

# ON THE SIGNATURES OF TORUS KNOTS

MACIEJ BORODZIK AND KRZYSZTOF OLESZKIEWICZ

**ABSTRACT.** We study properties of the signature function of the torus knot  $T_{p,q}$ . First we provide a very elementary proof of the formula for the integral of the signatures over the circle. We obtain also a closed formula for the Tristram–Levine signature of a torus knot in terms of Dedekind sums.

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

Let  $K$  be a knot in  $S^3$  with a Seifert matrix  $S$ . Let also  $z \in S^1$ ,  $z \neq 1$  be a complex number. The *Tristram–Levine* signature  $\sigma(z)$  is the signature of the hermitian form

$$(1 - z)S + (1 - \bar{z})S^T.$$

This is obviously an integer-valued piecewise constant function. It does not depend on a particular choice of Seifert matrix. If we substitute  $z = -1$  we get an invariant  $\sigma_{ord}$ , which is called the *(ordinary) signature*. We define also the integral  $I_K$

$$I_K = \int_0^1 \sigma(e^{2\pi i x}) dx.$$

Signatures are very strong knot cobordism invariants, which can be used to bound the four-genus and the unknotting number of  $K$ . The integral  $I_K$  of the signature function is one of the so called  $\rho$  invariants of knots (see [COT1, COT2]) and is of independent interest.

For a torus knot  $T_{p,q}$ , where  $\gcd(p, q) = 1$ , the signature function can be expressed in the following nice way (see [Li] or [Kau, Chapter XII])

**Proposition 1.1.** *Let*

$$(1.1) \quad \Sigma = \left\{ \frac{k}{p} + \frac{l}{q} : 1 \leq k \leq p-1, 1 \leq l \leq q-1 \right\}.$$

*Then for any  $x \in (0, 1) \setminus \Sigma$  we have*

$$(1.2) \quad \sigma(e^{2\pi i x}) = |\Sigma \setminus (x, x+1)| - |\Sigma \cap (x, x+1)|,$$

---

*Date:* 24 February 2010.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* primary: 57M25.

*Key words and phrases.* Tristram–Levine signature, torus knots, Dedekind sums.

The first author is supported by Polish MNiSzW Grant No N N201 397937 and also by the Foundation for Polish Science FNP. The second author is supported by Polish MNiSzW Grant N N201 397437.

where  $|\cdot|$  denotes the cardinality of a set. In particular  $\sigma_{ord} = |\Sigma \setminus (1/2, 3/2)| - |\Sigma \cap (1/2, 3/2)|$ .

The explicit formulae for  $\sigma_{ord}$  and  $I_K$  of torus knots have been known in the literature for quite a long time. In fact,  $\sigma_{ord}$  by a result of Viro (see (2.4)) is equal to  $\tau_2$ , which was computed in [HZ] for  $p$  and  $q$  odd, and (denoted as  $\sigma(f + z^2)$ ) in [Nem] in general case. On the other hand, Kirby and Melvin [KM, Remark 3.9] and [Nem, Example 4.3] provided a formula for  $I_K$ . Nevertheless all the above-mentioned results are related more to singularity theory and low-dimensional topology, than to knot theory itself.

After the discovery of  $\rho$  invariants, the interest of computing  $I_K$  for various families of knots grew significantly. Two independent new proofs of the formula for  $I_K$  of torus knots [Bo, Co] appeared in 2009. In particular [Bo] provided a bridge between the  $I_K$  and cuspidal singularities of plane curves.

In this paper we present an elementary proof of the formula for  $I_K$  (Proposition 2.1). We also cite a formula of Némethi and draw some consequences from it. In Section 4 we use a theorem of Rosen to obtain the explicit value of the signature  $\sigma(z)$  of a torus knot not only for  $z = -1$ , but also for any  $z \in S^1 \setminus \{1\}$  (Proposition 4.2). This result seems to be new. In Section 5 we show that the formula for  $\sigma_{ord}(T_{p,q})$  cannot be written as a rational function of  $p$  and  $q$ .

## 2. FORMULA FOR THE INTEGRAL

**Proposition 2.1.** *For a torus knot  $T_{p,q}$  we have*

$$(2.1) \quad I = -\frac{1}{3} \left( p - \frac{1}{p} \right) \left( q - \frac{1}{q} \right).$$

This proposition was first proved in [KM, Remark 3.9]. Refer to [Nem, Bo, Co] for other proofs.

