

# AN ALGEBRAIC CLASSIFICATION OF SOME EVEN-DIMENSIONAL KNOTS

C. KEARTON\*

(Received 2 October 1975)

## §0. INTRODUCTION

AN  $n$ -KNOT  $k$  is a smooth submanifold  $\Sigma^n$  of  $S^{n+2}$ , where  $\Sigma^n$  is homeomorphic to the  $n$ -sphere  $S^n$ . When  $n = 2q - 1$  or  $2q$ , the knot is called *simple* if its complement has the homotopy  $(q - 1)$ -type of  $S^1$ : this is the most that can be asked if  $k$  is not to be trivial (except perhaps when  $n = 2$ ). The simple  $(2q - 1)$ -knots,  $q \geq 2$ , have been classified by J. Levine [7] in terms of their Seifert matrices modulo  $S$ -equivalence.

A simple  $2q$ -knot is called *odd* if the  $q^{\text{th}}$  homotopy group of its complement has no 2-torsion. This paper provides a classification of odd simple  $2q$ -knots,  $q \geq 3$ , in terms of an algebraic gadget called a  $(-1)^q$ -form, modulo an equivalence relation called  $T$ -equivalence.

I should like to thank Andrew Ranicki for many helpful conversations: the notation used here is modelled on his work.

## §1. $\epsilon$ -FORMS

Let  $\epsilon$  denote  $\pm$ . Let  $P \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2n}$  and  $P^* = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(P, \mathbb{Z})$ . A *Seifert map* is a homomorphism  $\theta: P \rightarrow P^*$  such that  $\theta + \epsilon\theta^*: P \rightarrow P^*$  is an isomorphism, where  $\theta^*$  is the dual of  $\theta$  and  $P^{**}$  is identified with  $P$ . Define  $\theta(a, b) = \theta(b)(a)$  and if  $F \subseteq P$  let the *annihilator* of  $F$  be  $F^\perp = \{x \in P: \theta(F, x) = 0\}$ . A subgroup  $F$  of  $P$  is *self-annihilating* if  $F = F^\perp$ . Note that this implies that  $F$  is a direct summand of rank  $n$ .

An  $\epsilon$ -form is a quadruple  $(\theta, F, G, \phi)$  where  $\theta$  is a Seifert map with domain  $P$ ,  $F$  and  $G$  are self-annihilating subgroups of  $P$ , and there is an exact sequence of Abelian groups

$$0 \rightarrow F + G + 2P \hookrightarrow P \xrightarrow{i} \Pi \xrightarrow{h} F \cap G \rightarrow 0$$

with a bilinear pairing  $\phi: \Pi \times \Pi \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$  such that for  $a \in P$ ,  $b \in \Pi$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(ia, b) &\equiv \theta(a, hb) \pmod{2} \\ \phi(b, ia) &\equiv \theta(hb, a). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that  $F \cap G$  is a direct summand of  $P$ , of rank  $r$ , say.

An isomorphism between two  $\epsilon$ -forms  $(\theta, F, G, \phi)$  and  $(\theta', F', G', \phi')$  is a pair of maps  $(f, g)$  satisfying

$$f: P \xrightarrow{\sim} P', \quad g: \Pi \xrightarrow{\sim} \Pi', \quad fF = F', \quad fG = G',$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & F + G + 2P & \longrightarrow & P & \longrightarrow & \Pi \longrightarrow F \cap G \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow g & \downarrow f \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & F' + G' + 2P' & \longrightarrow & P' & \longrightarrow & \Pi' \longrightarrow F' \cap G' \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

commutes and

$$\theta'(fa, fb) = \theta(a, b) \quad \forall a, b \in P, \quad \phi'(ga, gb) = \phi(a, b) \quad \forall a, b \in \Pi.$$

An  $\epsilon$ -form  $(\theta, F, G, \phi)$  is called *odd* if the torsion subgroup of  $P/(F + G)$  has odd order. At this point we prove two technical lemmas about  $\epsilon$ -forms which will be needed later.

LEMMA 1.1. If  $(\theta, F, G, \phi)$  is an  $\epsilon$ -form, then there is a subgroup  $T$  of  $\Pi$  such that  $h|_T: T \rightarrow F \cap G$  is an isomorphism and  $\phi|_{T \times T}$  is symmetric.

*Proof.* Let  $b_1, \dots, b_r \in \Pi$  be such that  $hb_1, \dots, hb_r$  is a basis of  $F \cap G$ . Let  $a_1, \dots, a_r \in P$

\*Current address: College of St. Mild and St. Bede, Durham, DH1 1S2.

be such that  $(\theta + \epsilon\theta^*)(a_i, x) = 1$  if  $x = hb_i$ , 0 otherwise; this is possible as  $\theta + \epsilon\theta^*$  is an isomorphism. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(b_1 + ia_k, b_l) - \phi(b_l, b_1 + ia_k) \\ &= \phi(b_1, b_l) - \phi(b_l, b_1) + \phi(ia_k, b_l) - \phi(b_l, ia_k) \\ &= \phi(b_1, b_l) - \phi(b_l, b_1) + \theta(a_k, hb_l) - \theta(hb_l, a_k) \\ &= \phi(b_1, b_l) - \phi(b_l, b_1) + \delta_{kl}.\end{aligned}$$

Let  $L$  be the subset of  $2, \dots, r$  for which  $\phi(b_1, b_k) \neq \phi(b_k, b_1)$ , and define  $b'_1 = b_1 + \sum_{k \in L} ia_k$ .

Then  $\phi(b'_1, b_k) = \phi(b_k, b'_1)$  for  $2 \leq k \leq r$  and  $hb'_1 = hb_1$ . Iterate this process to obtain  $b'_2, b'_3$ , etc.  $\square$

We call  $T$  a symmetric subgroup of  $\Pi$ .

LEMMA 1.2. Let  $(\theta, F, G, \phi)$  be an odd  $\epsilon$ -form, and  $R, T$  symmetric subgroups. If  $b_1, \dots, b_r$  is a basis of  $R$  and  $b'_1, \dots, b'_r$  a basis of  $T$  such that  $hb_j = hb'_j$  for  $1 \leq j \leq r$ , then there exists  $a_1, \dots, a_r \in P$  and  $\lambda_{jk} \in \mathbb{Z}$  ( $1 \leq j, k \leq r$ ) with the following properties.

(i)  $\theta(a_j, x) + \epsilon\theta(x, a_j) = 1$  if  $x = hb_j$  and 0 otherwise.

(ii)  $b'_k = b_k + \sum_{s=1}^r \lambda_{ks} ia_s, \quad \forall k.$

(iii)  $\lambda_{kl} \equiv \lambda_{lk} \pmod{2}, \quad \forall k, l.$

*Proof.* The existence of  $a_1, \dots, a_r \in P$  with property (i) follows because  $\theta + \epsilon\theta^*$  is an isomorphism. Because the  $\epsilon$ -form is odd,  $ia_1, \dots, ia_r$  is a basis of  $\text{Im } i$ , and so  $b'_k - b_k$  can be expressed as  $\sum_{s=1}^r \lambda_{ks} ia_s$  for some  $\lambda_{ks}$ .

$$\phi(b'_k, b_l) = \phi(b_k, b_l) + \sum_s \lambda_{ls} \phi(b_k, ia_s) + \sum_s \lambda_{ks} \phi(ia_s, b_l).$$

Since  $\phi$  is symmetric on  $R$  and  $T$ , we obtain

$$\sum_s \lambda_{ls} [\phi(b_k, ia_s) - \phi(ia_s, b_k)] + \sum_s \lambda_{ks} [\phi(ia_s, b_l) - \phi(b_l, ia_s)] = 0$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned}-\sum_s \delta_{ks} \lambda_{ls} + \sum_s \delta_{ls} \lambda_{ks} &\equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \lambda_{kl} &\equiv \lambda_{lk}.\end{aligned}$$

$\square$

## §2. T-EQUIVALENCES

If  $M, N, P, Q$  are free Abelian groups of finite rank, elements of  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M \oplus N, P \oplus Q)$  can be displayed as matrices

$$f = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}: M \oplus N \rightarrow P \oplus Q, \quad f \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha(x) + \beta(y) \\ \gamma(x) + \delta(y) \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\alpha \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, P)$ ,  $\beta \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(N, P)$ ,  $\gamma \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, Q)$ ,  $\delta \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(N, Q)$ .

