L]| = 1 + \frac{1}{2}L$ , and [0, L] has magnitude function  $t \mapsto |t[0, L]| = |[0, tL]| = 1 + \frac{1}{2}L \cdot t$ 

Theorem

Let  $L \ge 0$ . Let  $(A_k)$  be a sequence of finite subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}A_k=[0,L]$$

in the Hausdorff topology. Then

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}|A_k|=1+\tfrac{1}{2}L.$$

Euler characteristic Hence  $|[0, L]| = 1 + \frac{1}{2}L$ , and [0, L] has magnitude function  $t \mapsto |t[0, L]| = |[0, tL]| = 1 + \frac{1}{2}L \cdot t$ 

Theorem

Let  $L \ge 0$ . Let  $(A_k)$  be a sequence of finite subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}A_k=[0,L]$$

in the Hausdorff topology. Then

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}|A_k|=1+\tfrac{1}{2}L.$$

Euler characteristic Hence  $|[0, L]| = 1 + \frac{1}{2}L$ , and [0, L] has magnitude function  $t \mapsto |t[0, L]| = |[0, tL]| = 1 + \frac{1}{2}L \cdot t$ 

Theorem

Let  $L \ge 0$ . Let  $(A_k)$  be a sequence of finite subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}A_k=[0,L]$$

in the Hausdorff topology. Then

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}|A_k|=1+\tfrac{1}{2}L.$$

Euler characteristic Hence  $|[0, L]| = 1 + \frac{1}{2}L$ , and [0, L] has magnitude function  $t \mapsto |t[0, L]| = |[0, tL]| = 1 + \frac{1}{2}L \cdot t^1$ 

Theorem

Let  $L \ge 0$ . Let  $(A_k)$  be a sequence of finite subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that

 $\lim_{k\to\infty}A_k=[0,L]$ 

in the Hausdorff topology. Then

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}|A_k|=1+\tfrac{1}{2}L.$$

Euler characteristic Hence  $|[0, L]| = 1 + \frac{1}{2}L$ , and [0, L] has magnitude function  $t \mapsto |t[0, L]| = |[0, tL]| = 1 + \frac{1}{2}L \cdot t^{1}$ 

Theorem

Let  $L \ge 0$ . Let  $(A_k)$  be a sequence of finite subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}A_k=[0,L]$$

in the Hausdorff topology. Then

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}|A_k|=1+\tfrac{1}{2}L.$$



Magnitude comes from enriched category theory...

Theorem

Let  $L \ge 0$ . Let  $(A_k)$  be a sequence of finite subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}A_k=[0,L]$$

in the Hausdorff topology. Then

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}|A_k|=1+\tfrac{1}{2}L.$$



Magnitude comes from enriched category theory...

... but produces geometric invariants.

Let A and B be metric spaces. Write  $A \otimes B$  for their ' $\ell^1$ -product': the set of points is  $A \times B$ , and

$$d_{A\otimes B}((a,b),(a',b'))=d_A(a,a')+d_B(b,b').$$

Let *A* and *B* be metric spaces. Write  $A \otimes B$  for their ' $\ell^1$ -product': the set of points is  $A \times B$ , and

$$d_{A\otimes B}((a,b),(a',b'))=d_A(a,a')+d_B(b,b').$$

E.g.: a cuboid  $[0, L_1] \times \cdots \times [0, L_N] \subset \ell_1^N$ , with the subspace metric, is  $[0, L_1] \otimes \cdots \otimes [0, L_N]$ 

as an abstract metric space.

Let A and B be metric spaces. Write  $A \otimes B$  for their ' $\ell^1$ -product': the set of points is  $A \times B$ , and

$$d_{A\otimes B}((a,b),(a',b'))=d_A(a,a')+d_B(b,b').$$

E.g.: a cuboid  $[0, L_1] \times \cdots \times [0, L_N] \subset \ell_1^N$ , with the subspace metric, is  $[0, L_1] \otimes \cdots \otimes [0, L_N]$ 

as an abstract metric space.

