Annals of Mathematics

The (n + 2)nd Homotopy Group of the n-Sphere Author(s): George W. Whitehead Source: The Annals of Mathematics, Second Series, Vol. 52, No. 2 (Sep., 1950), pp. 245-247 Published by: Annals of Mathematics Stable URL: <u>http://www.jstor.org/stable/1969466</u> Accessed: 12/06/2009 13:10

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at http://www.jstor.org/action/showPublisher?publisherCode=annals.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

JSTOR is a not-for-profit organization founded in 1995 to build trusted digital archives for scholarship. We work with the scholarly community to preserve their work and the materials they rely upon, and to build a common research platform that promotes the discovery and use of these resources. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.



Annals of Mathematics is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to The Annals of Mathematics.

THE $(n + 2)^{nd}$ HOMOTOPY GROUP OF THE *n*-SPHERE

BY GEORGE W. WHITEHEAD

(Received May 15, 1950)

In this note we shall prove that $\pi_{n+2}(S^n)$ is cyclic of order two for all $n \ge 2^1$. This contradicts a result announced by Pontrjagin² in 1938.

The notation used here will follow that of my paper³, hereafter referred to as GHI. The proof depends on a theorem recently proved by Blakers and Massey⁴, together with a slight generalization of some of the results of GHI.

The following facts about $\pi_{n+2}(S^n)$ are known⁵:

(1) $\pi_4(S^2)$ is cyclic of order two;

(2) the suspension homomorphism $E: \pi_4(S^2) \to \pi_5(S^3)$ is onto;

(3) $E:\pi_{n+2}(S^n) \to \pi_{n+3}(S^{n+1})$ is an isomorphism onto for $n \ge 3$. Hence it is sufficient to prove that $E:\pi_4(S^2) \to \pi_5(S^3)$ is an isomorphism into.

We first note that, s a consequence of Theorem 3 of HGT, the homomorphism $\bar{\Psi}_{r,r}:\pi_{n+1}(E^{2r}, \dot{E}^{2r}) \to _{n+1}(S^r \times S^r, S^r \vee S^r)$ of GHI is an isomorphism into if $n \leq 4r - 4$, and therefore, if h is an admissible mapping of S^{2r-1} onto \dot{E}^{2r} , he homomorphism $\Psi_r = \Psi_{r,r} \circ \partial^{-1} \circ \mathbf{h}:\pi_n(S^{2r-1}) \to \pi_{n+1}(E^{2r}, \dot{E}^{2r})$ is an isomorph s m into for $n \leq 4r - 4$. Then if $\alpha \in \pi_n(S^r)$, $n \leq 4r - 4$, and if $Q\varphi_r(\alpha) \in \text{Image } \Psi_{r,r}$,

$$\pi_n(S^r) \xrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\varphi}_r} \pi_n(S^r \vee S^r) \xrightarrow{Q} \pi_{n+1}(S^r \times S^r, S^r \vee S^r) \xleftarrow{\Psi_r} \pi_n(S^{2r-1})$$

we may define $H(\alpha) = \Psi_r^{-1} Q \varphi_r(\alpha)$, and H is a homomorphism of a subgroup of $\pi_n(S^r)$ into $\pi_n(S^{2r-1})$.

Many of the results of §5 of GHI can be extended. We shall need the following extension of Theorem 5.40.

LEMMA 1. Let $h_r: S^{2r-1} \to S^r$ be a fibre map with Hopf invariant $\iota_{2r-1}(r = 2, 4, \text{ or } 8)$. Then if $n \leq 4r - 4$, $\alpha \in \pi_n(S^{2r-1})$, $\beta \in \pi_{n-1}(S^{r-1})$, then the generalized Hopf invariant of $\mathbf{h}_r(\alpha) + E(\beta)$ exists and is equal to α .

This lemma depends on the corresponding extensions of Theorems 5.15 and 5.19 of GHI, the proofs of which are unchanged.

COROLLARY. If α_2 is the non-zero element of $\pi_4(S^2)$, then $H(\alpha_2)$ exists and is the non-zero element ν_3 of $\pi_4(S^3)$.

We shall prove that $E(\alpha_2) \neq 0$ by extending Theorem 7.33 of GHI as follows.

¹ I have been informed that this result has also been obtained by Pontrjagin. His proof is unknown to me. *Added in proof:* Pontrjagin's proof has been published in C.R. Acad. Sci. URSS, 70 (1950), pp. 957–959. His proof is entirely different from mine.

² C. R. Acad. Sci. URSS, 19 (1938), pp. 147–149, 361–363.

^a Ann. of Math., 51 (1950), pp. 192–237.

⁴ Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 35 (1949), pp. 322–328. A full exposition will appear in Ann. Math. This paper will be referred to as HGT.

⁵ H. FREUDENTHAL, Comp. Math. 5 (1937), pp. 299-314; W. HUREWICZ and N. E. STEEN-ROD, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 27 (1941), pp. 60-64.