Moreover,  $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \delta \end{pmatrix}$  will be denoted by  $\alpha \oplus \delta$ .

Throughout this section,  $U \cong V \cong R \cong S \cong \mathbb{Z}$ , and the lower case letters will denote a generator: thus  $U = \langle u \rangle$ . We define the following moves on an  $\epsilon$ -form  $(\theta, F, G, \phi)$ .

T0.  $(\theta, F, G, \phi) \rightarrow (\hat{\theta}, \hat{F}, \hat{G}, \hat{\phi}), \quad \hat{P} = P \oplus R \oplus S,$

$$\hat{\theta} = \begin{pmatrix} \theta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{pmatrix} \theta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$\hat{F} = F \oplus R, \quad \hat{G} = G \oplus S, \quad \hat{\Pi} = \Pi, \quad \hat{\phi} = \phi.$

T1.  $\theta \mapsto \theta + \psi - \epsilon\psi^*$  where  $\psi: P \rightarrow P^*$  has rank one and  $\psi F = 0, \psi^* G = 0$ .

T2.  $(\theta, F, G, \phi) \rightarrow (\hat{\theta}, \hat{F}, \hat{G}, \hat{\phi}),$

$\hat{P} = P \oplus R \oplus S, \quad \hat{F} = F \oplus S, \quad \hat{G} = G \oplus S,$

$$\hat{\theta} = \begin{pmatrix} \theta & \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{pmatrix} \theta & 0 & 0 \\ \beta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$\hat{\Pi} = \Pi \oplus (R/2R) \oplus S$  where

$$\begin{aligned}0 \rightarrow F + G + S + 2R + 2P \rightarrow P \oplus R \oplus S &\xrightarrow{i \oplus (2) \oplus 0} \\ \Pi \oplus (R/2R) \oplus S &\xrightarrow{h \oplus 0 \oplus 1} (F \cap G) \oplus S \rightarrow 0.\end{aligned}$$

and (2):  $R \rightarrow R/2R$  is the quotient map.

$$\hat{\phi}|_{\Pi \times \Pi} = \phi$$

$$\hat{\phi}|_{(\Pi \oplus S) \times S} = 0 = \hat{\phi}|_{S \times (\Pi \oplus S)}.$$

Note that  $\hat{\phi}$  is determined elsewhere by  $\hat{\theta}$ .

T3.  $(\theta, F, G, \phi) \mapsto (\theta, \hat{F}, \hat{G}, \hat{\phi})$

$$Q \cong Z^{2n-2}, P = Q \oplus R \oplus S \oplus U \oplus V$$

$$\theta = \left( \begin{array}{c|cc} \psi & 0 & \alpha \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline -\epsilon\alpha^* & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \quad \text{or} \quad \left( \begin{array}{c|cc} \psi & 0 & \alpha \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline -\epsilon\alpha^* & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

where  $\psi: Q \oplus R \oplus S \rightarrow (Q \oplus R \oplus S)^*$ .

$$F = A \oplus \langle s - mv \rangle \oplus V, \quad A \subseteq Q,$$

$$G = B \oplus S \oplus \langle u - mr + pv \rangle, \quad B \subseteq Q,$$

$$\hat{F} = A \oplus \langle s - mv \rangle \oplus U,$$

$$\hat{G} = G.$$

It follows from these assumptions that  $\hat{\phi}$  induces  $\bar{\phi}$  on  $\bar{\Pi} = \hat{\Pi}/i(V+R)$ , and we have an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow A + B + 2Q \rightarrow Q \xrightarrow{\bar{i}} \bar{\Pi} \xrightarrow{\bar{\kappa}} A \cap B \rightarrow 0$ . Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A + B + S + 2R + 2Q \rightarrow Q \oplus R \oplus S \xrightarrow{\bar{i} \oplus (2) \oplus 0} \bar{\Pi} \oplus (R/2R) \oplus S$$

$$\xrightarrow{\bar{\kappa} \oplus 0 \oplus 1} (A \cap B) \oplus S \rightarrow 0.$$

Because  $iv = 0$  and  $iu = mr$ , this sequence determines  $\Pi = \bar{\Pi} \oplus (R/2R) \oplus S$ , and  $\phi$  is given by  $\phi|_{\bar{\Pi} \times \bar{\Pi}} = \bar{\phi}$ ,  $\phi$  symmetric on  $(\bar{\Pi} \oplus S) \times S \cup S \times (\bar{\Pi} \oplus S)$ .

The moves T0-3 generate an equivalence relation on the set of  $\epsilon$ -forms which will be called *T-equivalence*.

### §3. STATEMENT OF RESULTS

It will be shown in the sequel that any simple  $2q$ -knot,  $q \geq 3$ , gives rise to a  $(-1)^q$ -form, via a Seifert surface.

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $(\theta, F, G, \phi)$  be a  $(-1)^q$ -form. If  $q \geq 3$ , there is a simple  $2q$ -knot giving rise to  $(\theta, F, G, \phi)$ .*

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Let  $k$  be a simple  $2q$ -knot,  $q \geq 3$ . Then any two  $(-1)^q$ -forms arising from  $k$  are T-equivalent.*

**THEOREM 3.3.** *Let  $k, \bar{k}$  be two odd simple  $2q$ -knots,  $q \geq 3$ , giving rise to  $(-1)^q$ -forms  $(\theta, F, G, \phi)$  and  $(\bar{\theta}, \bar{F}, \bar{G}, \bar{\phi})$  respectively. If these forms are T-equivalent, then  $k$  and  $\bar{k}$  are isotopic.*

**Remark.** In §13, Theorem 3.1 is refined to Theorem 13.1; this completes the algebraic classification of odd simple knots in terms of  $\epsilon$ -forms and T-equivalence.

### §4. CROSS-SECTIONS OF A KNOT

Let  $k$  be a  $2q$ -knot,  $(S^{2q+2}, \Sigma^{2q})$ , and let  $S^{2q+1}$  denote the equatorial sphere of  $S^{2q+2}$ . Suppose that  $\Sigma^{2q}$  meets  $S^{2q+1}$  transversely in an equatorial sphere  $S^{2q-1}$  of  $\Sigma^{2q}$  so that  $\Sigma^{2q}$  is the union of two smooth  $2q$ -balls along their common boundary  $S^{2q-1}$ . Let  $k'$  be the knot  $(S^{2q+1}, S^{2q-1})$ , and denote the two null-cobordisms of  $k'$  by  $b_+, b_-$ .

If  $Y$  is a smooth proper submanifold of a manifold  $X$ , then the *complement* of  $Y$  in  $X$  is the closed complement of a tubular neighbourhood  $N$  of  $Y$  in  $X$ , where  $N \cap \partial X$  is a tubular neighbourhood of  $\partial Y$  in  $\partial X$ . Let  $K$  denote the complement of  $\Sigma^{2q}$  in  $S^{2q+2}$ ; we shall abbreviate this to " $K$  is the complement of  $k$ ". Similarly, let  $K'$  be the complement of  $k'$  and  $K^\epsilon$  the complement of  $b_\epsilon$ ,  $\epsilon = \pm$ . We shall always take  $K^\epsilon$  to be the restriction of  $K$  to the appropriate hemisphere  $B_\epsilon^{2q+2}$  of  $S^{2q+2}$ , and  $K' = K \cap S^{2q+1}$ .

In these circumstances,  $k'$  is a *cross-section* of  $k$  if  $(K^\epsilon, K')$  is  $q$ -connected for  $\epsilon = \pm$ .

It is known [5] that any knot  $k$  is spanned by a Seifert surface  $V$ ; so that  $\Sigma^{2q} = \partial V$  where  $V$  is a smooth submanifold of  $S^{2q+2}$ . If  $V$  meets  $S^{2q+1}$  transversely, then the intersection is a Seifert surface  $V'$  of  $k'$ .  $V'$  is a *cross-section* of  $V$  if  $(V^\epsilon, V')$  is  $q$ -connected for  $\epsilon = \pm$ , where  $V^\epsilon = V \cap B_\epsilon^{2q+2}$ .