Lemma

 $|A \otimes B| = |A| \cdot |B|.$ 

Can now calculate magnitude function of  $[0, L_1] \times [0, L_2] \subset \ell_1^2$ : it is

 $t \mapsto |t([0, L_1] \otimes [0, L_2])|$ 

Can now calculate magnitude function of  $[0, L_1] \times [0, L_2] \subset \ell_1^2$ : it is

 $t \mapsto |t([0, L_1] \otimes [0, L_2])| = |[0, tL_1] \otimes [0, tL_2]|$ 

$$\begin{array}{rcl} t & \mapsto & |t([0,L_1]\otimes [0,L_2])| = |[0,tL_1]\otimes [0,tL_2] \\ & = & |[0,tL_1]| \cdot |[0,tL_2]| \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} t & \mapsto & |t\big([0,L_1]\otimes[0,L_2]\big)| = |[0,tL_1]\otimes[0,tL_2] \\ & = & |[0,tL_1]|\cdot|[0,tL_2]| \\ & = & \big(1+\frac{1}{2}L_1t\big)\cdot\big(1+\frac{1}{2}L_2t\big) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} t & \mapsto & |t\big([0,L_1]\otimes [0,L_2]\big)| = |[0,tL_1]\otimes [0,tL_2] \\ & = & |[0,tL_1]| \cdot |[0,tL_2]| \\ & = & \big(1+\frac{1}{2}L_1t\big) \cdot \big(1+\frac{1}{2}L_2t\big) \\ & = & 1+\frac{1}{2}(L_1+L_2)t + \frac{1}{4}L_1L_2t^2 \end{array}$$

Can now calculate magnitude function of  $[0, L_1] \times [0, L_2] \subset \ell_1^2$ : it is

$$t \mapsto |t([0, L_1] \otimes [0, L_2])| = |[0, tL_1] \otimes [0, tL_2]|$$
  
=  $|[0, tL_1]| \cdot |[0, tL_2]|$   
=  $(1 + \frac{1}{2}L_1t) \cdot (1 + \frac{1}{2}L_2t)$   
=  $1 + \frac{1}{2}(L_1 + L_2)t + \frac{1}{4}L_1L_2t^2$ 

Euler characteristic

$$t \mapsto |t([0, L_1] \otimes [0, L_2])| = |[0, tL_1] \otimes [0, tL_2]|$$
  
=  $|[0, tL_1]| \cdot |[0, tL_2]|$   
=  $(1 + \frac{1}{2}L_1t) \cdot (1 + \frac{1}{2}L_2t)$   
=  $[1] + \frac{1}{2}(L_1 + L_2)t + \frac{1}{4}L_1L_2t^2$   
Euler characteristic semiperimeter





Can now calculate magnitude function of  $[0, L_1] \times [0, L_2] \subset \ell_1^2$ : it is



In general, the magnitude function of the cuboid  $A = [0, L_1] \times \cdots \times [0, L_N] \subset \ell_1^N$ 

Can now calculate magnitude function of  $[0, L_1] \times [0, L_2] \subset \ell_1^2$ : it is



In general, the magnitude function of the cuboid  $A = [0, L_1] \times \cdots \times [0, L_N] \subset \ell_1^N$  is

$$t\mapsto \sum_{i=0}^N 2^{-i}\mu_i(A)t^i$$

where  $\mu_i$  is *i*-dimensional intrinsic volume.
We know: the magnitude function of a cuboid  $A \subset \ell_1^N$  is

$$t\mapsto \sum_{i=0}^N 2^{-i}\mu_i(A)t^i.$$

We know: the magnitude function of a cuboid  $A \subset \ell_1^N$  is

$$t\mapsto \sum_{i=0}^N 2^{-i}\mu_i(A)t^i.$$

Lesson: For this particular class of spaces, the magnitude function encodes many important invariants:

We know: the magnitude function of a cuboid  $A \subset \ell_1^N$  is

$$t\mapsto \sum_{i=0}^N 2^{-i}\mu_i(A)t^i.$$

Lesson: For this particular class of spaces, the magnitude function encodes many important invariants:

• all the intrinsic volumes

We know: the magnitude function of a cuboid  $A \subset \ell_1^N$  is

$$t\mapsto \sum_{i=0}^N 2^{-i}\mu_i(A)t^i.$$

Lesson: For this particular class of spaces, the magnitude function encodes many important invariants:

- all the intrinsic volumes
- the dimension.

