

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Topology and its Applications



www.elsevier.com/locate/topol

Groupoid extensions of mapping class representations for bordered surfaces ${}^{\bigstar}$

Jørgen Ellegaard Andersen^a, Alex James Bene^{b,a,*}, R.C. Penner^{c,a}

^a Center for the Topology and Quantization of Moduli Spaces, Department of Mathematics, Aarhus University, DK-8000 Aarhus C, Denmark

^b Department of Mathematics, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA 90089, USA

^c Departments of Mathematics and Physics/Astronomy, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA 90089, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 30 September 2008 Received in revised form 2 August 2009 Accepted 2 August 2009

MSC: 20F38 05C25 20F34 57M99 32G15 14H10 20F99 Keywords: Mapping class

Mapping class groups Ptolemy groupoid Automorphisms of free groups Magnus representation Fatgraphs Ribbon graphs Chord diagrams

ABSTRACT

The mapping class group of a surface with one boundary component admits numerous interesting representations including a representation as a group of automorphisms of a free group and as a group of symplectic transformations. Insofar as the mapping class group can be identified with the fundamental group of Riemann's moduli space, it is furthermore identified with a subgroup of the fundamental path groupoid upon choosing a basepoint. A combinatorial model for this, the mapping class groupoid, arises from the invariant cell decomposition of Teichmüller space, whose fundamental path groupoid is called the Ptolemy groupoid. It is natural to try to extend representations of the mapping class group to the mapping class groupoid, i.e., to construct a homomorphism from the mapping class groupoid to the same target that extends the given representations arising from various choices of basepoint. Among others, we extend both aforementioned representations to the groupoid level in this sense where the symplectic representation is lifted both rationally and integrally.

this sense, where the symplectic representation is lifted both rationally and integrally. The techniques of proof include several algorithms involving fatgraphs and chord diagrams. The former extension is given by explicit formulae depending upon six essential cases, and the kernel and image of the groupoid representation are computed. Furthermore, this provides groupoid extensions of any representation of the mapping class group that factors through its action on the fundamental group of the surface including, for instance, the Magnus representation and representations on the moduli spaces of flat connections.

© 2009 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Let $\Sigma_{g,1}$ be an orientable surface with genus $g \ge 1$ and one boundary component, and let $\pi_1 = \pi_1(\Sigma_{g,1}, p)$ be its fundamental group with respect to a basepoint p lying on its boundary $\partial \Sigma_{g,1}$. π_1 is non-canonically isomorphic to a free group F_{2g} on 2g generators, and the *mapping class group* $MC(\Sigma_{g,1})$ (i.e., the group of path components of the space of orientation-preserving homeomorphisms fixing $\partial \Sigma_{g,1}$ pointwise) acts on it in a natural way. In fact, it is a classical result [10] of Nielsen that $MC(\Sigma_{g,1})$ can be identified with the subgroup of $Aut(\pi_1)$ which fixes the element of π_1 corresponding to $\partial \Sigma_{g,1}$.

^{*} A.J.B. and R.C.P. are happy to acknowledge useful conversations with Suzuki Masaaki, A.J.B. is appreciative of conversations with Matthew Day, and all authors likewise thank Takuya Sakasai and Jean-Baptiste Meilhan.

^{*} Corresponding author at: Department of Mathematics, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA 90089, USA.

E-mail addresses: andersen@imf.au.dk (J.E. Andersen), bene@math.usc.edu (A.J. Bene), rpenner@math.usc.edu (R.C. Penner).

^{0166-8641/\$ –} see front matter $\ @$ 2009 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.topol.2009.08.001

Following [11,12], let us consider the Ptolemy groupoid $\mathfrak{Pt}(\Sigma_{g,1})$, i.e., the combinatorial fundamental path groupoid of Teichmüller space for $\Sigma_{g,1}$, where objects are suitable equivalence classes of marked fatgraphs (trivalent except for one univalent vertex, see the next section), and morphisms are given by finite sequences of Whitehead moves connecting them (again, see the next section). In this way, any element of $MC(\Sigma_{g,1})$ is represented by a finite sequence of Whitehead moves starting from a fixed trivalent fatgraph and ending on a combinatorially identical fatgraph, where the sequence is uniquely determined up to known relations.

Similarly, we define the mapping class groupoid $\mathfrak{MC}(\Sigma_{g,1})$ and the Torelli groupoid $\mathfrak{To}(\Sigma_{g,1})$ to be the respective quotients of $\mathfrak{Pt}(\Sigma_{g,1})$ under the action of the mapping class group and the Torelli group $\mathcal{I}(\Sigma_{g,1})$ (i.e., the subgroup of $MC(\Sigma_{g,1})$ acting trivially on the homology of $\Sigma_{g,1}$). Mapping classes are given by sequences of Whitehead moves beginning and ending at combinatorially identical fatgraphs, i.e., the same object of $\mathfrak{MC}(\Sigma_{g,1})$, and elements of the Torelli group moreover preserve some, hence any, "homology marking" (as in [9] and described at the end of Section 2).

By a groupoid representation, we shall mean a map from a groupoid to a group which respects composition. It is natural to ask whether known representations of the mapping class group $MC(\Sigma_{g,1})$ can be extended to representations of $\mathfrak{MC}(\Sigma_{g,1})$ or $\mathfrak{Pt}(\Sigma_{g,1})$, and in particular, one may wonder if Nielsen's embedding $N:MC(\Sigma_{g,1}) \to \operatorname{Aut}(F_{2g})$ extends to a groupoid representation. In this paper (in Theorem 3.6), we prove that the answer is yes, and we give explicit formulae for our extension

$$N:\mathfrak{MC}(\Sigma_{g,1})\to \operatorname{Aut}(F_{2g})$$

which are governed by six essential cases of fatgraph combinatorics. It is important to remark that Nielsen's embedding $N:MC(\Sigma_{g,1}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(F_{2g})$ is defined by the action of $MC(\Sigma_{g,1})$ on π_1 via an isomorphism $\pi_1 \cong F_{2g}$ given by a choice of generating set for π_1 ; our construction, on the other hand, is canonical with target $\operatorname{Aut}(F_{2g})$ and relies on an algorithm which canonically determines a generating set for $\pi_1(\Sigma_{g,1})$ by constructing a maximal tree in each appropriate fatgraph (see the greedy algorithm in Section 3). The kernel and image of \widehat{N} are computed (in Propositions 5.3 and 6.3 respectively). The automorphism group $\operatorname{Aut}(\pi_1)$ acts on the representation variety of π_1 in any group, hence so too do $\mathfrak{MC}(\Sigma_{g,1})$ and $\mathfrak{Pt}(\Sigma_{g,1})$.

It follows that representations of $MC(\Sigma_{g,1})$ which factor through the Nielsen embedding $N: MC(\Sigma_{g,1}) \to Aut(\pi_1)$ also must extend to $\mathfrak{Pt}(\Sigma_{g,1})$. In particular, the Magnus representation (see Section 4) $MC(\Sigma_{g,1}) \to Gl(2g, \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1])$ extends to the groupoid level

$$\mathfrak{Pt}(\Sigma_{g,1}) \to Gl(2g, \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1]),$$

and explicit formulae for this extension are also given. The algorithm here seems comparable in terms of complexity to existing algorithms [8,14] for the calculation of Magnus representations.

Utilizing further combinatorial algorithms, we obtain maps from the Ptolemy groupoid to various subgroups of $MC(\Sigma_{g,1})$ which can be considered as extensions of the appropriate identity representations. In particular, the extension $id: \mathfrak{Pt}(\Sigma_{g,1}) \to MC(\Sigma_{g,1})$ of the identity representation of the mapping class group itself to the Ptolemy groupoid in Theorem 6.1 leads to a different representation $\mathfrak{Pt}(\Sigma_{g,1}) \to \operatorname{Aut}(\pi_1)$ as well as an extension of the symplectic representation $\tau_0: MC(\Sigma_{g,1}) \to Sp(H) \cong Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z})$ to a representation

$$\hat{\tau}_0: \mathfrak{MC}(\Sigma_{g,1}) \to Sp(2g,\mathbb{Z})$$

by explicit algorithms (in Corollary 6.2).

