

# NONFIBERWISE SIMPLY CONNECTED POINCARÉ SURGERY

JOHN R. KLEIN AND WILLIAM RICHTER

**ABSTRACT.** Klein showed that a metastable Poincaré embedding  $M \times I \subset X \times I$  with complement  $W$  compresses to an embedding  $M \subset X$  iff an invariant  $\nu^+ \in [M^+, W]$  vanishes. Klein conjectured that  $\nu$  is Poincaré dual to the Hopf invariant of the unstable normal invariant  $\rho \in [\Sigma(X^+), \Sigma(M/\partial M)]$ , for a 1-connected middle dimensional embedding. We prove this and deduce Poincaré surgery in the simply connected case.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $X$  be an  $n$ -dimensional 1-connected Poincaré complex, and let  $(M, \partial M)$  be an  $n$ -dimensional Poincaré pair, where  $M$  is  $p$ -dimensional as a CW complex. Assume that  $n = 2p$ , so we study embeddings in the middle dimension. The most interesting case is  $(M, \partial M) = (S^p \times D^p, S^p \times S^{p-1})$ , but we consider the general case, since our proofs do not simplify in the case of framed embeddings of spheres. Define

$$A = M \cup (\partial M) \times I \cup M$$

so  $(M \times I, A)$  is an  $(n + 1)$ -dimensional Poincaré pair. Suppose we have an interior Poincaré embedding  $(M \times I, A) \subset X \times I$ , that is, a homotopy pushout square

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & W & \xleftarrow{\sigma} & X \amalg X \\ p \downarrow & & \downarrow & \swarrow & \\ M \times I & \xrightarrow{f} & X \times I & & \end{array} \quad (1)$$

where  $(W, A \amalg X \amalg X)$  is an  $(n + 1)$ -dimensional Poincaré pair. Klein's result [K11, Thm. A] specialized to the middle dimension (Theorem 2.3 below) shows the only obstruction to compressing the embedding above to an embedding  $(M, \partial M) \subset X$  is a Seifert-type linking invariant  $\nu$  defined by pushing  $M$  into the complement in the positive direction. Defined in Composite (4) below,  $\nu$  is essentially a cohomology class. We prove a conjecture of Klein (Theorem 2.2 below): the Hopf invariant of the normal invariant is Poincaré dual to the linking invariant  $\nu$ . The proof is similar to the proof of Richter's earlier Seifert surface result [Ri1, Thm. 3.1]. We then use Theorems 2.3 and 2.2 together as Klein envisioned to deduce Poincaré surgery.

## 2. THE HOPF INVARIANT OF THE NORMAL INVARIANT

We need some preliminaries in order to state Theorem 2.2.

Let  $\text{Top}_*$  be the category of compactly generated weak Hausdorff based spaces, which we will refer to as *pointed spaces*. Homotopy theory is done in  $\text{Top}_*$  as opposed to  $\text{Top}$ , and yet we cannot assume that our Poincaré complexes have basepoints, since we will

Our assumption that  $W \hookrightarrow X \times I$  is a cofibration is unjustified, but it simplifies the argument below so we keep it for now.

---

Thanks to Paul Burchard for the commutative diagram package, which uses  $\text{\texttt{Xy-pic}}$  arrows.

later handle the nonsimply connected case, where we will employ Ranicki's pointed CW- $\pi$  complexes. Of course, the quotient spaces like  $W/X \times 1$  are naturally pointed. For an unpointed space  $A \in \text{Top}$ ,  $CA$  we mean the *unreduced cone*  $CA := A \times I / A \times 0$ . For pointed spaces, we will use the usual reduced cones and suspensions, and the usual homotopy classes of pointed maps.

Following Klein [K11], we will denote  $M \times 0 \subset A$  by  $M_0$  and  $X \times 0 \subset X \times I$  by  $X_0$ , similarly for  $M_1$  and  $X_1$ .  $W$  is a space over  $X$  and under  $X \amalg X$ , and we denote the two inclusions  $X_i \subset W$  by  $\sigma_i: X \rightarrow W$ . Similarly,  $A$  is a space over  $M$  and under  $M \amalg M$ , and we denote the two inclusions  $M_i \subset A$  by  $\sigma_i: M \rightarrow A$ .

Given pointed spaces  $A$  and  $B$ , we denote the inclusion of the wedge summand of  $A \vee B$  by  $x: A \rightarrow A \vee B$  and  $y: B \rightarrow A \vee B$ . For a pointed NDR pair  $(K, L)$ , the *boundary map*  $\partial: K/L \rightarrow \Sigma L$  is the unique homotopy class such that the composite  $K \cup C(L) \rightarrow K/L \xrightarrow{\partial} \Sigma L$  is the natural map pinching out  $K$ .

Following Boardman and Steer [B-S], suspension will mean smashing on the right with the circle, so  $\Sigma Y := Y \wedge S^1$ , for any pointed space  $Y$ . Therefore by the associativity of smash products we have the canonical isomorphism  $Y \wedge (\Sigma Z) \cong \Sigma(Y \wedge Z)$ , which by abuse of notation we will refer to as equality. We will denote by  $\tau$  the flip map on a smash power  $Y \wedge Y$  taking  $x \wedge y$  to  $y \wedge x$ . There is a selfmap of  $\Sigma Y$  which we will call  $(-1)$ , the degree minus one map, meaning that we smash  $\text{id}_Y$  with the degree minus one map on  $S^1$ . Furthermore we will write products in the group  $[\Sigma A, Y]$  additively, even if the group is nonabelian. So e.g. we have  $-(f + g) = -g - f$ . Then the “additive inverse” is given by prefixing with the degree  $-1$  map on  $\Sigma A$ , so  $-f = f \cdot (-1) \in [\Sigma A, \Sigma Y]$ . The group  $[\Sigma^2 A, X]$  is abelian and we can reorder our “sums” arbitrarily.

