THE MOD 8 SIGNATURE OF A SURFACE BUNDLE

Andrew Ranicki

Report on a joint project with Dave Benson, Caterina Campagnolo and Carmen Rovi http://www.maths.ed.ac.uk/~aar/ University of Edinburgh Dedicated to the memory of Fritz Hirzebruch on his 89th birthday

Bonn, 17th October, 2016

### Introduction

► The signature of an oriented *m*-dimensional manifold with boundary (*M*, ∂*M*) is

$$\sigma(M) = egin{cases} {
m signature} \ (H^{m/2}(M), \phi) & {
m if} \ m \equiv 0 ({
m mod} 4) \\ 0 & {
m otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

 $\phi: H^{m/2}(M) \times H^{m/2}(M) \to \mathbb{Z}$  symmetric intersection form.

• The non-multiplicativity of  $\sigma$  for a fibre bundle  $F \rightarrow E \rightarrow B$ 

$$\sigma(E) - \sigma(B)\sigma(F) \in \mathbb{Z}$$

has been studied for 60 years: Chern, Hirzebruch and Serre (1956), Kodaira (1969), Atiyah (1970), Hirzebruch (1970), Meyer (1972), Hambleton, Korzeniewski and R. (2005) ...
Particularly interesting for a surface bundle

$$F = \Sigma_g = \# S^1 \times S^1 \to E \to B = \Sigma_h$$

with  $\sigma(\Sigma_g) = 0$  by definition. In general,  $\sigma(E) \neq 0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

### The Meyer signature class

 In his 1972 Bonn thesis Werner Meyer (a student of Hirzebruch) constructed the signature class

$$au \in {\sf H}^2({\sf Sp}(2g,{\mathbb Z});{\mathbb Z})$$
 .

▶ The signature of a surface bundle  $\Sigma_g \to E \to \Sigma_h$  is the evaluation

$$\sigma(E) = \langle f^*\tau, [\Sigma_h] \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$$

with

$$f: \pi_1(\Sigma_h) \to \operatorname{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z}) = \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H^1(\Sigma_g), \phi)$$

the monodromy action, and

$$\phi : H^{1}(\Sigma_{g}) \times H^{1}(\Sigma_{g}) \to \mathbb{Z}; \ (x, y) \mapsto \langle x \cup y, [\Sigma_{g}] \rangle \ .$$

the nonsingular symplectic intersection form over  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

### Divisibility by 4, but not by 8 in general

 Meyer also constructed an explicit cocycle for the signature class τ, and computed

$$\tau = 4 \in H^2(\mathsf{Sp}(2g,\mathbb{Z});\mathbb{Z}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_{12} & \text{if } g = 1 \\ \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 & \text{if } g = 2 \\ \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } g \geqslant 3. \end{cases}$$

- ▶ The signature of  $\Sigma_g o E o \Sigma_h$  is divisible by 4  $\sigma(E) \in 4\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Z}$
- Every multiple of 4 arises as  $\sigma(E)$  for some E.
- The image of \(\tau/4\) in H<sup>2</sup>(Sp(2g, \(\mathbb{Z}\)); \(\mathbb{Z}\)2) = \(\mathbb{Z}\)2 (g ≥ 4) determines the mod 8 signature

$$\sigma(E) = \langle f^* au, [\Sigma_h] \rangle \in 4\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}_2$$

Carmen Rovi (Edinburgh Ph.D. thesis, 2015) identified σ(E)/4 ∈ Z<sub>2</sub> with an Arf-Kervaire invariant.

#### The mod 8 signature and group cohomology

Problem Does there exist a class \(\tau\_k \in H^2(Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z}\_k); \mathbb{Z}\_8)\) for the mod 8 signature for some k ≥ 2, such that

$$au_k = p_k^*[ au] = 4 \in H^2(\mathrm{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z}_k); \mathbb{Z}_8) = \mathbb{Z}_8 ?$$

with  $p_k = \text{projection} : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}_k$ . Posed for k = 2 by Klaus and Teichner.

• If there exists such a class  $\tau_k$  then the mod 8 signature

$$\sigma(E) = \langle f_k^* \tau_k, [\Sigma_h] \rangle \in 4\mathbb{Z}_8 \subset \mathbb{Z}_8$$

depends only on the mod k monodromy action

$$f_k : \pi_1(\Sigma_h) o \operatorname{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z}) o \operatorname{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z}_k) .$$

▶ k = 2 will not do, since  $H^2(\operatorname{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z}_2); \mathbb{Z}_8) = 0$   $(g \ge 4)$ .