As a general point, we remark that it is not surprising that these extensions exist, but rather that they can be described fairly succinctly depending only upon six basic cases. This same feature will persist in other contexts as well, for instance in principle, an extension of the Meyer cocycle [7] to the groupoid level should follow from the symplectic representation given here and further calculation. We hope that the techniques of this paper might be generally useful in studying mapping class group representations. See [1] for extensions to the Ptolemy groupoid of the finite type invariants of 3-dimensional quantum topology, parts of which depend upon the algorithms developed here. The extension of the present work to the setting of surfaces with several boundary components seems straightforward, and we have restricted here to the case of surfaces with one boundary component simply for convenience.

2. Marked bordered fatgraphs

Given a graph *G* (i.e., a finite connected 1-dimensional CW complex), let $\mathcal{E}_{or}(G)$ denote the set of all choices of an edge *e* of *G* together with an orientation of *e*. Given an oriented edge $\mathbf{e} \in \mathcal{E}_{or}(G)$, let $\bar{\mathbf{e}}$ denote the same edge with the opposite choice of orientation and let $v(\mathbf{e})$ denote the vertex to which **e** points.

A *fatgraph* is a graph *G* together with a cyclic ordering of each set { $\mathbf{e} \in \mathcal{E}_{or}(G)$: $v(\mathbf{e}) = v$ } of oriented edges pointing to a vertex *v* of *G*. This additional structure gives rise to certain cyclically ordered sequences of oriented edges called the *boundary cycles* of *G*, where an oriented edge \mathbf{e} is followed by the next edge in the cyclic ordering at $v(\mathbf{e})$, but with the opposite orientation, so that it points away from $v(\mathbf{e})$. In depicting a fatgraph, we will always identify the cyclic ordering at a vertex with the counterclockwise orientation of the plane, according to which we will represent the boundary cycle of *G* as a path alongside it with *G* on the left.

Any two consecutive oriented edges in the boundary cycle define a *sector* of the fatgraph, and each sector *G* can be associated to a unique vertex of *G*. We say that a fatgraph *G* with *n* boundary cycles has *genus g* if its Euler characteristic is $\chi(G) = 2 - 2g - n$.

An isomorphism between two fatgraphs is a bijection of edges and vertices which preserves the incidence relations of edges with vertices and the cyclic ordering at each vertex. We shall always regard isomorphic fatgraphs as equivalent.

A (once-)bordered fatgraph is a fatgraph with only one boundary cycle such that all vertices are at least trivalent except for a unique univalent vertex. We say a bordered fatgraph is *trivalent* if all vertices are either trivalent or univalent. A bordered fatgraph is "rigid" in the sense that any fatgraph automorphism is trivial.

There is a natural linear ordering, called the *canonical ordering* and denoted by <, on the set $\mathcal{E}_{or}(G)$ of oriented edges of a bordered fatgraph *G* which we shall exclusively use to derive many of our results. This linear ordering is obtained by setting $\mathbf{x} < \mathbf{y}$ if \mathbf{x} appears before \mathbf{y} while traversing the boundary cycle of *G* beginning at the univalent vertex. One immediate consequence of this linear ordering is that it provides each edge *e* of *G* with a preferred orientation, denoted simply by $\mathbf{e} \in \mathcal{E}_{or}(G)$, by requiring $\mathbf{e} < \bar{\mathbf{e}}$. We call the edge incident to the univalent vertex the *tail* of *G* and denote it by *t* so that $\mathbf{t} \leq \mathbf{x}$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{E}_{or}(G)$.

Given a trivalent bordered fatgraph *G* and a non-tail edge *e* of *G* (which necessarily has distinct endpoints since there is only one boundary cycle of *G*), we can collapse *e* to obtain a new bordered fatgraph G_e with a unique four-valent vertex *v*, where the cyclic ordering of the oriented edges pointing to *v* is inherited in the natural way from the cyclic ordering of the oriented edges of *G* pointing to **e** and $\bar{\mathbf{e}}$. Conversely, we can expand the vertex *v* of G_e to obtain a new trivalent bordered fatgraph, and there is a unique such graph *G'* which is distinct from *G*. We define the *Whitehead move* $W : G \to G'$ on *e* to be this collapse of *e* followed by the unique distinct expansion of the resulting four-valent vertex.

There is a natural composition on the set of Whitehead moves, where one Whitehead move $W: G_0 \to G_1$ can be composed with another $W': G'_0 \to G'_1$ in the natural way if and only if $G_1 = G'_0$.

Definition 2.1. As in [11,12], the *mapping class groupoid* $\mathfrak{MC}(\Sigma_{g,1})$ of $\Sigma_{g,1}$ is defined to be the set of finite compositions of Whitehead moves on bordered fatgraphs modulo the pentagon, commutativity, and involutivity relations.

 $\mathfrak{MC}(\Sigma_{g,1})$ can be identified with the combinatorial fundamental path groupoid of the dual cell decomposition of Riemann's moduli space of $\Sigma_{g,1}$ [13], and in this way, any element of the mapping class group $MC(\Sigma_{g,1})$ can be represented by a sequence of Whitehead moves $\{W_i : G_{i-1} \to G_i\}_{i=1}^k$ with $G_0 = G_k$.

Definition 2.2. Fixing a point $q \neq p \in \partial \Sigma_{g,1}$, a marking of a bordered fatgraph *G* is an isotopy class of embeddings $f: G \hookrightarrow \Sigma_{g,1}$ such that the cyclic ordering at vertices of *G* agrees with the orientation of $\Sigma_{g,1}$, the complement $\Sigma_{g,1} \setminus f(G)$ is contractible, and $f(G) \cap \partial \Sigma_{g,1} = f(t) \cap \partial \Sigma_{g,1} = \{q\}$.

Markings evolve unambiguously under Whitehead moves, and in this way, there is a natural composition on the set of Whitehead moves acting on marked fatgraphs.

Definition 2.3. Define the *Ptolemy groupoid* $\mathfrak{Pt}(\Sigma_{g,1})$ of $\Sigma_{g,1}$ to be the set of finite sequences of composable Whitehead moves on genus *g* marked bordered fatgraphs modulo the corresponding pentagon, commutativity, and involutivity relations, cf. [9].

As with the mapping class groupoid, $\mathfrak{Pt}(\Sigma_{g,1})$ can be identified with a combinatorial version of the fundamental path groupoid of the Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}_{g,1}$ of $\Sigma_{g,1}$. Since $\mathcal{T}_{g,1}$ is connected and simply connected, any two marked bordered fatgraphs are related by a unique element of $\mathfrak{Pt}(\Sigma_{g,1})$, i.e., there is a sequence of Whitehead moves connecting the two which is uniquely determined modulo the pentagon, commutativity, and involutivity relations [11,13].

The mapping class group $MC(\Sigma_{g,1})$ acts by post-composition on the set of markings of *G* in a free and transitive manner, which directly corresponds to its free action on $\mathcal{T}_{g,1}$ as a group of deck transformations. In this way, any element φ of $MC(\Sigma_{g,1})$ can be represented by any (homotopy class of) path connecting two points p_1 , $p_2 = \varphi(p_1)$ of $\mathcal{T}_{g,1}$ differing by φ . By the transitivity of Whitehead moves described above [11,13], such a path can be provided by a sequence of Whitehead moves $\{W_i : (G_{i-1}, f_{i-1}) \rightarrow (G_i, f_i)\}_{i=1}^k$ on marked bordered fatgraphs $f_i : G_i \hookrightarrow \Sigma_{g,1}$ with $(G_k, f_k) = (G_0, \varphi \circ f_0)$.

Fix a marking $f: G \hookrightarrow \Sigma_{g,1}$ of a fatgraph. For each edge *e* of *G*, there is a properly embedded "dual" arc, unique up to isotopy rel boundary, that meets *G* only at a single transverse intersection point interior to *e*. An orientation **e** on *e* induces an unambiguous orientation on its dual arc, where the pair of tangent vectors at the intersection point of the edge and arc in this order determine the positive orientation of the surface. In this manner, each marking of *G* gives rise to a map $\pi_1: \mathcal{E}_{or}(G) \to \pi_1$, which clearly satisfies the conditions of the next definition.

