

A Homology Version of the Borsuk-Ulam Theorem Author(s): James W. Walker Source: *The American Mathematical Monthly*, Vol. 90, No. 7 (Aug. - Sep., 1983), pp. 466-468 Published by: Mathematical Association of America Stable URL: <u>http://www.jstor.org/stable/2975728</u> Accessed: 29/12/2009 01:39

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at <a href="http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp">http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp</a>. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at http://www.jstor.org/action/showPublisher?publisherCode=maa.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.



Mathematical Association of America is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to The American Mathematical Monthly.

JAMES W. WALKER

Similarly, we see that f''(0) = m. Thus M = m. Therefore, f''(a) is a constant function in  $[0, 2\pi]$ . Let  $f''(a) = \alpha$ . Then f is given by the quadratic polynomial

(11) 
$$f(a) = \alpha a^2/2 + \beta a + \gamma.$$

Our final step is to determine constants  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\gamma$  explicitly. Substitute (11) in (6) and then equate the coefficients of the term *a* and the constant term to obtain

(12) 
$$-\pi\alpha/2 = \beta/2, \quad \pi^2\alpha/2 + \beta\pi + 2\gamma = \gamma/2.$$

Notice that the coefficient of the term  $a^2$  vanishes. By (1) and by a basic theorem on differentiation under the integral sign we obtain  $f'(\pi/2) = \pi/2$ , which, with (11), implies

(13) 
$$\pi \alpha/2 + \beta = \pi/2.$$

It follows from (12) and (13) that  $\alpha = -1$ ,  $\beta = \pi$ , and  $\gamma = -\pi^2/3$ . Thus, (11) yields (5). This completes the proof of Theorem.

The values of the Euler integrals (2), (3) and (4) now follow from (1) and (5) by setting a equal to 0,  $\pi$ , and  $\pi/2$  respectively.

This work was supported in part by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada Grant Nr. A-4012.

### Reference

1. R. Ayoub, Euler and the zeta function, this MONTHLY, 81 (1974) 1067-1086.

## A HOMOLOGY VERSION OF THE BORSUK-ULAM THEOREM

## JAMES W. WALKER

Department of Mathematics, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125

An involution on a topological space X is a continuous map from X to X which is its own inverse. For example the antipodal map, which maps a point to the opposite end of the diameter on which it lies, is an involution on the *n*-sphere  $S^n$ .

Suppose X and Y are spaces equipped with involutions a and b, respectively. A map f from X to Y is equivariant if it respects the involutions, i.e.,  $b \circ f = f \circ a$ .

One formulation of the Borsuk-Ulam theorem is that if m is greater than n, then there is no map from  $S^m$  to  $S^n$  which is equivariant with respect to the antipodal map. Many sources, for example [1, § 7.2], include proofs of the Borsuk-Ulam theorem, as well as applications such as the "ham sandwich theorem." We will use singular homology theory to prove a somewhat stronger theorem.

Our stronger theorem shows that the existence of any equivariant maps to  $S^n$  from any space X with an involution forces the existence of very special homology classes for X, so special that X could not be a sphere of dimension greater than n.

A few words about terminology: An **elementary 0-chain** is a singular 0-simplex with coefficient 1; loosely speaking, it's just a single point. We will use reduced homology, which essentially means that we consider the empty set to be a singular simplex of dimension -1, which is the boundary of every 0-simplex. It follows that  $\tilde{H}_{-1}(X)$  vanishes unless X is empty, and  $\tilde{H}_0(X)$  vanishes if X is path connected. Recall that each continuous map f induces a chain map  $f_{\pm}$ , defined by composing f with singular simplices. In turn, such a chain map  $f_{\pm}$  induces a homology homomorphism  $f_{\pm}$ .