PROPOSITION 4.1. Let  $k$  be a  $2q$ -knot,  $q \geq 3$ , spanned by a Seifert surface  $V$ . Then  $k$  has a cross-section  $k'$  spanned by a cross-section  $V'$  of  $V$ .

*Proof.* Regard  $\Sigma^{2q}$  as the union of two  $2q$ -balls,  $B_-^{2q} \cup_{S^{2q-1}} B_+^{2q}$ . Let  $N_- \cup N_+$  be a tubular neighbourhood of  $\Sigma^{2q}$ , with  $(N_+, B_+^{2q})$  an unknotted ball pair such that  $V_* = V \cap N_+$  is a tubular neighbourhood of  $B_+^{2q}$  rel  $S^{2q-1}$  in  $V$ . Since  $V$  has a tubular neighbourhood rel  $\partial V$  of the form  $V \times B^1$ , it is clear that a handle decomposition of  $V$  based on  $V_-$  gives rise to a handle decomposition of a tubular neighbourhood  $N$  of  $V$ , by handle  $\rightarrow$  handle  $\times B^1$ . Note that  $N_-$  and  $N_+$  are exceptions to this: indeed  $N_+$  is not a handle, but a  $(2q+2)$ -ball added by a face.

Choosing a handle decomposition of  $M = \overline{S^{2q+2}} - N$ , we obtain a handle decomposition of  $S^{2q+2}$  based on  $N_-$  (in which  $N_+$  appears as a  $(2q+2)$ -handle).

We may add these handles in order of increasing index, in the usual way. Regard the handles as being added to  $N_- - B_-^{2q}$ , and let  $L$  be the manifold obtained when all the  $q$ -handles have been added. Since  $K$  is a homology circle,  $L$  has the homology of  $S^1 \times B^{2q+1}$  with some  $q$ -handles added. For each  $(q+1)$ -handle of  $V$ , add a trivial pair of  $(q+1)$ ,  $(q+2)$ -handles to  $M$  and move the new  $(q+1)$ -handle of  $M$  over the  $(q+1)$ -handle obtained from  $V$ . After perhaps moving some of the  $(q+1)$ -handles of  $M$  over each other,  $L \cup$  (suitable  $(q+1)$ -handles of  $M$ ) is a homology circle. Call this manifold  $L_1$ . Then  $L_1 \cup B_-^{2q}$  is a homotopy ball, and hence a ball,  $B_-^{2q+2}$  say. Let  $B_+^{2q+2} = \overline{S^{2q+2}} - B_-^{2q+2}$ . The common boundary  $S^{2q+1}$  contains a knot  $k'$  which is the required cross-section of  $k$ , spanned by  $V'$ ; for  $K^*$  has a handle decomposition based on  $K'$  containing only handles of index at least  $q+1$ , and similarly for  $V^*$ ,  $V'$ .  $\square$

*Remark.* By taking handle decompositions of  $V^+$ ,  $V^-$  based on  $V'$ , we can see that every cross-section arises in the manner described above.

Recall that a knot  $k$  is  $r$ -simple if  $K$  has the homotopy  $r$ -type of a circle.

LEMMA 4.2. If  $k$  is  $r$ -simple, then so is every cross-section, and if  $V$  is  $r$ -connected so is every cross-section, for  $r < q$ . Conversely, if  $k'$  is  $r$ -simple so is  $k$  and if  $V'$  is  $r$ -connected so is  $V$ .

The proof is easy.

#### §5. THE $\epsilon$ -FORM OF A KNOT

Let  $k$  be a simple  $2q$ -knot,  $q \geq 3$ ; then by Lemma 4.2 any cross-section  $k'$  is also simple. By a result of Levine[5],  $k$  has a Seifert surface  $V$  which is  $(q-1)$ -connected, so that  $V$  has a cross-section  $V'$  which is also  $(q-1)$ -connected.  $V$  has a tubular neighbourhood, mod  $\partial V$ , of the form  $V \times B^1$ , where  $B^1 = [-1, 1]$  and  $+1$  corresponds to the positive normal direction. We may assume that  $V' \times B^1 \subset S^{2q+1}$ .

$V'$  has homology only in dimension  $q$ , so that  $H_q(V')$  is free of rank  $2n$ , say. Setting  $P = H_q(V') \cong Z^{2n}$ , the map  $H_q(V') \rightarrow H_q(V' \times 1)$  together with Alexander duality provides a map  $\theta: P \rightarrow P^*$ . Alternatively we may define  $\theta: P \times P \rightarrow Z$  by  $\theta(a, b) = L(z_a, z_b \times 1)$  where  $z_a, z_b$  are cycles representing  $a, b$ , and  $L$  denotes linking in  $S^{2q+1}$ .  $\theta + (-1)^q \theta^*$  defines the intersection pairing on  $V'$ , and so  $\theta$  is a Seifert map.

Define  $F = \ker(H_q(V') \rightarrow H_q(V^-))$  and  $G = \ker(H_q(V') \rightarrow H_q(V^+))$ ; work of Levine[6] shows that  $F$  and  $G$  are self-annihilating subgroups of  $P$ .

Let  $\Pi = \pi_{q+1}(V)$ ; we define a homotopy linking  $\phi: \Pi \times \Pi \rightarrow Z_2$ . If  $a, b \in \Pi$ , they may be represented by embedded spheres  $z_a, z_b$ , each of which is unknotted in  $S^{2q+2}$ . The spheres  $z_a, z_b \times 1$  are disjoint and the complement of  $z_a$  in  $S^{2q+2}$  has the homotopy type of  $S^q$ . Thus  $z_b \times 1$  defines an element of  $\pi_{q+1}(S^q) \cong Z_2$ , denoted by  $\phi(a, b)$ . Clearly  $\phi$  is bilinear.

From the Mayer-Vietoris sequence,  $0 \rightarrow H_{q+1}(V) \xrightarrow{\partial} H_q(V') \rightarrow H_q(V^-) \oplus H_q(V^+) \rightarrow$ , we see that  $H_{q+1}(V) \xrightarrow{\partial} F \cap G$ . Define  $h$  to be the composite  $\pi_{q+1}(V) \xrightarrow{H} H_{q+1}(V) \xrightarrow{\partial} F \cap G$  where  $H$  is the Hurewicz map. From the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \pi_{q+1}(V^-) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & \pi_{q+1}(V) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & \pi_{q+1}(V, V^-) \longrightarrow \\ & & \downarrow H & & \downarrow H \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H_{q+1}(V) & \longrightarrow & H_{q+1}(V, V^-) \longrightarrow \end{array}$$

we see that  $\ker h = \ker j_* = \text{Im } i_*$ . Up to homotopy type,  $V^-$  is the wedge of  $nq$ -spheres, so that  $\pi_q(V^-) \cong H_q(V^-)$  may be identified with  $P/F$  and (by the work of Hilton[9])  $\pi_{q+1}(V^-)$  with  $P/(F+2P)$ . With these identifications,  $\ker i_* = (G+F+2P)/(F+2P)$ , and so we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow F+G+2P \rightarrow P \xrightarrow{i} \Pi \xrightarrow{h} F \cap G \rightarrow 0$$

where  $ia$  is just the composite of a map representing  $a \in P = \Pi_q(V')$  with the non-zero element of  $\pi_{q+1}(S^q)$ .

Let  $a \in P$ ,  $b \in \Pi$ . The homological linking of  $z_a$  and  $z_{hb} \times 1$  in  $S^{2q+2}$  is  $\theta(a, hb)$ , so  $\phi(ia, b) \equiv \theta(a, hb) \pmod{2}$ .

Let  $h_1^*, \dots, h_n^*$  be the handles of  $V^*(\epsilon = \pm)$  based on  $V' \times B^1$  in the cross-section. Let  $p: V' \times B^1 \rightarrow V' \times 1$  be projection. Suppose that  $p$  (the attaching sphere of  $h_i^*$ ) coincides with that of  $h_i^+$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r$ . Then these attaching spheres represent a basis of  $F \cap G$ , and the union of the cores of  $h_i^-, h_i^+$  ( $1 \leq i \leq r$ ) with the collars of their attaching spheres in  $V' \times B^1$  represent a basis of a symmetric subgroup  $T$  of  $\Pi$ . In these circumstances we say that  $T$  is *well-represented*.