We know: the magnitude function of a cuboid  $A \subset \ell_1^N$  is

$$t\mapsto \sum_{i=0}^N 2^{-i}\mu_i(A)t^i.$$

Lesson: For this particular class of spaces, the magnitude function encodes many important invariants:

- all the intrinsic volumes
- the dimension.

Conjectural principle: The same is true for a much larger class of spaces

We know: the magnitude function of a cuboid  $A \subset \ell_1^N$  is

$$t\mapsto \sum_{i=0}^N 2^{-i}\mu_i(A)t^i.$$

Lesson: For this particular class of spaces, the magnitude function encodes many important invariants:

- all the intrinsic volumes
- the dimension.

Conjectural principle: The same is true for a much larger class of spaces, including convex subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with the Euclidean metric.

Example of this principle:

Example of this principle:

For a metric space A, define

$$\mathsf{dim}(A) = \mathsf{growth}(t \mapsto |tA|)$$

## Example of this principle:

For a metric space A, define

$$\dim(A) = \operatorname{growth}(t \mapsto |tA|)$$

where the growth of a function  $f:(0,\infty) 
ightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined by

$$growth(f) = \inf \{ \nu \in \mathbb{R} : \frac{f(t)}{t^{\nu}} \text{ is bounded for } t \gg 0 \}.$$

## Example of this principle:

For a metric space A, define

$$\dim(A) = \operatorname{growth}(t \mapsto |tA|)$$

where the growth of a function  $f:(0,\infty) 
ightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined by

$$growth(f) = \inf \{ \nu \in \mathbb{R} : \frac{f(t)}{t^{\nu}} \text{ is bounded for } t \gg 0 \}.$$

E.g.: for nondegenerate cuboids  $A \subset \ell_1^N$ , we have dim(A) = N.

## Example of this principle:

For a metric space A, define

$$\dim(A) = \operatorname{growth}(t \mapsto |tA|)$$

where the growth of a function  $f:(0,\infty) 
ightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined by

$$growth(f) = \inf \{ \nu \in \mathbb{R} : \frac{f(t)}{t^{\nu}} \text{ is bounded for } t \gg 0 \}.$$

E.g.: for nondegenerate cuboids  $A \subset \ell_1^N$ , we have dim(A) = N. Theorem

Let A be a compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , with Euclidean metric.

## Example of this principle:

For a metric space A, define

$$\dim(A) = \operatorname{growth}(t \mapsto |tA|)$$

where the growth of a function  $f:(0,\infty) 
ightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined by

$$growth(f) = \inf \{ \nu \in \mathbb{R} : \frac{f(t)}{t^{\nu}} \text{ is bounded for } t \gg 0 \}.$$

E.g.: for nondegenerate cuboids  $A \subset \ell_1^N$ , we have dim(A) = N. Theorem

Let A be a compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , with Euclidean metric. Then

 $\dim(A) \leq N$ 

## Example of this principle:

For a metric space A, define

$$\dim(A) = \operatorname{growth}(t \mapsto |tA|)$$

where the growth of a function  $f:(0,\infty) 
ightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined by

$$growth(f) = \inf \{ \nu \in \mathbb{R} : \frac{f(t)}{t^{\nu}} \text{ is bounded for } t \gg 0 \}.$$

E.g.: for nondegenerate cuboids  $A \subset \ell_1^N$ , we have dim(A) = N. Theorem

Let A be a compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , with Euclidean metric. Then

 $\dim(A) \leq N$ 

with equality if A has nonzero Lebesgue measure.

Conjecture

Let A be a compact, convex subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , with Euclidean metric.

Conjecture

Let A be a compact, convex subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , with Euclidean metric. Then

|A| =

#### Conjecture

Let A be a compact, convex subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , with Euclidean metric. Then

$$|A| = \sum_{i=0}^{N} \frac{1}{i!\omega_i} \mu_i(A)$$

where  $\omega_i$  is the volume of the unit *i*-ball.

#### Conjecture

Let A be a compact, convex subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , with Euclidean metric. Then

$$|A| = \sum_{i=0}^{N} \frac{1}{i!\omega_i} \mu_i(A)$$

where  $\omega_i$  is the volume of the unit *i*-ball.

If this is true then A has magnitude function  $t \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^{N} \frac{1}{i!\omega_i} \mu_i(A) \cdot t^i$ .

