

COHN LOCALIZATION, GENERALIZED FREE PRODUCTS AND BOUNDARY LINKS

ANDREW RANICKI (Edinburgh)

<http://www.maths.ed.ac.uk/~aar>

- Given a ring A and a set Σ of elements, matrices, morphisms, \dots , it is possible to construct a new ring $\Sigma^{-1}A$, the Cohn localization of A inverting all the elements in Σ . In general, A and $\Sigma^{-1}A$ are noncommutative.
- The Cohn localization of triangular matrix rings gives a new construction of generalized free products G ($=$ amalgamated free product $G_1 *_H G_2$ and HNN extension $G *_H \{z\}$) and a new way of relating modules, chain complexes and quadratic forms over $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ to the components. For the application to μ -component boundary links $G = F_\mu = \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_\mu\}$.

Ore localization

- The Ore localization of a ring A

$$\Sigma^{-1}A = (A \times \Sigma) / \sim$$

is defined for a multiplicatively closed subset $\Sigma \subset A$ of elements $s \in A$ satisfying:

- Ore condition for all $a \in A$, $s \in \Sigma$ there exists $b \in A$, $t \in \Sigma$ such that $at = sb \in A$ (e.g. central, $as = sa$ for all $a \in A$, $s \in \Sigma$)

- The Ore localization is the ring of fractions

$$\Sigma^{-1}A = (A \times \Sigma) / \sim$$

with $\frac{a}{s} \in \Sigma^{-1}A$ the equivalence class

$(a, s) \sim (b, t)$ iff $atu = bsu \in A$ for some $u \in \Sigma$

- $\Sigma^{-1}A$ is a flat A -module, with $H_*(\Sigma^{-1}C) = \Sigma^{-1}H_*(C)$ for any A -module chain complex C .

Cohn localization

- $A = \text{ring}$, $\Sigma = \text{a set of morphisms}$
 $s : P \rightarrow Q$ of f.g. projective A -modules.
- A ring morphism $A \rightarrow B$ is Σ -inverting if each $1 \otimes s : B \otimes_A P \rightarrow B \otimes_A Q$ ($s \in \Sigma$) is a B -module isomorphism.
- The Cohn localization $\Sigma^{-1}A$ is a ring with a Σ -inverting morphism $A \rightarrow \Sigma^{-1}A$ such that any Σ -inverting morphism $A \rightarrow B$ has a unique factorization $A \rightarrow \Sigma^{-1}A \rightarrow B$.
- $\Sigma^{-1}A$ exists, but could be 0. $\Sigma^{-1}A$ need not be a flat A -module, $H_*(\Sigma^{-1}C) \neq \Sigma^{-1}H_*(C)$.
- An element $fs^{-1}g \in \Sigma^{-1}A$ is an equivalence class of generalized fractions, triples $(s : P \rightarrow Q, f : P \rightarrow A, g : A \rightarrow Q)$ with $s \in \Sigma$ (Malcolmson).

The lifting problem for chain complexes

- A lift of a f.g. free $\Sigma^{-1}A$ -module chain complex C is a f.g. projective A -module chain complex B with $\Sigma^{-1}B \simeq C$.
- Every n -dimensional f.g. free $\Sigma^{-1}A$ -module chain complex C can be lifted if $n \leq 2$, or if $\Sigma^{-1}A$ is an Ore localization.
- For $n \geq 3$ there are lifting obstructions in $\mathrm{Tor}_i^A(\Sigma^{-1}A, \Sigma^{-1}A)$ for $i \geq 1$.
- Chain complex lifting = algebraic analogue of transversality. e-print AT.0304362

Stable flatness

- Definition A localization $\Sigma^{-1}A$ of a ring A inverting a set Σ of morphisms of f.g. projective A -modules is stably flat if

$$\mathrm{Tor}_i^A(\Sigma^{-1}A, \Sigma^{-1}A) = 0 \quad (i \geq 1) .$$

- For stably flat $\Sigma^{-1}A$ have stable exactness:

$$H_*(\Sigma^{-1}C) = \varinjlim_D \Sigma^{-1}H_*(D)$$

with $C \rightarrow D$ such that $\Sigma^{-1}C \simeq \Sigma^{-1}D$.

- (Neeman, R. and Schofield)
Examples of $\Sigma^{-1}A$ which are not stably flat, and $\Sigma^{-1}A$ -module chain complexes which cannot be lifted.

Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 2004,
e-print RA.0205034

Theorem of Neeman + R.