### §6. SYMMETRIC SUBGROUPS

In this section we show that a symmetric subgroup can be well-represented when the  $\epsilon$ -form is odd.

LEMMA 6.1. Let  $M \cong \#_{i=1}^n (S^q \times S^{q+1})_i \text{-int } B^{2q+1}$ ,  $q \geq 3$ , and let

- $a_i \in \pi_q(M)$  be represented by  $(S^q \times 0)_i$ ,
- $b_i \in \pi_{q+1}(M)$  be represented by  $(0 \times S^{q+1})_i$ ,
- $c_i \in \pi_{q+1}(M)$  be represented by  $(\xi \times 0)_i$ ,

where  $\xi$  is the non-zero element of  $\pi_{q+1}(S^q) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ . Suppose that  $d_i \in \pi_{q+1}(M)$  are such that  $hd_i = hb_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , where  $h$  is the Hurewicz map. Then  $d_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , are represented by a set of disjoint embedded spheres if and only if

$$d_i = b_i + \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_{ij} c_j \quad \text{with} \quad \lambda_{ij} + (-1)^q \lambda_{ji} \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$$

*Proof.* First we prove necessity. Clearly  $d_i$  must have the form  $b_i + \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_{ij} c_j$  if  $hb_i = hd_i$ . Let

$d'_i = b_i + \sum_{j \neq i} \lambda_{ij} c_j = d_i - \lambda_{ii} c_i$ . The  $d'_i$  are a set of disjoint embedded spheres, and we can arrange for  $d'_i$  to meet  $a_i$  transversely in a single point, and miss all the other  $a_j$ . Thus the  $d'_i$  can be represented by a set of disjoint embedded spheres, such that  $d'_i$  meets  $a_i$  transversely in a single point and misses all the other  $a_j$ . Now  $b_i$  and  $c_j$  have trivial normal bundles, and so therefore has  $d'_i$ . Thus we can write  $M \cong \#_{i=1}^n (S^q \times S^{q+1})_i \text{-int } B^{2q+1}$ , as above, but with  $d'_i$  represented by  $(0 \times S^{q+1})_i$ .

If  $[ , ]$  denotes the Whitehead product, then  $\sum_{i=1}^n [a_i, b_i] = \iota_* \eta$ , where  $\eta$  is a generator of  $\pi_{2q}(\partial M) \cong \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\iota_*$  is the map  $\pi_{2q}(\partial M) \rightarrow \pi_{2q}(M)$  induced by inclusion. The same equation holds with  $d'_i$  in place of  $b_i$ , so we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left[ a_i, \sum_{j \neq i} \lambda_{ij} c_j \right] = 0$$

from which it follows that  $\lambda_{ij} + (-1)^q \lambda_{ji} = 0$ ,  $i \neq j$ . This is equivalent to the equation above.

To prove sufficiency, consider first replacing  $b_i$  by  $b_i + c_i$ ,  $j \neq i$ . We may represent  $b_i + c_i$  by an embedded sphere with trivial normal bundle, meeting  $a_i$  transversely in a single point. Therefore a tubular neighbourhood of the wedge of the spheres representing  $a_i$  and  $b_i + c_i$  has the form  $S^q \times S^{q+1} \text{-int } B^{2q+1}$ , so we may split this off as part of a connected sum. Thus  $M \cong (S^q \times S^{q+1}) \# N \text{-int } B^{2q+1}$ , where  $S^q \times 0$  represents  $a_i$ ,  $0 \times S^{q+1}$  represents  $b_i + c_i$ . Now  $\pi_i(M) \cong \pi_i(S^q \times S^{q+1}) \oplus \pi_i(N)$  for  $i \leq q+1$ : this follows from the formula for the homotopy

groups of a wedge of two spaces and the relative Hurewicz theorem[2]. Thus in  $N$ ,  $hb_i$  is a spherical class, and the necessity condition shows that it must be represented by  $b_i + c_i$ .

To replace  $b_i$  by  $b_i + c_i$  is easy: we only need appeal to standard embedding theorems.  $\square$

LEMMA 6.2. Assume that  $(\theta, F, G, \phi)$  is an odd  $\epsilon$ -form, and let  $T$  be a symmetric subgroup of  $\Pi$ . Then  $T$  is well-represented.

Proof. By moving the handles of  $V$  over each other, we may change base in  $F$  and  $G$ . In this way we can obtain some symmetric subgroup  $R$  of  $\Pi$  which is well-represented. Let  $b_1, \dots, b_r$  be the basis of  $R$  determined by the handle decomposition, and let  $b'_1, \dots, b'_r$  be a basis of  $T$  such that  $hb'_i = hb_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r$ . Then Lemma 1.2 and 6.1 complete the proof.  $\square$

#### §7. WHEN A KNOT IS DETERMINED BY ITS $\epsilon$ -FORM

PROPOSITION 7.1. Provided that  $q \geq 3$  and  $H_q(V)$  has no 2-torsion, a simple  $2q$ -knot  $k$  with Seifert surface  $V$  is determined up to isotopy by its  $(-1)^q$ -form.

Proof.  $S^{2q+1}$  has a tubular neighbourhood of the form  $S^{2q+1} \times B^1$ ; as  $V$  meets  $S^{2q+1}$  transversely, we may assume that  $V \cap (S^{2q+1} \times B^1) = V' \times B^1$ . Arrange that  $V^*(\epsilon = \pm)$  has a handle decomposition on  $V' \times B^1$  as described at the end of §5, and let  $\alpha_i^* \in P = H_q(V')$  be the element determined by the attaching sphere of  $h_i^*$ . Thus  $\alpha_i^+ = \alpha_i^-$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r$ . Recall that  $\theta + (-1)^q \theta^*$  is the intersection pairing on  $V'$ , which we shall denote by  $\alpha, \beta$ . Since  $F = F^\perp$ , there is a basis  $\alpha_1^-, \dots, \alpha_n^-, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$  of  $P$  such that  $\alpha_i^- \cdot \gamma_i = \delta_{ij}$  and  $\gamma_i \cdot \gamma_j = 0$  for all  $i, j$ .

Let  $\hat{k}$  be another such knot, and distinguish the machinery associated with  $\hat{k}$  by  $\hat{\cdot}$ . Let  $(f, q): (\theta, F, G, \phi) \rightarrow (\hat{\theta}, \hat{F}, \hat{G}, \hat{\phi})$  be an isomorphism between the  $(-1)^q$ -forms of the two knots, and let  $\hat{\alpha}_i^* = f\alpha_i^*$ ,  $\hat{\gamma}_i = f\gamma_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

Recall that  $S^{2q+2} = B_-^{2q+2} \cup (S^{2q+1} \times B^1) \cup B_+^{2q+2}$ , and let  $D_i$  denote the core of  $h_i^-$ . Allowing the boundaries to move within  $\partial B_-^{2q+2}$ , we may isotop  $D_i$  onto  $\hat{D}_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Now we resort to an argument of Levine[7]. Let  $v_i$  be the positive unit normal field to  $h_i^-$  on  $D_i$ . By the tubular neighbourhood theorem, we may assume that  $h_i^-$  is the orthogonal complement of  $v_i$  in a normal disc bundle neighbourhood  $N_i$  of  $D_i = \hat{D}_i$  in  $B^{2q+2}$ . Therefore, if we can homotop  $v_i$  to  $\hat{v}_i$ , we obtain an isotopy of  $h_i^-$  to  $\hat{h}_i^-$  within  $N_i$ . Since we are willing to allow movement on the boundary,  $v_i$  is homotopic to  $\hat{v}_i$ , and we obtain the desired isotopy.

Each basis element  $\alpha_1^-, \dots, \alpha_n^-, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$  of  $H_q(V')$  may be represented by a handle of  $V'$ , and from the argument of Levine[7] we see that as

$$\hat{\theta}(f\alpha, f\beta) = \theta(\alpha, \beta) \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in P,$$

$V'$  may be isotoped onto  $\hat{V}'$  without disturbing the  $h_i^-$ , and so we may isotop  $b_-$  to coincide with  $\hat{b}_-$ .

