



A Simple Proof that the Concordance Group of Algebraically Slice Knots is Infinitely Generated

Boju Jiang (Po-Chu Chiang)

Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, Vol. 83, No. 1 (Sep., 1981),
189-192.

Stable URL:

<http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0002-9939%28198109%2983%3A1%3C189%3AASPTTC%3E2.0.CO%3B2-E>

Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society is currently published by American Mathematical Society.

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at <http://uk.jstor.org/about/terms.html>. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at <http://uk.jstor.org/journals/ams.html>.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

JSTOR is an independent not-for-profit organization dedicated to creating and preserving a digital archive of scholarly journals. For more information regarding JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

A SIMPLE PROOF THAT THE CONCORDANCE GROUP OF ALGEBRAICALLY SLICE KNOTS IS INFINITELY GENERATED¹

BOJU JIANG (PO-CHU CHIANG)

ABSTRACT. A simple proof of the result stated in the title is obtained by making the Casson-Gordon invariant additive.

A. J. Casson and C. McA. Gordon proved in [1], [2] that there are algebraically slice knots which are not slice knots. In other words, the concordance group \mathcal{Q} of algebraically slice knots is nontrivial. A natural question: Is \mathcal{Q} infinitely generated? The author learned from Wu-chung Hsiang that Casson had obtained the affirmative answer to this question for some time; however, Casson has not published his proof to date. The purpose of this short note is to present a simple proof, which would possibly be different from Casson's. The main point in our argument is the observation that we can make the Casson-Gordon invariant additive by slightly generalizing its definition, thereby making it possible to detect linear independence in \mathcal{Q} . We shall use the language and notation of [2].

1. Additivity of Casson-Gordon invariant for 3-manifolds. In [2], Casson and Gordon defined, for a closed oriented 3-manifold M and an epimorphism $\phi: H_1(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_m$, the invariant $\sigma_r(M, \phi)$, $0 < r < m$. The definition goes as follows. Suppose $\tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ is the m -fold cyclic covering induced by ϕ . Pick up an m -fold cyclic branched covering of 4-manifolds $\tilde{W} \rightarrow W$, branched over a surface $F \subset \text{int } W$, such that $\partial(\tilde{W} \rightarrow W) = (\tilde{M} \rightarrow M)$. (The existence of such (W, F) follows from Lemma 2.2 of [2].) Then define

$$\sigma_r(M, \phi) = \text{sign } W - \varepsilon_r(\tilde{W}) - \frac{2[F]^2 r(m-r)}{m^2}.$$

For our purpose, we have to deal with arbitrary homomorphism ϕ . For simplicity, we shall restrict ourselves to the case $m = p$, a prime, so that a homomorphism $H_1(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ is either epimorphic or trivial.

DEFINITION. Let $\phi: H_1(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ be a homomorphism, where M is an oriented closed 3-manifold, p a prime. Define $\sigma_r(M, \phi)$ as above if ϕ is epimorphic, and define $\sigma_r(M, \phi) = 0$ if ϕ is trivial, for $0 < r < p$.

This invariant is additive in the following sense. Let M', M'' be two closed

Received by the editors June 30, 1980.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 55A25; Secondary 57D90.

¹This work was done in Fall, 1979, while the author was visiting the Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, New Jersey, and partially supported by an NSF grant. The author is grateful to Professor W. C. Hsiang for helpful conversations.

© 1981 American Mathematical Society
 0002-9939/81/0000-0442/\$02.00

oriented 3-manifolds. We know $H_1(M' \# M'') = H_1(M') \oplus H_1(M'')$, so that every pair of homomorphisms $\phi': H_1(M') \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$, $\phi'': H_1(M'') \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ determines uniquely a homomorphism $\phi = \phi' \oplus \phi'': H_1(M' \# M'') \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$, and vice versa.

LEMMA 1. $\sigma_r(M' \# M'', \phi' \oplus \phi'') = \sigma_r(M', \phi') + \sigma_r(M'', \phi'')$, $0 < r < p$.

