

L'Enseignement Mathématique

Wall, C. T. C.

ON THE EXACTNESS OF INTERLOCKING SEQUENCES

L'Enseignement Mathématique, Vol.12 (1966)

PDF erstellt am: Jan 27, 2007

Nutzungsbedingungen

Mit dem Zugriff auf den vorliegenden Inhalt gelten die Nutzungsbedingungen als akzeptiert. Die angebotenen Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre, Forschung und für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und unter deren Einhaltung weitergegeben werden. Die Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern ist nur mit vorheriger schriftlicher Genehmigung des Konsortiums der Schweizer Hochschulbibliotheken möglich. Die Rechte für diese und andere Nutzungsarten der Inhalte liegen beim Herausgeber bzw. beim Verlag.

SEALS

Ein Dienst des *Konsortiums der Schweizer Hochschulbibliotheken*
c/o ETH-Bibliothek, Rämistrasse 101, 8092 Zürich, Schweiz

retro@seals.ch

<http://retro.seals.ch>

ON THE EXACTNESS OF INTERLOCKING SEQUENCES

by C. T. C. WALL

A sequence of abelian groups¹, and homomorphisms

$$\dots A_{n+1} \xrightarrow{d_{n+1}} A_n \xrightarrow{d_n} A_{n-1} \dots \quad (1)$$

is said to be exact if, for each n , $\text{Ker } d_n = \text{Im } d_{n+1}$. If we are presented with such a sequence, the verification of this property usually takes two steps: first, $d_n d_{n+1} = 0$ (i.e. $\text{Im } d_{n+1} \subseteq \text{Ker } d_n$), then $\text{Ker } d_n \subseteq \text{Im } d_{n+1}$. When we have a more-or-less complicated array of groups and homomorphisms, it is frequently the case that properties of exactness at various points are interrelated. We propose in this note to study the exact degree of interrelation for a simple diagram which often seems to turn up.

Let us start by considering a triple of spaces $X \subset Y \subset Z$. Each pair of spaces from this triple has a homology exact sequence ([1], p. 11); for example, that of $X \subset Y$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \dots H_{n+1}(Y, X) \rightarrow H_n(X) \rightarrow H_n(Y) \\ \rightarrow H_n(Y, X) \rightarrow H_{n-1}(X) \dots \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

In addition, there is the so-called exact sequence of the triple ([1], p. 25), which runs

$$\begin{aligned} \dots H_{n+1}(Z, Y) \rightarrow H_n(Y, X) \rightarrow H_n(Z, X) \\ \rightarrow H_n(Z, Y) \rightarrow H_{n-1}(Y, X) \dots \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

We find in [1] a proof (pp. 25-28) that the exactness of (3) follows from the exactness of the sequences for pairs, and from certain commutative diagrams. This is the first result of the kind we

¹ All the ideas below are equally applicable in any abelian category.

are interested in. Let us observe that the four exact sequences above (of three pairs and a triple) can be fitted into a single diagram as follows (this is, I believe, due to M. A. Kervaire).

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & \xrightarrow{\quad} & H_{n+1}(Z, Y) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & H_n(Y, X) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & H_{n+1}(X) \\
 H_{n+1}(Z, X) & \searrow & & \nearrow & & \searrow & & \nearrow \\
 & & H_n(X) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & H_n(Y) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & H_n(Z) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & H_n(Z, Y)
 \end{array} \quad (4)$$

This picture may be described as follows. Draw the graphs of the four curves $y = \pm \sin x$, $y = \pm \cos x$. At each point where two curves meet, we put a group; the parts of the curves in between represent homomorphisms. The diagram is commutative, and each of the four curves represents an exact sequence.