Let  $C_i$  denote the core of  $h_i^+$ . If  $1 \leq i \leq r$ ,  $D_i \cup (\partial D_i \times B^1) \cup C_i$  is an embedded  $(q+1)$ -sphere representing an element  $b_i \in \Pi$ , and  $b_1, \dots, b_r$  is a basis of a symmetric subgroup  $T$ . Put  $\hat{b}_i = gb_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq r$ ; by Lemma 6.2,  $\hat{T} = g(T)$  is well-represented and we may arrange that  $\hat{D}_i \cup (\partial \hat{D}_i \times B^1) \cup \hat{C}_i$  represents  $\hat{b}_i$ . Isotop  $C_1$  onto  $\hat{C}_1$  keeping the boundary fixed. The obstruction to isotoping  $C_2$  onto  $\hat{C}_2$  keeping the boundary fixed and without disturbing  $C_1$  may be identified with  $\phi(b_1, b_2) - \hat{\phi}(\hat{b}_1, \hat{b}_2) = 0$ . Continuing in this way, we isotop  $C_i$  onto  $\hat{C}_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq r$ . To isotop  $h_i^+$  onto  $\hat{h}_i^+$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , we adopt the same method as above; the obstruction may be identified with  $\phi(b_i, b_i) - \hat{\phi}(\hat{b}_i, \hat{b}_i) = 0$ .

By a change of basis, we can arrange that  $\alpha_i^+ = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} \alpha_j^- + d_i \gamma_i$ ,  $r < i \leq n$ , where the  $d_i$  are the torsion numbers of  $H_q(V)$ . The same tactics can now be tried on  $C_i$ ,  $r < i \leq n$ , and then  $h_i^+$ , but with this difference: the obstruction at each stage may be identified with an isotopy in  $S^{2q+1}$  of the handle of  $V'$  corresponding to  $\gamma_i$ , using the fact that  $d_i$  is odd. The isotopy brings the handle back to its original position. Thus the obstruction can be removed by allowing the handles of  $V'$  corresponding to the  $\gamma_i$  to move, and this is allowable because it does not affect  $b_-$  adversely.  $\square$

#### §8. CHANGE OF CROSS-SECTIONS

We begin to investigate the extent to which  $k$  determines  $(\theta, F, G, \phi)$ , where  $k$  is a simple  $2q$ -knot. Given a  $(q-1)$ -connected  $V$  spanning  $k$ , to what extent can the cross-section be

chang  
one ar  
moves  
 $\epsilon$ -form  
move

It is  
 $S^{2q+2}$   
 $(B_-^{2q+2})$   
 $(q+1)$   
 $h_+^{q+1}$

Sup  
corres  
from  
(above  
any ha  
beginn  
left to

Let  
subscr  
homolo  
move  
which  
involve  
the ne  
replace

Th  
another

LEM

Pro  
 $S^{2q+1}$  to  
 $M \cap ($   
induced  
 $V \times I \subset$

$M$  s  
 $0 \rightarrow H_{q+1}$   
take as  
 $\xi \cdot \eta =$

Con  
 $\alpha_i^0 \times I$

If  
 $\epsilon: H_{q+1}$   
with bo  
positive

Th  
vectors

Sup  
 $\alpha_i^0$ . The  
 $b_i = 0, 1$

With  
to check  
the form

changed? We can pass from one handle decomposition of  $V$  to any other by moving handles over one another and by adding or deleting cancelling pairs of index  $r, r+1$ : these are the well-known moves employed in the proof of, say, the  $h$ -cobordism theorem. The only move that affects the  $\epsilon$ -form is that of adding (or deleting) a cancelling pair of index  $q, q+1$ , and this gives rise to the move T0 of §2 (or its inverse).

It may happen that in the construction of a cross-section there are two handles  $h_+^{q+1}, h_-^{q+1}$  of  $S^{2q+2} - N$  (where  $N$  is a tubular neighbourhood of  $V$ ), with  $h_-^{q+1} \subset B_\epsilon^{2q+2}$ ; and that  $(B_\epsilon^{2q+2} - h_-^{q+1}) \cup h_+^{q+1}$  forms another cross-section. In other words, when deciding which  $(q+1)$ -handles of  $S^{2q+2} - N$  to add in order to cancel the  $q$ -handles homologically, we can use  $h_+^{q+1}$  in place of  $h_-^{q+1}$ .

Suppose that for a fixed  $V$  there are given two cross-sections  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ ; then these correspond to two handle decompositions  $G_1, G_2$  of  $S^{2q+2} - N$ . As above, it is possible to pass from  $G_1$  to  $G_2$  via a sequence of handle moves. Those moves involving only handles below (above) the middle dimension do not affect the cross-section, so we may ignore them. Moreover, if any handle pairs of index  $(q, q+1)$  need to be added, then they may be introduced at the beginning and so be assumed to form part of  $G_1$ ; dually, any cancelling pairs of this index may be left to form part of  $G_2$ .

Let  $h_1^{q+1}, \dots, h_m^{q+1}$  be the  $(q+1)$ -handles of  $G_1$  which are contained in  $B_1^{2q+2}$ , where the subscript 1 corresponds to  $C_1$ ; these are the  $(q+1)$ -handles of  $G_1$  which are used to cancel homologically in the construction of  $C_1$ . Add trivial handle pairs  $\hat{h}_i^{q+1}, \hat{h}_i^{q+2}, 1 \leq i \leq m$ , to  $G_1$ , and move  $\hat{h}_i^{q+1}$  over  $h_i^{q+1}$  for each  $i$ . Now replace  $h_i^{q+1}$  by  $\hat{h}_i^{q+1}$  to obtain a new cross-section in which all the original  $(q+1)$ -handles of  $G_1$  are contained in  $B_+^{2q+2}$ . All the handle moves which involve moving the  $(q+1)$ -handles over one another may now be performed without disturbing the new cross-section. After a change of basis in the  $\hat{h}_1^{q+1}, \dots, \hat{h}_m^{q+1}$ , if necessary, we may replace each  $\hat{h}_i^{q+1}$  by the appropriate handle in  $B_+^{2q+2}$  to obtain the cross-section  $C_2$ .

Thus we only need to consider the effect on  $(\theta, F, G, \phi)$  of replacing one handle  $h_-^{q+1}$  by another,  $h_+^{q+1}$ , as described above.

LEMMA 8.1. *This procedure induces a move T1 on  $(\theta, F, G, \phi)$ .*

*Proof.* The effect of replacing  $h_-^{q+1}$  by  $h_+^{q+1}$  is to perform two surgeries of index  $q+1$  on  $S^{2q+1}$  to obtain another equatorial sphere: thus we have a compact manifold  $M \subset S^{2q+2} \times I$  with  $M \cap (S^{2q+2} \times t) \cong S^{2q+1}$ ,  $t = 0, 1$ .  $M$  has two critical levels with respect to the height function induced by  $S^{2q+2} \times I \rightarrow I$ , each of index  $q+1$ , and  $M \cap (V \times I) = V' \times I$  where  $V \times I \subset S^{2q+2} \times I$  by (inclusion  $\times$  identity).

$M$  splits  $S^{2q+2} \times I$  into two components,  $L^+$  and  $L^-$ , and the Mayer-Vietoris sequence yields,  $0 \rightarrow H_{q+1}(M) \xrightarrow{J^+ \oplus J^-} H_{q+1}(L^+) \oplus H_{q+1}(L^-) \rightarrow 0$ , where  $H_{q+1}(L^+) \cong Z \cong H_{q+1}(L^-)$ . Thus we may take as a basis for  $H_{q+1}(M)$  elements  $\xi$  and  $\eta$ , being generators of  $\ker J^-$  and  $\ker J^+$ , with  $\xi \cdot \eta = 1 = (-1)^{q+1} \eta \cdot \xi$  and  $\xi \cdot \xi = \eta \cdot \eta = 0$ .

Consider  $V' \times I \subset M$ ; suppose that  $\alpha_1^0, \dots, \alpha_{2n}^0$  is a basis for  $H_q(V' \times 0)$ . Let  $\tilde{\alpha}_i = \alpha_i^0 \times I \subset V' \times I$ , so that  $\partial \tilde{\alpha}_i = \alpha_i^1 - \alpha_i^0$  and  $\alpha_1^1, \dots, \alpha_{2n}^1$  is a basis for  $H_q(V' \times 1)$ .