*Proof.* Let  $f(x) = -\sigma(e^{2\pi i x})$  and  $J = \int_0^1 f(x) dx = -I$ . Then

$$f(x) = \sum_{y \in \Sigma} \mathbf{1}_{(y, y+1)}(x) - \sum_{y \in \Sigma} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R} \setminus (y, y+1)}(x).$$

(Here, for a set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbf{1}_A$  denotes the function which is equal to 1 on  $A$  and 0 away from  $A$ .) Hence

$$J = \sum_{y \in \Sigma} \int_0^1 (\mathbf{1}_{(y-1, y)}(x) - \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R} \setminus (y-1, y)}(x)) dx = \sum_{y \in \Sigma} (1 - 2|y - 1|).$$

It follows that

$$J = \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \sum_{l=1}^{q-1} \left( 1 - 2 \left| \frac{k}{p} + \frac{l}{q} - 1 \right| \right).$$

As for any  $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$  we have  $1 - 2|u + v - 1| = 2 \min(1 - u, v) + 2 \min(u, 1 - v) - 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} J &= 2 \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \sum_{l=1}^{q-1} \min\left(\frac{p-k}{p}, \frac{l}{q}\right) + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \sum_{l=1}^{q-1} \min\left(\frac{k}{p}, \frac{q-l}{q}\right) - (p-1)(q-1) = \\ &= 4 \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \sum_{l=1}^{q-1} \min\left(\frac{k}{p}, \frac{l}{q}\right) - (p-1)(q-1) = \frac{4}{pq} \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \sum_{l=1}^{q-1} \min(qk, pl) - (p-1)(q-1). \end{aligned}$$

Now, obviously,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \sum_{l=1}^{q-1} \min(qk, pl) &= \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} |\{1, \dots, p-1\} \times \{1, \dots, q-1\} : qk > s \text{ and } pl > s| = \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{pq-1} (p-1 - \lfloor s/q \rfloor)(q-1 - \lfloor s/p \rfloor). \end{aligned}$$

We can multiply the expression in parentheses. Then, as  $\sum_{s=0}^{pq-1} \lfloor s/p \rfloor = p \sum_{l=0}^{q-1} l = \frac{1}{2} pq(q-1)$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s=0}^{pq-1} (p-1 - \lfloor s/q \rfloor)(q-1 - \lfloor s/p \rfloor) &= pq(p-1)(q-1) - \frac{1}{2} pq(p-1)(q-1) - \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2} pq(p-1)(q-1) + \sum_{s=0}^{pq-1} \lfloor s/p \rfloor \lfloor s/q \rfloor = \sum_{s=0}^{pq-1} \lfloor s/p \rfloor \lfloor s/q \rfloor. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to compute  $\sum_{s=0}^{pq-1} \lfloor s/p \rfloor \lfloor s/q \rfloor$ . To this end let us denote by  $R_p(s)$  the remainder of  $s$  modulo  $p$ . We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s=0}^{pq-1} \lfloor s/p \rfloor \lfloor s/q \rfloor &= \sum_{s=0}^{pq-1} \left( \frac{s - R_p(s)}{p} \cdot \frac{s - R_q(s)}{q} \right) = \\ &= \frac{1}{pq} \left( \sum_{s=0}^{pq-1} s^2 - \sum_{s=0}^{pq-1} s R_p(s) - \sum_{s=0}^{pq-1} s R_q(s) + \sum_{s=0}^{pq-1} R_p(s) R_q(s) \right) = \\ &\quad \frac{1}{3} p^2 q^2 + \frac{1}{4} pq - \frac{1}{4} p^2 q - \frac{1}{4} pq^2 - \frac{1}{12} p^2 - \frac{1}{12} q^2 + \frac{1}{12}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that  $\sum_{s=0}^{pq-1} R_p(s) R_q(s) = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \sum_{l=0}^{q-1} kl$  by the Chinese remainder theorem.

Putting all the pieces together we obtain the desired formula.  $\square$

Let us now present another proof, due to Némethi [Nem], see also [Br, HZ]. Before we do this, let us recall some facts from topology.

