## MATRIX REPRESENTATIONS OF ARTIN GROUPS

CRAIG C. SQUIER

(Communicated by Bhama Srinivasan)

ABSTRACT. We define matrix representations of Artin groups over a 2-variable Laurent-polynomial ring and show that in the rank 2 case, the representations are faithful. In the special case of Artin's braid group, our representation is a version of the Burau representation and our faithfulness theorem is a generalization of the well-known fact that the Burau representation of  $B_3$  is faithful.

In [4], Brieskorn and Saito coined the phrase "Artin groups" to denote a certain class of groups, defined by generators and relations, which stand in relationship to arbitrary Coxeter groups much as Artin's braid group  $B_n$  [1] stands in relationship to the symmetric group  $S_n$ . One of the nice features of Coxeter groups is that they have "standard" representations [6] as groups of matrices over the real numbers preserving a suitably defined bilinear form and that, moreover, these representations are faithful (see [3]). Our purpose here is to show the existence of analogous matrix representations of Artin groups over Laurent-polynomial rings preserving similarly defined sequilinear forms. Unfortunately, except in the simplest cases, the question of faithfulness of these Artin group representations remains open.

In §1, we define Artin groups  $G_M$  (by representation), a Hermitian form J, and unitary reflections for each given generator of  $G_M$ ; these are defined using a given Coxeter matrix M. In §2, we show that the reflections associated to generators of  $G_M$  define a matrix representation of  $G_M$  (Theorem 1) and that when the presentation of  $G_M$  involves 2 generators, this representation is faithful (Theorem 2). We note that in the special case of the braid groups our representation is a version of the Burau representation ([5] or see [2]). The results below are first, a generalization to arbitrary Artin groups of the author's observation [10] that the Burau representation of  $B_n$  is unitary and second, a generalization to arbitrary rank 2 Artin groups of the well-known fact (see [9 or 2]) that the Burau representation of  $B_3$  is faithful.

1. Definitions. Let n be a positive integer. A (rank n) Coxeter matrix M will be an  $n \times n$  symmetric matrix M = [m(i, j)] each of whose entries m(i, j) is a positive integer or  $\infty$  such that m(i, j) = 1 if and only if i = j. Out of a Coxeter matrix M, we shall build some presentations and some forms.

To define the presentations, let  $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$  be a finite set. For *m* a positive integer, define the symbol  $(xy)^m$  by the formula

$$\langle xy \rangle^m = \begin{cases} (xy)^k & \text{if } m = 2k, \\ (xy)^k x & \text{if } m = 2k+1. \end{cases}$$

Received by the editors February 5, 1987.

1980 Mathematics Subject Classification (1985 Revision). Primary 20F36; Secondary 20H10.

©1988 American Mathematical Society 0002-9939/88 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page Let M be an  $n \times n$  Coxeter matrix.  $G_M$  will denote the abstract group defined by generators  $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$  and relations all  $\langle x_i x_j \rangle^{m(i,j)} = \langle x_j x_i \rangle^{m(i,j)}$  for  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ . Throughout, the case  $m(i,j) = \infty$  will stand for "no relation".  $G_M$ is the Artin group determined by M.  $W_M$  will denote  $G_M$  modulo the addition relations all  $x_i^2 = 1$ . Note that in the presence of the relations  $x_i^2 = 1$ , the defining relations of  $G_M$  take the form  $(x_i x_j)^{m(i,j)} = 1$ .  $W_M$  is called the Coxeter group determined by M. For the basic properties of Coxeter groups, see [3 or 6]. For a study of Artin groups and their relationship to Coxeter groups, see [4].

We define a symmetric bilinear form  $J_1$  associated to  $W_M$  and a Hermitian form J associated to  $G_M$ . To motivate the definitions of J, we begin by recalling the (well-known—see [3]) definition of  $J_1 = J_1(M)$ :  $J_1$  is the  $n \times n$  matrix  $[c_{ij}]$  where  $c_{ij} = -2 \cos(\pi/m(i, j))$ . Here, we adopt the convention that  $\pi/\infty = 0$  so that if  $m(i, j) = \infty$  then  $c_{ij} = -2$ . Note that each  $c_{ii} = 2$ . Let V denote an n-dimensional vector space over  $\mathbf{R}$  with basis  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ . Identify each  $v \in V$  with the column vector consisting of the coordinates of v with respect to the basis  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$  of V. With this convention, if  $v \in V$ , let v' denote the transpose of v and, for  $u, v \in V$ , define  $\langle u, v \rangle_1 = u' J_1 v$ . Thus,  $J_1$  defines a symmetric bilinear form on V. We use  $J_1$  to define a matrix representation  $\rho_1$  of  $W_M$  on V: if  $v \in V$  and  $x_i \in X$  define

$$(\rho_1(x_i))(v) = v - \langle e_i, v \rangle_1 e_i.$$

It is well known (again see [3]) that  $\rho_1$  is a faithful linear representation of  $W_M$ .