We define the *normal invariant*  $\rho: \Sigma(X^+) \rightarrow \Sigma(M/\partial M)$  via the excision equivalence  $\epsilon: (M \times I)/A \xrightarrow{\sim} (X \times I)/W$  and the homeomorphism  $\Sigma(M/\partial M) = (M \times I)/A$ . Thus,  $\rho$  is the unique homotopy class making the following diagram homotopy commute.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma(X^+) = (X \times I)/(X \amalg X) & \longrightarrow & (X \times I)/W \\ \rho \downarrow & & \sim \uparrow \epsilon \\ \Sigma(M/\partial M) & \xlongequal{\quad} & (M \times I)/A \end{array} \quad (2)$$

We need the well known lemma:

**Lemma 2.1.** *Given an unpointed map  $p: E \rightarrow B$  with a section  $\sigma: B \rightarrow E$ , the composite is a homotopy equivalence:*

$$B \cup CE \xrightarrow{\partial} \Sigma(E^+) \rightarrow \Sigma(E \cup CB).$$

*Proof.* Consider the commutative diagram of pointed cofibration sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} E \cup CB & \longrightarrow & * & \longrightarrow & \Sigma(E \cup CB) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \Sigma(E \cup CB) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ E^+ & \xrightarrow{p^+} & B^+ & \longrightarrow & B \cup CE & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \Sigma(E^+) \\ \sigma \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\ B^+ & \xlongequal{\quad} & B^+ & \longrightarrow & * & & \end{array} \quad \square$$

We now define the (pointed) pinch maps  $h: A^+ \rightarrow A/(M_0 \cup \partial M \times I) \cong M/\partial M$  and  $k: W^+ \rightarrow W/X_0$ . We denote by  $\sigma'_1$  and  $\alpha'$  the composites  $X^+ \xrightarrow{\sigma'_1} W^+ \xrightarrow{k} W/X_0$  and  $A^+ \xrightarrow{\alpha'} W^+ \xrightarrow{k} W/X_0$ .

Using Lemma 2.1 twice, we define  $\chi: \Sigma(M/\partial M) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Sigma(W/X_0)$  to be the unique homotopy class making the following diagram homotopy commute.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Sigma(X^+) & \longrightarrow & (X \times I)/W & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \Sigma(W^+) \xrightarrow{\Sigma(k)} \Sigma(W/X_0) \\ \rho \downarrow & & \epsilon \uparrow \sim & & \sim \uparrow \chi \\ \Sigma(M/\partial M) & \xlongequal{\quad} & (M \times I)/A & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \Sigma(A^+) \xrightarrow{\Sigma(h)} \Sigma(M/\partial M) \end{array} \quad (3)$$

We define the linking invariant  $\nu$  to be the homotopy class of the composite

$$\nu: M \xrightarrow{\sigma_1} A \xrightarrow{\alpha} W \xrightarrow{k} W/X_1. \quad (4)$$

Now we can state Klein's conjecture.

**Theorem 2.2.** *The Hopf invariant of  $\rho: \Sigma(X^+) \rightarrow \Sigma(M/\partial M)$  vanishes if and only if  $\nu^+ \in [M^+, W/X_0]$  vanishes. Furthermore,  $\chi^{(2)} \cdot \lambda_2(\rho)$  is homotopic to the composite*

$$\Sigma^2(X^+) \xrightarrow{\Sigma\rho} \Sigma^2(M/\partial M) \xrightarrow{\Sigma^2\Delta} \Sigma(M^+) \wedge \Sigma(M/\partial M) \xrightarrow{\Sigma(\nu) \wedge \chi} (\Sigma(W/X_0))^{(2)}.$$

Klein's conjectured this result, as well as the nonsimply connected analogue, after proving his key result [K11, Thm. A], which we know describe.

The Poincaré embedding in Diagram (1) is said to *compress*, if there exists a Poincaré embedding  $(M, \partial M) \subset X$ , called the *compression*, such that the original embedding is *concordant* to the *decompression*  $(M, \partial M) \times I \subset X \times I$ . See [K11] for more information, including the definition of *concordance*, which we will not use in this paper. Now we state our middle dimensional version of Klein's compression theorem.

**Theorem 2.3.** *The Poincaré embedding in Diagram (1) compresses iff the linking invariant (4)  $\nu \in [M^+, W/X_0]$  is trivial.*

We defer the proof to section §3.

*Remark 2.4* (The idea of the proof of Theorem 2.2). Since we wish to extend our proof later to the nonsimply connected case, we assume that  $M$  is a CW-complex with 0-skeleton  $K \hookrightarrow M$ . In the nonsimply connected case, we will replace  $M/K$  by the CW- $\pi_1(X)$  complex  $\tilde{M}/\tilde{K}$ . Via  $M_0 \hookrightarrow A$ , we have a cofibration  $K \hookrightarrow A$ , and we call  $j: A^+ \rightarrow A/K$  the pinch map. Note that the earlier pinch maps  $h$  factors through  $j$ , by a pinch map we will call  $\bar{h}: A/K \rightarrow M/\partial M$ , and the map  $p$  factors through  $\bar{p}: A/K \rightarrow M/K$ . Since  $K$  is 0-dimensional, and  $W/X_0$  is highly connected, our maps  $\alpha'$  and  $\nu$  factors through  $j$  uniquely up to homotopy, by maps  $\bar{\alpha}: A/K \rightarrow W/X_0$  and  $\bar{\nu}: M/K \rightarrow W/X_0$ .