Assume that the knot  $K$  is drawn on  $S^3 = \partial B^4$  and consider a Seifert surface  $F$  of  $K$ . Let us push it slightly into  $B^4$  and for an integer  $m$  let  $N_m$  be the  $m$  fold cyclic cover of  $B^4$  branched along  $F$ . Then the quantity  $\tau_m = \sigma(N_m)$  (here  $\sigma$  is a signature of a four-manifold) is independent of the choices made. We have the formula essentially due to Viro (see [GLM, Section 2] or [Vi]).

$$(2.2) \quad \tau_m = \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \sigma_K(\xi^k),$$

where  $\xi$  is a primitive root of unity of order  $m$ . In particular, since  $\sigma$  is a Riemann integrable function, we have

$$(2.3) \quad I = \int_0^1 \sigma(e^{2\pi i x}) dx = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m} \tau_m.$$

On the other hand

$$(2.4) \quad \tau_2(K) = \sigma_{ord}(K).$$

If  $K$  is a torus knot  $T_{p,q}$  and  $m, p, q$  are pairwise coprime, then the  $m$ -fold cover of  $S^3$  branched along  $K$  is diffeomorphic to the Brieskorn homology sphere  $B(p, q, m)$  (see [Br], [GLM, Section 5]). Then  $\tau_m$  turns out [HZ, Section 10.2 and 11] to be the signature of the manifold  $X_{p,q,m}$  defined as the intersection of  $z_1^p + z_2^q + z_3^m = \varepsilon$  with  $B(0, 1) \subset \mathbb{C}^3$ . In this context  $\tau_m$  was computed by [HZ, Formula 11 on page 122] and by [Nem, Example 4.3]. Especially the last formula is worth citing (Némethi uses  $m(S(f))$  to denote the limit (2.3)).

$$(2.5) \quad I = -4(s(p, q) + s(q, p) + s(1, pq)).$$

Here  $s(a, b)$  is the Dedekind sum (see Section 3). As by elementary computations  $s(1, pq) = \frac{(pq-1)(pq-2)}{12pq}$ , we get that

$$s(p, q) + s(q, p) = -\frac{I}{4} - \frac{(pq-1)(pq-2)}{12pq}.$$

Now we can look at the above equation as defining  $I$  in terms of  $s(p, q) + s(q, p)$ , but if we know  $I$ , we know  $s(p, q) + s(q, p)$ . In other words we get the following observation.

**Corollary 2.2.** *Any proof of Proposition 2.1 provides a proof of the Dedekind reciprocity law.*

## 3. LATTICE POINTS IN THE TRIANGLE

Let us recall basic definitions. For a real number  $x$ ,  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  denotes the integer part and  $\{x\} = x - \lfloor x \rfloor$  the fractional part. The *sawtooth* function is defined as

$$\langle x \rangle = \begin{cases} \{x\} - \frac{1}{2} & x \notin \mathbb{Z} \\ 0 & x \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{cases}$$

Sometimes  $\langle x \rangle$  is denoted  $((x))$ . We prefer this notation because it does not lead to confusion with ordinary parenthesis. We can now define the functions (below  $p, q$  and  $m$  are integers and  $x, y$  are real numbers):

$$\begin{aligned} s(p, q) &= \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \left\langle \frac{j}{q} \right\rangle \left\langle \frac{pj}{q} \right\rangle \\ s(p, q; x, y) &= \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \left\langle \frac{j+y}{q} \right\rangle \left\langle p \frac{j+y}{q} + x \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

These functions satisfy the following reciprocity laws (see [RG, HZ]). If  $m, p$  and  $q$  are pairwise coprime, then

$$(3.1) \quad s(p, q) + s(q, p) = \frac{1}{12} \left( \frac{p}{q} + \frac{q}{p} + \frac{1}{pq} \right) - \frac{1}{4}$$

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} s(p, q, x, y) + s(q, p, y, x) &= -\frac{1}{4} d(x) d(y) + \langle x \rangle \langle y \rangle + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{q}{p} \Psi_2(y) + \frac{1}{pq} \Psi_2(py + qx) + \frac{p}{q} \Psi_2(x) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Here

$$d(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{Z} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\Psi_2(x) = B_2(\{x\}) = \{x\}^2 - \{x\} + \frac{1}{6}$$

is the second Bernoulli polynomial. Now for a fixed  $C \in [0, 1)$  and  $p, q$  coprime, let

$$A(p, q; C) = \{(k, l) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2 : 0 \leq \frac{k}{p} + \frac{l}{q} < 1 - C\}$$

and

$$N(p, q; C) = |A(p, q; C)|.$$

We have the following result due to Rosen [Ro, Theorem 3.4].