If $A \rightarrow \Sigma^{-1}A$ is injective and stably flat then :

- have 'fibration sequence of exact categories'

$$T(A, \Sigma) \rightarrow P(A) \rightarrow P(\Sigma^{-1}A)$$

with $P(A)$ the category of f.g. projective A -modules and $T(A, \Sigma)$ the category of h.d. ≤ 1 Σ -torsion A -modules, and

- every finite f.g. free $\Sigma^{-1}A$ -module chain complex can be lifted

- there are long exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \rightarrow K_n(A) &\rightarrow K_n(\Sigma^{-1}A) \\ &\rightarrow K_{n-1}(T(A, \Sigma)) \rightarrow K_{n-1}(A) \rightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \rightarrow L_n(A) &\rightarrow L_n(\Sigma^{-1}A) \\ &\rightarrow L_n(T(A, \Sigma)) \rightarrow L_{n-1}(A) \rightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

e-print RA.0109118

Group rings and Cohn localization

- Given a group G consider (commutative or Ore) localization of the integral group ring $\mathbb{Z}[G]$, e.g. $\mathbb{Q}[G] = (\mathbb{Z} - \{0\})^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[G]$. Localization is a "better" ring than $\mathbb{Z}[G]$, e.g. $\mathbb{Q}[G]$ is semisimple for finite G .
- The 'augmentation localization' $\Pi^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[F_\mu]$ inverts the set Π of square matrices in $\mathbb{Z}[F_\mu]$ which become invertible over \mathbb{Z} .
- If G is a generalized free product the matrix ring $M_k(\mathbb{Z}[G])$ for some $k \geq 1$ is a Cohn localization $\Pi^{-1}A$ of a $k \times k$ triangular matrix ring A . The localization map $A \rightarrow \Pi^{-1}A$ is an 'assembly' map. In the 'injective case' it is possible to describe the homological algebra of $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -modules and the algebraic K - and L -theory of $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ in terms of A and Π . In particular, this is the case for $G = F_\mu$ with $k = \mu + 1$.

Triangular matrix rings

Given rings A_1, A_2 and an (A_2, A_1) -bimodule B define the triangular matrix ring

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ B & A_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

with $P_1 = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 \\ B \end{pmatrix}$, $P_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ A_2 \end{pmatrix}$ f.g. projective A -modules such that $A = P_1 \oplus P_2$.

Proposition (i) The category of A -modules is equivalent to the category of triples

$$M = (M_1, M_2, \mu : B \otimes_{A_1} M_1 \rightarrow M_2)$$

with M_1 an A_1 -module, M_2 an A_2 -module and μ an A_2 -module morphism.

(ii) If $A \rightarrow C$ is a ring morphism such that there is a C -module isomorphism $C \otimes_A P_1 \cong C \otimes_A P_2$ then $C = M_2(D)$ with $D = \text{End}_C(C \otimes_A P_1)$,

$$\{A\text{-modules}\} \rightarrow \{C\text{-modules}\} \approx \{D\text{-modules}\};$$

$$M \mapsto (D \ D) \otimes_A M$$

$$= \text{coker}(D \otimes_{A_2} B \otimes_{A_1} M_1 \rightarrow D \otimes_{A_1} M_1 \oplus D \otimes_{A_2} M_2)$$

Generalized free products

- Theorem (Schofield, R.) Given group morphisms $H \rightarrow G_1$, $H \rightarrow G_2$ define

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Z}[H] & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbb{Z}[G_1] & \mathbb{Z}[G_1] & 0 \\ \mathbb{Z}[G_2] & 0 & \mathbb{Z}[G_2] \end{pmatrix}$$

and let $\Pi = \{P_2 \subset P_1, P_3 \subset P_1\}$ with P_i the i th column of A . Then

$$\Pi^{-1}A = M_3(\mathbb{Z}[G_1 *_H G_2]) .$$

Stably flat for injective $H \rightarrow G_1$, $H \rightarrow G_2$.

- Similarly for HNN extensions.
- See survey article Noncommutative localization in topology, e-print AT.0303046, for the connection with the Bass-Serre theory of groups acting on trees, and the algebraic K - and L -theory splitting theorems of Waldhausen and Cappell.

The codimension 2 placement problem

- For a connected space X with universal cover \widetilde{X} and a $\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X)]$ -module A

$$H_*(X; A) := H_*(A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X)]} C(\widetilde{X}))$$

- Let $X = M \setminus N$ be the complement of a codimension 2 embedding $N^n \subset M^{n+2}$. By Alexander duality

$$H_*(X) = H^{n+2-*}(M, N) \quad (* \neq 0, n+2)$$

depends only on the homotopy class of the inclusion $N \subset M$. However, $H_*(\widetilde{X})$ depends on the knotting of $N \subset M$.