We shall use the following notation:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & \xrightarrow{\quad} & B_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & E_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & C_n & \xrightarrow{\quad} & F_n & \xrightarrow{\quad} & A_{n+1} \\
 A_{n+1} & \searrow & & \nearrow & & \searrow & & \nearrow & & \searrow & & \nearrow \\
 & & C_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & D_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & F_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & B_n & \xrightarrow{\quad} & E_n & \xrightarrow{\quad} & A_n
 \end{array} \quad (5)$$

This diagram arises in other ways: for example, since the diagram is self-dual, it applies also to cohomology. We may also replace homology in (4) by homotopy. If, in particular, $X \subset Y \subset Z$ are Lie subgroups of a Lie group, we can also interpret $\Pi_n(Y, X)$ as $\Pi_n(Y/X)$, and so have a sequence of absolute homotopy groups of certain homogeneous spaces. The diagram also appears in cobordism theory.

One further example is of interest: let $(W; X, Y)$ be a proper triad ([1], p. 34), with $Z = X \cap Y$, i.e. $H_r(Y, Z) \cong H_r(W, X)$ and $H_r(X, Z) \cong H_r(W, Y)$ by inclusion. Then the four exact sequences (2) form the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & \xrightarrow{\quad} & H_{n+1}(W, Y) = H_{n+1}(X, Z) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & H_n(Y) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & H_n(W, X) = H_n(Y, Z) \\
 H_{n+1}(W) & \searrow & & \nearrow & & \searrow & & \nearrow \\
 & & H_n(Z) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & H_n(W) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & H_{n+1}(Z) \\
 & & H_{n+1}(W, X) = H_{n+1}(Y, Z) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & H_n(X) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & H_n(W, Y) = H_n(X, Z)
 \end{array}$$

This suggests that the diagram is related to Mayer-Vietoris sequences ([1], p. 39).

Our results about exactness of the diagram are as follows (proofs in this paper will be trivial, and all left to the reader).

(1) Suppose that the diagram (5) is commutative, and that the three sequences (A, B, E) , (A, C, F) , (B, D, F) are exact. Then the sequence (C, D, E) is exact at C and at E , and if either of $\text{Im } (C_n \rightarrow D_n)$, $\text{Ker } (D_n \rightarrow E_n)$ contains the other, the two are equal. (The sequence is not necessarily exact at D .) This already saves some labour if exactness of four such sequences is to be verified: the 24 'parts of exactness' (2 at each term of each sequence) are reduced to 19. For our counterexample, we have

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 F_{n+1} = Z_m & \xrightarrow{i_1} & Z_m + Z_m & \xrightarrow{i_2} & Z_m = E_n \\
 & \searrow i_2 & \nearrow i_1 & & \\
 E_{n+1} = Z_m & \xrightarrow{i_1} & Z_m + Z_m & \xrightarrow{i_2} & Z_m = F_n
 \end{array} \quad (7)$$

in which all unwritten terms vanish. Now for the Mayer-Vietoris sequence.

(2) If (5) is a commutative exact diagram, the following is exact

$$A_n \rightarrow B_n \oplus C_n \rightarrow D_n \rightarrow A_{n-1} . \quad (\text{Proof, [1] pp. 39-41}) \quad (8)$$

Here, all homomorphisms are the obvious ones, except that we must change a sign, say of $C_n \rightarrow D_n$. Note that the statement that the composite $A_n \rightarrow D_n$ vanishes gives commutativity of a square. Also note that in (5), we can give 8 sequences of homomorphisms (represented by straight lines), and define the other 4 as compositions.

(3) Given 8 sequences of homomorphisms, as in (5), we obtain a commutative exact diagram if and only if each of the short sequences

$$\begin{aligned}
 &A_n \rightarrow B_n \oplus C_n \rightarrow D_n, \quad D_n \rightarrow E_n \oplus F_n \rightarrow A_{n-1}, \quad B_n \rightarrow D_n \rightarrow F_n, \\
 &F_{n+1} \rightarrow A_n \rightarrow C_n, \quad C_n \rightarrow D_n \rightarrow E_n, \quad E_{n+1} \rightarrow A_n \rightarrow B_n \text{ is exact.}
 \end{aligned}$$

This is a much more efficient theorem than (1): we have reduced the 24 parts to 10 (more precisely, 26 to 12).