To define J, let  $\Lambda$  denote the Laurent-polynomial ring  $\mathbf{R}[s, s^{-1}, t, t^{-1}]$ , where s and t are indeterminates over  $\mathbf{R}$ . Define J = J(M) to be the  $n \times n$  matrix  $[a_{ij}]$  over  $\Lambda$ , where

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} -2s\cos(\pi/m(i,j)), & i < j, \\ 1 + st, & i = j, \\ -2t\cos(\pi/m(i,j)), & i > j. \end{cases}$$

Note that  $J_1$  may be obtained from J by substituting s = t = 1.

To define analogues of the representation  $\rho_1$  of  $W_M$  defined above, we introduce an analogue of complex conjugation in the Laurent-polynomial ring  $\Lambda$ : if  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ then, as usual,  $\overline{x} = x$ ; also,  $\overline{s} = s^{-1}$  and  $\overline{t} = t^{-1}$ , extended to  $\Lambda$  additively and multiplicatively. Note that if complex numbers of norm 1 are substituted for s and t then we recover ordinary complex conjugation.

We extend the definition of conjugation to matrices entrywise and, if A is a matrix over  $\Lambda$ , we define  $A^* = \overline{A}'$ . For example, note that  $J^* = s^{-1}t^{-1}J$ .

Let V denote a free  $\Lambda$ -module with basis  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$  and, as above, identify each  $v \in V$  with its column vector of coordinates. If  $u, v \in V$  define  $\langle u, v \rangle = u^* J v$ . Finally, we define  $\rho$ : if  $v \in V$  and  $x_i \in X$  define

$$(\rho(x_i))(v) = v - \langle e_i, v \rangle e_i.$$

We shall see below that  $\rho$  provides a matrix representation of the Artin group  $G_M$ . Note that  $(q(x_i))(y_i - x^{-1}t^{-1}/q_i, y_i) = y_i$ . It follows that each  $q(x_i)$  acts inverte

Note that  $(\rho(x_i))(v - s^{-1}t^{-1}\langle e_i, v \rangle e_i) = v$ . It follows that each  $\rho(x_i)$  acts invertibly on V. In fact, each  $\rho(x_i)$  is a pseudo-reflection in the sense of [3]. Also, for each  $x_i \in X$  and each  $u, v \in V$ , we have

$$\langle \rho(x_i)(u), \rho(x_i)(v) \rangle = \langle u, v \rangle.$$

Combining this observation with Theorem 1 below, we conclude that  $\rho$  is a representation of  $G_M$  in a group of unitary matrices.

2. Theorems. In this section, we show that the function  $\rho$  defined (on generators) above extends to a representation of the Artin groups  $G_M$  and that when n = 2, this representation is faithful. (The second result includes the fact that the Burau representation of  $B_3$  is faithful—see [9 or 2].)

To prove that  $\rho$  defines a representation of  $G_M$ , we need to show that  $\rho$  respects the defining relations of  $G_M$ . An important observation is the following

LEMMA. det  $J \neq 0$ .

PROOF. In det J, the coefficients of  $(st)^n$  is 1, so det  $J \neq 0$ .

In particular,  $\langle -, - \rangle$  is nondegenerate: if  $u \in V$  satisfies  $\langle u, v \rangle = 0$  for all  $v \in V$ , then u = 0.