**Proposition 3.1.** *In this case*

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} N(p, q; C) = & \frac{(1-C)^2}{2}pq + \frac{(1-C)}{2}(p+q) + \frac{q}{12p} + \frac{p}{12q} + K - \\ & - s(p, q; Cp, 0) - s(q, p; Cq, 0) + \langle Cp \rangle + \langle Cq \rangle + \\ & + (1-C) \langle Cpq \rangle - \left( \frac{7}{8}\delta_0 + \frac{3}{8}\delta_1 - \frac{1}{8}\delta_2 \right) + \frac{1}{4}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$K = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{12pq} - \frac{1}{8} & \text{if } Cpq \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \frac{1}{2pq} \Psi_2(Cpq) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

And for  $r = 0, 1, 2$ ,  $\delta_r$  is the number of non-negative integers  $k, l$  such that  $\frac{k}{p} + \frac{l}{q} + C = r$ .

This proposition admits an important corollary [Ro, Corollary 3.5].

**Corollary 3.2.** *If  $p$  and  $q$  are odd and coprime, then*

$$N(p, q; \frac{1}{2}) = \frac{pq}{8} + \frac{p+q}{4} + \frac{q}{6p} + \frac{p}{6q} + \frac{1}{24pq} - s(2p, q) - s(2q, p).$$

*If  $p$  and  $q$  are coprime and  $q$  is even, then*

$$(3.4) \quad N(p, q; \frac{1}{2}) = \frac{pq}{8} + \frac{p+q}{4} - s(2p, q) + 2s(p, q).$$

We shall use these results to compute the signature of the torus knots. We need a following trivial lemma

**Lemma 3.3.** *The number of points  $(k, l) \in A(p, q; C)$  such that  $kl = 0$  is equal to*

$$Z(p, q; C) = \lfloor (1-C)p \rfloor + \lfloor (1-C)q \rfloor + 1 - d((1-C)p) - d((1-C)q),$$

where  $d(x)$  again is 1, if  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and 0 otherwise.

If  $Cp$  and  $Cq$  are not integers,

$$Z(p, q; C) = (1-C)(p+q) - \langle (1-C)p \rangle - \langle (1-C)q \rangle.$$

#### 4. EXPLICIT FORMULAE FOR THE SIGNATURES

We begin with computing the value of the ordinary signature. As it was already mentioned,  $\sigma_{ord} = \tau_2$  (see (2.4)) so the first result below is in general known [HZ, Nem], but not necessarily in the context of knot theory.

**Proposition 4.1.** *If  $p$  and  $q$  are both odd and coprime, then the ordinary signature of the torus knot  $T_{p,q}$  satisfies*

$$\sigma_{ord}(T_{p,q}) = -\frac{pq}{2} + \frac{2p}{3q} + \frac{2q}{3p} + \frac{1}{6pq} - 4(s(2p, q) + s(2q, p)) - 1,$$

where  $s(x, y)$  is the Dedekind sum (see Section 3 or [RG]) (compare with [HZ, Formula 11 on page 122]). If  $p$  is odd and  $q > 2$  is even, then

$$\sigma_{ord}(T_{p,q}) = -\frac{pq}{2} + 1 + 4s(2p, q) - 8s(p, q).$$

*Proof.* Let us consider the torus knot  $T_{p,q}$  and let  $\Sigma$  be as in (1.1). We can write  $\sigma_{ord}$  as

$$(4.1) \quad \sigma_{ord} = 4|\Sigma \cap (0, \frac{1}{2})| - |\Sigma|.$$

Since  $|\Sigma| = (p-1)(q-1)$ , we need to find a closed formula for

$$(4.2) \quad S(p, q) = |\Sigma| \cap (0, \frac{1}{2}) = \left| \left\{ \frac{k}{p} + \frac{l}{q} < \frac{1}{2}, \ 1 \leq k \leq p-1, \ 1 \leq l \leq q-1 \right\} \right|.$$

