## Category Theory 5

## Representables

This is to accompany the reading of 31 October–7 November. Please report mistakes and obscurities to T.Leinster@maths.gla.ac.uk.

Some questions on these sheets require knowledge of other areas of mathematics; skip any that you haven't the background for. That aside, I encourage you to do *all* the questions, and remind you that the exam questions are likely to bear a strong resemblance to the questions here.

- 1. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a locally small category. What does it mean for a presheaf X on  $\mathcal{A}$  to be **representable**? What is a **representation** of X?
- 2. Write down five examples of representable functors. (It's possible to answer this with almost no inventiveness at all: just look at the definition of representability.)
- 3. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a locally small category and  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ . Show that if  $H_A \cong H_B$  then  $A \cong B$ .
- 4. One way to understand the Yoneda Lemma is to think about special cases. Here we think about one-object categories.

Let M be a monoid. The underlying set of M can be given a right M-action by multiplication:  $x \cdot m = xm$  for all  $x, m \in M$ . This M-set is called the **right regular representation** of M. I will write it as M.

- (a) When M is regarded as a one-object category, functors  $M^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Set}$  correspond to right M-sets. Show that the M-set corresponding to the unique representable functor  $M^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Set}$  is the right regular representation.
- (b) Now let X be any right M-set. Show that for each  $x \in X$ , there is a unique map  $\alpha : \underline{M} \longrightarrow X$  of right M-sets such that  $\alpha(1) = x$ . (See 1.3.3(b) for the definition of a map of M-sets; those are left M-sets but you can dualize.) Deduce that there is a bijection between  $\{\text{maps } \underline{M} \longrightarrow X \text{ of right } M$ -sets $\}$  and X.
- (c) Deduce the Yoneda Lemma for one-object categories.
- 5. Here we consider natural transformations between functors whose domain is a product category  $A \times B$ . Your task is to show that naturality in two variables simultaneously is equivalent to naturality in each variable separately.

Take functors  $F, G : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ . For each  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  there are functors  $F^A, G^A : \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ , as in Sheet 1, q.5; similarly, for each  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ , there are functors  $F_B, G_B : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ .

Let  $(\alpha_{A,B}: F(A,B) \longrightarrow G(A,B))_{A \in \mathcal{A}, B \in \mathcal{B}}$  be any family of maps. Show that this family is a natural transformation  $F \longrightarrow G$  if and only if

- for each  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , the family  $(\alpha_{A,B} : F^A(B) \longrightarrow G^A(B))_{B \in \mathcal{B}}$  is a natural transformation  $F^A \longrightarrow G^A$ , and
- for each  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ , the family  $(\alpha_{A,B} : F_B(A) \longrightarrow G_B(A))_{A \in \mathcal{A}}$  is a natural transformation  $F_B \longrightarrow G_B$ .