THEOREM. Suppose X is a space with involution v, and  $g: X \to S^n$  is an equivariant map. Then there exists an integer  $j \leq n$ , and a homology class  $\beta$  of  $\tilde{H}_j(X; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  such that  $\beta$  is nonzero and  $v_*(\beta) = \beta$ . Furthermore, if no such  $\beta$  exists for j less than n, then  $\beta$  can be chosen such that  $g_*(\beta)$  is the nonzero element of  $\tilde{H}_n(S^n; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ . 1983]

#### NOTES

In this framework our theorem applied to an equivariant map  $g: S^m \to S^n$  with m > n would require the existence of a special nonzero element of  $\tilde{H}_j(S^m)$  for some  $j \le n < m$ . But  $\tilde{H}_j(S^m) = 0$ for all j < m so our result generalizes the Borsuk-Ulam theorem.

*Proof of theorem*: The case n = 0 is straightforward, so assume that n is greater than 0. The proof will proceed by inductively constructing singular chains. (Similar methods were used on a higher level by P. A. Smith; see [2, chapter 13].) Bear in mind that signs can be ignored, since we are using coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ .

It is convenient to define a "symmetrizer" chain map  $\theta = id_{\#} + v_{\#}$  on the singular chain complex of X, where "id" denotes the identity map. We use the same notation for the chain map  $id_{\#} + a_{\#}$  on  $S^n$ , where a is the antipodal map. These operators satisfy  $\theta\theta = 0$  and  $\theta g_{\#} = g_{\#}\theta$ , as one can easily verify.

Assume that for all j less than n and for all  $\beta$  in  $\hat{H}_j(X; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ ,  $v_*(\beta) = \beta$  implies  $\beta = 0$ . (Otherwise, we have a  $\beta$  which satisfies the first part of the theorem.) Hence if  $x_j$  is a j-cycle such that  $\theta x_j = 0$ , then  $x_j$  must be a boundary. Our goal is to produce a nontrivial element  $\beta$  of  $\hat{H}_n(X; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  such that  $v_*(\beta) = \beta$  and  $g_*(\beta) \neq 0$ . Our strategy will be to make some observations about j-dimensional hemispheres  $h_j$  in  $S^n$ , construct chains  $c_j$  in X which behave much like the hemispheres, compare  $g_{\#}c_j$  to  $h_j$ , and finally show that  $\theta c_n$  is a cycle which determines the desired homology class.

First we choose singular *j*-chains  $h_j$  in  $S^n$ , corresponding to hemispheres, such that

$$h_0$$
 is an elementary 0-chain,  
 $\partial h_j = \theta h_{j-1}$  for  $1 \le j \le n$ , and  
 $\theta h_n$  generates  $\tilde{H}_n(S^n; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ .

Next, we will construct singular *j*-chains  $c_j$  in X, for *j* ranging from 0 to *n*, such that

 $c_0$  is an elementary 0-chain, and  $\partial c_j = \theta c_{j-1}$  for  $1 \le j \le n$ .

We have assumed that there is no nonzero  $\beta$  in  $\tilde{H}_{-1}(X; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  such that  $v_*(\beta) = \beta$ , so X is nonempty. Pick a point in X, and let  $c_0$  be the corresponding elementary 0-chain. Note that  $\theta c_0$  is a cycle. Since  $\theta \theta c_0 = 0$ , there is a 1-chain  $c_1$  such that  $\partial c_1 = \theta c_0$ .

Suppose that  $\partial c_j = \theta c_{j-1}$  for some *j* less than *n*. We compute that  $\partial \theta c_j = \theta \partial c_j = \theta \theta c_{j-1} = 0$ , so  $\theta c_j$  is a cycle. Since  $\theta \theta c_j = 0$ , there exists a (j + 1)-chain  $c_{j+1}$  such that  $\partial c_{j+1} = \theta c_j$ . This completes the inductive definition of  $c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_n$ .

Now we will inductively construct j-chains  $e_j$  in  $S^n$ , for j ranging from 0 to n, such that

$$h_i - g_{\#}c_i - \theta e_i$$
 is a cycle.

Note that  $h_0 - g_{\#}c_0$  is a cycle, since  $h_0$  and  $c_0$  were chosen to be elementary 0-chains. Therefore we can let  $e_0 = 0$ .