At this point, it is convenient to introduce the field-of-quotients F of  $\Lambda$ . F is a rational function field over  $\mathbf{R}$ . Extend the definition of conjugation to F. Letting  $V_F$  denote the F-vector space  $V \otimes_{\Lambda} F$ , extend  $\langle -, - \rangle$  to  $V_F$  and also view  $\rho$  as a linear transformation on  $V_F$ . Note that since  $\langle -, - \rangle$  is nondegenerate, if  $u \in V_F$  satisfies  $u \neq 0$ , then  $u^{\perp} = \{v \in V_F | \langle u, v \rangle = 0\}$  is an (n-1)-dimensional subspace of  $V_F$ . Also note that  $\rho(e_i)$  is the identity on  $e_i^{\perp}$ . Given i, j satisfying  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ , let  $V_{ij}$  denote the subspace of  $V_F$  spanned by  $e_i$  and  $e_j$ , and let  $V_{ij}^{\perp} = e_i^{\perp} \cap e_j^{\perp}$ . We need the following

LEMMA.  $V_{ij} \cap V_{ij}^{\perp} = \{0\}.$ 

PROOF. Let  $v = v_i e_i + v_j e_j \in V_{ij}$  where  $v_i, v_j \in \Lambda$ . If  $v \in V_{ij}^{\perp}$ , then  $\langle e_i, v \rangle = \langle e_j, v \rangle = 0$  which leads to the following system of linear equations:

$$v_i(1+st) - 2v_j s \cos(\pi/m) = 0,$$
  
 $-2v_i t \cos(\pi/m) + v_j(1+st) = 0,$ 

where *m* denotes m(i, j). Since the determinant of the coefficient matrix is  $\neq 0$  in  $\Lambda$ , the only solution is  $v_i = v_j = 0$ , so v = 0, as required.  $\Box$ 

Noting that the defining relations of  $G_M$  each involve exactly two generators, in order to show that  $\rho$  respects the defining relations of  $G_M$ , it suffices to show that each  $\langle x_i x_j \rangle^{m(i,j)} = \langle x_j x_i \rangle^{m(i,j)}$  holds under  $\rho$  on the subspace  $V_{ij}$  of  $V_F$ .

Let a denote the matrix of  $x_i$  and b the matrix of  $x_j$  with respect to the basis  $e_i, e_j$  of  $V_{ij}$ . Writing m for m(i, j), it follows that

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} -st & 2s\cos(\pi/m) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad b = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2t\cos(\pi/m) & -st \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus it suffices to prove

LEMMA. The matrices a and b above satisfy  $(ab)^m = (ba)^m$ .

PROOF. Adjoin a square root q of  $st^{-1}$  to F and let

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q \\ q^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to check that  $R^2 = I$  and b = RaR. It follows that  $\langle ab \rangle^m = \langle ba \rangle^m$  if and only if  $(aR)^m = (Ra)^m$ . Clearly,  $s^{-1}q(aR)$  and  $s^{-1}q(Ra)$  have determinant 1 and trace  $2 \cos(\pi/m)$ . It follows that  $(s^{-1}q(aR))^m = (s^{-1}q(Ra))^m = -I$ , as required.

Thus we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. The function  $\rho$  extends to a representation of  $G_M$  in  $GL_n(\Lambda)$ .

**PROOF.** Each relation  $\langle x_i x_j \rangle^{m(i,j)} = \langle x_j x_i \rangle^{m(i,j)}$  holds under  $\rho$  on  $V_{ij}$  by the lemma and therefore on all of  $V_F$  since  $x_i$  and  $x_j$  are each the identity on  $V_{ij}^{\perp}$ .  $\Box$ 

Except in the two-generator case, we do not know if the representation  $\rho$  is faithful. Here is the proof in the two-generator case. Let A and B, respectively, denote the matrices obtained by substituting s = 1 and t = -1 in a and b above.

LEMMA. The matrix group generated by A and B has presentation  $\langle AB \rangle^m = \langle BA \rangle^m$  and

$$(AB)^m = 1$$
 (*m even*),  
 $(AB)^{2m} = 1$  (*m odd*).

PROOF. View A and B as linear fractional transformations acting on the upper half-plane. Using the fact that the matrix AB has determinant 1 and trace  $2 \cos(\pi(1-(2/m)))$ , it follows that AB satisfies  $(AB)^m = (-1)^m I$ . Thus, it suffices to prove that the group of linear fractional transformations generated by A and B has defining relations  $\langle AB \rangle^m = \langle BA \rangle^m$  and  $(AB)^m = 1$ .

We prove this last fact by exhibiting the group generated by A and B as a subgroup of finite index in a suitable triangle group. Let  $R_1, R_2$  and  $R_3$  be transformations of the upper half-plane defined by

$$R_1$$
 = reflection in the imaginary axis  $x = 0$ ,  
 $R_2$  = reflection in the axis  $x = \cos(\pi/m)$ ,  
 $R_3$  = reflection in the unit circle.