If  $\tilde{\alpha}_i$  is regarded as a cycle of  $H_{q+1}(M, \partial M)$ , then  $\tilde{\alpha}_i \sim \iota(a_i \xi - b_i \eta)$  where  $\iota: H_{q+1}(M) \rightarrow H_{q+1}(M, \partial M)$  is the obvious isomorphism. It follows that  $\tilde{\alpha}_i - \iota(a_i \xi - b_i \eta)$  is a chain with boundary  $\alpha_i^1 - \alpha_i^0$  representing 0 in  $H_{q+1}(M, \partial M)$ . If  $\tilde{\alpha}_i$  denotes  $\tilde{\alpha}_i$  pushed off  $V' \times I$  in the positive direction, then

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_1(\alpha_i^1, \alpha_j^1) - \theta_0(\alpha_i^0, \alpha_j^0) &= [\tilde{\alpha}_i - \iota(a_i \xi - b_i \eta)] \cdot [\tilde{\alpha}_j - \iota(a_j \xi - b_j \eta)] \\ &= -(a_i \xi - b_i \eta) \cdot (a_j \xi - b_j \eta) \\ &= a_i b_j + (-1)^{q+1} a_j b_i. \end{aligned}$$

Thus if the matrix of  $\theta_t$  with respect to  $\alpha_1^t, \dots, \alpha_{2n}^t$  is  $A_t$ ,  $t = 0, 1$ , and  $a, b$  denote the column vectors with entries  $a_i, b_i$ , we have  $A_1 - A_0 = ab' + (-1)^{q+1} ba'$ .

Suppose that  $\alpha_1^0, \dots, \alpha_n^0$  is a basis of  $F$ , and let  $D_i$  be the  $(q+1)$ -chain in  $V^-$  with boundary  $\alpha_i^0$ . Then  $D_i \times I \subset V^- \times I$  is a chain with boundary  $\tilde{\alpha}_i \bmod V^- \times \partial I$ ; thus  $\iota^{-1} \tilde{\alpha}_i \in \ker J^-$  and so  $b_i = 0$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

With respect to the basis  $\alpha_1^0, \dots, \alpha_{2n}^0$  and its dual,  $ab'$  represents a map  $\psi: P \rightarrow P^*$ . It is easy to check that  $\psi$  has rank one and  $\psi(F) = 0$ ; moreover any such map is represented by a matrix of the form  $ab'$  with  $b_i = 0$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Dually, it can be checked that  $\psi^*(G) = 0$ .  $\square$



§9. SURGERY ON  $V$ 

Let  $k$  be a simple  $2q$ -knot,  $q \geq 3$ . By results of Levine[5], there exists a  $(q-1)$ -connected Seifert surface of  $k$ . If  $V_0, V_1$  are two such surfaces, Levine has shown[7] that there exists a cobordism between them,  $V \subset S^{2q+2} \times I$ , where  $\partial V = V_0 \cup (\partial V_0 \times I) \cup V_1$  and  $\partial V_0 \times I \subset S^{2q+2} \times I$  by (inclusion  $\times$  identity). Applying the results of [5] we may arrange for  $V$  to be  $(q-1)$ -connected.

LEMMA 9.1. Let  $f: V \rightarrow I$  be the restriction to  $V$  of the projection  $S^{2q+2} \times I \rightarrow I$ . We may isotop  $V$ , rel  $V_0 \cup V_1$ , so that  $f$  has critical levels of index  $q, q+1$ , and  $q+2$  only, which appear in order of increasing index.

Proof. Let  $X$  be  $S^{2q+2} \times I$  split open along  $V$ , so that  $\partial X$  contains two copies  $U^+, U^-$  of  $V$ .  $X_0$  denotes  $S^{2q+2} \times 0$  split open along  $V_0$ ,  $U_0^* = U^* \cap X_0$ , etc. Let  $i^*: H_q(U^*, U_0^*) \rightarrow H_q(X, X_0)$ ,  $\epsilon = \pm$ , be induced by inclusion. We can use  $V$  to construct the universal (infinite cyclic) cover  $\tilde{Y}$  of  $Y = S^{2q+2} \times I - S^{2q} \times I$ , as in [8]. Since  $H_q(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{Y}_0) \cong \pi_q(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{Y}_0) \cong \pi_q(Y, Y_0) = 0$ , the argument of [8] using integer coefficients shows that at least one of  $i^+, i^-$  is singular. If say  $\alpha \in \ker i^+$ , then since by the Hurewicz theorem  $\pi_q(U^+, U_0^+) \cong H_q(U^+, U_0^+)$ ,  $\alpha$  may be represented by a singular disc. Applying results of Haefliger[1],  $\alpha$  may be represented by a  $q$ -ball properly embedded in  $(U^+, U_0^+)$ . By Hurewicz's theorem,  $H_q(X, X_0) \cong \pi_q(X, X_0)$ , so  $\alpha$  is null-homotopic in  $(X, X_0)$ . Repeated application of Haefliger's results[1] shows that there is a  $(q+1)$ -ball  $B^{q+1}$  properly embedded in  $(X, \partial X)$  with the following properties.  $\partial B^{q+1} = B_+^q \cup B_-^q$ ,  $B_+^q \cap B_-^q = S^{q-1}$ ,  $B_+^q$  is properly embedded in  $(U^+, U_0^+)$  so as to represent  $\alpha$ , and  $B_-^q$  is properly embedded in  $(X_0, U_0^+)$ .

By considering a tubular neighbourhood of  $B^{q+1}$  we may isotop  $V$  so that  $\alpha \in H_q(V, V_0)$  is represented by the core of the handle corresponding to a critical level of  $f$ : see [3] for details in the PL case. Continuing in this way, we reduce to the case  $H_q(V, V_0) = 0$ , and dually we may arrange for  $H_q(V, V_1) = 0$ . A similar argument now works for  $H_{q+1}(V, V_0)$ ; the details are omitted.  $\square$

Suppose now that  $V$  has a single critical level, of index  $q$ . If  $V'_0$  is a cross-section of  $V_0$ , then  $(V_0, V'_0)$  is a  $q$ -connected pair; thus in the notation used above  $S^{q-1}$  may be homotoped (and therefore isotoped) to lie within  $V'_0$ . We should like to isotop  $B_-^q$  into  $S^{2q+1} \times 0$ , keeping  $S^{q-1}$  fixed. Let  $X'_0$  denote  $S^{2q+1} \times 0$  split along  $V'_0$ ; then  $(X_0, X'_0)$  is  $q$ -connected (recall the construction of a cross-section), and as  $S^{q-1}$  is null-homotopic in  $V'_0$  this is enough to show that  $B_-^q$  can be homotoped (and therefore isotoped) into  $S^{2q+1} \times 0$  keeping  $S^{q-1}$  fixed.

We are thus able to obtain a cross-section  $V'_1$  of  $V_1$  from the cross-section  $V'_0$  of  $V_0$ ; the cobordism  $V' = V \cap (S^{2q+1} \times I)$  between  $V'_0$  and  $V'_1$  has a single critical level, of index  $q$ .

Now suppose that  $V$  has a single critical level, of index  $q+1$ . By the remarks above,  $V_1$  may be obtained from  $V_0$  by a surgery embedded in  $S^{2q+2}$ . Thus if  $V_0 \times B^1$  is a tubular neighbourhood of  $V_0$  in  $S^{2q+2}$ , there is an embedding of a  $(2q+2)$ -ball,  $i: B^{q+1} \times B^{q+1} \rightarrow S^{2q+2}$ , meeting  $V_0 \times B^1$  in  $i(\partial B^{q+1} \times B^{q+1}) \subset V_0 \times \eta$  where  $\eta = 1$  or  $-1$ , and such that  $V_1 = \overline{V_0 - (i(\partial B^{q+1} \times \frac{1}{2} B^{q+1}) \times 0)} \cup (i(\partial B^{q+1} \times \frac{1}{2} B^{q+1}) \times [0, \eta]) \cup i(B^{q+1} \times \partial \frac{1}{2} B^{q+1})$ .