Following the “Massey-Mahowald-Williams” splitting of [Ri2], we have a stable splitting of  $\Sigma(A/K)$  into the wedge of two spaces  $\Sigma(W/X_0)$  and  $\Sigma(M/K)$  which are essentially Poincaré dual. Then following the original Seifert surface intuition [Ri1, Thm. 3.1], we calculate the Hopf invariant of the composite

$$\zeta: \Sigma(X^+) \xrightarrow{\rho} \Sigma(M/\partial M) \xrightarrow{\partial} \Sigma(A^+) \xrightarrow{\Sigma(j)} \Sigma(A/K), \quad (5)$$

in the same way that one calculates the Hopf invariant of the Hopf construction. That is, for pointed connected spaces  $A$  and  $B$ , we define the Hopf construction  $\mathfrak{h}: \Sigma(A \wedge B) \rightarrow$

$\Sigma(A \times B)$  so that composite of  $\mathfrak{h}$  and the homotopy equivalence

$$\Pi = x \cdot \Sigma(\pi_1) + y \cdot \Sigma(\pi_2) + z \cdot \Sigma(\pi_{1,2}): \Sigma(A \times B) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Sigma(A) \vee \Sigma(B) \vee \Sigma(A \wedge B)$$

is the inclusion of the third summand, hence a suspension. Applying the Cartan formula to this equation then calculates  $\lambda_2(\mathfrak{h})$ , or really  $\Pi^{[2]} \cdot \lambda_2(\mathfrak{h})$ . We calculate our Hopf invariant similarly, understanding that we need to invert our analogue of the equivalence  $\Pi$ .

Then by naturality of the Hopf invariant we can calculate  $\lambda_2(\rho)$  from the Hopf invariant of composite (5), using the suspended retraction  $\Sigma(\bar{h}): \Sigma(A/K) \rightarrow \Sigma(M/\partial M)$ .

We need several Lemmas for the proof of Theorem 2.2. First we make two explicit calculations of boundary maps.

**Lemma 2.5.** *In Diagram (3) above, the long horizontal composite of the*

- (a) *top row is homotopic to the negative of the suspension  $\sigma'_1: X^+ \rightarrow W/X_0$ .*
- (b) *bottom row is homotopic to the negative of the identity map of  $\Sigma(M/\partial M)$ .*

*Proof.* By the naturality of the boundary map, the top row is homotopic to the composite

$$\Sigma(X^+) = (X \times I)/(X_0 \amalg X_1) \xrightarrow{\partial} \Sigma(X_0 \amalg X_1) \rightarrow \Sigma(X_1^+) \xrightarrow{\sigma'_1} \Sigma(W/X_0).$$

But the composite  $\Sigma(X^+) \xrightarrow{\partial} \Sigma(X_0 \amalg X_1) \rightarrow \Sigma(X_1^+)$  is the degree  $-1$  map, by the definition of the boundary map. We see this by choosing the inverse homotopy equivalence  $\Sigma(X^+) \rightarrow (X \times I) \cup C(X_0 \amalg X_1)$  to the natural pinch map  $(X \times I) \cup C(X_0 \amalg X_1) \rightarrow \Sigma(X^+)$  which is degree  $+1$  on  $C(X_0)$  and degree  $-1$  on  $C(X_1)$ . This proves Part (a).

For any pointed NDR pair  $(N, B)$  with  $N$  contractible, with nullhomotopy  $H: C(N) \rightarrow N$ , the degree  $-1$  map on  $\Sigma B$  is homotopic to the composite

$$\Sigma(B) \xrightarrow[\sim]{\bar{H}_B} N/B \xrightarrow{\partial} \Sigma B.$$

Consider the map  $j: (M \times I, A) \rightarrow (N, B)$ , where

$$N = (M \times I)/(M_0 \cup \partial M \times I), \quad B = A/(M_0 \cup \partial M \times I) = M/\partial M.$$

The nullhomotopy  $H_s[m, t] = [m, st]$  then yields as above the homotopy equivalence  $\bar{H}_B: \Sigma(B) \xrightarrow{\sim} N/B$ , which is in fact the identity map on  $\Sigma(M/\partial M)$  under the two homeomorphic identifications. Part (b) now follows from the naturality of the boundary map. The long horizontal composite of the bottom row is homotopic to the composite

$$\Sigma(M/\partial M) = N/B \xrightarrow{\partial} \Sigma B = \Sigma(M/\partial M),$$

which by the above is homotopic to the negative of the identity map of  $\Sigma(M/\partial M)$ .  $\square$

We now list some corollaries of Lemma 2.5.

**Lemma 2.6.** *The composite*

- (a)  $\Sigma(X^+) \xrightarrow{\rho} \Sigma(M/\partial M) \xrightarrow{-\chi} \Sigma(W/X_0)$  *is homotopic to  $-\Sigma(\sigma'_1)$ ,*
- (b)  $\Sigma(M/\partial M) = (M \times I)/A \xrightarrow{\partial} \Sigma(A^+) \xrightarrow{\Sigma(\alpha')} \Sigma(W/X_0)$  *is homotopic to  $-\chi$ ,*
- (c)  $\Sigma(X^+) \xrightarrow{\rho} (M \times I)/A \xrightarrow{\partial} \Sigma(A^+) \xrightarrow{\Sigma(\alpha')} \Sigma(W/X_0)$  *is homotopic to  $-\Sigma(\sigma'_1)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $-\chi$  is the composite  $\Sigma(M/\partial M) \xrightarrow{-1} \Sigma(M/\partial M) \xrightarrow{\chi} \Sigma(W/X_0)$ , Part (a) follows from Lemma 2.5(a–b) and Diagram (3).

Part (b) follows from Lemma 2.5(b) and Diagram (3), including part that is not shown,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 (X \times I)/W & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \Sigma(W^+) & \xrightarrow{\Sigma(k)} & \Sigma(W/X_0) \\
 \uparrow \epsilon \sim & & \uparrow \Sigma(\alpha^+) & \nearrow \Sigma(\alpha') & \\
 (M \times I)/A & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \Sigma(A^+) & & 
 \end{array}$$

(This homotopy commutative square was not inscribed in Diagram (3) because the square to the right of it is not necessarily homotopy commutative, due to the linking invariant  $\nu$ .)

Part (c) follows from combining Part (b) and Part (c).  $\square$

Now we require a result about Hopf invariant, which we first deduced from Boardman and Steer's results [B-S] relating James-Hopf and Hilton-Hopf invariants.

**Lemma 2.7.** *For pointed CW complexes  $A$  and  $X$  and a map  $f: \Sigma A \rightarrow \Sigma X$ , we have*

$$\lambda_2((-1) \cdot f \cdot (-1)) = -\tau \cdot \lambda_2(f) \in [\Sigma^2 A, (\Sigma X)^{[2]}].$$

*Proof.* Let  $\mu(f) = -\tau \cdot \lambda_2((-1) \cdot f \cdot (-1))$ . It suffices to show that  $\mu(f) = \lambda_2(f)$ . It follows from the proof of Boardman and Steer's main theorem [B-S] that it suffices to show that  $\mu$  vanishes on the image of the suspension map  $E: [A, X] \rightarrow [\Sigma A, \Sigma X]$ , and that  $\mu$  satisfies the *Cartan formula*  $\mu(f+g) = \mu(f) + f \smile g + \mu(g)$ , where the *cup product*  $f \smile g$  is defined to be the composite

$$f \smile g: \Sigma^2 A \xrightarrow{\Sigma^2(\Delta)} \Sigma^2 A \wedge A \xrightarrow{\mathcal{S}} (\Sigma A)^{[2]} \xrightarrow{f \wedge g} (\Sigma X)^{[2]},$$

where  $\mathcal{S}: \Sigma^2(Y \wedge Z) = Y \wedge Z \wedge S^1 \wedge S^1 \rightarrow (\Sigma Y) \wedge (\Sigma Z)$  is the *shuffle permutation* (23).

We note that for any two maps  $f, g: \Sigma A \rightarrow \Sigma X$ , we have

$$\tau \cdot (f \smile g) = -g \smile f \in [\Sigma^2 A, (\Sigma X)^{[2]}]. \quad (6)$$

Boardman and Steer's proof is that the flip map  $\tau$  on  $S^2 := S^1 \wedge S^1$  has degree  $-1$ . For a suspended element  $f = \Sigma(\phi) \in [\Sigma A, \Sigma X]$ , we have  $(-1) \cdot f \cdot (-1) = f$ , so  $\mu$  vanishes on suspended elements because  $\lambda_2$  does. For the Cartan formula, notice that

$$(-1) \cdot (f+g) = (-1) \cdot f + (-1) \cdot g \quad \text{and} \quad (f+g) \cdot (-1) = -(f+g) = g \cdot (-1) + f \cdot (-1),$$

because the group operation is given by the comultiplication in the source. So we have

$$(-1) \cdot (f+g) \cdot (-1) = (-1) \cdot g \cdot (-1) + (-1) \cdot f \cdot (-1) \in [\Sigma A, \Sigma X].$$

By the Cartan formula for  $\lambda_2$ , we have

$$\mu(f+g) = \mu(f) - \tau \cdot [(-1) \cdot g \cdot (-1) \smile (-1) \cdot f \cdot (-1)] + \mu(g) \in [\Sigma^2 A, (\Sigma X)^{[2]}].$$

The minus signs cancel out, since  $(-1) \wedge (-1)$  is homotopic to the identity. Hence by Equation (6), we have our Cartan formula for  $\mu$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.8.** We sketch another proof. One can show that  $\lambda_2$  is characterized by the property that the map  $f_k = \Sigma(\pi_1) + \cdots + \Sigma(\pi_k): \Sigma(X^k) \rightarrow \Sigma(X)$  has Hopf invariant

$$\lambda_2(f_k) = \sum_{i < j} \mathcal{S} \cdot \Sigma^2(\pi_{i,j}) \in [\Sigma^2(X^k), (\Sigma X)^{[2]}], \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

This follows from the proof of Boardman and Steer's recognition principle. But

$$(-1) \cdot f_k \cdot (-1) = -(-1) \cdot f_k = \Sigma(\pi_k) + \cdots + \Sigma(\pi_1) \in [\Sigma(X^k), \Sigma(X)]$$

is the same “sum” in reverse order, so

$$\lambda_2((-1) \cdot f_k \cdot (-1)) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} \mathcal{S} \cdot \Sigma^2(\pi_{j,i}) = -\tau \cdot \lambda_2(f_k) \in [\Sigma^2 X^k, (\Sigma X)^{[2]}]. \quad \square$$

Now we are ready to prove Klein’s conjecture.

*Proof of Theorem 2.2.* First define  $\eta = (-1) \cdot \rho \cdot (-1)$ . This is suggested by reversing the orientation of both  $X \times I$  and  $M \times I$ . By Lemma 2.7, we have

$$\lambda_2(\eta) = -\tau \cdot \lambda_2(\rho) \in [\Sigma^2(X^+), (\Sigma(W/X_0))^{[2]}]. \quad (7)$$

By pinching out  $X_0$  and  $K$  in Diagram (1), we have a homotopy cocartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A/K & \xrightarrow{\bar{\alpha}} & W/X_0 \\ \bar{p} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (M \times I)/K & \longrightarrow & *. \end{array}$$

Hence we have an equivalence

$$\Pi = x \cdot \Sigma(\bar{\alpha}) + y \cdot \Sigma(\bar{p}) : \Sigma(A/K) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Sigma(W/X_0) \vee \Sigma(M/K).$$

By the naturality of the coaction map and the boundary map, we have

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \Sigma(X^+) & \xrightarrow{\rho} & (M \times I)/A & \xrightarrow{\text{coaction}} & \Sigma(A^+) \vee (M \times I)/A & \xrightarrow{\pi_1} & \Sigma(A^+) \\ & \searrow \zeta & \downarrow \Sigma(j) \cdot \partial & & \downarrow \text{id} \vee \Sigma(j) \cdot \partial & & \downarrow x \cdot \Sigma(\alpha') \\ & & \Sigma(A/K) & \xrightarrow{(x+y)} & \Sigma(A/K) \vee \Sigma(A/K) & \xrightarrow{\Sigma \bar{\alpha} \vee \Sigma \bar{p}} & \Sigma(W/X_0) \vee \Sigma(M/K). \end{array}$$

So in particular,  $\Pi \cdot \Sigma(j) \cdot \partial$  is homotopic to  $x \cdot \Sigma(\alpha') \cdot \partial$ . By Lemma 2.6(c), we have

$$\Pi \cdot \zeta = x \cdot \Sigma(\bar{\alpha}) \cdot \partial \cdot \rho = -x \cdot \Sigma(\sigma'_1) \in [\Sigma(X^+), \Sigma(W/X_0) \vee \Sigma(M/K)].$$

By pushing the minus signs around, we have,  $\Pi \cdot \Sigma(j)(-\partial)\eta = x \cdot \Sigma(\sigma'_1)$ .

So by Boardman & Steer’s composition formula and Cartan formula [B-S], we have

$$\Pi^{(2)} \cdot \lambda_2(\Sigma(j)(-\partial)\eta) = -\lambda_2(\Pi) \cdot \Sigma(\Sigma(j)(-\partial)\eta) = [x \cdot \Sigma(\bar{\alpha}) \smile y \cdot \Sigma(\bar{p})] \cdot \Sigma(\zeta). \quad (8)$$

If we inverted  $\Pi^{(2)}$ , we could postfix the  $\lambda_2$  term with  $(\Sigma(\bar{h}))^{(2)}$ , and we’d have

$$(\Sigma(\bar{h}))^{(2)} \cdot \lambda_2(\Sigma(j)(-\partial)\eta) = \lambda_2(\Sigma(\bar{h})\Sigma(j)(-\partial)\eta) = \lambda_2(\Sigma(h)(-\partial)\eta) = \lambda_2(\eta), \quad (9)$$

by naturality of  $\lambda_2$ , Lemma 2.5(b), and  $\Sigma(h) \cdot (-\partial) = \Sigma(h) \cdot \partial \cdot (-1) = -\Sigma(h) \cdot \partial$ .

To invert  $\Pi^{(2)}$ , we factor  $\Sigma(\bar{h})$  through the equivalence  $\Pi$ . It’s more convenient to factor the composite  $\chi \cdot \Sigma(\bar{h}) : \Sigma(A/K) \rightarrow \Sigma(W/X_0)$  through  $\Pi$ . That is, there exists a map  $\theta \vee \phi : \Sigma(W/X_0) \vee \Sigma(M/K) \rightarrow \Sigma(W/X_0)$  such that

$$(\theta \vee \phi) \cdot \Pi = \chi \cdot \Sigma(\bar{h}) \in [\Sigma(A/K), \Sigma(W/X_0)]. \quad (10)$$

Before solving for  $\theta$  and  $\phi$ , let’s postfix Equation (8) with  $(\theta \vee \phi)^{(2)}$ . We obtain, by (9),

$$\chi^{(2)} \cdot \lambda_2(\eta) = [\theta \cdot \Sigma(\bar{\alpha}) \smile \phi \cdot \Sigma(\bar{p})] \cdot \Sigma(\zeta). \quad (11)$$

Now we solve for the maps  $\theta$  and  $\phi$ . By prefixing Equation (10) by  $\Sigma(j)\partial$ , and using  $\Pi \cdot \Sigma(j)\partial = x \cdot \Sigma(\alpha') \cdot \partial$ , as well as Lemmas 2.5(b) & 2.6(b), we have

$$\theta \cdot \Sigma(\alpha') \cdot \partial = \chi \cdot \Sigma(\bar{h}) \cdot \Sigma(j)\partial = \chi \cdot \Sigma(h) \cdot \partial = -\chi = \Sigma(\alpha') \cdot \partial \in [(M \times I)/A, \Sigma(W/X_0)],$$

and hence  $\theta = \text{id}$ , since  $\Sigma(\alpha') \cdot \partial = -\chi$  is a homotopy equivalence.

Now prefix Equation (10) by  $\Sigma(\bar{\sigma}_0): \Sigma(M_0/K) \rightarrow \Sigma(A/K)$ . Since the composite  $M_0/K \xrightarrow{\bar{\sigma}_0} A/K \xrightarrow{\bar{h}} M/\partial M$  is trivial, the RHS vanishes, and we obtain  $\phi = -\Sigma(\bar{\nu})$ .

So we've factored  $\chi \cdot \Sigma(\bar{h})$  through  $\Pi$ . Plugging  $\theta$  and  $\phi$  into (11), we have

$$\chi^{(2)} \cdot \lambda_2(\eta) = [\Sigma(\bar{\alpha}) \smile -\Sigma(\bar{\nu}) \cdot \Sigma(\bar{p})] \cdot \Sigma(\zeta).$$

This gives a calculation of  $\lambda_2(\rho)$ , since the LHS above is  $\chi^{(2)} \cdot \lambda_2(\eta) = -\tau \cdot \chi^{(2)} \cdot \lambda_2(\rho)$ , by Equation (7). Postfixing the equation with  $-\tau$ , and using Equation (6), we have

$$\chi^{(2)} \cdot \lambda_2(\rho) = -[\Sigma(\bar{\nu}) \cdot \Sigma(\bar{p}) \smile \Sigma(\bar{\alpha})] \cdot \Sigma(\partial \cdot \rho),$$

since the minus sign passes through a suspension. That is,  $(-1) \cdot f = -f$ , if  $f$  desuspends.

Now the cup product term above involves the suspension of the composite

$$(M \times I)/A \xrightarrow{\partial} \Sigma(A/K) \xrightarrow{\Sigma\Delta} \Sigma(A/K \wedge A/K) \xrightarrow{\Sigma(\bar{p} \wedge \bar{\alpha})} \Sigma(M/K \wedge W/X_0),$$

which is homotopic to the composite

$$(M \times I)/A \xrightarrow{\partial} \Sigma(A^+) \xrightarrow{\Sigma\Delta} \Sigma(A^+ \wedge A^+) \xrightarrow{\Sigma(p^+ \wedge \alpha')} \Sigma(M^+ \wedge W/X_0).$$

By “Browder-style” compatibility of cup products and diagonal maps diagrams (cf. [Ri2, diagram p. 439]) and Lemma 2.6(b), we have the homotopy commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (M \times I)/A & \xrightarrow{\bar{\Delta}} & M^+ \wedge (M \times I)/A & \xrightarrow{\cong} & M^+ \wedge \Sigma(M/\partial M) \\ \partial \downarrow & & \searrow \text{id} \wedge \partial & & \searrow \text{id} \wedge (-\chi) \\ \Sigma(A^+) & \xrightarrow{\Sigma\Delta} & \Sigma(A^+ \wedge A^+) & \xrightarrow[\Sigma(p^+ \wedge \text{id})]{} & M^+ \wedge \Sigma(A^+) \xrightarrow[\text{id} \wedge \Sigma\alpha']{} M^+ \wedge \Sigma(W/X_0) \end{array}$$

To see this, replace  $(M \times I)/A$  by  $(M \times I) \cup CA$ , which we need to do in order to define the boundary map, and then the quadrilateral commutes strictly. The suspension of the bottom row followed by a shuffle is the composite  $[\Sigma(p^+) \smile \Sigma(\alpha')] \cdot \Sigma(\partial)$ . Furthermore the top row is strictly equal to the composite  $(M \times I)/A = \Sigma(M/\partial M) \xrightarrow{\Sigma\bar{\Delta}} M^+ \wedge \Sigma(M/\partial M)$ .

Now we define a “homology  $S$ -duality map,” the composite

$$\mathcal{D}: \Sigma(X^+) \xrightarrow{\rho} \Sigma(M/\partial M) \xrightarrow{\Sigma\bar{\Delta}} M^+ \wedge \Sigma(M/\partial M) \xrightarrow[\sim]{\text{id} \wedge \chi} M^+ \wedge \Sigma(W/X_0).$$

We now have that  $\chi^{(2)} \cdot \lambda_2(\rho)$  is the composite

$$\Sigma^2(X^+) \xrightarrow{\Sigma(\mathcal{D})} \Sigma^2(M^+ \wedge W/X_0) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{S}} \Sigma(M^+) \wedge \Sigma(W/X_0) \xrightarrow{\Sigma(\nu^+) \wedge \text{id}} (\Sigma(W/X_0))^{(2)},$$

since we can move the minus sign in  $-\chi$  to the front, since it's a suspended element.  $\square$

### 3. KLEIN'S COMPRESSION THEOREM IN THE MIDDLE DIMENSION

Suppose we have spaces and maps  $\sigma: X \rightarrow E$  and  $p: E \rightarrow X$ , with composite  $p \cdot \sigma = \text{id}_X$ . In fiberwise parlance,  $E$  is called a cofibrant object in  $X \backslash \text{TOP}/X$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Assume  $X$  and  $E$  are 1-connected, and  $(E, X)$  is  $r$ -connected. Then the map  $p: E \rightarrow X$  is  $(r+1)$ -connected, and the map*

$$p \times h: E \rightarrow X \times E/X$$

*is  $(r+2)$ -connected.*

*Proof.* The first assertion follows from the dual of Lemma 2.1, which implies that the homotopy fiber of  $\sigma$  is the loop space of the homotopy fiber of  $p$ .

The connectivity of the map  $p \times h$  is seen by projecting onto  $E/X$  to equal the connectivity of the map  $F \rightarrow X$ , where  $F$  is the homotopy fiber of the map  $E \rightarrow E/X$ . There is a natural map  $\epsilon: X \rightarrow F$  lifting  $\sigma$ , which makes  $F$  into a space over and under  $X$ . By Blakers-Massey excision,  $\epsilon$  is  $(r+1)$ -connected, since  $X$  is 1-connected. Then the map  $F \rightarrow X$  is  $(r+2)$ -connected by the first assertion applied to  $F$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.3.* Klein's result [K11, Thm. A'] is that the Poincaré embedding in Diagram (1) compresses iff the following diagram is homotopy commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{f} & X_0 \\ \sigma_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow \sigma_0 \\ A & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & W \end{array}$$

We recall for the reader that the engine of Klein's proof is his relative embedded thickening theorem (unpublished), which relativizes the main result [K12, Thm. A]. The main result [K12, Thm. A] is Poincaré analogue of the PL embedded thickening theorem of Wall [Wa], whereas the relative result is the Poincaré analogue of the relativation of Wall's theorem given by Hodgson (insert ref). Both results of Klein alluded to here admit non-fiberwise proofs (cf. [K12, Rem. 4.8]).

$(W, X_0)$  is  $(p-1)$ -connected, since  $\chi: \Sigma(M/\partial M) \rightarrow \Sigma(W/X_0)$  is a homotopy equivalence and  $(M, \partial M)$  is  $(p-1)$ -connected by Poincaré duality. Hence by Lemma 3.1, the map  $W \rightarrow X \times W/X_0$  is  $(p+1)$ -connected. So we have an injection

$$[M, W] \hookrightarrow [M, X] \times [M, W/X_0].$$

But the 1<sup>st</sup> components of the maps  $\alpha \cdot \sigma_0, \sigma_0 \cdot f \in [M, X]$  are homotopic by Diagram (1). Thus, the diagram commutes up to homotopy iff the 2<sup>nd</sup> components are equal.  $\square$

#### 4. SIMPLY CONNECTED POINCARÉ SURGERY

Given a stable Poincaré embedding (Klein's definition of a *Poincaré immersion*)

$$M \times D^m \subset X \times D^m$$

with normal invariant  $r: \Sigma^m(X^+) \rightarrow \Sigma^m(M/\partial M)$ , suppose the stable Hopf invariant

$$H_2(r) \in \{X^+, D_2(M/\partial M)\}$$

is zero in the above cohomology group. Poincaré surgery amounts to the assertion, which we will demonstrate, that the stable embedding compresses to an embedding  $(M, \partial M) \subset X$ . This involves Klein's further definition of *regular homotopy* of Poincaré immersion as stable concordance, which the second author admits he does not yet understand:—0.

Using Klein's Theorem A inductively, we can compress the stable embedding to a Poincaré embedding  $M \times I \rightarrow X \times I$ , say with normal invariant  $\rho: \Sigma(X^+) \rightarrow \Sigma(M/\partial M)$ , which is a desuspension of  $r$ . If we knew that the cohomology class

$$\lambda_2(\rho) \in [\Sigma^2(X^+), (\Sigma(M/\partial M))^{[2]}]$$

was zero, then Theorem 2.2 asserts that we can compress the embedding, since  $\lambda_2(\rho)$  is determined by the linking invariant  $\nu$ , and by Klein's Theorem A, if  $\nu = 0$ , there exists a compression. But we cannot deduce  $\lambda_2(\rho) = 0$  from  $H_2(r) = 0$ .



However, by the interlocking EHP sequences, we know there is a short exact sequence

$$[\Sigma^2(X^+), (\Sigma(M/\partial M))^{[2]}] \xrightarrow{(1+\tau)} [\Sigma^2(X^+), (\Sigma(M/\partial M))^{[2]}] \twoheadrightarrow \{X^+, D_2(M/\partial M)\},$$

and that  $\lambda_2(\rho)$  projects to  $H_2(r)$ . Furthermore, since  $H_2(r) = 0$ , there exists a map  $\beta: \Sigma(X^+) \rightarrow \Sigma(M/\partial M)^{[2]}$  such that  $\rho' = \rho + [1, 1] \cdot \beta$  desuspends. We must show that there also exists a compression  $M \times I \rightarrow X \times I$  with normal invariant  $\rho'$ . We do this in the next section.