Definition 2.4. A geometric π_1 -marking of a bordered fatgraph *G* is a map $\pi_1 : \mathcal{E}_{or}(G) \to \pi_1$ which satisfies the following compatibility conditions:

• (*edge*) we have $\pi_1(\mathbf{e})\pi_1(\bar{\mathbf{e}}) = 1$ for every oriented edge $\mathbf{e} \in \mathcal{E}_{or}(G)$;

- (*vertex*) we have $\pi_1(\mathbf{e}_1)\pi_1(\mathbf{e}_2)\cdots\pi_1(\mathbf{e}_k) = 1$, for every vertex v of G, where $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_k$ are the cyclically ordered oriented edges pointing toward v;
- (*surjectivity*) $\pi_1(\mathcal{E}_{or}(G))$ generates π_1 ;
- (geometricity) $\pi_1(\bar{\mathbf{t}})$ is the class of the boundary $\partial \Sigma_{g,1}$.

In fact, the two notions of marking are equivalent [4], and we shall not distinguish between them in the sequel. Also for convenience, we shall often denote $\pi_1(\mathbf{e})$ simply by $\mathbf{e} \in \pi_1$.

More generally, for any group K, we can define an *abstract* K-marking of a fatgraph G to be a map $\mathcal{E}_{or}(G) \to K$ which satisfies the analogous edge and vertex conditions, and we say that the K-marking is *surjective* if the surjectivity condition is also satisfied. By the compatibility conditions, an abstract K-marking evolves unambiguously under a Whitehead move, which moreover preserves surjectivity.

In particular, by composing a geometric π_1 -marking with the abelianization homomorphism $\pi_1 \to H = H_1(\Sigma_{g,1}, \mathbb{Z})$, one obtains what we call a *geometric* H-marking of G, which is a map $H : \mathcal{E}_{or}(G) \to H$ satisfying the analogous abelian edge, vertex, and surjectivity conditions, as well as a geometricity condition which we now describe. This condition is expressed in terms of a skew pairing \langle , \rangle on $\mathcal{E}_{or}(G)$ defined in terms of the canonical ordering by

$$\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = \begin{cases} -1, & \text{if } \mathbf{x} < \mathbf{y} < \bar{\mathbf{x}} < \bar{\mathbf{y}}; \\ 0, & \text{else}; \\ +1, & \text{if } \mathbf{x} < \bar{\mathbf{y}} < \bar{\mathbf{x}} < \mathbf{y}, \end{cases}$$

where the conditions hold up to cyclic permutation along the boundary cycle, namely:

• (*H*-geometricity) $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = H(\mathbf{x}) \cdot H(\mathbf{y})$ for all oriented edges $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{E}_{or}(G)$, where \cdot is the intersection pairing on *H*.

In fact, a map $\mathcal{E}_{or}(G) \to H$ is a geometric *H*-marking if and only if it satisfies the edge, vertex, surjectivity, and *H*-geometricity conditions [4]. Furthermore, *H*-markings evolve unambiguously under Whitehead moves and both the surjectivity and geometricity conditions are preserved under such moves.

Following [9], we define the *Torelli groupoid* $\mathfrak{To}(\Sigma_{g,1})$ of $\Sigma_{g,1}$ to be the set of finite sequences of Whitehead moves on geometrically *H*-marked genus *g* bordered fatgraphs, together with the natural composition of sequences, modulo the corresponding pentagon, commutativity, and involutivity relations. The Torelli groupoid can be identified with the fundamental path groupoid of the Torelli cover of Riemann's moduli space corresponding to the kernel of the symplectic representation τ_0 , namely, the Torelli subgroup $\mathcal{I}(\Sigma_{g,1})$, again cf. [9].

3. The greedy algorithm

In this section, we describe an algorithm for canonically determining a maximal tree in each bordered fatgraph.

Definition 3.1 (*Greedy algorithm*). Define a subgraph T_G of G by $e \in T_G$ if $\mathbf{e} \leq \mathbf{x}$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{E}_{or}(G)$ with $v(\mathbf{x}) = v(\mathbf{e})$ where < denotes the canonical ordering of $\mathcal{E}_{or}(G)$. We call the linearly ordered set of oriented edges $\mathbf{X}_G = {\{\mathbf{x}_i\}}_{i=1}^{2g}$ determined by the complement $X_G = G \setminus T_G$ with its preferred orientations the set of *generators* for G.

Note that there must be at least one and at most two edges whose preferred orientations point to a given trivalent vertex v, and these two cases correspond to whether the three sectors associated to v are transversed in the counterclockwise or clockwise sense near v along the boundary cycle.

Lemma 3.2. For each bordered fatgraph G, the subgraph T_G is a maximal tree rooted by the tail of G.

Proof. Consider the following equivalent construction of the subgraph T_G . Begin at the univalent vertex of G and traverse the boundary cycle of G and "greedily" adding every edge to T_G as long as the resulting subgraph is still a tree, meaning no non-trivial cycles would be introduced. Since the introduction of a non-trivial cycle from the addition of an edge e would mean the vertex $v(\mathbf{e})$ had previously been traversed, this definition is equivalent to the original one. From this perspective, T_G is obviously a tree containing the tail, and it is maximal since adding any edge would result in a non-trivial cycle. \Box

Theorem 3.3. There is a canonical ordered set of generators of π_1 associated to every marked bordered fatgraph $G \hookrightarrow \Sigma_{g,1}$.

Proof. We take $\pi_1(\mathbf{X}_G)$ to be the desired set of generators of π_1 and need only show that they do indeed generate π_1 . Since a geometric π_1 -marking satisfies the surjectivity condition, it suffices to show that for each oriented edge \mathbf{e} of G the element $\pi_1(\mathbf{e})$ is in the subgroup generated by $\pi_1(\mathbf{X}_G)$. To this end, note that each leaf l of the tree T_G is adjacent to two generators in G, so by the vertex compatibility condition, the corresponding element $\pi_1(\mathbf{I})$ can be written as a product of two elements of $\pi_1(\mathbf{X}_G)$ (or their inverses). The argument follows easily by induction. \Box



Fig. 1. Six cases of Whitehead moves $W: G \to G'$.

Corollary 3.4. For every marked bordered fatgraph, there is an explicit canonical isomorphism $\pi_1 \cong F_{2g}$.

Proof. This follows immediately from the Hopfian property of F_{2g} . \Box

From now on when *G* comes equipped with a marking, we shall identify \mathbf{X}_G with the ordered set $\pi_1(\mathbf{X}_G)$ of generators of π_1 .

Corollary 3.5. To each Whitehead move $W: G \to G'$ between marked trivalent bordered fatgraphs, there is a canonically associated element

 $\widetilde{N}(W) \in \operatorname{Aut}(\pi_1)$

which is natural in the sense that if $\{W_i\}$ is a sequence of Whitehead moves representing an element $\varphi \in MC(\Sigma_{g,1}) \subset Aut(\pi_1)$, then the composition of the $\widetilde{N}(W_i)$ agrees with the image $N(\varphi)$ of φ under the Nielsen embedding.

Proof. Consider the isomorphism which maps the ordered generating set \mathbf{X}_G to \mathbf{X}'_G . Again by the Hopfian property of π_1 , this is an automorphism, and it is obvious that it respects composition of Whitehead moves. The last statement follows by noting that if the generating set for (G, f) is $\pi_1(\mathbf{X}_G)$, then the generating set for $(G, \varphi \circ f)$ is $\varphi(\pi_1(\mathbf{X}_G))$ by construction. \Box

In the next section, we shall see that the representation \tilde{N} can be described in fairly concrete terms. Moreover in Section 5, we shall explicitly describe the kernel of \tilde{N} (see Proposition 5.3), and in Section 6.2, we shall describe the image of \tilde{N} (see Proposition 6.3).

3.1. Essential cases of $\widetilde{N}(W)$

As a Whitehead move on a bordered fatgraph *G* is uniquely determined by a choice of a non-tail edge *e* of *G* and there are (up to 90° rotational symmetry) only six possible orders in which the boundary cycle can traverse the four sectors associated to *e*, we see that Whitehead moves on bordered fatgraphs can be categorized into six basic types, as depicted in Fig. 1. We say that a Whitehead move $W : G \to G'$ is a *type k* move if it or its inverse corresponds to the *k*th type according to our labeling in the figure.

We now turn toward calculating $\widetilde{N}(W)$ for each of the six basic types. The real advantage of these expressions for $\widetilde{N}(W)$ is that they are completely local in the sense that they depend only on the information contained in the vicinity of *e*: the type of the move and the markings of the four edges adjacent to the edge *e*. However, we will find it most

illuminating to write our expressions as elements of $Aut(F_{2g})$ rather than $Aut(\pi_1)$ via the isomorphism $\pi_1 \cong F_{2g}$ provided by Corollary 3.4. These expressions have the advantage of being completely explicit (again only depending on the six basic types) and independent of markings, but have the disadvantage of no longer being completely local.