(4) *An equivalent condition is that the two Mayer-Vietoris sequences are exact, and the compositions $B_n \rightarrow D_n \rightarrow F_n$, etc., are zero.*

We now consider two situations where more complicated diagrams arise: these are also interesting, but presumably less important. First consider $(n - 1)$ spaces linearly ordered by inclusion: write $\emptyset = X_0 \subset X_1 \subset \dots \subset X_{n-1}$. We shall find the diagram containing all sequences (2) and (3). We set $G_{ij} = H^0(X_i, X_j)$. Thus for inclusion mappings, i and j are increased: these are all composites of $G_{ij} \rightarrow G_{i+1, j}$ and $G_{ij} \rightarrow G_{i, j+1}$ for various i, j . To accommodate

$$\delta^*: H^0(X_i, X_j) \rightarrow H^1(X_j, X_k),$$

we factorise into irreducible maps

$$H^0(X_i, X_j) \rightarrow H^0(X_{i+1}, X_j) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow H^0(X_{n-1}, X_j) \rightarrow H^1(X_j, X_0) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow H^1(X_j, X_k).$$

This suggests that we set $G_{nj} = H^1(X_j, X_0)$ and generally $H^1(X_j, X_k) = G_{n+k, j}$. Eventually, we put

$$H^{2r}(X_i, X_j) = G_{i+rn, j+rn}, \quad H^{2r+1}(X_i, X_j) = G_{j+n(r+1), i+rn},$$

for $0 \leq j < i < n$, $r \in \mathbb{Z}$. So G_{uv} is defined whenever $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$, $u - n < v < u$. All maps are composites of maps $G_{u, v} \xrightarrow{\beta} G_{u, v+1}$ and $G_{u, v} \xrightarrow{\alpha} G_{u+1, v}$ and all the squares commute. The exact sequence of the triple (X_i, X_j, X_k) with $i > j > k$ now appears as

$$\begin{aligned} G_{j+rn, k+\frac{\alpha}{rn}} &\rightarrow G_{i+rn, k+\frac{\beta}{rn}} \rightarrow G_{i+rn, j+\frac{\alpha}{rn}} \rightarrow G_{k+(r+1)n, j+\frac{\beta}{rn}} \\ &\quad G_{k+(r+1)n, i+\frac{\alpha}{rn}} \rightarrow G_{j+(r+1)n, i+\frac{\beta}{rn}} \rightarrow G_{j+(r+1)n, k+(r+1)n} \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

(3_n) *Given G_{uv} for $u - n < v < u$, and homomorphisms*

$$G_{u, v} \xrightarrow{\alpha} G_{u+1, v}, \quad G_{u, v} \xrightarrow{\beta} G_{u, v+1},$$

these form a commutative diagram, with sequences (9) exact, if and only if all the sequences below are exact.

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_{u,v} &\xrightarrow{(\alpha, \beta)} G_{u+1,v} \oplus G_{u,v+1} \xrightarrow{(\beta, -\alpha)} G_{u+1,v+1} \\
 G_{u+1,u} &\xrightarrow{\alpha} G_{u+2,u} \xrightarrow{\beta} G_{u+2,u+1} \\
 G_{u,u-n+1} &\xrightarrow{\beta} G_{u,u-n+2} \xrightarrow{\alpha} G_{u+1,u-n+2} .
 \end{aligned}$$