### The mod 8 signature class

Theorem 1 (BCRR, 2016) k = 4 will do. The mod 8 signature class

$$au_4 \;=\; 4 \in H^2(\mathsf{Sp}(2g,\mathbb{Z}_4);\mathbb{Z}_8) \;=\; \mathbb{Z}_8$$

is such that

$$\sigma(E) = \langle f_4^* \tau_4, [\Sigma_h] \rangle \in 4\mathbb{Z}_8 \subset \mathbb{Z}_8$$

with  $f_4: \pi_1(\Sigma_h) \xrightarrow{f} \operatorname{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z}_4)$ .

Proof It is enough to show that

$$\begin{split} \tau &\in H^2(\mathsf{Sp}(2g,\mathbb{Z});\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow H^2(\mathsf{Sp}(2g,\mathbb{Z});\mathbb{Z}_8) = \mathbb{Z}_8 \ ,\\ \tau_4 &\in H^2(\mathsf{Sp}(2g,\mathbb{Z}_4);\mathbb{Z}_8) = \mathbb{Z}_8 \xrightarrow{\cong} H^2(\mathsf{Sp}(2g,\mathbb{Z});\mathbb{Z}_8) = \mathbb{Z}_8 \end{split}$$

have the same images.

Easy, but no cocycle and no geometry!

## The mapping torus $T(\alpha)$

• The mapping class group of  $\Sigma_g$  is defined as usual by

$$\operatorname{Mod}_g = \pi_0(\operatorname{Homeo}^+(\Sigma_g))$$

with Homeo<sup>+</sup>( $\Sigma_g$ ) the group of orientation-preserving homeomorphisms  $\alpha : \Sigma_g \to \Sigma_g$ .

► The mapping torus of α ∈ Mod<sub>g</sub> is the closed oriented 3-manifold

$$T(\alpha) = \Sigma_g \times I / \{(x,0) \sim (\alpha(x),1) | x \in \Sigma_g\}$$

Total space of fibre bundle

$$\Sigma_g o T(lpha) o S^1$$
 .

## The double mapping torus $T(\alpha, \beta)$

The double mapping torus T(α, β) of α, β ∈ Mod<sub>g</sub> is the total space of the fibre bundle

$$\Sigma_g 
ightarrow T(lpha,eta) 
ightarrow P \;=\;$$
 pair of pants ,

an oriented 4-manifold with boundary



# A cocycle for $\tau \in H^2(\operatorname{Sp}(2g,\mathbb{Z});\mathbb{Z})$

Theorem (Meyer, 1972)
 The Wall non-additivity of the signature formula gives

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(T(\alpha,\beta)) &= \sigma(\ker((1-\alpha^{-1}\ 1-\beta):H\oplus H\to H),\Phi) \\ H &= H^1(\Sigma_g) \ , \ \Phi((x_1,y_1),(x_2,y_2)) = \phi(x_1+y_1,(1-\beta)(y_2)) \ . \end{aligned}$$

The function

 $\tau : \operatorname{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z}) \times \operatorname{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z} ; (\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \sigma(T(\alpha, \beta))$ 

is a cocycle for the signature class  $\tau \in H^2(\mathsf{Sp}(2g,\mathbb{Z});\mathbb{Z})$ .

#### The idea of proof of Meyer's Theorem

► For a surface bundle  $\Sigma_g \to E \to \Sigma_h$  with monodromy  $\pi_1(\Sigma_h) = \langle \alpha_1, \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_h, \beta_h | [\alpha_1, \beta_1] \dots [\alpha_h, \beta_h] \rangle \to Mod_g$ lift the decomposition



to  $E = D^2 \times \Sigma_g \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{4h} T(\widetilde{\omega}_{i-1}, \omega_i) \cup D^2 \times \Sigma_g$  (simplified)

with  $\widetilde{\omega}_i$  the *i*th factor in  $[\alpha_1, \beta_1] \dots [\alpha_h, \beta_h]$  and  $\omega_i$  the product of the first *i* factors.

• By Novikov additivity  $\sigma(E) = -\sum_{i=1}^{4h} \sigma(T(\widetilde{\omega}_{i-1}, \omega_i)) \in \mathbb{Z}.$ 

 Defined by E.H.Brown (1972) for a nonsingular symmetric form (V, b) over Z<sub>2</sub> with Z<sub>4</sub>-valued quadratic refinement q

(f.g. free  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -module  $V, b: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2, q: V \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_4$ )

by the Gauss sum

$$\sum_{x \in V} e^{2\pi i q(x)/4} = \sqrt{2}^{\dim_{\mathbb{Z}_2} V} e^{2\pi i BK(V,b,q)/8} \in \mathbb{C}$$