We can choose a handle decomposition of  $V_0$ , involving handles only in dimensions  $0, q, q+1$ , such that  $i(\partial B^{q+1} \times B^{q+1}) \times 0 \subset V_0 \times 0$  is  $h^0 \cup h^q$  and  $\text{Im} i$  is the  $(q+1)$ -handle of the complement used to cancel  $h^q$  in the construction of a cross-section  $V'_0$  of  $V_0$ . Then  $V'_0 = V'_1$  is a cross-section of  $V_1$ , but the roles of  $B^{q+1} \times 0$  and  $0 \times \frac{1}{2} B^{q+1}$  are interchanged. Thus if  $\alpha \in H_q(V'_0)$  is represented by  $i(\partial B^{q+1} \times x) \times 0$  for suitable  $x \in \partial B^{q+1}$ , and  $\beta$  by  $i(y \times \partial B^{q+1}) \times 0$  for suitable  $y \in \partial B^{q+1}$ , then  $\alpha \in \ker(H_q(V'_1 \rightarrow H_q(V_1^-)))$  and  $\beta \in \ker(H_q(V'_0 \rightarrow H_q(V_0^-)))$ . Indeed,  $\alpha$  is represented by the boundary of a  $(q+1)$ -ball  $i(B^{q+1} \times x) \cup (i(\partial B^{q+1} \times x) \times [0, \eta])$  embedded in  $S^{2q+1}$  and meeting  $V_0$  only in  $i(\partial B^{q+1} \times x) \times 0$ .

## §10. T2 AND T3

LEMMA 10.1. In the situation of the previous section, let  $V$  and  $V'$  each have a single critical level, of index  $q$ . Then the  $(-1)^q$ -form of  $V_1$  is obtained by a T2-move on the  $(-1)^q$ -form of  $V_0$ .

Proof. By work of Levine[7],  $\theta$  has the form shown. A generator of  $S$  is represented by the belt sphere of the surgery performed on  $V'_0$ , and this is the equator of the belt sphere of the surgery performed on  $V_0$ . This sphere is the boundary of the cocore of the surgery, and so  $\hat{\phi}$  has the form shown.  $\square$



LEMMA 10.2. In the situation of the previous section, let  $V$  have a single critical level, of index  $q+1$ . Then there are cross-sections of  $V_0$  and  $V_1$  such that the corresponding  $(-1)^q$ -forms are related by a move T3 or its inverse.

*Proof.* By the proof of [5; Lemma 5] we may assume that the  $q^{\text{th}}$  Betti number of  $V_0$  exceeds that of  $V_1$ . Let  $m\gamma \in H_q(V_0)$  be the element on which the surgery is performed,  $\gamma$  being primitive and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Choose a handle decomposition  $h^0 \cup h_1^q \cup \dots \cup h_{i_1}^{q+1}$  of  $V_0$  so that a spine of  $h^0 \cup h_1^q$  represents  $\gamma$ ; we may also arrange that the attaching sphere of  $h_{i_1}^{q+1}$  coincides with the belt sphere of  $h_1^q$ , and that no other  $h_{i_i}^{q+1}$  meets  $h_1^q$ . Introduce a trivial pair  $h^q, h^{q+1}$ , and move  $h^q - m$  times over  $h_1^q$ . Then the spine of  $h^0 \cup h^q$  represents  $m\gamma$ , and so we are now in the position described at the end of §9. There is a cross-section  $V'_0$  of  $V_0$  with the following properties.  $H_q(V'_0) = Q \oplus \langle r \rangle \oplus \langle s \rangle \oplus \langle u \rangle \oplus \langle v \rangle$ , where  $Q$  is supported by  $\partial(h^0 \cup h_2^q \cup \dots \cup h_{i_1}^q)$ ,  $r$  is homologous to a spine of  $h^0 \cup h_1^q$ ,  $s$  is represented by the attaching sphere of  $h_{i_1}^{q+1}$ ,  $u$  is homologous to a spine of  $h^0 \cup h^q$  and  $v$  is represented by the belt sphere of  $h^q$ . Thus  $u$  corresponds to  $\alpha$  in §9, and  $v$  corresponds to  $\beta$ . The belt sphere of  $h_1^q$  represents  $s - mv$ , and the attaching sphere of  $h^{q+1}$  represents  $u - mr + pv$  for some  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

If  $(\theta, F, G, \phi)$  is the  $(-1)^q$ -form arising from  $V'_0$ , and  $(\theta, \hat{F}, \hat{G}, \hat{\phi})$  corresponds to  $V'_1$ , then  $\theta$  has the form shown in T3 since  $u$  is spanned by a  $(q+1)$ -ball embedded in  $S^{2q+1}$ . It follows from the remarks above that  $F, G, \hat{F}, \hat{G}$  have the form shown in T3. It is easy to check that  $\theta$  vanishes on  $(V+R) \times (\hat{F} \cap \hat{G})$ , so that  $\hat{\phi}$  vanishes on  $\hat{i}(V+R) \times \hat{\Pi}$ , and similarly  $\hat{\phi}$  vanishes on  $\hat{\Pi} \times \hat{i}(V+R)$ ; thus  $\hat{\phi}$  induces  $\bar{\phi}$  on  $\hat{\Pi} = \hat{\Pi}/\hat{i}(V+R)$ . Since  $iv = 0$  and  $iu = mr$ ,  $\phi$  and  $\Pi$  are determined by the second exact sequence of T3 and conditions on  $\phi$ .

Thus  $(\theta, \hat{F}, \hat{G}, \hat{\phi})$  is obtained from  $(\theta, F, G, \phi)$  by a move T3.  $\square$

#### §11. REALISING THE MOVES GEOMETRICALLY

LEMMA 11.1. The move T1 may be effected geometrically.

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  be a basis of  $F$ , and extend this to a basis  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2n}$  of  $P$ . With respect to this basis and its dual,  $\psi$  is represented by a matrix  $ab'$ , where  $b_i = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  (cf the proof of Lemma 8.1). Embed  $S^q$  in  $S^{2q+1} - V'$  so that its linking with the basis  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2n}$  is described by the vector  $-b$ . Since  $b_i = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , we may extend  $S^q$  to a proper embedding of  $B^{q+1}$  in  $B_{-2q+2}$  which does not meet  $V^-$ . Use this  $B^{q+1}$  to perform a surgery on  $S^{2q+1}$ , obtaining a manifold  $T \subset S^{2q+2}$ .

Let  $B_+^{q+1}$  be the cocore of the surgery, oriented so that  $B^{q+1} \cdot B_+^{q+1} = +1$ . Thus if  $T_+$  is the closed complement of  $T$  which contains  $B_+^{2q+2}$ ,  $B_+^{q+1}$  is properly embedded in  $T_+$ . Embed another  $S^q$  in  $T \cap S^{2q+1}$  with the following properties:

- (i)  $S^q$  is homologous to  $\partial B_+^{q+1}$  in  $T$ ,
- (ii) the linking in  $S^{2q+1}$  of  $S^q$  with  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2n}$  is described by the vector  $(-1)^{q+1}a$ .

The orientation of  $S^q$  is determined by that of  $B_+^{q+1}$ .

By duality, the condition  $\psi^*G = 0$  ensures that there is a  $(q+1)$ -ball  $B^{q+1}$  properly embedded in  $T_+$ , with boundary  $S^q$ , which does not meet  $V^+$ . Use this  $B^{q+1}$  to perform a surgery on  $T$ , obtaining a new equatorial sphere  $S^{2q+1}$ .

$S^q$  is spanned by a  $(q+1)$ -ball in  $S^{2q+1}$ , and the union of this with  $B^{q+1}$  is a sphere  $S^{q+1}$  which after a trivial isotopy represents a basis element  $\xi$  of  $H_{q+1}(M)$  where  $M \subset S^{2q+2} \times I$  is the cobordism defined by the two surgeries we have performed. If  $\tilde{\alpha}_i = \alpha_i \times I \subset V' \times I$ , then the intersection in  $M$  of  $\xi$  and  $\tilde{\alpha}_1, \dots, \tilde{\alpha}_{2n}$  is described by the vector  $-b$ .