PROOF. *Case 1.* Both of ϕ' , ϕ'' are epimorphic. Let (W', F') be the data needed for defining $\sigma_r(M', \phi')$, and (W'', F'') be those for $\sigma_r(M'', \phi'')$. Take $(W, M) = (W', M') \# (W'', M'')$ and $F = F' \cup F''$. Then we may use (W, F) for defining $\sigma_r(M' \# M'', \phi' \oplus \phi'')$. Now W is obtained by pasting W' and W'' together along a 3-disk, and \tilde{W} is obtained by pasting \tilde{W}' and \tilde{W}'' together along p 3-disks, neither of which intersects the branching set F . By the Mayer-Vietoris sequence, we see that the intersection form on $H_2(W)$ is the orthogonal sum of those on $H_2(W')$ and $H_2(W'')$ (hence $\text{sign } W = \text{sign } W' + \text{sign } W''$ and $[F]^2 = [F']^2 + [F'']^2$), and the intersection form on $H_2(\tilde{W})$ is the \mathbb{Z}_p -equivariant orthogonal sum of $H_2(\tilde{W}')$ and $H_2(\tilde{W}'')$ (hence $\epsilon_r(\tilde{W}) = \epsilon_r(\tilde{W}') + \epsilon_r(\tilde{W}'')$). Therefore

$$\sigma_r(M' \# M'', \phi' \oplus \phi'') = \sigma_r(M', \phi') + \sigma_r(M'', \phi''), \quad 0 < r < p.$$

Case 2. ϕ' is epimorphic but ϕ'' is trivial. Let (W', F') be the data needed for defining $\sigma_r(M', \phi')$. Take any W'' such that $\partial W'' = M''$ and $H_1(W'') = 0$. Take $(W, M) = (W', M') \# (W'', M'')$ and $F = F'$. Then we may use (W, F) for defining $\sigma_r(M' \# M'', \phi' \oplus \phi'')$. The same argument as in Case 1 still works if we take F'' to be empty and take \tilde{W}'' to be the disjoint union of p copies of W'' , with the obvious \mathbb{Z}_p action by cyclic permutation. So we get $\text{sign } W = \text{sign } W' + \text{sign } W''$, $\epsilon_r(\tilde{W}) = \epsilon_r(\tilde{W}') + \epsilon_r(\tilde{W}'')$, $[F]^2 = [F']^2$. But for this \mathbb{Z}_p -action on \tilde{W}'' it is easily seen that $\epsilon_r(\tilde{W}'') = \text{sign } W''$ for all $0 < r < p$. Therefore

$$\sigma_r(M' \# M'', \phi \oplus \phi'') = \sigma_r(M', \phi') = \sigma_r(M', \phi') + \sigma_r(M'', \phi''), \quad 0 < r < p.$$

Case 3. Both of ϕ' , ϕ'' are trivial. This case is trivial.

2. Additivity of Casson-Gordon invariant for knots. Let K be a knot in S^3 , $M_n(K)$ be the 2^n -fold branched covering of (S^3, K) , $\phi: H_1(M_1(K)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_m$ be a homomorphism. By composition with the surjection induced by branched covering projection $M_n(K) \rightarrow M_1(K)$, ϕ determines $\phi_n: H_1(M_n(K)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_m$. The Casson-Gordon invariant for (K, ϕ) is $\sigma_r(M_n(K), \phi_n)$, $0 < r < m$. It was originally defined in [2] for epimorphic ϕ , but now it also makes sense for arbitrary ϕ when $m = p$.

This invariant is additive in the following sense. Let K', K'' be two oriented knots in S^3 . Then $M_n(K' \# K'') = M_n(K') \# M_n(K'')$, so that every pair of homomorphisms $\phi': M_1(K') \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $\phi'': M_1(K'') \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ determines a unique $\phi = \phi' \oplus \phi'': H_1(M_1(K' \# K'')) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$, and $\phi_n = \phi'_n \oplus \phi''_n$. Now, a direct consequence of Lemma 1 is

LEMMA 2. $\sigma_r(M_n(K' \# K''), \phi'_n \oplus \phi''_n) = \sigma_r(M_n(K'), \phi'_n) + \sigma_r(M_n(K''), \phi''_n)$, for $0 < r < p$.

3. Doubled knots of the trivial knot. Let us quote from [2] some results about doubled knots. Let K_k be the k -twisted double of the unknot, as depicted on [2, p. 46]. K_k is known to be algebraically slice iff $4k + 1 = l^2$ for some integer l . Let us

rewrite K_k as $K^{(l)}$ if $4k + 1 = l^2$. Then $K^{(l)}$, l taking odd values, are algebraically slice knots and represent elements of the concordance group \mathcal{Q} . $K^{(l)}$ is a slice knot iff $l = 1$ or 3 . The computation in [2, §5] can be summarized as

LEMMA 3. Let $\bar{\mu}_1$ be the generator of $H_1(M_1(K^{(l)})) (\cong \mathbb{Z}_{l^2})$ specified on [2, p. 48]. Suppose an epimorphism $\phi: H_1(M_1(K^{(l)})) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_m$ sends $\bar{\mu}_1$ to $q \in \mathbb{Z}_m$. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \sigma_r(M_n(K^{(l)}), \phi_n) = 2 \left\{ 1 + \left[\frac{(l^2 - 1)r'}{2m} \right] - r'(m - r') \left(\frac{l}{m} \right)^2 \right\},$$

for $0 < r < m$,

where $0 < r' \leq (m - 1)/2$ satisfies $r' \equiv \pm qr \pmod{m}$. If $l \geq 5$, the right-hand side is always negative.

