



On the Chains of a Complex and Their Duals

J. W. Alexander

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America,
Volume 21, Issue 8 (Aug. 15, 1935), 509-511.

Your use of the JSTOR database indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use. A copy of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use is available at <http://www.jstor.org/about/terms.html>, by contacting JSTOR at jstor-info@umich.edu, or by calling JSTOR at (888)388-3574, (734)998-9101 or (FAX) (734)998-9113. No part of a JSTOR transmission may be copied, downloaded, stored, further transmitted, transferred, distributed, altered, or otherwise used, in any form or by any means, except: (1) one stored electronic and one paper copy of any article solely for your personal, non-commercial use, or (2) with prior written permission of JSTOR and the publisher of the article or other text.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America is published by National Academy of Sciences. Please contact the publisher for further permissions regarding the use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at <http://www.jstor.org/journals/nas.html>.

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America
©1935 National Academy of Sciences

JSTOR and the JSTOR logo are trademarks of JSTOR, and are Registered in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. For more information on JSTOR contact jstor-info@umich.edu.

©2001 JSTOR

ON THE CHAINS OF A COMPLEX AND THEIR DUALS

BY J. W. ALEXANDER

INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY, PRINCETON, N. J.

Communicated July 8, 1935

Let C be a finite or infinite topological complex. With each i -cell of C we shall introduce a pair of symbols $E_s^{(i)}$ and $F_{(i)}^s$. An i -chain will be any finite linear combination of symbols of the first kind,

$$K^{(i)} = \sum_s \alpha^s E_s^{(i)}, \quad (1)$$

where the coefficients α^s are elements of any preassigned additive group A . An i -function will be any linear combination, finite or infinite, of symbols of the second kind,

$$L_{(i)} = \sum_s \beta_s F_{(i)}^s, \quad (2)$$

where the coefficients β_s are elements of the character group B of A (the group of all representations of A on the unit circle in the complex plane). If we designate the points of the unit circle by a real parameter λ , modulo 1, we can construct a bilinear form

$$(\beta_s, \alpha^t) = \lambda_s^t, \pmod{1}, \quad (3)$$

such that λ_s^t is the point on which the character β_s maps the group element α^t . We can then form the expression

$$(L_{(i)}, K^{(i)}) = \sum_s (\beta_s, \alpha^s), \pmod{1}, \quad (4)$$

which will be called the *integral* of $L_{(i)}$ over $K^{(i)}$.

The classical theory of connectivity may be summarized as follows. We orient the cells of C and denote by

$$\{E_s^{(i)}, F_{(i-1)}^t\}, \quad (= 0, 1, -1), \quad (5)$$

the incidence number between the oriented i -cell associated with $E_s^{(i)}$ and the oriented $(i-1)$ -cell associated with $F_{(i-1)}^t$. We then define a linear operator β transforming an i -chain $K^{(i)}$ into an $(i-1)$ -chain

$$\beta K^{(i)} = \sum_{s,t} \alpha^s \{E_s^{(i)}, F_{(i-1)}^t\} E_t^{(i-1)}, \quad \left(\begin{matrix} \alpha^s.1 = \alpha^s \\ \alpha^s.0 = 0 \end{matrix} \right), \quad (6)$$

called the *boundary* of $K^{(i)}$. The chain $K^{(i)}$ is said to be *closed* if its boundary vanishes; it is said to be *bounding* if there exists any $K^{(i+1)}$ such that $\beta K^{(i+1)} = K^{(i)}$. The incidence numbers (5) satisfy the well-known relations

$$\sum_t \{E_s^{(i)}, F_{(i-1)}^t\} \{E_t^{(i-1)}, F_{(i-2)}^u\} = 0, \quad (7)$$

from which we obtain, at once,

$$\beta^2 K^{(i)} = 0. \quad (8)$$

By (8), the group Ψ^i of all bounding i -cycles is a subgroup of the group Φ^i of all closed i -cycles. The group $\Phi^i \bmod \Psi^i$ is the i -th connectivity group of C .