THEOREM. If $\alpha \in \pi_n(S^r)$ and $E(\alpha) = 0$, and if $H(\alpha)$ exists, then

$$\begin{cases} H(\alpha) = 0 & \text{if } r \text{ is odd and } n \leq 4r - 4; \\ H(\alpha) \ \epsilon \ 2\pi_n(S^{2r-1}) & \text{if } r \text{ is even and } n \leq 3r - 2. \end{cases}$$

The proof of this theorem depends on an extension of Theorems 7.8 and 7.28 of GHI. Consider the diagram

$$\pi_{r+1}^{n+2} \xrightarrow{\Lambda_0'} \pi_{n+3}(S^{r+1} \times S^{r+1}, S^{r+1} \vee S^{r+1}) \xleftarrow{\Psi_{r+1}} \pi_{n+2}(S^{2r+1})$$

$$\Delta \downarrow \qquad \qquad \uparrow A \qquad \qquad \uparrow (-1)^r E \circ E$$

$$\pi_n(S^r) \xrightarrow{Q_0 \varphi_r} \pi_{n+1}(S^r \times S^r, S^r \vee S^r) \xleftarrow{\Psi_r} \pi_n(S^{2r-1})$$

The homomorphisms Δ , A, Λ'_0 , Λ''_0 were defined in §6 of GHI, and the proofs of the following facts are unchanged:

(1)
$$A \circ \Psi_r = (-1)^r \Psi_{r+1} \circ (E \circ E);$$

(2) $\Lambda'_0 - \Lambda''_0 = A \circ Q \circ \boldsymbol{\varphi}_r \circ \Delta.$

In order to show that

(3)
$$\Lambda_0'' = (-1)^{r+1} \Lambda_0'$$

we need the following extension of Theorem 4.22 of GHI.

LEMMA. If $\xi \in \operatorname{Image} \Psi_{r+1}$, and if $n \leq 4r-2$, then $\mathfrak{o}_{r+1}(\xi) = (-1)^{r+1}\xi$. For if $n \leq 4r-2$ and $\gamma \in \pi_{n+3}(E^{r+1} \times E^{r+1}, (E^{r+1} \times E^{r+1}))$ then $((-1)^r \iota_{2r+1}) \circ \partial \gamma = (-1)^r \partial \gamma$

because $\partial \gamma$ is a suspension and therefore (3.64) of GHI holds. Thus the proof of Theorem 4.22 applies without change.

The remainder of the proof of (3) duplicates that of Theorem 7.28 of GHI.

We now prove the theorem. Let $\alpha \in \pi_n(S^r)$ and suppose $E(\alpha) = 0$. Then there is an element $\xi \in \pi_{r+1}^{n+2}$ such that $\Delta(\xi) = \alpha$. Therefore we can combine (2) and (3) to conclude that

$$AQ\boldsymbol{\varphi}_r(\alpha) = AQ\boldsymbol{\varphi}_r\Delta(\xi) = \Lambda'_0(\xi) - \Lambda''_0(\xi)$$
$$= [1 + (-1)^r]\Lambda'_0(\xi).$$

Now $Q\varphi_r(\alpha) = \Psi_r H(\alpha)$ because $H(\alpha)$ exists, and therefore

$$[1 + (-1)^r]\Lambda'_0(\xi) = A\Psi_r H(\alpha)$$
$$= (-1)^r \Psi_{r+1} EEH(\alpha)$$

If r is odd and $n \leq 4r - 4$, then Ψ_{r+1} and $E \circ E$ are isomorphisms into and we conclude that $H(\alpha) = 0$. If r is even and $n \leq 3r - 2$, then Ψ_{r+1} is an isomorphism onto and $E \circ E$ is an isomorphism onto, and therefore

$$H(\alpha) = 2E^{-1}E^{-1}\Psi_{r+1}^{-1}\Lambda_0'(\xi) \quad \epsilon \quad 2\pi_n(S^{2r-1}).$$

The proof is complete.

246

COROLLARY. The suspension of the non-zero element $\alpha_2 \in \pi_4(S^2)$ is not zero. For $H(\alpha_2) = \nu_3 \notin 2\pi_4(S^3) = 0$.

COROLLARY. The suspension homomorphism maps $\pi_4(S^2)$ isomorphically onto $\pi_5(S^3)$.

COROLLARY. The groups $\pi_{11}(S^5)$ and $\pi_{23}(S^9)$ are non-zero.

For we may apply our theorem to the non-zero elements $\nu'_4 \circ \nu'_7 \epsilon \pi_{10}(S^4)$ and $\nu''_8 \circ \nu''_{15} \epsilon \pi_{22}(S^8)$ constructed in §8 of GHI. By Lemma 1, we see that

$$H(\nu'_4 \circ \nu'_7) = \nu'_7 \epsilon \pi_{10}(S^7)$$

and $H(\nu_8'' \circ \nu_{15}'') = \nu_{15}'' \epsilon \pi_{22}(S^{15})$. If $\nu_7' = 2\alpha$ with $\alpha \epsilon \pi_{10}(S^7)$, then $\alpha = E^3 \alpha'$ with $\alpha' \epsilon \pi_7(S^4)$. Since $\nu_7' = E^3 \nu_4'$ we have

$$E^{3}(2\alpha' - \nu'_{4}) = 0.$$

Since $E^2: \pi_8(S^5) \to \pi_{10}(S^7)$ is an isomorphism, we have

$$E(2\alpha' - \nu_4') = 0$$

and therefore $H(2\alpha' - \nu'_4) = 2H(\alpha') - H(\nu'_4)$ must belong to $2\pi_7(S^7)$. But $H(\nu'_4) = \iota_7 \notin 2\pi_7(S^7)$, a contradiction. Similarly, $\nu''_{15} \notin 2\pi_{22}(S^{15})$.

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY.