BORDISM CLASSES OF THE MULTIPLE POINTS MANIFOLDS OF SMOOTH IMMERSION

KONSTANTIN SALIKHOV

ABSTRACT. Let $f: V^n \hookrightarrow M^m$ be a smooth generic immersion. Then the set of points, that have at least k preimages is an image of a (non-generic) immersion. If the manifolds V^n and M^m are oriented and m - n is even, then the manifold of k-fold points is also oriented. In this paper we compute the oriented bordism class of the manifold of k-fold points in terms of the differential df, provided the tangent bundle of the manifold M^m has a nowhere zero cross-section.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we will consider smooth orientable C^{∞} -manifolds and C^{∞} -mappings between them. Let V^n and M^m be manifolds without boundary, V^n be compact, $f: V^n \hookrightarrow M^m$ be a smooth generic immersion and m-n be even. From Thom multijet transversality theorem [1] it follows that the set of immersions f such that the map $f^{(k)}: V^{(k)} \to M^{(k)}$ is transverse to the "thin" diagonal $\Delta_k(M) = \{(x, \ldots, x) \mid x \in M\} \subset M^{(k)}$ outside the "thick" diagonal $\Delta_2(V) = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_k) \mid \exists i \neq j : x_i = x_j\} \subset V^{(k)}$ is open and everywhere dense in the set of all immersions $V^n \hookrightarrow M^m$ (in C^{∞} Whitney topology). Therefore, for a generic f the set $V_k = (f^{(k)})^{-1}(\Delta_k(M)) \setminus \Delta_2(V) \subset V^{(k)}$ is an oriented submanifold. Denote by Σ_k the group of permutations on k elements. Permutation of factors in the product $V^{(k)}$ induce the free action of Σ_k on the set $V^{(k)}$. Denote by \widetilde{V}_k and \widetilde{M}_k the quotient manifolds V_k/Σ_{k-1} and V_k/Σ_k , where the subgroup $\Sigma_{k-1} \subset \Sigma_k$ is the stabilizer of the first element. Since m - n is even, the action of Σ_k preserves the orientation of the manifold V_k . Therefore \widetilde{V}_k and \widetilde{M}_k are canonically oriented. Let us define immersions $f_k: \widetilde{V}_k \hookrightarrow V^n$ and $g_k: \widetilde{M}_k \hookrightarrow M^m$ by formulae $f_k(x_1, [x_2, \ldots, x_k]) = x_1$ and $g_k[x_1, \ldots, x_k] = f(x_1)$ (see [2] for details).

For an integer k > 0 and an immersion $f: V^n \hookrightarrow M^m$ we assign the oriented bordism classes $(\widetilde{V}_k, f_k) \in \Omega_{m-k(m-n)}(V^n)$ and $(\widetilde{M}_k, g_k) \in \Omega_{m-k(m-n)}(M^m)$. From [3] it follows that these classes do not change under a regular homotopy of the immersion f. By the fundamental Smale-Hirsch theorem [4], the set of regular homotopy classes of immersions $V^n \hookrightarrow M^m$ is in 1-1 correspondence with the set of linear monomorphism classes of the tangent bundles $\tau V \to \tau M$. The class of the immersion $f: V^n \hookrightarrow M^m$ corresponds to the class of the differential $df: \tau V \to$ τM . Hence, the classes $(\widetilde{V}_k, f_k) \in \Omega_{m-k(m-n)}(V^n)$ and $(\widetilde{M}_k, g_k) \in \Omega_{m-k(m-n)}(M^m)$ have to be computable in terms of the differential $df: \tau V \to \tau M$. In this paper (see corollary 2.3) we compute (\widetilde{V}_k, f_k) and (\widetilde{M}_k, g_k) up to elements of order (k-1)! and k!, respectively. This weakening is in some sense natural, for the manifolds \widetilde{V}_k \widetilde{M}_k were constructed as the images of (k-1)!- and k!-fold coverings.

Recall that all the finite order elements of $\Omega_*(pt)$ have the order 2. Therefore, for $M^m = \mathbb{R}^m$ we compute the classes (\widetilde{M}_k, g_k) up to elements of order 2. It is another approach to [5, Theorem 5], where all the Pontrjagin numbers of the manifolds \widetilde{M}_k were computed in terms of the Pontrjagin classes of the manifold V^n and the integral Euler class of the normal bundle of the immersion f. Lemma 2.1 gives a formula to compute the unoriented bordism class

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 57R42.

Key words and phrases. Immersion, multiple points of immersion, bordism group of immersion.

Partially supported by the Russian Fond for Basic Research Grant No. 99-01-00009.

 $(\widetilde{V}_2, f_2)_2 \in \Re_*(V^n)$, if we do not require m - n to be even, and V^n and M^m to be oriented. For up-to-date reviews of results on the bordism classes of self-intersection manifolds see [5] for oriented case, and [6] for unoriented case.

2. Formulation of results

Denote by $S\tau M$ the spherical fibration, associated to the tangent bundle τM and by Sdf: $S\tau V \to S\tau M$ the fiberwise monomorphism of spherical fibrations, induced by the differential df: $\tau V \to \tau M$. Since the manifolds V^n , M^m , $S\tau V$ and $S\tau M$ are oriented (in usual sense), they are oriented in *oriented bordism theory* [7, 8]. Thus, there is the *Poincare duality* on these manifolds. Denote by $Sdf^!: \Omega_*(S\tau M) \to \Omega_*(S\tau V)$ the Gysin homomorphism, induced by the mapping Sdf.

Let us formulate the key lemma of this paper.

Lemma 2.1. Let M^m be an oriented manifold without boundary such that there is a nowhere zero cross-section of the tangent bundle τM , or, in other words, a section $s_M : M^m \to S\tau M$. Then for any generic immersion $f : V^n \hookrightarrow M^m$ of compact oriented manifold without boundary V^n the bordism class $(\tilde{V}_2, f_2) \in \Omega_{2n-m}(V^n)$ is

$$(\widetilde{V}_2, f_2) = (-1)^{m-1} i_* S df^! (M^m, s_M),$$
(1)

where i is the natural projection $S\tau V \to V^n$.

To formulate our results, it will be convenient to use the oriented cobordism classes $v_k \in \Omega^{(k-1)(m-n)}(V^n)$ and $m_k \in \Omega^{k(m-n)}_{comp.}(M^m)$, the Poincare duals to (\widetilde{V}_k, f_k) and (\widetilde{M}_k, g_k) , respectively. Denote by 1_V the identity element of the ring $\Omega^*(V^n)$, and by f_1 the Gysin homomorphism, induced by the map f.

Corollary 2.2. Under the conditions of lemma 2.1 and if m - n is even, the Euler class e of the normal bundle of the immersion f is

$$e = f^* f_!(1_V) + (-1)^m \gamma i_* S df^!(M^m, s_M),$$

where $\gamma: \Omega_*(V^n) \to \Omega^{n-*}(V^n)$ is the Poincare duality.

Corollary 2.3. Under the conditions of lemma 2.1 and if m - n is even,

$$(k-1)! \cdot v_k = \varphi_{k-1} \circ \varphi_{k-2} \circ \cdots \circ \varphi_1(1_V)$$

$$k! \cdot m_k = f_! \circ \varphi_{k-1} \circ \varphi_{k-2} \circ \cdots \circ \varphi_1(1_V),$$

where $\varphi_k(a) = f^* f_!(a) - k \cdot e \cup a$, and e is the Euler class of the normal bundle of the immersion f (which was computed in corollary 2.2).

3. The Bordism group of immersions

Let us call two oriented immersions $f_0: V_0^n \hookrightarrow M^m$ and $f_1: V_1^n \hookrightarrow M^m$ bordant, if there exists a compact oriented manifold with boundary W^{n+1} such that $\partial W^{n+1} = V_0^n \sqcup (-V_1^n)$, and an immersion $W^{n+1} \hookrightarrow M^m \times [0, 1]$ such that for a collar $V_0^n \times [0, \varepsilon) \sqcup (-V_1^n) \times (1 - \varepsilon, 1]$ of the boundary ∂W^{n+1} the restrictions $F|_{V_0^n \times [0,\varepsilon)} = f_0 \times \text{id}$ and $F|_{(-V_1^n) \times (1-\varepsilon, 1]} = f_1 \times \text{id}$. Then the set of equivalence classes of bordant oriented immersions with disjoint union operation is a group $Imm_n^{SO}(M^m)$. The group $Imm_n^{SO}(M^m) = [M^m, QMSO(m-n)]$, where $QX = \underset{[9]}{\lim} \Omega^q S^q X$ is the infinite loop space of infinite suspension and MSO is the Thom spectrum [9].

From the results of paper [3] it follows that the map, assigning for any immersion f: $V^n \hookrightarrow M^m$ the bordism class $(\widetilde{M}_k, g_k) \in \Omega_{m-k(m-n)}(M^m)$, is a well-defined homomorphism $\varepsilon_k : Imm_n^{SO}(M^m) \to \Omega_{m-k(m-n)}(M^m)$. The classes (\widetilde{M}_k, g_k) involve much information about the class of immersion $[f] \in Imm_n^{SO}(M^m)$. The following theorem was proved in [10], using algebraic technics. **Theorem 3.1** ([10, Corollary 1]). If 3n+1 < 2m and m-n is even, then the homomorphism

$$\varepsilon_1 \oplus \varepsilon_2 : Imm_n^{SO}(\mathbb{R}^m) \to \Omega_n \oplus \Omega_{2n-m}$$

is an isomorphism modulo the class C_2 of finite 2-primary groups.

Our calculations probably clarify the geometric core of theorem 3.1. The matter is that in these very dimensional restrictions (3n+1 < 2m) any skew map ${}^{1} \tau V \to \tau M$ can be homotoped to a monomorphism of tangent bundles (see details in [11]). Our formula (lemma 2.1) connects the map of spherical fibrations, induced by the differential $df : \tau V \to \tau M$, and the oriented bordism class $2(\widetilde{M}_2, g_2) \in \Omega_{2n-m}(M^m)$. These reasoning was the initial motivation of this paper.

Conjecture 3.2. Let M^m be an oriented manifold without boundary such that there is a nowhere zero cross-section of the tangent bundle τM , 3n + 1 < 2m, and m - n be even. Then the homomorphism

$$\varepsilon_1 \oplus \varepsilon_2 : Imm_n^{SO}(M^m) \to \Omega_n(M^m) \oplus \Omega_{2n-m}(M^m)$$

is an isomorphism modulo the class C_2 of finite 2-primary groups.

4. Proofs

Denote the diagonal $\Delta(V) = \{(x, x) \in V \times V \mid x \in V\}$. Since $f : V^n \hookrightarrow M^m$ is an immersion, there exists a small enough tubular neighborhood U_V of the diagonal $\Delta(V)$ in $V \times V$ such that $f^{(2)}(U_V \setminus \Delta(V)) \cap \Delta(M) = \emptyset$. Note that $\partial(V^{(2)} \setminus U_V) = \partial(U_V)$. Since $f^{(2)}(U_V \setminus \Delta(V)) \cap \Delta(M) = \emptyset$, we get the map $f^{(2)} : (V^{(2)} \setminus U_V, \partial(V^{(2)} \setminus U_V)) \to (M^{(2)}, M^{(2)} \setminus \Delta(M))$. Denote by U_M a tubular neighborhood of the diagonal $\Delta(M)$ in $M^{(2)}$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $f^{(2)}(U_V) \subset U_M$. Denote the inclusion

$$j: (U_M, U_M \setminus \Delta(M)) \hookrightarrow (M^{(2)}, M^{(2)} \setminus \Delta(M))$$

By excision axiom [8], the homomorphisms $j_* : \Omega_*(U_M, U_M \setminus \Delta(M)) \to \Omega_*(M^{(2)}, M^{(2)} \setminus \Delta(M))$ and $j^* : \Omega^*(M^{(2)}, M^{(2)} \setminus \Delta(M)) \to \Omega^*(U_M, U_M \setminus \Delta(M))$ are isomorphisms. Note that the pair $(U_M, \Delta(M))$ is canonically isomorphic to the pair $(\tau M, \tau_0 M)$ [12]. Since M^m is oriented, there exists the *Thom class* $t \in \Omega^m(\tau M, \tau M \setminus \tau_0 M)$ of the tangent bundle τM .

Lemma 4.1. The class $(\tilde{V}_2, f_2) \in \Omega_{2n-m}(V^n)$ for an immersion $f: V^n \hookrightarrow M^m$ can be calculated in the following way

$$(\widetilde{V}_2, f_2) = (\pi_1)_* \left((f^{(2)})^* ((j^*)^{-1} t) \cap \left[V^{(2)} \backslash U_V, \partial (V^{(2)} \backslash U_V) \right] \right),$$
(2)

where $\pi_1: V^{(2)} \setminus U_V \to V$ is the projection on the first factor, and $[V^{(2)} \setminus U_V, \partial(V^{(2)} \setminus U_V)]$ is the fundamental class.

Proof of lemma 4.1. Let us recall the construction of the class (\widetilde{V}_2, f_2) . Since f is an immersion, $\Delta(V)$ is a closed subset in $(f^{(2)})^{-1}(\Delta(M))$. Since f is a generic immersion, $f^{(2)}$ is transversal to $\Delta(M)$ outside $\Delta(V)$. Therefore $(f^{(2)})^{-1}(\Delta(M))\setminus\Delta(V)$ is a compact oriented submanifold without boundary $\widetilde{f}_2: \widetilde{V}_2 \hookrightarrow V^{(2)}\setminus\Delta(V)$. Then the composition $\pi_1 \circ \widetilde{f}_2$ is $f_2: \widetilde{V}_2 \to V^n$ (see details in [2]). By definition [8] of Lefschetz duality $\gamma: \Omega^*(V^{(2)}\setminus U_V, \partial(V^{(2)}\setminus U_V)) \to \Omega_*(V^{(2)}\setminus U_V)$

$$(f^{(2)})^*((j^*)^{-1}t) \cap \left[V^{(2)} \setminus U_V, \partial(V^{(2)} \setminus U_V) \right] = (-1)^{2n \cdot m} \gamma \left((f^{(2)})^*((j^*)^{-1}t) \right)$$
$$= \gamma \left((f^{(2)})^*((j^*)^{-1}t) \right)$$

¹a skew map $\tau V \to \tau M$ can be understood as the "fiberwise cone" over a fiber map $h: S\tau V \to S\tau M$ such that h(-x) = -h(x) in each fiber

Since $f^{(2)}$ is transversal to $\Delta(M)$ outside $\Delta(V)$, we have

$$(\pi_1)_* \left(\gamma \left((f^{(2)})^* ((j^*)^{-1} t) \right) \right) = (\pi_1)_* \left((\widetilde{f}_2)_* \left[(f^{(2)})^{-1} (\Delta(M)) \backslash \Delta(V) \right] \right) \\ = (\pi_1)_* \left(\widetilde{V}_2, \widetilde{f}_2 \right) = (\widetilde{V}_2, f_2)$$

Proof of lemma 2.1. To prove lemma 2.1, it suffices to interpret the right hand side of formula (2) in terms of the differential df. Since $\partial(V^{(2)} \setminus U_V) = \partial(U_V)$, we have

$$\partial_* \left[V^{(2)} \backslash U_V, \partial (V^{(2)} \backslash U_V) \right] = \left[\partial (V^{(2)} \backslash U_V) \right],$$

where $\partial_* : \Omega_{2n}(V^{(2)} \setminus U_V, \partial(V^{(2)} \setminus U_V)) \to \Omega_{2n-1}(\partial(V^{(2)} \setminus U_V))$ is the differential in the exact bordism sequence of pair. Denote by j_1 the inclusion $S \tau M \hookrightarrow \tau M \setminus \tau_0 M$. Obviously, the map j_1 is a homotopy equivalence. Since $j_1 \circ s_M : M^m \to \tau M \setminus \tau_0 M$ is a nowhere zero cross-section of τM , we have

$$\delta^*\left((j_1^*)^{-1}\gamma(M^m, s_M)\right) = t,$$

where $\delta^* : \Omega^{m-1}(\tau M \setminus \tau_0 M) \to \Omega^m(\tau M, \tau M \setminus \tau_0 M)$ is the differential in the exact cobordism sequence of pair, and γ is the Poincare duality on the total manifold $S\tau M$. Denote by j_2 the isomorphism $\partial(V^{(2)} \setminus U_V) \xrightarrow{\sim} S\tau V$. From the explicit formula [12] for the \mathbb{Z}_2 -equivariant isomorphism, that identify a small neighborhood of zero section of τV with the neighborhood U_V

$$\tau V \ni (x, \vec{v}_x) \mapsto (\exp_x(\vec{v}_x), \exp_x(-\vec{v}_x)) \in V \times V,$$

it follows that the following diagram commutes (double arrows here denote isomorphisms).

$$\Omega^{m-1}(S\tau V) \xrightarrow{j_2^*} \Omega^{m-1}(\partial(V^{(2)}\backslash U_V)) \xrightarrow{\delta^*} \Omega^m(V^{(2)}\backslash U_V, \partial(V^{(2)}\backslash U_V))$$

$$\uparrow (f^{(2)})^*$$

$$Sdf^* \qquad \Omega^m(M^{(2)}, M^{(2)}\backslash\Delta(M))$$

$$\downarrow j^*$$

$$\Omega^{m-1}(S\tau M) \xleftarrow{j_1^*} \Omega^{m-1}(\tau M\backslash\tau_0 M) \xrightarrow{\delta^*} \Omega^m(\tau M, \tau M\backslash\tau_0 M)$$

Figure 1

Therefore, $\delta^*(j_2^*Sdf^*\gamma(M^m, s_M)) = (f^{(2)})^*((j^*)^{-1}t)$. From the naturality of the \cap -product [8] we have

$$(j_3)_* \left(j_2^* S df^* \gamma(M^m, s_M) \cap \left[\partial (V^{(2)} \setminus U_V) \right] \right) = (f^{(2)})^* ((j^*)^{-1} t) \cap \left[V^{(2)} \setminus U_V, \partial (V^{(2)} \setminus U_V) \right],$$

where $j_3: \partial(V^{(2)} \setminus U_V) \to V^{(2)} \setminus U_V$ is the inclusion. Then, by lemma 4.1

$$\begin{aligned} (\widetilde{V}_{2}, f_{2}) &= (\pi_{1})_{*} \left((f^{(2)})^{*} ((j^{*})^{-1}t) \cap \left[V^{(2)} \setminus U_{V}, \partial(V^{(2)} \setminus U_{V}) \right] \right) \\ &= (\pi_{1} \circ j_{3})_{*} \left(j_{2}^{*}Sdf^{*}\gamma(M^{m}, s_{M}) \cap \left[\partial(V^{(2)} \setminus U_{V}) \right] \right) \\ &= (-1)^{(2n-1)\cdot(m-1)} (\pi_{1} \circ j_{3})_{*}\gamma(j_{2}^{*}Sdf^{*}\gamma(M^{m}, s_{M})) \\ &= (-1)^{m-1} (\pi_{1} \circ j_{3})_{*} \circ (j_{2})_{*}^{-1}\gamma Sdf^{*}\gamma(M^{m}, s_{M}) \\ &= (-1)^{m-1} (\pi_{1} \circ j_{3})_{*} \circ (j_{2})_{*}^{-1}Sdf^{!}(M^{m}, s_{M}) \end{aligned}$$

It remains only to note that $i_* = (\pi_1 \circ j_3)_* \circ (j_2)_*^{-1}$.

To prove the corollaries 2.2 and 2.3 we will need the following results from the paper [2].

Theorem 4.2 ([2]). For any smooth generic immersion $f : V^n \hookrightarrow M^m$ of the compact oriented manifold without boundary V^n to the oriented manifold without boundary M^m such that m - n is even, we have

$$v_k = f^*(m_{k-1}) - e \cup v_{k-1},\tag{3}$$

where e is the Euler class of the normal bundle of the immersion f over V^n .

Corollary 4.3 ([2]). Under the conditions of theorem 4.2, we have

$$(k-1)! \cdot v_k = \varphi_{k-1} \circ \varphi_{k-2} \circ \cdots \circ \varphi_1(1_V),$$

where $\varphi_k(a) = f^* f_!(a) - k \cdot e \cup a$; e is the Euler class of the normal bundle of the immersion f over V^n .

Proof of corollary 2.2. It suffices to substitute (1) into formula (3) with k = 2.

Proof of corollary 2.3. follows immediately from corollaries 4.3 and 2.2.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank P.M. Akhmetiev and Yu.P. Soloviev for useful remarks.

References

- [1] M. Golubitsky and V. Guillemin, Stable mappings and their singularities, Springer-Verlag, 1973.
- [2] F. Ronga, On multiple points of smooth immersions, Comment. Math. Helv. 55:4 (1980), 521–527.
- [3] U. Koshcorke and B. Senderson, Self-intersections and higher Hopf invariants, Topology 17 (1978), 283– 290.
- [4] M. Hirsch, Immersions of manifolds, Trans. AMS 93 (1959), 242–276.
- [5] A. Szücs, On the multiple points of immersions in euclidean spaces, Proc. AMS 126:6 (1998), 1873–1882.
- [6] M.A. Asadi-Golmankhaneh and P.J. Eccles, Determining the characteristic numbers of the self-intersection manifolds, Jour. London Math. Soc. (to appear), available at http://www.maths.man.ac.uk/~peter/.
- [7] P.E. Conner and E.E. Floyd, Differentiable periodic maps, Springer-Verlag, 1964.
- [8] R.W. Switzer, Algebraic topology homotopy and homology, Springer-Verlag, 1975.
- [9] R. Wells, Cobordisms of immersions, Topology 5 (1966), 281–293.
- [10] A. Szücs, Cobordism of maps with simplest singularities, Topology Symposium, Siegen 1979, Lecture Notes in Math vol. 788, Springer-Verlag, 1980, 223–244.
- [11] A. Haefliger and M. Hirsch, Immersions in the stable range Annals of Math. 75 (1962), 231–241.
- [12] J.W. Milnor and J.D. Stasheff, *Characteristic Classes*, Ann. of Math. Studies vol.76, Princeton University Press, 1974.

DEPT. OF DIFFERENTIAL GEOMETRY, FACULTY OF MECHANICS AND MATHEMATICS, MOSCOW STATE UNI-VERSITY, MOSCOW, 119899, RUSSIA

E-mail address: salikhov@mccme.ru