We now propose to introduce a new linear operator δ dual to β . The operator δ will transform an i -function $L_{(i)}$ into an $(i+1)$ -function

$$\delta L_{(i)} = \sum_{s,t} \beta_s \{E_t^{(i+1)}, F_{(i)}^s\} F_{(i+1)}^t, \quad (9)$$

which will be called the *derived* of $L_{(i)}$. We shall say that $L_{(i)}$ is *exact* if its derived vanishes and that it is *derived* if there exists any $L_{(i-1)}$ such that $\delta L_{(i-1)} = L_{(i)}$. By (7), the operator δ satisfies the relation

$$\delta^2 L_{(i)} = 0, \quad (10)$$

dual to (8). Thus, we see that the group Ψ_i of all derived i -functions is a subgroup of the group Φ_i of all exact i -functions. We call the group $\Phi_i \bmod \Psi_i$ the *dual* of the i -th connectivity group of C . This group is easily seen to be the character group of the i -th connectivity group.

Let $K^{(i)}$, cf. (1), be an arbitrary i -chain and $L_{(i-1)}$ an arbitrary $(i-1)$ -function,

$$L_{(i-1)} = \sum_s \gamma_s F_{(i-1)}^s. \quad (11)$$

Then, by a simple computation, we obtain the relation

$$(\delta L_{(i-1)}, K^{(i)}) = (L_{(i-1)}, \beta K^{(i)}) = \sum_{s,t} \{E_t^{(i)}, F_{(i-1)}^s\} (\gamma_s \cdot \alpha^t), \quad (12)$$

which says that the integral of the derived of $L_{(i-1)}$ over $K^{(i)}$ is equal to the integral of $L_{(i-1)}$ itself over the boundary of $K^{(i)}$. By (8), (10) and (12), we also have the corollary: the integral of a derived function $\delta L_{(i-1)}$ over a closed chain $K^{(i)}$ is always zero; likewise, the integral of an exact function $L_{(i-1)}$ over a bounding chain $\beta K^{(i)}$. The integral of an exact $L_{(i)}$ over a closed $K^{(i)}$ will be called the *period* of $L_{(i)}$ over $K^{(i)}$. It will, in general, be different from zero.

Formula (12) is analogous to the well-known theorem of Stokes on multiple integrals. The coefficients α^s and γ_s in (1) and (11) need not be chosen in exactly the manner indicated above. If we take the coefficients α^s to be arbitrary integers and the coefficients γ_s to be arbitrary real numbers, and if we write, in place of (3), the relation

$$(\gamma_s, \alpha^t) = \gamma_s \alpha^t, \text{ (not reduced mod 1),} \quad (13)$$

then (12) becomes the exact analogue of the theorem on multiple integrals and reduces to the latter by a passage to the limit.

ON THE RING OF A COMPACT METRIC SPACE

BY J. W. ALEXANDER

INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY, PRINCETON, N. J.

Communicated July 8, 1935

Let C be a compact metric space. We pick an arbitrary additive group A and define an i -function of C to be any single-valued, skew symmetric function,

$$F(p_0 p_1 \dots p_i), \quad (1)$$

of $i + 1$ variable points of C , where the values of F are elements of A . (For the case $i = 0$ the function F is an ordinary point function on C .) The function F will be said to be *locally zero*,

$$F = 0 \text{ (locally)}, \quad (2)$$

if there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ such that F vanishes whenever the distances between the points p_s ($s = 0, 1, \dots, i$) are all less than ϵ . Given any i -function F we construct an $(i + 1)$ -function δF which we call the *derived* of F and which we define by the formula

$$\delta F(p_0 p_1 \dots p_{i+1}) = \frac{1}{(i+1)^i} \sum_s (-1)^s F(p_0 p_1 \dots p_{s-1} p_{s+1} \dots p_{i+1}). \quad (3)$$

We say that F is *exact* if δF is locally zero. For the case $i > 0$, we say that F is *derived* if there exists any $(i-1)$ -function G such that $\delta G = F$. For the case $i = 0$, we say that F is *derived* if it is constant over C . By the skew symmetry of F we have, at once,

$$\delta^2 F = 0, \quad (4)$$

from which we conclude that *every derived function is exact*. Now, the exact i -functions on C form a group Φ_i with respect to addition and, by (4), the derived i -functions form a subgroup Ψ_i of Φ_i . We form the group $\Phi_i \bmod \Psi_i$. This group is a topological invariant analogous to the i -th connectivity group of C , as defined by Vietoris. In fact, if the coefficients of the Vietoris cycles are taken to be elements of the character group B of A , then the i -th connectivity group of C may be identified with the character group of the group $\Phi_i \bmod \Psi_i$.

A more interesting invariant can be obtained when A is the group of a ring, so that the elements of A can be both added and multiplied. We