► The mod 8 signature of a nonsingular symmetric form (H, φ) over Z is

$$\sigma(H,\phi) = BK(H/2H,b,q) \in \mathbb{Z}_8$$

with

$$b(x,y) = [\phi(x,y)], q(x) = [\phi(x,x)].$$

# A cocycle for $\tau_4 \in H^2(Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z}_4); \mathbb{Z}_2)$

The verification that Meyer's function

$$au : \operatorname{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z}) \times \operatorname{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z}$$

is a cocycle used the Novikov additivity for the signature of the union of manifolds with boundary

$$\sigma(M\cup_{\partial M=-\partial M'}M') = \sigma(M) + \sigma(M') \in \mathbb{Z} .$$

Our cocycle

$$au_4$$
 :  $\mathsf{Sp}(2g,\mathbb{Z}_4) imes \mathsf{Sp}(2g,\mathbb{Z}_4) o \mathbb{Z}_2$ 

is constructed using the  $\mathbb{Z}_8$ -valued Brown-Kervaire invariant, for which there is **no analogue of Novikov additivity**.

### Mapping tori are boundaries

- Ω<sub>3</sub> = 0: every closed oriented 3-dimensional manifold is the boundary of an oriented 4-manifold, so there exists a function
  - $\delta T$ :  $Mod_g \rightarrow \{ \text{oriented 4-manifolds with boundary} \}$ ;  $\alpha \mapsto \delta T(\alpha)$ such that  $\partial \delta T(\alpha) = T(\alpha)$ .
- So for any α, β ∈ Mod<sub>g</sub> have closed oriented 4-dimensional manifold T(α, β) ∪ (δT(α) ⊔ δT(β) ⊔ δT(αβ))



### The mod 8 signature cocycle

Theorem 2 (BCRR, 2016)
 For any δT the function

 $\mathsf{Mod}_g \times \mathsf{Mod}_g \to \mathbb{Z}_8$ ;  $(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \mathsf{BK}(\mathsf{T}(\alpha, \beta) \cup \delta\mathsf{T}(\alpha) \cup \delta\mathsf{T}(\beta) \cup -\delta\mathsf{T}(\alpha\beta))$ 

is a cocycle for the pullback of

$$4\tau_4 = p_4^*[\tau] \in H^2(Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z}_4); \mathbb{Z}_8)$$

along the  $\mathbb{Z}_4$ -coefficient monodromy  $Mod_g \to Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z}_4)$ .

- Very implicit, since it relies on the choice of bounding 4-manifolds δT(α). In general, not divisible by 4.
- Algebraic Poincaré cobordism to the rescue.

## Algebraic Poincaré cobordism

- ► (R., 1980-...) For any ring with involution A  $\begin{cases}
  L^n(A) \\
  L_n(A)
  \end{cases} = \text{cobordism groups of } n\text{-dimensional f.g. free } A\text{-module} \\
  \text{chain complexes with a } \begin{cases}
  \text{symmetric} \\
  \text{quadratic}
  \end{cases} \text{ chain equivalence } C^{n-*} \to C\end{cases}$
- ▶  $1 + T : L_n(A) =$ Wall surgery obstruction group  $\rightarrow L^n(A)$ .
- L<sup>0</sup>(A) (resp. L<sub>0</sub>(A)) = Witt group of nonsingular symmetric (resp. quadratic) forms over A
- For  $A = \mathbb{Z}$  signature  $\sigma : L^0(\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$  with

$$1+T=8:L_0(\mathbb{Z})=\mathbb{Z}
ightarrow L^0(\mathbb{Z})=\mathbb{Z}$$
 .

▶ For  $A = \mathbb{Z}_4$  Brown-Kervaire invariant  $BK : L^0(\mathbb{Z}_4) \cong \mathbb{Z}_8$  with

$$1 + T = 4 : L_0(\mathbb{Z}_4) = \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow L^0(\mathbb{Z}_4) = \mathbb{Z}_8$$
.

• Symmetric signature  $\Omega_n \to L^n(\mathbb{Z}) \to L^n(\mathbb{Z}_4)$ .

## Generalized signature cocycle and class via algebra

- Manifolds with boundary, union, mapping torus and double mapping torus all have analogues in the world of algebraic Poincaré cobordism, for any ring A.
- The algebraic mapping torus gives morphism

$$T : \operatorname{Sp}(2g, A) \to L^{3}(A) ; \alpha \mapsto T(\alpha) .$$

► Theorem 3 (BCRR, 2016) If L<sup>3</sup>(A) = 0 the algebraic double mapping torus gives a class τ<sup>A</sup> ∈ H<sup>2</sup>(Sp(2g, A); L<sup>4</sup>(A)) with cocycle

$$\tau^{A} : \operatorname{Sp}(2g, A) \times \operatorname{Sp}(2g, A) \to L^{4}(A) ;$$
$$(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \tau^{A}(\alpha, \beta) = T(\alpha, \beta) \cup \delta T(\alpha) \cup \delta T(\beta) \cup -\delta T(\alpha\beta)$$

for any choice of  $\alpha \mapsto \delta T(\alpha)$  with  $\partial \delta T(\alpha) = T(\alpha)$ .