#### Conjecture

Let A be a compact, convex subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , with Euclidean metric. Then

$$|A| = \sum_{i=0}^{N} \frac{1}{i!\omega_i} \mu_i(A)$$

where  $\omega_i$  is the volume of the unit *i*-ball.

If this is true then A has magnitude function  $t \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^{N} \frac{1}{i!\omega_i} \mu_i(A) \cdot t^i$ .

So, all of the intrinsic volumes of a convex set (as well as the dimension) can be extracted from its magnitude function.

#### Conjecture

Let A be a compact, convex subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , with Euclidean metric. Then

$$|A| = \sum_{i=0}^{N} \frac{1}{i!\omega_i} \mu_i(A)$$

where  $\omega_i$  is the volume of the unit *i*-ball.

If this is true then A has magnitude function  $t \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^{N} \frac{1}{i!\omega_i} \mu_i(A) \cdot t^i$ .

Evidence for the conjecture:

• We know that the magnitude function of A has growth N

#### Conjecture

Let A be a compact, convex subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , with Euclidean metric. Then

$$|A| = \sum_{i=0}^{N} \frac{1}{i!\omega_i} \mu_i(A)$$

where  $\omega_i$  is the volume of the unit *i*-ball.

If this is true then A has magnitude function  $t \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^{N} \frac{1}{i!\omega_i} \mu_i(A) \cdot t^i$ .

- We know that the magnitude function of A has growth N
- Theorem:  $|A| \ge \frac{1}{N!\omega_N} \mu_N(A)$  for all compact  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^N$

#### Conjecture

Let A be a compact, convex subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , with Euclidean metric. Then

$$|A| = \sum_{i=0}^{N} \frac{1}{i!\omega_i} \mu_i(A)$$

where  $\omega_i$  is the volume of the unit *i*-ball.

If this is true then A has magnitude function  $t \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^{N} \frac{1}{i!\omega_i} \mu_i(A) \cdot t^i$ .

- We know that the magnitude function of A has growth N
- Theorem:  $|A| \ge \frac{1}{N!\omega_N} \mu_N(A)$  for all compact  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^N$
- A heuristic argument suggests that the top coefficient is right

#### Conjecture

Let A be a compact, convex subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , with Euclidean metric. Then

$$|A| = \sum_{i=0}^{N} \frac{1}{i!\omega_i} \mu_i(A)$$

where  $\omega_i$  is the volume of the unit *i*-ball.

If this is true then A has magnitude function  $t \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^{N} \frac{1}{i!\omega_i} \mu_i(A) \cdot t^i$ .

- We know that the magnitude function of A has growth N
- Theorem:  $|A| \ge \frac{1}{N!\omega_N} \mu_N(A)$  for all compact  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^N$
- A heuristic argument suggests that the top coefficient is right
- An analogous conjecture holds for many subsets of  $\ell_1^N$ , including cuboids

#### Conjecture

Let A be a compact, convex subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , with Euclidean metric. Then

$$|A| = \sum_{i=0}^{N} \frac{1}{i!\omega_i} \mu_i(A)$$

where  $\omega_i$  is the volume of the unit *i*-ball.

If this is true then A has magnitude function  $t \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^{N} \frac{1}{i!\omega_i} \mu_i(A) \cdot t^i$ .

- We know that the magnitude function of A has growth N
- Theorem:  $|A| \ge \frac{1}{N!\omega_N} \mu_N(A)$  for all compact  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^N$
- A heuristic argument suggests that the top coefficient is right
- An analogous conjecture holds for many subsets of  $\ell_1^N$ , including cuboids
- Numerical computations support the conjecture.

## Magnitude is a canonical invariant of metric spaces

Magnitude is a canonical invariant of metric spaces

Magnitude appears to subsume the most important invariants of integral geometry

Magnitude is a canonical invariant of metric spaces

# Magnitude appears to subsume the most important invariants of integral geometry

A conjecture states this precisely for convex subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ 

Magnitude is a canonical invariant of metric spaces

Magnitude appears to subsume the most important invariants of integral geometry

A conjecture states this precisely for convex subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ 

We would like someone here to prove it.

Magnitude is a canonical invariant of metric spaces

Magnitude appears to subsume the most important invariants of integral geometry

A conjecture states this precisely for convex subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ 

We would like someone here to prove it.

Tomorrow:

Magnitude contains more than just known invariants