Similarly, the sphere  $S^q$  gives rise to a sphere  $S^{q+1}$  representing a basis element  $\eta$  of  $H_{q+1}(M)$ , and the intersection in  $M$  of  $\eta$  and  $\tilde{\alpha}_1, \dots, \tilde{\alpha}_{2n}$  is described by the vector  $(-1)^{q+1}a$ .

By construction,  $\xi \cdot \xi = \eta \cdot \eta = 0$ ,  $\xi \cdot \eta = 1$ . Since  $\xi \cdot \tilde{\alpha}_i = -b_i$  and  $\eta \cdot \tilde{\alpha}_i = (-1)^{q+1}a_i$  we have  $\tilde{\alpha}_i = \iota(a_i\xi - b_i\eta)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 2n$ , where  $\iota: H_{q+1}(M) \rightarrow H_{q+1}(M, \partial M)$  is the usual isomorphism.

The result now follows from the proof of Lemma 8.1.  $\square$

LEMMA 11.2. The move T2 can be realised geometrically.

*Proof.* The work of Levine [7] shows how to realise the enlargement of  $\theta$  by a surgery on  $V'$ , this surgery being embedded in  $S^{2q+1}$ . A tubular neighbourhood of  $S^{2q+1}$  in  $S^{2q+2}$  is of the form  $S^{2q+1} \times B^1$ , and  $V$  meets this in  $V' \times B^1$  embedded product wise. Thickening the surgery in the obvious way produces the desired result.  $\square$

LEMMA 11.3. *The move T3 and its inverse can be realised geometrically.*

*Proof.* To obtain the move T3, note that by work of Levine[7] we could embed a  $(q+1)$ -ball  $B^{q+1}$  in  $S^{2q+1}$  so that  $B^{q+1} \cap V' = \partial B^{q+1}$  which represents  $u \in H_q(V')$ . Recalling that  $S^{2q+1}$  has a tubular neighbourhood  $S^{2q+1} \times B^1$ , move  $B^{q+1}$  into  $B_{-2q+2}$ , and use it to perform surgery on  $V$ : the result is to induce the move T3 as desired.

To obtain the inverse of T3, note that since  $F = F^\perp$  and  $\theta(u, v)$  or  $\theta(v, u) = 0$ , there is a  $(q+1)$ -ball  $B^{q+1}$  embedded in  $B_{-2q+2}$  and meeting  $V^-$  in  $\partial B^{q+1}$ ; moreover we may arrange that  $\partial B^{q+1} \subset V' \times -1 \subset S^{2q+1} \times B^1$ . Using  $B^{q+1}$  to perform a surgery on  $V$ , we obtain a move  $(T3)^{-1}$ ; the form of  $\phi$  on  $(\bar{I} \oplus S) \times S$  is determined by the homotopy linking of  $B^{q+1} \bmod \partial B^{q+1}$  with balls in  $V^-$  whose boundaries represent a basis of  $\hat{F}$ .

## §12. PROOFS OF THE MAIN THEOREMS

*Proof of Theorem 3.1.* This is clear from the work of Kervaire[4] and Levine[6].

*Proof of Theorem 3.2.* This is a consequence of Lemmas 8.1, 9.1, 10.1, 10.2.

PROPOSITION 12.1. *Let  $k, \bar{k}$  be two simple  $2q$ -knots,  $q \geq 3$ , giving rise to the same  $(-1)^q$ -forms  $(\theta, F, G, \phi)$ . If  $k$  is odd,  $k$  is isotopic to  $\bar{k}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $V, \bar{V}$  be Seifert surfaces of  $k, \bar{k}$  giving rise to the form  $(\theta, F, G, \phi)$ . By the work of Levine[5], we may perform surgery on  $V$  to obtain  $V_1$  with  $H_q(V_1)$  2-torsion-free, and by the previous sections this involves algebraic moves  $(T0)^{\pm 1}, T1, (T3)^{\pm 1}$ , only. These algebraic moves may be realised geometrically on  $\bar{V}$  to obtain  $\bar{V}_1$ . By Proposition 7.1,  $V_1$  and  $\bar{V}_1$  are isotopic.  $\square$

LEMMA 12.2. *The move  $(T2)^{-1}$  may be realised geometrically on an odd knot  $k$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $V$  be a Seifert surface giving rise to  $(\theta, F, G, \phi)$ . By Theorem 3.1, there is a knot  $\bar{k}$  with surface  $\bar{V}$  giving rise to  $(T2)^{-1}(\theta, F, G, \phi)$ . Realise T2 on  $\bar{V}$  to obtain  $\bar{V}'$ . By Proposition 12.1,  $k$  is isotopic to  $\bar{k}$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 3.3.* All the algebraic moves can be realised geometrically.  $\square$

## §13. CONCLUSION

We have not quite classified the odd simple  $2q$ -knots: Theorem 3.1 needs to be strengthened slightly.

Let  $V$  be a  $(q-1)$ -connected Seifert surface of a  $2q$ -knot,  $q \geq 3$ . Let  $V'$  be a cross-section of  $V$  and  $(\theta, F, G, \phi)$  its associated  $(-1)^q$ -form. We define  $F^0 \subseteq P^*$  by  $F^0 = \{f \in P^*: f(x) = 0, \forall x \in F\}$ .

If  $y \in F$ , then  $\theta y \in F^0$ ; similarly if  $y \in G$  then  $\theta y \in G^0$ . Thus  $\theta$  induces a map  $\theta: P/(F+G) \rightarrow P^*/(F^0+G^0)$ .

Recalling that by Alexander duality  $P^* = H_q(S^{2q+1} - V')$ , it is easy to see that  $F^0 = \ker(H_q(S^{2q+1} - V') \rightarrow H_q(B_{-2q+2} - V^-))$  with a similar statement for  $G^0$ . Thus in a natural way  $P/(F+G) \cong H_q(V)$  and  $P^*/(F^0+G^0) \cong H_q(S^{2q+2} - V)$ . As  $\theta$  corresponds to translating  $q$ -cycles off  $V'$  in the positive normal direction, so does  $\theta$  with  $V$ . Similar remarks are true for  $\theta^*$ .

Following Kervaire [4; II.4], we call  $V$  and  $(\theta, F, G, \phi)$  *minimal* if  $\theta$  and  $\theta^*$  are injections. Kervaire has shown that in these circumstances  $T_q(V) \cong T_q(\tilde{K})$ , where  $T_q(X)$  denotes the  $Z$ -torsion subgroup of  $H_q(X)$  and  $\tilde{K}$  is the universal cover of  $K$ .

Thus we have the following:

THEOREM 13.1. *If  $q \geq 3$  and  $(\theta, F, G, \phi)$  is  $T$ -equivalent to an odd minimal  $(-1)^q$ -form, then there is an odd simple  $2q$ -knot giving rise to  $(\theta, F, G, \phi)$ .*

*Remark.* The  $Z[t]$ -module  $H_q(\tilde{K})$  is presented by  $t\theta - \theta^*$ ; see Kervaire[4] for details. From this can be derived the usual Alexander invariants.

## REFERENCES

1. A. HAEFLIGER: Plongements différentiables de variétés dans variétés. *Comm. Math. Helv.* **36** (1962), 47-82.
2. S-T. HU: *Homotopy theory*. Academic Press (1959).

3. C. K  
415-  
4. M. L  
5. J. L  
6. J. L  
7. J. L  
8. J. L  
9. P. J.

Dept.  
Mill l  
Camt

3. C. KEARTON and W. B. R. LICKORISH: Piecewise linear critical levels and collapsing. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **170** (1972), 415-424.
4. M. A. KERVAIRE: Les noeuds de dimensions supérieures. *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **93** (1965), 225-271.
5. J. LEVINE: Unknotting spheres in codimension two. *Topology* **4** (1965), 9-16.
6. J. LEVINE: Knot cobordism groups in codimension two. *Comm. Math. Helv.* **44** (1969), 229-244.
7. J. LEVINE: An algebraic classification of some knots of codimension two. *Comm. Math. Helv.* **45** (1970), 185-198.
8. J. LEVINE: Polynomial invariants of knots of codimension two. *Ann. Math.* **84** (1966), 537-554.
9. P. J. HILTON: On the homotopy groups of the union of spheres. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **30** (1955), 154-172.

*Dept. Pure Maths,  
Mill Lane,  
Cambridge*