## The algebraic Poincaré cobordism of $A = \mathbb{Z}$

L<sup>3</sup>(ℤ) = 0. Canonical null-cobordism δT(α) for algebraic
 T(α) with Euler characteristic

$$\chi(\alpha) = \dim_{\mathbb{Z}} \ker(1 - \alpha : \mathbb{Z}^{2g} \to \mathbb{Z}^{2g}) \ (\alpha \in \operatorname{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z})) \ .$$

Isomorphism

$$\sigma : L^4(\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z} ; (C, \phi) \mapsto \sigma(H^2(C), \phi_0) .$$

(Turaev 1985) The cocycle

$$\tau : \operatorname{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z}) \times \operatorname{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z} ;$$
$$(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \sigma \tau^{\mathbb{Z}}(\alpha, \beta) - (\chi(\alpha) + \chi(\beta) - \chi(\alpha\beta))$$

is divisible by 4, representing the Meyer signature class

$$au ~=~ \mathsf{4} \in \mathsf{H}^2(\mathsf{Sp}(2g,\mathbb{Z});\mathbb{Z}) ~=~ \mathbb{Z} \;.$$

## The algebraic Poincaré cobordism of $A = \mathbb{Z}_4$

L<sup>3</sup>(ℤ<sub>4</sub>) = 0. Canonical null-cobordism δT(α) for algebraic
 T(α) with Euler characteristic

 $\chi_4(\alpha) = \dim_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \ker(1 - \alpha : \mathbb{Z}_2^{2g} \to \mathbb{Z}_2^{2g}) \ (\alpha \in \mathsf{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z}_4)) \ .$ 

Need to use  $\mathbb{Z}_2\text{-coefficients, since the }\mathbb{Z}_4\text{-module }\mathbb{Z}_2$  is not free!

Split surjection

 $BK : L^{4}(\mathbb{Z}_{4}) \to \mathbb{Z}_{8} ; (C, \phi) \mapsto BK(H^{2}(C; \mathbb{Z}_{2}), \phi_{0}, \mathcal{P}(\phi))$ with  $\mathcal{P}(\phi) = \text{Pontrjagin square} : H^{2}(C; \mathbb{Z}_{2}) \to \mathbb{Z}_{4}.$ > **Theorem 4** (BCRR, 2016) The cocycle  $\tau_{4} : \text{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z}_{4}) \times \text{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z}_{4}) \to \mathbb{Z}_{8} ;$  $(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto BK\tau^{\mathbb{Z}_{4}}(\alpha, \beta) - (\chi_{4}(\alpha) + \chi_{4}(\beta) - \chi_{4}(\alpha\beta)))$ is divisible by 4, representing the mod 8 signature class

 $au_4 \;=\; 4 \in H^2(\mathsf{Sp}(2g,\mathbb{Z}_4);\mathbb{Z}_8) \;=\; \mathbb{Z}_8 \;.$ 

### The non-additivity of the Brown-Kervaire invariant

► There are two ways of glueing together two copies of the singular symmetric form (Z<sub>4</sub>, 2) over Z<sub>4</sub>.

$$\blacktriangleright (\mathbb{Z}_4,2)\cup_1 (\mathbb{Z}_4,2) = (\mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}) = 0 \in L^0(\mathbb{Z}_4) = \mathbb{Z}_8.$$

$$(\mathbb{Z}_4,2)\cup_{-1}(\mathbb{Z}_4,2)=(\mathbb{Z}_4\oplus\mathbb{Z}_4,\binom{2}{1}\binom{2}{2})=1\in L_0(\mathbb{Z}_4)=\mathbb{Z}_2,$$

the Arf-Kervaire invariant of the trefoil knot  $K : S^1 \subset S^3$ .

Therefore cannot define a Brown-Kervaire invariant for singular symmetric forms over Z<sub>4</sub> with Novikov-style additivity.

• 
$$(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix})$$
 is the intersection symmetric form of the 4-manifold  $M$  given by the  $A_2$ -plumbing of two copies of  $\tau_{S^2}$ , with boundary the lens space  $\partial M = L(3,2)$  a 2-fold branched cover of  $S^3$  along  $K$ .