First, consider the type 1 Whitehead move. The initial fatgraph *G* has three edges **a**, **b**, and **c** which may be generators (represented by question marks) depending on the global properties of the graph (not depicted). The resulting fatgraph *G'* similarly has three possible generators which are naturally identified with those of the first fatgraph. By construction, **a** is a generator of *G* if and only if it is a generator for *G'* and similarly for the edges **b** and **c**. Moreover, the order of appearance of these generators in **X**_{*G*} and **X**_{*G'*} must be the same. Thus, the element of Aut(F_{2g}) corresponding to this Whitehead move is the identity element.

For a type 2 move, whereas the edges **a** and **b** perhaps may not be generators, the edge **c** is definitely a generator (represented by a check mark) since the vertex to which it points was first traversed in sector 1. In any case, the corresponding element of $Aut(F_{2g})$ is again the identity element.

Next, consider a type 3 Whitehead move; note that the edges *a* and *c* may coincide. The edge **a** may be a generator of *G*, in which case **a** is also a generator of *G'*, and the two generators correspond under the Whitehead move, thus they can be ignored in determining the element of $Aut(F_{2g})$. On the other hand, **b** and **c** must be generators of *G* while **b** and **d** must be generators of *G'*. Moreover, if **c** is the *i*th generator \mathbf{x}_i of *G*, then **d** must be the *i*th generator of *G'* so that under the Whitehead move we have $\mathbf{c} \mapsto \mathbf{d}$ while all other generators are fixed. Now note that by the vertex condition for *G'*, we have the relation $\mathbf{bcd} = 1$ so that $\mathbf{c} \mapsto \mathbf{d} = \mathbf{bc}$. If **b** is the *j*th generator \mathbf{x}_j of *G*, then we can explicitly write the corresponding element of $Aut(F_{2g})$ as

 $\mathbf{x}_k \mapsto \mathbf{x}_k$, for $k \neq i$,

$$\mathbf{x}_i \mapsto \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i$$
.

For case 4, the situation is almost identical to case 3 except that now **b** need not be a generator, and we have the slightly different relation $\mathbf{c} \mapsto \mathbf{e} = \mathbf{\bar{b}c}$. If **b** is a generator, say \mathbf{x}_i , then we find

$$\mathbf{x}_k \mapsto \mathbf{x}_k$$
, for $k \neq i$,
 $\mathbf{x}_i \mapsto \bar{\mathbf{x}}_i \mathbf{x}_i$.

If **b** is not a generator of *G*, then we must first express **b** as a word in the generators (which can be obtained from the combinatorics of the fatgraph) before arriving at an explicit element of $Aut(F_{2g})$.

Consider now case 5, where the edge **b** must be a generator of *G* while the edge **d** must be a generator of *G'*. The vertex condition forces the relation $d\mathbf{b}\mathbf{\bar{c}} = 1$, so that $\mathbf{d} = c\mathbf{\bar{b}}$. Now assume that **b** is the *i*th generator of *G* so that $X_G = (\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{i-1}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{x}_{i+1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{2g})$ and that **d** is the *j*th generator of *G'*. Under this Whitehead move, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_k &\mapsto \mathbf{x}_k, & \text{ for } k < i, \\ \mathbf{x}_k &\mapsto \mathbf{x}_{k+1}, & \text{ for } i \le k < j, \\ \mathbf{x}_j &\mapsto \mathbf{c} \bar{\mathbf{x}}_i, \\ \mathbf{x}_k &\mapsto \mathbf{x}_k, & \text{ for } k > j. \end{aligned}$$

If **c** is a generator of *G* (so that $\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{x}_{i+1}$), then the above maps explicitly determine the element of Aut(F_{2g}), and otherwise, one must first express **c** as a word in the \mathbf{x}_k .

For the Whitehead move of type 6, we have a situation which is essentially identical to that of case 5 except that now the generator **e** has an orientation which is opposite that of the generator **d** of case 5. Thus, if we let **e** be the *j*th generator of G', then we get the same mapping $X_G \mapsto X_{G'}$ as in case 5 except that $\mathbf{x}_j \mapsto \mathbf{x}_i \bar{\mathbf{c}}$.

Thus, the values respectively taken by our representation \tilde{N} for the six essential types of Whitehead moves are the identity in the first two cases, "local" in the third case in the sense that $\tilde{N}(W)$ depends only upon the edges near the edge of the Whitehead move, and not necessarily local in the remaining cases. We can summarize our results with the following

Theorem 3.6. There is an explicit extension

$$N:\mathfrak{MC}(\Sigma_{g,1})\to \operatorname{Aut}(F_{2g})$$

of Nielsen's embedding to a representation of the mapping class groupoid with target $Aut(F_{2g})$. Its value $\hat{N}(W)$ for a Whitehead move $W : G \to G'$ on an edge e of G is explicitly calculable, and its particular form depends on six essential cases corresponding to the possible orders of traversal of the four sectors surrounding the edge e.

Proof. Since the formulae for the representation \widetilde{N} in terms of $\operatorname{Aut}(F_{2g})$ did not depend on the explicit markings of the fatgraphs, they define a map $\widehat{N}: \mathfrak{MC}(\Sigma_{g,1}) \to \operatorname{Aut}(F_{2g})$, which we claim is a representation in the sense that for any two composable Whitehead moves $W_1: G \to G_1$ and $W_2: G_1 \to G_2$, we have $\widehat{N}(W_1 \circ W_2) = \widehat{N}(W_2) \circ \widehat{N}(W_1)$; this change of

ordering reflects the simple change of composition for functions from right-to-left and for concatenation of paths from left-to-right. Indeed, this follows from the fact that for elements $\varphi, \psi \in \operatorname{Aut}(F_{2g})$ defined by $\varphi: \mathbf{x}_i \mapsto \mathbf{u}_i = \mathbf{u}_i(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{2g})$ and $\psi: \mathbf{u}_i \mapsto \mathbf{w}_i = \mathbf{w}_i(\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{2g})$, the composition $\psi \varphi = \varphi(\varphi^{-1} \psi \varphi) \in \operatorname{Aut}(F_{2g})$ is given by

$$\mathbf{x}_i \mapsto \mathbf{w}_i = \mathbf{w}_i(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{2g}) = \mathbf{w}_i(\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{2g})|_{\mathbf{u}_i = \mathbf{u}_i(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{2g})}. \quad \Box$$

4. The Magnus representation

Recall [5] that the Fox free derivative with respect to \mathbf{x}_i can be defined as the unique derivation $\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}_i}$: $\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1] \to \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1]$ satisfying $\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}_i}(\mathbf{x}_i) = 1$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}_i}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_i) = -\bar{\mathbf{x}}_i$, and the product rule $\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}_i}(\mathbf{w}_1\mathbf{w}_2) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}_i}(\mathbf{w}_1) + \mathbf{w}_1\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}_i}(\mathbf{w}_2)$. One of the important properties of the Fox free derivative is the chain rule which states that if $\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_{2g}$ is another generating set for π_1 and $\mathbf{w} \in \pi_1$ is a word, then

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{w}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_j} = \sum_{i=1}^{2g} \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{w}}{\partial \mathbf{u}_i} \right)_{\mathbf{u}_i = \mathbf{u}_i(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{2g})} \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_i}{\partial \mathbf{x}_j} \right). \tag{1}$$

The classical Magnus representation of $\operatorname{Aut}(\pi_1)$ is the map which associates to any element φ of $\operatorname{Aut}(\pi_1)$ its Fox Jacobian $(\frac{\partial \varphi(\mathbf{x}_i)}{\partial \mathbf{x}_i})$ with respect to a given basis $\{\mathbf{x}_i\}_{i=1}^{2g}$; this map is a crossed homomorphism by (1), cf. [8].

It is an immediate consequence of Corollary 3.5 that the Magnus representation extends to the Ptolemy groupoid. However, such an extension a priori would be non-canonical as it would depend on a choice of generating set for π_1 . Instead, we extend the Magnus representation by

$$\widetilde{M}(W:G\to G') = \left(\frac{\partial x_i'}{\partial x_j}\right)$$

where $\{\mathbf{x}_i\}_{i=1}^{2g}$ and $\{\mathbf{x}'_i\}_{i=1}^{2g}$ are the sets of generators for *G* and *G'* respectively. As a consequence of this definition and (1), we have

Corollary 4.1. The Magnus representation explicitly extends to a representation \widetilde{M} of the Ptolemy groupoid with target $Gl(2g, \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1])$.