## 5. WHITEHEAD PRODUCTS AND NORMAL INVARIANTS

**Theorem 5.1.** *Given a Poincaré embedding  $M \times I \rightarrow X \times I$ , with normal invariant  $\rho: \Sigma(X^+) \rightarrow \Sigma(M/\partial M)$  and a cohomology class  $\beta: \Sigma(X^+) \rightarrow \Sigma(M/\partial M)^{[2]}$ , there exists a compression of the decomposition  $M \times I^2 \rightarrow X \times I^2$  with normal invariant  $\rho' = \rho + [1, 1] \cdot \beta: \Sigma(X^+) \rightarrow \Sigma(M/\partial M)$ .*

*Proof.* The decompressed embedding looks like:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A' & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & W' & \xleftarrow{\sigma} & X \times S^1 \\ p \downarrow & & \downarrow \iota & \swarrow \iota & \\ M & \xrightarrow{f} & X \times I^2 & & \end{array} \quad (12)$$

where  $A' = M \times S^1 \cup (\partial M) \times I^2 = \Sigma_M^2(\partial M) = \Sigma_M(A)$ .

The link  $\nu: M \xrightarrow{\sigma_0} A' \xrightarrow{\alpha} W' \rightarrow W'/X_0$  is nullhomotopic by dimension reasons, and we have a canonical nullhomotopy from the compressed embedding. The proof of Klein's Theorem B shows that for a given nullhomotopy of  $\nu$ , the normal invariant of the compressed embedding is the composite

$$\rho: \Sigma(X^+) = X \times S^1/X \rightarrow W'/X \xleftarrow{k} A'/M = M/A = \Sigma(M/\partial M)$$

where  $k$  is an equivalence determined by the nullhomotopy. Different nullhomotopies amount to coacting

$$A'/M \rightarrow A'/M \vee \Sigma(M) \rightarrow W'/X$$

over all maps  $\Sigma(M) \rightarrow W'/X$ , which are on the top cell and so detected in homology. Let's back up by  $k$  and prefix with the excision equivalence  $A'/M = M/A$  to get instead

$$M/A \rightarrow M/A \vee \Sigma(A) \rightarrow M/A \vee \Sigma(M) \xrightarrow{1 \vee \Sigma(\alpha)} M/A \xrightarrow{k} W'/X$$

for  $\alpha \in [M, M/\partial M] \cong [\Sigma(M), \Sigma(M/\partial M)] = [\Sigma(M), M/A]$ . And as I used in my Duke paper, the 1st part is the composite

$$M/A \xrightarrow{\Delta} M/A \wedge M^+ \rightarrow M/A \wedge \Omega \Sigma(M)^+ \rightarrow M/A \vee \Sigma(M),$$

where the last map is the homotopy fiber of the projection  $M/A \vee \Sigma(M) \rightarrow \Sigma(M)$ .

Since  $M/A = \Sigma(M/\partial M)$  is a suspension and we're barely in the metastable range, we can write our composite as the sum of  $k$  and the composite

$$M/A \xrightarrow{\Delta} M/A \wedge M \xrightarrow{1 \wedge \alpha} M/A \wedge (M/\partial M) \xrightarrow{[1,1]} M/A \xrightarrow{k} W'/X.$$

But since  $M/A$  is  $(n+1)$ -dimensional, this factors as

$$M/A \xrightarrow{p} S^{n+1} \xrightarrow{\beta} M/A \wedge (M/\partial M) \xrightarrow{[1,1]} M/A \xrightarrow{k} W'/X,$$

where  $\beta$  is an arbitrary of this homology group. By the usual Hilton-Hopf Barcus-Barratt nonsense, we see that the equivalence

$$1 + [1, 1] \cdot b \cdot p: M/A \rightarrow M/A$$

has homotopy inverse

$$1 - [1, 1] \cdot b \cdot p: M/A \rightarrow M/A,$$

and hence the new normal invariant is

$$\Sigma(X^+) \xrightarrow{\rho} M/A \xrightarrow{(1-[1,1] \cdot b \cdot p)} M/A$$

which by more Hilton-Hopf Barcus-Barratt stuff is  $\rho$  minus the composite

$$\Sigma(X^+) \rightarrow S^{n+1} \xrightarrow{b} M/A \wedge (M/\partial M) \xrightarrow{[1,1]} M/A.$$

By the EHP sequence, that picks up all possible desuspensions of the normal invariant

$$\Sigma^2(X^+) \rightarrow \Sigma M/A$$

that we started with coming from the embedding  $M \rightarrow X \times I^2$ . □

#### REFERENCES

- [B-S] Boardman, J. M., Steer, B.: On Hopf invariants. *Comment. Math. Helv.* **42**, 217–224 (1968)
- [K11] Klein, J.: Embedding, compression and fiberwise homotopy theory. 22 pages, May 1998
- [K12] Klein, J.: Poincaré embeddings and fiberwise homotopy theory. *Topology* (1997). to appear, 28 pages
- [Ri1] Richter, W.: High dimensional knots with  $\pi_1 \cong \mathbf{Z}$  are determined by their complements in one more dimension than Färber’s range. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **120**, 285–294 (1994)
- [Ri2] Richter, W.: A homotopy theoretic proof of Williams’s Poincaré embedding theorem. *Duke Math. J.* **88**, 435–447 (1997)
- [Wa] Wall, C. T. C.: Thickenings. *Topology* **5**, 73–94 (1966)

JOHN R. KLEIN, WAYNE STATE UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DETROIT, MI 48202-3489

*E-mail address:* klein@math.wayne.edu

WILLIAM RICHTER, NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 2033 SHERIDAN ROAD, EVANSTON, IL 60208-2730

*E-mail address:* richter@math.nwu.edu