Then  $R_1, R_2$  and  $R_3$  generate a  $(2, m, \infty)$  triangle group with presentation (see [7]):

$$R_1^2 = R_2^2 = R_3^2 = (R_1 R_3)^2 = (R_2 R_3)^m = 1.$$

Noting that  $R_1(z) = -\overline{z}$ ,  $R_2(z) = -\overline{z} + 2\cos(\pi/m)$  and  $R_3(z) = 1/\overline{z}$ , it follows that, as linear fractional transformations,  $A = R_2R_1$  and  $B = R_3R_1R_2R_3$ . It can be checked that the subgroup of the triangle group generated by A and B is normal and has index 2 when m is odd and index 4 when m is even. A routine application of the Reidemeister-Schreier algorithm produces the required presentation of the group generated by A and B.  $\Box$ 

THEOREM 2. The group of matrices generated by a and b has presentation  $(ab)^m = (ba)^m$ .

PROOF. By the Lemma, the substitution produces a group with a presentation consisting of the desired relation together with a further relation c = 1 where  $c = (ab)^m$  when m is even and  $c = (ab)^{2m}$  when m is odd. In either case, c is a central element in the group defined by  $\langle ab \rangle^m = \langle ba \rangle^m$ . It follows that any additional relation between a and b must be a nonzero power of c. But any nonzero power of c has determinant a nonzero power of -st and is therefore not the identity. Thus the matrix group generated by a and b has presentation  $\langle ab \rangle^m = \langle ba \rangle^m$ , as desired.  $\Box$ 

3. Remarks. The (reduced) Burau representation of  $B_n$  (see [2]) may be obtained by substituting s = 1 in the representation  $\rho$  of  $B_n$  that arises above. In fact, the representation  $\rho$  itself is equivalent to the Burau representation: it is possible to conjugate the image of  $\rho$  by a diagonal matrix that, in each  $\rho(x_i)$ , "moves the t's above the diagonal" and "leaves the s's alone". The matrices that result have the property that their entries depend only on the product st. A similar conjugation is possible whenever the Coxeter graph  $\Gamma_M$  of M is a forest ( $\Gamma_M$  has vertices X and an edge connecting  $x_i$  and  $x_j$  provided  $m(i, j) \geq 3$ ). In these cases, the representations  $\rho$  of  $G_M$  is conjugate to a representation over the Laurent-polynomial ring  $\mathbf{R}[st, (st)^{-1}] \subseteq \Lambda$ . In the case of  $B_n$ , the representation that results is the Burau representation.

In general, the question of the faithfulness of  $\rho$  remains open. The only known cases seem to be those that follow easily from Theorem 2:  $G_M$  is a direct product of rank 1 or 2 Artin groups (equivalently,  $\Gamma_M$  is a disjoint union of vertices and pairs of vertices connected by an edge). Much effort has been devoted (unsuccessfully) to trying to determine whether or not the Burau representation of  $B_4$  is faithful. One other case that might be worth investigating is M defined by each  $m(i, j) = \infty$ , so that  $G_M$  is a free group.

## References

- 1. E. Artin, Theorie der Zopfe, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg 9 (1925), 47-72.
- 2. J. Birman, Braids, links and mapping class groups, Ann. of Math. Studies, no. 82, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1974.
- 3. N. Bourbaki, Groupes et algebres de Lie, Chaps. 4-6, Elements de Mathematique 34, Paris, Hermann, 1968.
- 4. E. Brieskorn and K. Saito, Artin-Gruppen und Coxeter-Gruppen, Invent. Math. 17 (1972), 245-271. (German)
- 5. W. Burau, Über Zopfgruppen und gleichsinnig verdrillte Verkettunger, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hanischen 11 (1936), 171–178.
- 6. H. S. M. Coxeter, Discrete groups generated by reflections, Ann. of Math. (2) 35 (1934), 588-621.
- 7. H. S. M. Coxeter and W. O. J. Moser, Generators and relations for discrete groups, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1957.
- 8. H. Hiller, Geometry of Coxeter groups, Pitman, Boston, Mass., 1982.
- 9. W. Magnus and A. Peluso, On a theorem of V. I. Arnol'd, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 22 (1969), 683-692.
- 10. C. Squier, The Burau representation is unitary, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 90 (1984), 199-202.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK AT BINGHAMTON, BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK 13901