From the definition we get immediately that

$$S(p, q) = N(p, q; \frac{1}{2}) - Z(p, q; \frac{1}{2}).$$

Now  $Z(p, q; \frac{1}{2}) = \frac{1}{2}(p+q)$  if  $p$  and  $q$  are both odd and  $\frac{1}{2}(p+q-1)$  if  $q$  is even and  $q > 2$ . Hence, for  $p$  and  $q$  odd we have

$$S(p, q) = \frac{pq}{8} - \frac{p+q}{4} - s(2p, q) + 2s(p, q),$$

while for  $q$  even we have by (3.4)

$$S(p, q) = \frac{pq}{8} - \frac{p+q}{4} + \frac{1}{2} - s(2p, q) + 2s(p, q).$$

and using (4.1) we complete the proof.  $\square$

To express explicitly the values of Tristram–Levine signatures at other points let us assume that  $Cpq$  is not an integer. Define

$$\begin{aligned} M(p, q; C) &= N(p, q; C) - Z(p, q; C) = \frac{(1-C)^2}{2}pq - \frac{(1-C)}{2}(p+q) \\ &\quad + \frac{q}{12p} + \frac{p}{12q} - s(p, q; Cp, 0) - s(q, p; Cq, 0) + \frac{1}{4} - \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}(\langle Cp \rangle + \langle Cq \rangle) + (1-C)\langle Cpq \rangle + \frac{1}{2pq}\Psi_2(Cpq). \end{aligned}$$

Now it is a trivial consequence of Proposition 1.1 that if  $C \in [0, 1)$  and  $e^{2\pi i C} = z$ , then

$$\sigma(z) = -(p-1)(q-1) + 2M(p, q; C) + 2M(p, q; 1-C).$$

Now, since for any integer  $k$  and real  $x$  we have  $\langle(1-x)k\rangle + \langle xk\rangle = 0$ , the formula for  $M(p, q; C) + M(p, q; 1-C)$  can be simplified to

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1-2C+2C^2}{2}pq - \frac{1}{2}(p+q) + \frac{q}{6p} + \frac{p}{6q} + (1-2C)\langle Cpq\rangle + \frac{1}{pq}(\langle Cpq\rangle^2 - \frac{1}{12}) + \frac{1}{2} - \\ & - s(p, q; Cp, 0) - s(q, p; Cq, 0) - s(p, q; (1-C)p, 0) - s(q, p; (1-C)q, 0). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we prove the following result.

**Proposition 4.2.** *If  $z = e^{2\pi i C}$  where  $C \in [0, 1)$  is such that  $Cpq$  is not an integer, then the signature of the torus knot  $T_{p,q}$  can be expressed in the following formula.*

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(z) = & -2(C-C^2)pq + \frac{q}{3p} + \frac{p}{3q} + (2-4C)\langle Cpq\rangle + \frac{2}{pq}(\langle Cpq\rangle^2 - \frac{1}{12}) - \\ & -2(s(p, q; Cp, 0) + s(q, p; Cq, 0) + s(p, q; (1-C)p, 0) + s(q, p; (1-C)q, 0)). \end{aligned}$$

In particular we see rigorously that for large  $p$  and  $q$  the shape of the function  $\sigma(e^{2\pi i x})$  resembles that of the function  $2pq(x^2 - x)$ .

## 5. EXPRESSING $\sigma_{ord}(T_{p,q})$ AS A RATIONAL FUNCTION

**Proposition 5.1.** *There does not exist a rational function  $R(p, q)$  such that for all odd and coprime positive integers*

$$R(p, q) = \sigma_{ord}(T_{p,q}).$$

*Proof.* Assume that  $R(p, q) = \sigma(T_{p,q})$ . Then  $S(p, q) = \frac{1}{4}(R(p, q) + (p-1)(q-1))$  is also a rational function and

$$S(p, q) = \left| \Sigma \cap (0, \frac{1}{2}) \right| = \left| \left\{ \frac{k}{p} + \frac{l}{q} < \frac{1}{2}, \ 1 \leq k \leq p-1, \ 1 \leq l \leq q-1. \right\} \right|$$