In fact, the computation in [2] is carried out for $q = 1$. But we can use the following general fact which can be easily proved by means of Novikov additivity: For a closed 3-manifold M and two epimorphisms $\phi, \phi': H_1(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_m$, related by $\phi = q\phi'$ where q is coprime to m , we have $\sigma_r(M, \phi) = \sigma_r(M, \phi')$, $0 < r < m$, where $r' \equiv qr \pmod{m}$ and $0 < r' < m$.

4. Infinite-generatedness of \mathcal{Q} .

THEOREM. Let P be the set of prime numbers ≥ 5 . Then, the set $\{K^{(p)}\}_{p \in P}$ is linearly independent in \mathcal{Q} .

For a proof, let us consider a knot

$$K = k_1 K^{(p_1)} \# \dots \# k_t K^{(p_t)},$$

where $p_1, \dots, p_t \in P$, $p_i \neq p_j$ for $i \neq j$, k_1, \dots, k_t are nonzero integers. We want to prove that K is not slice. But in view of Theorem 4.1 of [2], it suffices to prove the following.

LEMMA 4. (1) For any subgroup G of $H_1(M_1(K))$ with $|G|^2 = |H_1(M_1(K))|$, there exists an epimorphism $\phi: H_1(M_1(K)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p_1}$ satisfying $\phi(G) = 0$.

(2) For any epimorphism $\phi: H_1(M_1(K)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p_1}$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \sigma_r(M_n(K), \phi_n) < 0 \quad \text{if } k_1 > 0,$$

$$> 0 \quad \text{if } k_1 < 0.$$

PROOF. (1) The factor group $H_1(M_1(K))/G$ has order $|G| = p_1^{|k_1|} \cdot \dots \cdot p_t^{|k_t|}$, hence it has \mathbb{Z}_{p_1} as a factor group. So there exists an epimorphism $\phi: H_1(M_1(K)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p_1}$.

(2) For short, let us write M_n for $M_n(K)$, $k_i M_n^{(i)}$ for $M_n(k_i K^{(p_i)})$, $K^{(i,j)}$ for the j th copy of $\pm K^{(p_i)}$ in $k_i K^{(p_i)}$, $M_n^{(i,j)}$ for $M_n(K^{(i,j)})$, $1 \leq j \leq |k_i|$, $1 \leq i \leq t$. Then

$$M_n = k_1 M_n^{(1)} \# \dots \# k_t M_n^{(t)},$$

$$H_1(M_1) = H_1(k_1 M_n^{(1)}) \oplus \dots \oplus H_1(k_t M_n^{(t)})$$

$$\cong (\mathbb{Z}_{p_1^2})^{|k_1|} \oplus \dots \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_{p_t^2})^{|k_t|}.$$

Recall that $-K$ means the mirror image of K , changing the sign of a knot also changes the sign of its Casson-Gordon invariant. Hence we only have to consider the $k_1 > 0$ case.

Write $\phi^{(i)} = \phi|_{H_1(k_i M_1^{(i)})}$, $\phi^{(i,j)} = \phi|_{H_1(M^{(i,j)})}$. Then, for $i > 1$, $\phi^{(i)}$ is trivial because p_i is coprime to p_1 . By Lemma 2,

$$\sigma_r(M_n, \phi_n) = \sigma_r(k_1 M_n^{(1)}, \phi_n^{(1)}) = \sum_{j=1}^{k_1} \sigma_r(M_n^{(1,j)}, \phi_n^{(1,j)}).$$

But ϕ is epimorphic, so that at least one of $\phi^{(1,j)}$, $1 \leq j \leq k_1$, will be epimorphic. The conclusion of the lemma then follows from Lemma 3.

The proof of the Theorem is now complete.

REFERENCES

1. A. J. Casson and C. McA. Gordon, *Cobordism of classical knots*, Mimeographed notes, Orsay, France, 1975.
2. ———, *On slice knots in dimension three*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol 32, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., pp. 39–53.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY, PRINCETON, NEW JERSEY 08540

Current address: Department of Mathematics, Peking University, Peking, China