Again, the formulae are governed by the six types of Whitehead moves, and we proceed to describe each. The first non-trivial type is the third, where we have $\mathbf{x}_i \mapsto \mathbf{x}_j \mathbf{x}_i$ and find a matrix in $Gl(2g, \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1])$ which is the identity except for the *i*th row

$$(0, \dots, \mathbf{x}_i, \dots, 1, \dots, 0),$$
 (2)

which has all entries zero except for $\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}_i}(\mathbf{x}_j \mathbf{x}_i) = \mathbf{x}_j$ in the *i*th position and $\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}_i}(\mathbf{x}_j \mathbf{x}_i) = 1$ in the *j*th position.

In case 4, we have $\mathbf{x}_i \mapsto \bar{\mathbf{b}} \mathbf{x}_i$, which again gives a matrix differing from the identity only in its *i*th row, where If $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{x}_j$ is a generator, then this row

$$0, \dots, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_i, \dots, -1, \dots, 0) \tag{3}$$

has all entries zero except for $\bar{\mathbf{x}}_j$ in the *i*th position and -1 in the *j*th position. If **b** is not a generator, there is a more complicated matrix with the *i*th row the Fox gradient:

$$\left(\frac{\partial \bar{\mathbf{b}}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_1}, \frac{\partial \bar{\mathbf{b}}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_2}, \dots, \bar{\mathbf{b}}, \frac{\partial \bar{\mathbf{b}}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{i+1}}, \dots, \frac{\partial \bar{\mathbf{b}}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{2g}}\right).$$

Assuming that $\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{x}_{i+1}$ is a generator in case 5, the corresponding matrix is the identity except for the (i, j) submatrix which is given by

 $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ & 0 & 1 & & \\ & & 0 & \ddots & \\ & & & \ddots & 1 \\ -\mathbf{x}_{i+1}\bar{\mathbf{x}}_i & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$

(

If **c** is not a generator, then the *j*th row is replaced by

$$\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{c}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_1}, \frac{\partial \mathbf{c}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial \mathbf{c}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{i-1}}, \frac{\partial \mathbf{c}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_i} - \mathbf{c}\bar{\mathbf{x}}_i, \frac{\partial \mathbf{c}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{i+1}}, \dots, \frac{\partial \mathbf{c}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{2g}}\right).$$

Case 6 is almost identical to case 5, except that in this case if \mathbf{c} is not a generator, then the *j*th row is replaced by

$$\left(\frac{\partial \bar{\mathbf{x}}_i \mathbf{c}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_1}, \frac{\partial \bar{\mathbf{x}}_i \mathbf{c}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial \bar{\mathbf{x}}_i \mathbf{c}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{i-1}}, -\bar{\mathbf{x}}_i + \bar{\mathbf{x}}_i \frac{\partial \mathbf{c}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_i}, \frac{\partial \bar{\mathbf{x}}_i \mathbf{c}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{i+1}}, \dots, \frac{\partial \bar{\mathbf{x}}_i \mathbf{c}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{2g}}\right).$$

This completes the discussion of the various cases.

Morita [8] introduced variations $M_k: MC(\Sigma_{g,1}) \to Gl(2g, \mathbb{Z}[N_k])$ of the Magnus representation by composing the classical Magnus representation described above with the quotient maps $\pi_1 \to N_k$, where $N_k = \pi_i/\pi_1^{(k)}$ is the *k*th nilpotent quotient of π_1 (for k = 1, see [14]). In the same way, our extension of the Magnus representation immediately yields extensions

$$\widetilde{M}_k: \mathfrak{Pt}(\Sigma_{g,1}) \to Gl(2g, \mathbb{Z}[N_k]).$$

Moreover, the value of these extensions on a Whitehead move $W : G \to G'$ can be computed purely from the combinatorics of *G* together with the surjective N_k -markings of *G* induced from its π_1 -marking. In particular in the case k = 1, we obtain a representation $\widetilde{M}_1 : \mathfrak{Pt}(\Sigma_{g,1}) \to Gl(2g, \mathbb{Z}[H])$ whose value on $W : G \to G'$ depends only on the *H*-marking of *G*. Thus, we also have the stronger result:

Proposition 4.2. The representation $M_1: MC(\Sigma_{g,1}) \to Gl(2g, \mathbb{Z}[H])$ extends to a representation of the Torelli groupoid

$$M_H: \mathfrak{To}(\Sigma_{g,1}) \to Gl(2g, \mathbb{Z}[H]).$$

5. Linear chord diagrams and the kernel of \tilde{N}

We determine the kernel of the extension $\widetilde{N}:\mathfrak{Pt}(\Sigma_{g,1})\to \operatorname{Aut}(\pi_1)$ of the Nielsen embedding in this section.

Lemma 5.1. Given any trivalent marked bordered fatgraph $G = G_0$, there is a sequence of Whitehead moves $\{W_i : G_{i-1} \to G_i\}_{i=1}^k$ with $\widetilde{N}(W_i) = \text{Id} \in \text{Aut}(\pi_1)$, for all *i*, such that G_k is a fatgraph whose maximal tree T_{G_k} is a line segment.

Proof. Let $S_G \subset T_G$ be the subtree of T_G defined by $s \in S_G$ if and only if $\mathbf{s} < \mathbf{x}$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X}_G$, so S_G is a line segment. If $S_G = T_G$, then we are done, so assume otherwise. Since T_G is connected, there is an $e \in T_G - S_G$ which is adjacent to two edges of S_G , and since e is in T_G , \mathbf{e} must point away from S_G . One can check that this dictates that the boundary cycle first traverses the sector containing e so that \mathbf{e} points away from it and next traverses the sector to the right of \mathbf{e} . As a result, the Whitehead move W_e on e must be a move of type 1 or 2 so that $\widetilde{N}(W_e) = \text{Id.}$ Moreover, under the move W_e , the length of S_G is increased by one. By repeated application of this process, we obtain the desired sequence of moves resulting in a fatgraph G_k with $T_{G_k} = S_{G_k}$. \Box

We let C_G denote the fatgraph resulting from this procedure, which is called the branch reduction algorithm.

Recall from [2] that a *linear chord* diagram is the image of a graph embedded in the plane consisting of a segment in the real line, called the *core* of the diagram, and a collection of arcs, called the *chords*, lying in the upper half-plane with endpoints attached to the core at distinct points. We can endow C_G with the structure of a linear chord diagram with chords enumerated by X_G as follows: Let \mathbf{x}_1 be the "minimal" generator so that $\mathbf{x}_1 \leq \mathbf{x}_i$ for all $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbf{X}_G$, and consider splitting the underlying edge x_1 into two edges by inserting a vertex in its middle. The canonical ordering < extends to this new graph in the obvious way, and we denote the two halves of x_1 by e and x'_1 so that $\mathbf{e} < \mathbf{x}'_1$. Now, by embedding this graph in the upper plane so that the subgraph $T_{C_G} \cup e$ lies in the real line and the rest of the graph lies in the upper half-plane, we obtain our linear chord diagram where $T_{C_G} \cup e$ is the core and every edge of the compliment

$$(X_G - \{x_1\}) \cup x'_1 = G - (T_{C_G} \cup e)$$

is a chord with endpoints lying at distinct points of $T_{C_G} \cup e$. Obviously each edge of $(X_G - \{x_1\}) \cup x'_1$ can be identified with a unique edge of X_G , and for convenience, we shall sometimes blur the distinction between the two. See Fig. 2.

We now make two observations:

Observation 1. By repeated application of the orientation and vertex conditions, the word representing **t** in the letters \mathbf{X}_G can be computed directly from the chord diagram C_G . Namely, by associating the element $\mathbf{\bar{x}}_i$ (respectively \mathbf{x}_i) to the vertex $v(\mathbf{x}_i)$ (respectively $v(\mathbf{\bar{x}}_i)$), **t** is obtained by simply multiplying these elements in their left-to-right ordering along the core of C_G . For example in Fig. 2, we have $\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{x}_3 \mathbf{\bar{x}}_2 \mathbf{\bar{x}}_3 \mathbf{x}_4 \mathbf{x}_2 \mathbf{\bar{x}}_1 \mathbf{\bar{x}}_4 \mathbf{x}_1$.