- The applications of Cohn localization to boundary links $(M, N) = (S^{n+2}, \bigcup_{\mu} S^n)$ are a joint project with Des Sheiham.

Boundary links

- An $(n + 2)$ -dimensional μ -component boundary link is a locally flat embedding $\bigcup_{\mu} S^n \subset S^{n+2}$ with a μ -component Seifert surface

$$(M^{n+1}, \partial M) = (\bigcup_{i=1}^{\mu} M_i, S^n) \subset S^{n+2}$$

The \mathbb{Z} -homology equivalence to the trivial link complement

$$f : X = S^{n+2} \setminus (\bigcup_{\mu} S^n) \rightarrow Y = \bigvee_{\mu-1} S^{n+1} \vee \bigvee_{\mu} S^1$$

induces a surjection $\pi_1(X) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y) = F_{\mu}$.

- Can construct a Seifert surface M by taking f to be transverse at $*_1 \cup \dots \cup *_\mu \subset Y$ and setting $M_i = f^{-1}(*_i)$.

The augmentation localization

- The augmentation $\mathbb{Z}[F_\mu] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ factors through the Cohn localization $\Sigma^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[F_\mu]$ inverting the set Σ of square matrices in $\mathbb{Z}[F_\mu]$ which augment to invertible matrices in \mathbb{Z} . Stably flat (Farber and Vogel, 1992)
- A finite f.g. free $\mathbb{Z}[F_\mu]$ -module chain complex C is such that $H_*(\Sigma^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[F_\mu] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[F_\mu]} C) = 0$ if and only if $H_*(\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[F_\mu]} C) = 0$.
- The localization map $\mathbb{Z}[F_\mu] \rightarrow \Sigma^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[F_\mu]$ detects knotting of a boundary link $\bigcup_{\mu} S^n \subset S^{n+2}$, in the sense that

$$H_*(X; \mathbb{Z}[F_\mu]) = H_*(\widetilde{X}) , \quad H_*(X; \Sigma^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[F_\mu]) = 0$$
 for $* \neq 0, 1, n+1$, with X the boundary link complement and \widetilde{X} the cover of X induced from the universal cover \widetilde{Y} of Y .

Γ -groups

- Theorem (Cappell-Shaneson, 1980)

For $n \geq 4$ the concordance group $C_n(F_\mu)$ of μ -component $(n+2)$ -dimensional boundary links (with F_μ -structure) is the relative Γ -group

$$C_n(F_\mu) = \Gamma_{n+3} \left(\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}[F_\mu] & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}[F_\mu] \\ & \searrow \Phi & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{Z}[F_\mu] & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} \end{array} \right)$$

in the exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \rightarrow L_{n+3}(\mathbb{Z}[F_\mu]) &\rightarrow \Gamma_{n+3}(\mathbb{Z}[F_\mu] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}) \\ &\rightarrow \Gamma_{n+3}(\Phi) \rightarrow L_{n+2}(\mathbb{Z}[F_\mu]) \rightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

- In particular, $C_{2q}(F_\mu) = 0$ for $q \geq 2$.

Seifert, Blanchfield, computation

- (Levine for $\mu = 1$ 1969, Ko, Mio, 1987)
The expression of $C_{2q-1}(F_\mu)$ in terms of Seifert matrices.
- (Kearton for $\mu = 1$ 1973, Duval, 1986)
The expression of $C_{2q-1}(F_\mu)$ for $q \geq 2$ in terms of Blanchfield forms.
- (Levine for $\mu = 1$ 1970, Sheiham, 2002)
The computation of $C_{2q-1}(F_\mu)$ (infinitely generated) for $q \geq 2$, using Seifert forms.

The L -theory localization sequence

- **Theorem** (R., 2003) The Cappell-Shaneson exact sequence is the noncommutative L -theory localization exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \rightarrow L_{n+3}(\mathbb{Z}[F_\mu]) &\rightarrow L_{n+3}(\Sigma^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[F_\mu]) \\ &\rightarrow L_{n+3}(T(\mathbb{Z}[F_\mu], \Sigma)) \rightarrow L_{n+2}(\mathbb{Z}[F_\mu]) \rightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

with $\Gamma_{n+3}(\Phi) = L_{n+3}(T(\mathbb{Z}[F_\mu], \Sigma))$ the cobordism group of $(n+2)$ -dimensional \mathbb{Z} -contractible quadratic Poincaré complexes over $\mathbb{Z}[F_\mu]$.