Secondly let us consider all the homotopy groups and exact sequences we can obtain from a triad—or, more precisely, from

a commutative diagram $D \begin{matrix} \nearrow B \\ \searrow C \end{matrix} A$ of maps which need not

be inclusions. We have the homotopy groups of 4 spaces and of 5 pairs. There are also some quadruples (in the sense of Eckmann-Hilton). Let us observe that for any quadruple which

has a cross-homomorphism making the diagram $W \begin{matrix} \nearrow X \\ \searrow Y \end{matrix} Z$

commutative, the n^{th} homotopy group splits as $\Pi_n(Y, Z) \oplus \Pi_{n-1}(W, X)$: one exact sequence is trivial, the other of Mayer-Vietoris type. If we exclude such quadruples, there remain only 3:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 x \longrightarrow B & D \longrightarrow B & D \longrightarrow B \\
 \downarrow \Phi \downarrow & \downarrow X \downarrow & \downarrow \Psi \downarrow \\
 C \longrightarrow A & C \longrightarrow A & C \longrightarrow x
 \end{array}$$

where x denotes a point (or base-point). Clearly we have 5 exact sequences of pairs, 2 of triples, 6 of quadruples. There are also 2 of octuples, induced by the obvious maps $\Phi \rightarrow X$ and $X \rightarrow \Psi$. But, for example, the first octuple has the lower quadruple trivial, hence the same homotopy groups (with dimension shift) as

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 x \longrightarrow B & & \\
 \downarrow & \searrow & \parallel \\
 D \longrightarrow B & & \\
 \downarrow & \downarrow & \\
 C \longrightarrow A & & \\
 \parallel & \swarrow & \downarrow \\
 C \longrightarrow A & &
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 x \longrightarrow B & & \\
 \downarrow & \parallel & \\
 D \longrightarrow B & &
 \end{array}$$

here a pair is trivial, so we have the same homotopy groups (with another dimension shift) as D . The other octuple similarly reduces to A .

Thus we have 12 sequences of groups, which lie in 15 exact sequences; these we write as

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & B_1 & & D_1 & & F_1 & & B_1 & & D_1 & & F_1 & & B_1 \\ & \swarrow & \searrow & \swarrow & \searrow & \swarrow & \searrow & \swarrow & \searrow & \swarrow & \searrow & \swarrow & \searrow & \\ A & \rightarrow & B_2 & \rightarrow & C & \rightarrow & D_2 & \rightarrow & E & \rightarrow & F_2 & \rightarrow & A & \rightarrow & B_2 & \rightarrow & C & \rightarrow & D_2 & \rightarrow & E & \rightarrow & F_2 & \rightarrow & A & \rightarrow & B_2 & \rightarrow & C \\ & \swarrow & \searrow & \swarrow & \searrow & \swarrow & \searrow & \swarrow & \searrow & \swarrow & \searrow & \swarrow & \searrow & \swarrow & \searrow & \swarrow & \searrow & \swarrow & \searrow & \swarrow & \searrow & \swarrow & \searrow & \swarrow & \searrow & \swarrow & \searrow & \\ & B_3 & & D_3 & & F_3 & & B_3 & & D_3 & & F_3 & & B_3 & & D_3 & & F_3 & & B_3 & & D_3 & & F_3 & & B_3 & & D_3 & & F_3 \end{array} \quad (10)$$

where

$$B_i \rightarrow C \rightarrow D_i, \quad D_i \rightarrow E \rightarrow F_i, \quad F_i \rightarrow A \rightarrow B_i \quad (i = 1, 2, 3) \text{ and} \\ B_i \rightarrow D_j \rightarrow F_k$$

$((i, j, k) \text{ a permutation of } (1, 2, 3))$ are the 15 sequences. Here we have set

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \Pi_{n+1}(\Psi), & B_1 &= \Pi_n(A), & B_2 &= \Pi_n(B, D), \\ B_3 &= \Pi_n(C, D), & C &= \Pi_n(A, D), & D_1 &= \Pi_{n-1}(D), \\ D_2 &= \Pi_n(A, B), & D_3 &= \Pi_n(A, C), & E &= \Pi_n(\Phi), \\ F_1 &= \Pi_n(X), & F_2 &= \Pi_{n-1}(C), & F_3 &= \Pi_{n-1}(B). \end{aligned}$$

This diagram also contains an immense number of diagrams (4), each with two Mayer-Vietoris sequences (8): we shall not go into any more details.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. EILENBERG and N. E. STEENROD, *Foundations of Algebraic Topology*. Princeton, 1952.