Observation 2. The word representing t obtained in this way is reduced since the fatgraph C_G has only one boundary cycle.

Lemma 5.2. The (marked) fatgraph C_G obtained by the algorithm of Lemma 5.1 is well-defined in the sense that if $\mathbf{X}_G = \mathbf{X}_{G'}$, then $C_G = C_{G'}$.



Fig. 2. Illustration of Observation 1.

Proof. This follows from the above observations since there is a unique reduced word representing any element of a free group with respect to a given set of generators. \Box

As a result of the previous two lemmas, we have the following

Proposition 5.3. The kernel of the extension of \tilde{N} : $\mathfrak{Pt}(\Sigma_{g,1}) \to \operatorname{Aut}(\pi_1)$ is generated by type 1 and type 2 moves, i.e., any element in the kernel of \tilde{N} is equivalent under pentagon, commutativity, and involutivity relations to a composition of type 1 and 2 moves.

Proof. Consider any sequence $\{W_i\}_{i=1}^k$ of Whitehead moves from G_0 to G_k with corresponding composition $\widetilde{N}(W_k)\cdots$ $\widetilde{N}(W_1) \in \operatorname{Aut}(\pi_1)$ equal to the identity. By definition, this implies that $\mathbf{X}_{G_0} = \mathbf{X}_{G_k}$. Using the previous two lemmas, there exists two sequences of Whitehead moves comprised solely of type 1 or 2 moves connecting G_0 and G_k respectively to $C_{G_0} = C_{G_k}$. The composition of the first such sequence and the inverse of the second is equivalent modulo relations to $\{W_i\}_{i=1}^k$ since there exists a unique element of the Ptolemy groupoid connecting any two marked bordered fatgraphs. \Box

6. Chord slide algorithm and the image of \tilde{N}

In this section, we introduce an algorithm which produces a path in the mapping class groupoid from any bordered fatgraph to a fixed "symplectic basepoint". As a consequence, we obtain an extension of the identity representation $id: MC(\Sigma_{g,1}) \rightarrow MC(\Sigma_{g,1})$ of the mapping class group. Similarly in the next section, we will apply this algorithm in several guises to extend various representations.

6.1. Chord diagrams and the chord slide algorithm

We begin with an algorithm for linear chord diagrams described in [2] in terms of "chord slides". Let C_G be the chord diagram associated to a bordered fatgraph G and let c and d be two chords of C_G with (not-necessarily preferred) orientations \mathbf{c} and \mathbf{d} respectively so that the endpoint $v(\mathbf{c})$ of c immediately precedes $v(\mathbf{d})$ in the left-to-right ordering along the core of C_G . We define the *slide* of $v(\mathbf{c})$ along d to be the composition of a Whitehead move on the edge e of the core separating $v(\mathbf{c})$ and $v(\mathbf{d})$ followed by the Whitehead move on the chord d. Similarly, we define the slide of $v(\mathbf{d})$ along c to be the Whitehead move on e followed by the Whitehead move on c. Note that as the notation suggests, the result of the two moves is to slide the vertex along the boundary cycle so that it is adjacent to the opposite vertex of the chord along which it was slid.

A marking of the bordered fatgraph *G* induces a marking of the fatgraph C_G , and under a slide, the markings of all chords remain fixed except for the chord upon which the slide was performed. For example, under the slide of $v(\mathbf{c})$ along *d* as discussed above, the marking of the oriented chord **d** changes from **d** to **dc**. However, note that the effect on the linearly ordered set of generators \mathbf{X}_G is more complicated as the ordering of the elements as well as their preferred orientations may change under such a slide.

Now, define the genus g symplectic chord diagram to be the unique genus g fatgraph S such that $C_S = S$ and for any marking of S, $\mathbf{t} = \prod_{i=g}^{1} [\mathbf{x}_{2i}, \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{2i-1}]$ with $\mathbf{X}_S = (\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{2g})$. We have depicted such a fatgraph in Fig. 3 where we have used the labels $\mathbf{b}_i = \mathbf{x}_{2i}$ and $\bar{\mathbf{a}}_i = \mathbf{x}_{2i-1}$ so that $\mathbf{t} = \prod_{i=g}^{1} [\mathbf{b}_i, \mathbf{a}_i]$.

The chord slide algorithm can now be described as follows. Given a chord diagram C_G associated to a fatgraph G, label the left-most chord of C_G by b_g and label the left-most chord which crosses b_g by a_g (note that such a chord must exist). Next, sequentially slide all endpoints of chords (other than b_g and a_g) which lie between the leftmost endpoint of b_g and the rightmost endpoint of a_g along the path represented by the dotted line in Fig. 3 so that all endpoints of chords lie to the right of b_g and a_g . Next, label the left-most chord appearing after b_g and a_g by b_{g-1} and label the left-most chord which crosses b_{g-1} by a_{g-1} . Repeating this procedure, we eventually obtain a fatgraph isomorphic to S, cf. [2].

Theorem 6.1. There is an explicit extension

 $id: \mathfrak{Pt}(\Sigma_{g,1}) \to MC(\Sigma_{g,1})$

to the Ptolemy groupoid of the identity homomorphism of $MC(\Sigma_{g,1})$.



Fig. 3. Symplectic chord diagram.

Proof. Consider a Whitehead move $W : G_1 \to G_2$ on a marked fatgraph G_1 . Let S_1 and S_2 be the respective marked symplectic chord diagrams obtained from G_1 and G_2 by performing the branch reduction algorithm followed by the chord slide algorithm. Since S_1 and S_2 are isomorphic as unmarked fatgraphs, there exists a unique element φ of $MC(\Sigma_{g,1})$ such that $\varphi(S_1) = S_2$, and we define $id(W) = \varphi$. This gives a well-defined map $id : \mathfrak{Pt}(\Sigma_{g,1}) \to MC(\Sigma_{g,1})$ which extends the identity homomorphism by construction. \Box

Note that if we fix a marking $S \hookrightarrow \Sigma_{g,1}$ for the symplectic chord diagram *S*, a modification of the proof actually provides a representation $\widetilde{id}: \mathfrak{MC}(\Sigma_{g,1}) \to MC(\Sigma_{g,1})$ of the mapping class groupoid. Also note that by considering the mapping class group $MC(\Sigma_{g,1})$ as a subgroup of $Aut(\pi_1)$, the theorem provides yet another extension of Nielsen's embedding; however, this extension has the disadvantage that it no longer depends on six essential cases.

By combining Theorem 6.1 and the action of $MC(\Sigma_{g,1})$ on the first integral homology $H = H_1(\Sigma_{g,1}, \mathbb{Z})$ of $\Sigma_{g,1}$, we immediately obtain

Corollary 6.2. There is an explicit canonical extension

$$\tilde{t}_0: \mathfrak{Pt}(\Sigma_{g,1}) \to Sp(H)$$

of the symplectic representation of $MC(\Sigma_{g,1})$.

6.2. The image of \widetilde{N}

We conclude this section by describing the image of the extension of the Nielsen embedding. This image cannot be all of $\operatorname{Aut}(F_{2g})$ as the combinatorics of bordered fatgraphs put limitations on which sets of generators for F_{2g} can arise from the greedy algorithm. For example, due to the preferred orientation of edges, if \mathbf{X}_G is a set of generators for G, then the set obtained from \mathbf{X}_G by replacing \mathbf{x}_i with $\bar{\mathbf{x}}_i$ for some *i* cannot arise from a marked bordered fatgraph.

More generally, we have the following result, which implicitly describes the image of the extension of the Nielsen embedding.

Proposition 6.3. After some number of replacements $\mathbf{x} \mapsto \bar{\mathbf{x}}$, a set of generators \mathbf{X} of π_1 arises as the set \mathbf{X}_G of a generators for a marked bordered fatgraph G if and only if the element of π_1 representing $\partial \Sigma_{g,1}$ can be written as a reduced word which contains each element \mathbf{x} of \mathbf{X} and its inverse $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$ exactly once. Moreover, the set of replacements $\mathbf{x} \mapsto \bar{\mathbf{x}}$ performed on \mathbf{X} is uniquely determined and explicitly computable.

Proof. We employ a construction which is essentially the reverse of Observation 1 to build a chord diagram from the word w representing the class of the boundary in the letters **X**. Begin with a straight line segment and 2g oriented chords labeled by **X** and then attach the ends of the chords to the line according to the appearance of the corresponding letters in w as in Observation 1 to obtain a fatgraph C with tail **t** (oriented pointing to the right). The orientations of the chords **X** endow C with a surjective π_1 -marking such that $\pi_1(\mathbf{t})$ is the class of the boundary by construction.

If *C* has only one boundary cycle, then it is a bordered genus *g* fatgraph which endows the elements of **X** with preferred orientations. Thus, after replacing some **x** with their inverses according to their preferred orientations, we have realized **X** as the set of generators \mathbf{X}_{C} for *C* as required.

In order to derive a contradiction, now assume that the fatgraph *C* has more than one boundary cycle. By an Euler characteristic argument, this number must be odd, say 2n + 1 with n > 0. Note that the oriented chords of *C* still endow *C* with an abstract (but not geometric) surjective π_1 -marking. By the transitivity of Whitehead moves, there exists a sequence of moves which takes this fatgraph *C* to a chord diagram *C'* with tail with 2n isolated chords followed on the right by a genus g - n symplectic chord diagram. (See [2] for an explicit algorithm which is a generalization of the chord slide algorithm, where the resulting diagram is called a "(2n, g - n)-caravan".)

We again denote the tail of C' by **t** since its value in π_1 remains fixed under any sequence of Whitehead moves. If we then label the oriented chords of C' (in their right-to-left appearance) by $\{\bar{\mathbf{a}}'_i, \mathbf{b}'_i\}_{i=1}^g$, this provides a set of generators of π_1 . The contributions of the isolated chords $\{\bar{\mathbf{a}}'_i, \mathbf{b}'_i\}_{i=g-n+1}^g$ to the word representing $\bar{\mathbf{t}}$ in these letters cancel so that $\bar{\mathbf{t}} = \prod_{i=1}^{g-n} [\mathbf{a}'_i, \mathbf{b}'_i]$ as a word in these letters. However, we can always find a set of generators $\{\bar{\mathbf{a}}_i, \mathbf{b}_i\}_{i=1}^g$ for which $\bar{\mathbf{t}} = \prod_{i=1}^{g} [\mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{b}_i] = \prod_{i=1}^{g-n} [\mathbf{a}'_i, \mathbf{b}'_i]$. By Proposition 6.8 of [6], we must have $g - n \ge g$, a contradiction as required. \Box

(4)

7. The symplectic representation

Just as for π_1 -markings, the greedy algorithm applied to a geometrically *H*-marked bordered fatgraph *G* results in a canonical linearly ordered basis $H(\mathbf{X}_G)$ of *H*. We call a basis of *H* arising in this way for some marked bordered fatgraph *G* a *geometric basis* of *H*. A geometric basis $H(\mathbf{X}_G) = \{X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_{2g}\}$ has the property that $X_i \cdot X_j$ equals -1 only if i < j, while it equals 1 only if i > j. Thus, the intersection matrix of \mathbf{X}_G is given by a skew symmetric 2*g*-by-2*g* matrix with only 0's and 1's below the diagonal.

Fix a rank 2g symplectic vector space (V, ω) . Recall that a standard integral symplectic basis for (V, ω) is a basis $\{A_i, B_i\}_{i=1}^g$ for V such that the symplectic pairing ω takes values $\omega(A_i, B_j) = \delta_{ij}$ and $\omega(A_i, A_j) = \omega(B_i, B_j) = 0$, for all i, j.

While a standard symplectic basis of *H* is not quite a geometric basis, any geometric *H*-marking of the symplectic chord diagram *S* provides a geometric basis which differs from a symplectic one only in the signs of half of its elements. In this way, any such basis provides a symplectic isomorphism $H \cong (V, \omega)$. By applying the branch reduction and chord slide algorithms, we thus obtain the following (cf. Corollary 3.4)

Corollary 7.1. For every *H*-marked bordered fatgraph *G*, there is an explicit canonical integral symplectic basis for *H*, thus a canonical symplectic isomorphism $H \cong (V, \omega)$.

Given any two symplectic bases $\mathcal{B} = \{A_i, B_j\}$ and $\mathcal{B}' = \{A'_i, B'_j\}$ of a symplectic vector space, the linear map taking $A_i \mapsto A'_i$ and $B_j \mapsto B'_j$ lies in $Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z})$. Thus, completely analogously to Theorem 3.6 by combining Corollary 7.1 and (4), we obtain the following

Theorem 7.2. There is an explicit extension

 $\hat{\tau}_0: \mathfrak{MC}(\Sigma_{g,1}) \to Sp(2g,\mathbb{Z})$

to the mapping class groupoid of the symplectic representation of the mapping class group.

The rational algorithm

One may be interested to know if an extension of the symplectic representation with target $Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z})$ can be obtained through more algebraic methods. Here we describe such an approach which uses only linear algebra and the *H*-markings of bordered fatgraphs. The new ingredient is to provide a different but analogous isomorphism to that provided by Corollary 7.1. While the following method only works over the rationals for generic bases of *H*, it in fact is an integral algorithm for geometric bases since it can be realized by certain "dual chord slides" as shown in [3].

Consider an ordered geometric basis $H(\mathbf{X}_G)$ of H and let $A_1 = X_1$. Let $i \ge 2$ be minimal, such that $X_1 \cdot X_i \ne 0$, and renumber the X_j , for $j \ge 2$ by interchanging X_2 and X_i . Let $b_1 = \frac{1}{X_1 \cdot X_2} X_2$ and define

$$X'_{j} = X_{j} - (X_{j} \cdot B_{1})A_{1} + (X_{j} \cdot A_{1})B_{1}$$

for $j \ge 3$.

By repeating this process on the ordered set (X'_3, \ldots, X'_{2g}) of independent vectors in $H \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, we eventually arrive at a symplectic basis of $H \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. By the result of [3], this basis is in fact integral, and we have defined another $MC(\Sigma_{g,1})$ -equivariant map from geometric to symplectic bases of H, thus also another extension of the symplectic representation.

8. Other identity extensions

In analogy to the extension of the identity representation given in Theorem 6.1, we conclude by describing two other extensions of identity representations: one for the Torelli group $\mathcal{I}(\Sigma_{g,1})$ and one for the subgroup $MC(\Lambda)$ of mapping classes preserving the Lagrangian $\Lambda < H$, i.e., Λ is a maximal isotropic subspace.

Theorem 8.1. Given any geometric basis \mathcal{B} for H, there is an explicit extension

 $\widetilde{id}_{\mathcal{B}}:\mathfrak{Pt}(\Sigma_{g,1})\to \mathcal{I}(\Sigma_{g,1})$

to the Ptolemy groupoid of the identity homomorphism of the Torelli group, which is natural in the sense that if $\phi \in MC(\Sigma_{g,1})$, then

$$id_{\mathcal{B}}(W:G\to G')=\phi^{-1}[id_{\phi(\mathcal{B})}(\phi(W):\phi(G)\to\phi(G'))]\phi.$$

Theorem 8.2. Given any integral Lagrangian subspace Λ of H, there is an explicit extension

 $id_{\Lambda}:\mathfrak{Pt}(\Sigma_{g,1})\to MC(\Lambda)$

to the Ptolemy groupoid of the identity homomorphism of $MC(\Lambda)$, which is natural in the sense that if $\phi \in MC(\Sigma_{g,1})$, then

 $\widetilde{id}_{\Lambda}(W:G\to G')=\phi^{-1}\big[\widetilde{id}_{\phi(\Lambda)}\big(\phi(W):\phi(G)\to\phi(G')\big)\big]\phi.$



Fig. 4. Evolution of *H*-marking under a chord slide.

The proofs are quite similar and given or sketched in the next section after first developing the requisite tools here. Just as the proof of Theorem 6.1 involved an algorithm which took any bordered fatgraph to a fixed "symplectic basepoint" bordered fatgraph, the above theorems are similarly based on an algorithm which takes any geometrically *H*-marked bordered fatgraph to a fixed *H*-marked bordered fatgraph, i.e., a fixed "symplectic basepoint" in the Torelli groupoid. Also as in Theorem 6.1, if we fix a marked bordered fatgraph with corresponding geometric basis \mathcal{B} , then Theorem 8.1 in fact leads to a representation of the Torelli groupoid in $\mathcal{I}(\Sigma_{g,1})$. Similarly, fixing a marked bordered fatgraph, the representation of Theorem 8.2 can also be extended to the Torelli groupoid.