The F_μ -link concordance class of a boundary link $\bigcup_{\mu} S^n \subset S^{n+2}$ is the cobordism class

of the complex $(\mathcal{C}(\tilde{f})_{*+1}, \psi)$ with $\tilde{f} : C(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow C(\tilde{Y})$ the canonical \mathbb{Z} -coefficient chain equivalence.

- Can recover the middle dimensional Blanchfield-Duval form for $n = 2q - 1$.

The Cayley localization (I)

- For $\mu \geq 1$ and any ring R let A be the $(\mu + 1) \times (\mu + 1)$ triangular matrix ring

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} R & R \oplus R & R \oplus R & \dots & R \oplus R \\ 0 & R & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & R & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & R \end{pmatrix}$$

- An A -module V consists of R -modules V_0, V_1, \dots, V_μ and R -module morphisms $g_{i,1}, g_{i,2} : V_i \rightarrow V_0$, labelled by Cayley graph of F_μ .
- Let Q_0, Q_1, \dots, Q_μ be the f.g. projective A -module defined by the columns of A , and $\Pi = \{\sigma_{i,j} : Q_i \rightarrow Q_0 \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, \mu, j = 1, 2\}$ with $\sigma_{i,j}$ the projection of the j th factor.

The Cayley localization (II)

- Theorem (Schofield, R.) The Cohn localization of A inverting Π is

$$\Pi^{-1}A = M_{\mu+1}(R[F_\mu])$$

with the endomorphism ring of $\Pi^{-1}Q_0$ freely generated by the automorphisms $z_i = \sigma_{i,1}(\sigma_{i,2})^{-1}$.

- Example Let X be a manifold (e.g. boundary link exterior) with a map $f : X \rightarrow \bigvee_{\mu} S^1$ transverse at $*_1 \cup \dots \cup *_2$. Let X_0 be obtained from X by cutting out neighbourhoods of $X_i = f^{-1}(*_i)$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, \mu$). The construction of the induced F_μ -cover \widetilde{X} from X_0, X_1, \dots, X_μ and the Cayley graph gives a lifting of $\mathbb{Z}[F_\mu]$ -module chain complex $C(\widetilde{X})$ to an A -module chain complex $D(\widetilde{X})$ such that $\Pi^{-1}D(\widetilde{X}) = C(\widetilde{X})$ (with $R = \mathbb{Z}$).

Blanchfield and Seifert modules (I)

- A Seifert module (V, s) is a f.g. projective R -module V together with an endomorphism $s : V \rightarrow V$ and a direct sum decomposition $V = V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_\mu$.
- A Blanchfield ($= F_\mu$ -link) module B is a homological dimension 1 $R[F_\mu]$ -module such that

$$\bigoplus (1 - z_i) : \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\mu} B \rightarrow B; (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_\mu) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} (1 - z_i) b_i$$

is an R -module isomorphism, $F_\mu = \langle z_1, z_2, \dots, z_\mu \rangle$.

- The covering of a Seifert module (V, s) is the Blanchfield module

$$B(V, s) = \text{coker}(1 - s + sz : V[F_\mu] \rightarrow V[F_\mu])$$

with $z = \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} \pi_i z_i : V[F_\mu] \rightarrow V[F_\mu]$ and $\pi_i : V \rightarrow V_i \rightarrow V$.

Blanchfield and Seifert modules (II)

- For any ring with involution R there is defined a commutative braid of exact categories with chain duality and functors

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & & & P(\Sigma^{-1}R[F_\mu]) \\
 & \curvearrowright & & \curvearrowright & \\
 T(A, \Pi) & & P(A) & & \\
 & \searrow & \swarrow & \searrow & \swarrow \\
 & & P(\Pi^{-1}A) & \approx & P(R[F_\mu]) \\
 & \swarrow & \searrow & & \\
 T(A, \Pi \cup \Sigma) & & T(R[F_\mu], \Sigma) & & \\
 & \swarrow & \nwarrow & & \\
 & & B & &
 \end{array}$$

with $\Sigma^{-1}R[F_\mu]$ the augmentation Cohn localization, $\Pi^{-1}A$ the Cayley Cohn localization and

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(A, \Pi) &= \{\text{Seifert modules } (V, s) \text{ with } B(V, s) = 0\}, \\
 T(A, \Pi \cup \Sigma) &= \{\text{Seifert modules}\}, \\
 T(R[F_\mu], \Sigma) &= \{\text{Blanchfield modules}\}
 \end{aligned}$$

- Theorem (R.+Sheiham) The braid induces a commutative braid of exact sequences in algebraic K and L -theory for $R = \mathbb{Z}$.