(cf. formulae (4.1) and (4.2)). If  $p|(q-1)$  the value of  $S(p, q)$  can be easily computed:

$$\begin{aligned} S(p, q) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left\lfloor \frac{q}{2} - \frac{qk}{p} \right\rfloor = \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left\lfloor \frac{q-1}{2} - \frac{(q-1)k}{p} + \frac{p-k}{2p} \right\rfloor = \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left( \frac{q-1}{2} - k \frac{q-1}{p} \right) = \frac{(q-1)(p-1)^2}{8p}. \end{aligned}$$



Since for infinitely many values  $(p, q)$  with  $q = np + 1$  with  $p$  odd and  $n$  even, we have  $p|(q - 1)$ , it follows that  $S(p, q) = \frac{(q-1)(p-1)^2}{8p}$  on each line  $q = np + 1$ . Since these rational functions agree on infinitely many lines, they must be equal.

But now assume that  $p = nq + 1$  for some even  $n$ . Similar arguments as above show that  $S(p, q)$  must also be identical to the function  $\frac{(p-1)(q-1)^2}{8q}$ . This leads to a contradiction, since these two rational functions are different.  $\square$

*Remark 5.2.* We can also compute values of  $S(p, q)$  in many other cases, like  $q = np - 1$ ,  $q = p + 2$ . With more care we can prove that e.g.  $S(p, q) - \lfloor \frac{q}{p} \rfloor$  is not a rational function.

The proof carries over to show that no such rational function exists for the case  $p$  even and  $q$  odd. We leave the obvious details to the reader.

*Acknowledgements.* The authors wish to Andrew Ranicki for many remarks during preparation of the paper and to Julia Collins, Stefan Friedl and András Némethi for their interest and comments concerning this article.

## REFERENCES

- [Bo] M. Borodzik, *The  $p$ -invariant of the torus knot*, preprint (2009), arxiv: 0906.3660.
- [Br] E. Brieskorn, *Beispiele zur Differenzialtopologie von Singularitäten*, Inv. Math. **2**(1966), 1–14.
- [COT1] T. Cochran, K. Orr, P. Teichner, *Knot concordance, Whitney towers and  $L^2$ -signatures*, Ann. of Math. **157**(2003), no. 2, 433–519.
- [COT2] T. Cochran, K. Orr, P. Teichner, *Structure in the classical knot concordance group*, Comment. Math. Helv. **79**(2004), no. 1, 105–123.
- [Co] J. Collins,  *$L^2$  signature of torus knots*, preprint (2010), arxiv: 1001.1329.
- [GLM] C. McA. Gordon, R. Litherland, K. Murasugi, *Signatures of covering links*, Can. J. Math. **33**(1981), no. 2, 381–394.
- [HZ] F. Hirzebruch, D. Zagier, *The Atiyah–Singer Theorem and Elementary Number Theory*, Publish or Perish, Boston, USA, 1974.
- [Kau] L. Kauffman, *On knots*, Annals of Mathematical Studies 115, Princeton University Press, Princeton 1987.
- [KM] R. Kirby, P. Melvin, *Dedekind sums,  $\mu$ -invariants, and the signature cocycle*, Math. Ann. **29**(1994), no.2, 231–267.
- [Li] R. A. Litherland, *Signatures of iterated torus knots* in Topology of low-dimensional manifolds (Proc. Second Sussex Conf., Chelwood Gate, 1977), pp. 71–84, Lecture Notes in Math., **722**, Springer, Berlin, 1979.
- [Nem] A. Némethi, *On the spectrum of curve singularities*, Proceedings of the Singularity Conference, Oberwolfach, July 1996; Progress in Mathematics, Vol. 162, 93–102, 1998.
- [Ro] K. Rosen, *Dedekind–Rademacher sums and lattice points in triangles and tetrahedra*, Acta Arithmetica, **39**(1981), 59–75.
- [RG] H. Rademacher, E. Grosswald, *Dedekind sums*, The Carus Mathematical Monographs, 1972.
- [Vi] O. Viro, *Branched coverings of manifold with boundary and link invariants, I.*, Math. USSR Izvestija **7**(1973), 1239–1256.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WARSAW, UL. BANACHA 2, 02-097  
WARSAW, POLAND

*E-mail address:* `mcboro@mimuw.edu.pl`

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WARSAW, UL. BANACHA 2, 02-097  
WARSAW, POLAND; INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, UL.  
ŚNIADECKICH 8, 00-956 WARSAW, POLAND

*E-mail address:* `koles@mimuw.edu.pl`