8.1. Homology markings and chord slides

Under a chord slide, the *H*-marking of a linear fatgraph evolves in a simple way: up to sign and permutation, all *H*-markings of chords are fixed except the one being slid over, which is modified by adding or subtracting the *H*-marking of the slid chord. For example, consider the chord slide of Fig. 4, where we begin with an isolated pair of overlapping chords with *H*-markings B_i and $-A_i$. When the left end of the B_i -marked chord is slid along the $-A_i$ -marked chord, we obtain a new isolated pair of overlapping chords which are *H*-marked $A_i + B_i$ and B_i as in the figure. Thus, this chord slide corresponds to the transformation

$$A_i \mapsto -B_i, \qquad B_i \mapsto A_i + B_i,$$

which is easily seen to be a symplectic transformation.

More generally, we have

Lemma 8.3. Assume that *C* is a symplectic chord diagram with chords *H*-marked by the basis $\{-A_i, B_i\}$, $1 \le i \le g$. Then the following elements of $Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z})$ can be realized in terms of chord slides $(1 \le i \ne j \le g)$:

 $\begin{array}{l} (i^{\pm}) \quad A_i \mapsto A_i \pm B_i. \\ (ii^{\pm}) \quad B_i \mapsto B_i \pm A_i. \\ (iii) \quad A_i \mapsto B_i \mapsto -A_i. \\ (iv) \quad A_i \mapsto A_j \mapsto A_i, B_i \mapsto B_j \mapsto B_i. \\ (v^{\pm}) \quad A_i \mapsto A_i \pm A_{i+1}, B_{i+1} \mapsto B_{i+1} \mp B_i. \end{array}$

Proof. The moves of types i⁺ and ii⁺ are provided by the following two chord slides



and the moves of types i⁻ and ii⁻ are obtained similarly.

The move of type iii is obtained by combining moves of type i^{\pm} and ii^{\pm} with moves similar to that illustrated in Fig. 4. The move of type iv is provided by iterations of sequences of chord slides of the following type



The move of type v^+ is provided by composing the following two sequences



while type v^- is similar. \Box

We now apply this lemma to prove the following

Lemma 8.4. There is an algorithm starting with any marked symplectic chord diagram $C \hookrightarrow \Sigma_{g,1}$ and any primitive integral vector $v \in H$ (i.e., v extends to an integral basis of H) which produces a sequence of chord slides on C resulting in a marked symplectic chord diagram C' with the leftmost chord H-marked by v.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{B} = \{A_i, B_i\}_{1 \le i \le g}$ be the symplectic basis of *H* given by the marking of *C*. Since *v* is integral, we have

$$v = \sum_{i=1}^{g} c_i A_i + d_i B_i, \quad c_i, d_i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

By applying a sequence of type iii moves, we can assume that all $c_i, d_i \ge 0$.

Next by applying a sequence of type i moves according to a "homological division algorithm", we can obtain a new geometric basis \mathcal{B}' such that either $c'_i = 0$ or $d'_i = 0$ for all i = 1, ..., g. For example, if $d_i \ge m_i c_i$, we would begin by applying a type i⁺ move m_i times to reduce the coefficient d_i of B_i by $m_i c_i$. After completing this process, we can apply several type iii moves to obtain a basis \mathcal{B}'' with all $c''_i = 0$, so that

$$\nu = \sum_{i=1}^g d_i'' B_i''.$$

Next by applying a similar division algorithm using type iv and v moves, we obtain a basis $\mathcal{B}^{'''} = \{A_i^{''}, B_i^{''}\}_{1 \le i \le g}$ with

$$v = d_i^{\prime\prime\prime} B_i^{\prime\prime\prime},$$

for some *i*. Since both *v* and B_i''' are integral basis elements, we must have $d_i'' = 1$. By applying type iv moves, we can finally arrange that i = 1, as required. \Box

8.2. Proofs of Theorems 8.1 and 8.2

For the proof of Theorem 8.1, we devise an algorithm which will take any marked fatgraph *G* to a symplectic chord diagram *C* with corresponding geometric *H*-basis given by \mathcal{B} . Once we have obtained such an algorithm, the theorem will follow analogously to the proof of Theorem 6.1: we compare the results of the algorithm for the marked fatgraphs *G* and *G'* which differ by a Whitehead move, and the difference in marking defines an element of $\mathcal{I}(\Sigma_{g,1})$.

By applying the branch reduction and chord slide algorithms, we can assume that *G* is a symplectic chord diagram and that $\mathcal{B} = \{-A_i, B_i\}$ corresponds to the symplectic basis $\{A_i, B_i\}$. The algorithm then proceeds as follows. First, we apply Lemma 8.4 using $v = B_{2g}$ to obtain a new symplectic chord diagram with leftmost chord labeled by B_{2g} . It is easy to see that the unique chord overlapping with the leftmost one must be labeled by $-A_{2g} + kB_{2g}$, and by applying *k* moves of type i, we can arrange that the labeling is precisely $-A_i$. We then apply this procedure to the genus g - 1 symplectic chord diagram subgraph with $v = B_{2g-1}$, and so on, until we arrive at a symplectic chord diagram with geometric basis \mathcal{B} . The naturality statement is a tautology tantamount to the existence of the algorithm.

The proof of Theorem 8.2 is similar and only sketched here. The proof follows from an algorithm which takes any marked fatgraph *G* to a symplectic chord diagram *C* with the property that the Lagrangian subspace Λ equals the span of the *H*-markings of those chords of *C* corresponding to the chords labeled b_i produced in the chord slide algorithm. The only truly new ingredient is the determination of a vector $v \in \Lambda$ in the application of Lemma 8.4. This is done by looking at the integral subspaces

$$W_{2i-1} = \Lambda \cap \operatorname{span}(A_1, B_1, A_2, \dots, A_i),$$

$$W_{2i} = \Lambda \cap \operatorname{span}(A_1, B_1, A_2, \dots, B_i).$$

For the minimal *j* with W_j non-empty, the intersection is one-dimensional, hence contains a unique integral basis element $v \in W_j$.

References

- [1] J.E. Andersen, A. Bene, J.-B. Meilhan, R.C. Penner, Finite type invariants and fatgraphs, preprint.
- [2] D. Bar-Natan, S. Garoufalidis, On the Melvin-Morton-Rozansky conjecture, Invent. Math. 125 (1) (1996) 103-133.
- [3] A. Bene, A chord diagrammatic presentation of the mapping class group of a once-bordered surface, Geom. Dedicata, in press, arXiv:0802.2747.
- [4] A. Bene, N. Kawazumi, R.C. Penner, Canonical extensions of the Johnson homomorphisms to the Torelli groupoid, Adv. Math. 221 (2009) 627-659.
- [5] R.H. Fox, Free differential calculus. I: Derivation in the free group ring, Ann. of Math. (2) 57 (1953) 547-560.
- [6] R.C. Lyndon, P.E. Schupp, Combinatorial Group Theory, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.
- [7] W. Meyer, Die Signatur von Flächenbündeln, Math. Ann. 201 (1973) 239-264 (in German).
- [8] S. Morita, Abelian quotients of subgroups of the mapping class group of surfaces, Duke Math. J. 70 (1993) 699-726.
- [9] S. Morita, R.C. Penner, Torelli groups, extended Johnson homomorphisms, and new cycles on the moduli space of curves, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 144 (2008) 651–671.
- [10] J. Nielsen, in: V. Hansen (Ed.), Jakob Nielsen: Collected Mathematical Papers, vol. 1, in: Contemporary Mathematicians, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., MA, 1986.
- [11] R. Penner, The decorated Teichmüller space of punctured surfaces, Comm. Math. Phys. 113 (1987) 299-339.
- [12] R. Penner, Universal constructions in Teichmüller theory, Adv. Math. 98 (1993) 143-215.
- [13] R. Penner, Decorated Teichmüller theory of bordered surfaces, Comm. Anal. Geom. 12 (2004) 793-820.
- [14] M. Suzuki, The Magnus representation of the Torelli group $\mathcal{I}_{g,1}$ is not faithful for $g \ge 2$, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 130 (2002) 909–914.