Suppose that  $e_j$  is a *j*-chain, where *j* is less than *n*, such that  $h_j - g_{\#}c_j - \theta e_j$  is a cycle. Since  $\tilde{H}_i(S^n; \mathbb{Z}/2) = 0$ , there is a (j + 1)-chain  $e_{j+1}$  such that

$$\partial e_{j+1} = h_j - g_{\#}c_j - \theta e_j$$

Apply  $\theta$  and obtain

$$\partial \theta e_{i+1} = \theta h_i - g_{\#} \theta c_i.$$

Since  $\theta h_i = \partial h_{i+1}$  and  $\theta c_i = \partial c_{i+1}$ , this becomes

$$\partial \theta e_{j+1} = \partial h_{j+1} - \partial g_{\#} c_{j+1}.$$

Therefore  $h_{j+1} - g_{\#}c_{j+1} - \theta e_{j+1}$  is a cycle, as desired.

To complete the proof, we note that  $h_n - g_{\#}c_n - \theta e_n$  is a cycle in  $S^n$ , which is therefore

homologous to either zero or  $\theta h_n$ . In either case, when we apply  $\theta$ , we find that  $\theta h_n - g_{\#}\theta c_n$  is homologous to zero. That is,  $\theta h_n$  and  $g_{\#}\theta c_n$  belong to the same homology class. Note that  $\theta c_n$  is a cycle, because  $\partial \theta c_n = \theta \partial c_n = \theta \theta c_{n-1} = 0$ . Therefore, if  $\beta$  is the homology class of  $\theta c_n$ , then  $g_*(\beta)$  is the nonzero element of  $\tilde{H}_n(S^n; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ . It follows that  $\beta$  is nonzero. Finally, the fact that  $\theta \theta c_n = 0$  means that  $v_{\#}\theta c_n = \theta c_n$ , so  $v_*(\beta) = \beta$ .

#### References

1. M. K. Agoston, Algebraic Topology, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1976.

2. D. G. Bourgin, Modern Algebraic Topology, Macmillan, New York, 1963.

# ON THE NUMBER OF MULTIPLICATIVE PARTITIONS

JOHN F. HUGHES

Department of Mathematics, Bryn Mawr College, Bryn Mawr, PA 19010

J. O. SHALLIT

Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720

I. A Number-Theoretic Function. In this note we show that if f(n) is the number of essentially different factorizations of n, then

$$f(n) \leq 2n^{\sqrt{2}}$$

In considering numbers that have exactly k divisors, one is led to examine this function f(n), the number of ways to write n as the product of integers  $\ge 2$ , where we consider factorizations that differ only in the order of the factors to be the same. We call these representations of n **multiplicative partitions**. For example, f(12) = 4, since

 $12 = 6 \cdot 2 = 4 \cdot 3 = 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 2$ 

are the four multiplicative partitions of 12. From these four representations, we can conclude that a number has exactly 12 divisors if and only if its prime factorization is one of the following:

$$p^{11}, p^5q, p^3q^2, p^2qr.$$

This follows from the expression for  $\tau(n)$ , the number of divisors of  $n = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \cdots p_k^{a_k}$ .

$$\tau(n) = \prod_{j=1}^k (1+a_j).$$

For example, see [1].

The behavior of f(n) is quite erratic, and apparently has not been previously studied in this form. We observe that if q is prime, then  $f(q^k) = p(k)$ , the number of additive partitions of k. Also, if  $q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_k$  are distinct primes, then  $f(q_1q_2 \cdots q_k) = B(k)$ , the k th Bell number. See [2].

More generally,  $f(q_1^{a_1} \cdots q_k^{a_k})$  is the number of additive partitions of the "multi-partite number"  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)$ , where addition is defined component-wise. See [3] for further details.

We will show that

(1) 
$$f(n) \leqslant 2n^{\sqrt{2}}.$$

For a table of f(n) for  $1 \le n \le 100$ , see the Appendix.

II. Proof of the Main Result. To prove (1) we first define an auxiliary function:

g(m, n) = the number of multiplicative partitions of n with all elements  $\leq m$ .

Clearly f(n) = g(n, n). We have the following