ALGEBRAIC TRANSVERSALITY

Andrew Ranicki (Edinburgh) http://www.maths.ed.ac.uk/~aar Drawings by Carmen Rovi

Borel Seminar 2014

Les Diablerets

2nd July 2014

What is algebraic transversality?

- Geometric transversality is one of the most important properties of manifolds, dealing with the construction of submanifolds.
- Easy to establish for smooth manifolds (Thom, 1954)
- ► Hard to establish for topological manifolds (Kirby-Siebenmann, 1970), and that only for dimensions ≥ 5.
- Algebraic transversality deals with the construction of subcomplexes of chain complexes over group rings.
- Algebraic transversality is needed to quantify geometric transversality, to control the algebraic topology of the submanifolds created by the geometric construction.

Codimension *k* **subspaces**

▶ Definition A framed codimension k subspace of a space X is a closed subspace Y ⊂ X such that X has a decomposition

$$X = X_0 \cup_{Y \times S^{k-1}} Y \times D^k ,$$

with the complement

$$X_0 = \operatorname{cl.}(X \setminus Y \times D^k) \subset X$$

a closed subspace homotopy equivalent to $X \setminus Y$.



Geometric transversality

► Theorem (Thom, 1954) Every map f : M^m → X from a smooth m-dimensional manifold to a space X with a framed codimension k subspace Y ⊂ X is homotopic to a smooth map (also denoted f) which is transverse regular at Y ⊂ X, so that

$$N^{m-k} = f^{-1}(Y) \subset M$$

is a framed codimension k submanifold with

$$f = f_0 \cup g \times 1_{D^k}$$
 : $M = M_0 \cup N \times D^k \rightarrow X = X_0 \cup Y \times D^k$

 Algebraic transversality studies analogous decompositions of chain complexes! Particularly concerned with homotopy equivalences and contractible chain complexes.

The infinite cyclic cover example of geometric transversality I.

X = S¹ has framed codimension 1 subspace Y = {*} ⊂ S¹ with complement X₀ = I

$$S^1 = I \cup_{\{*\} imes S^0} \{*\} imes D^1$$
 .

By geometric transversality every map f : M^m → S¹ is homotopic to a map transverse regular at {*} ⊂ S¹, with

$$N^{m-1} = f^{-1}(*) \subset M$$

a framed codimension 1 submanifold with complement $M_0 = f^{-1}(I)$

$$M = M_0 \cup_{N \times S^0} N \times D^1$$

The infinite cyclic cover example of geometric transversality II.

 The pullback infinite cyclic cover of M has fundamental domain (M₀; N, tN)

The infinite cyclic cover example of algebraic transversality

Proposition (Higman, Waldhausen, R.) For every finite f.g. free Z[t, t⁻¹]-module chain complex C there exists a finite f.g. free Z-module subcomplex C₀ ⊂ C with D = C₀ ∩ tC₀ a finite f.g. free Z-module chain complex, and the Z-module chain maps

$$egin{array}{lll} i_0 & : & D
ightarrow C_0 \; ; \; x \mapsto x \; , \ i_1 & : & D
ightarrow C_0 \; ; \; x \mapsto t^{-1}x \end{array}$$

such that there is defined a short exact sequence of finite f.g. free $\mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$ -module chain complexes

$$0 \longrightarrow D[t, t^{-1}] \xrightarrow{i_0 - ti_1} C_0[t, t^{-1}] \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow 0$$

► Note that if C is contractible then C₀, D need not be contractible.

• Can replace
$$\mathbb{Z}$$
 by any ring A .

Split homotopy equivalences

Definition A homotopy equivalence f : M → X from a smooth m-dimensional manifold splits at a framed codimension k subspace Y ⊂ X if f is transverse regular at Y ⊂ X, and the restrictions

$$g = f | : N = f^{-1}(Y) \to Y ,$$

$$f_0 = f | : M_0 = M \setminus N \to X_0 = X \setminus Y$$

also homotopy equivalences.

- Definition f splits up to homotopy if it is homotopic to a homotopy equivalence (also denoted by f) which is split.
- In general, homotopy equivalences do not split up to homotopy. Surgery theory provides splitting obstructions.

The uniqueness of smooth manifold structures

- Surgery Theory Question Is a homotopy equivalence f : M → X of smooth m-dimensional manifolds homotopic to a diffeomorphism?
- Answer No, in general. The Browder-Novikov-Sullivan-Wall theory (1960's) provides obstructions in homotopy theory and algebra, and systematic construction of counterexamples. For X = S^m this is the Kervaire-Milnor classification of exotic spheres.
- Example Diffeomorphisms are split. If *f* is homotopic to a diffeomorphism then *f* splits up to homotopy at every submanifold *Y* ⊂ *X*.
- Contrapositive If f does not split up to homotopy at a submanifold Y ⊂ X then f is not homotopic to a diffeomorphism.

The uniqueness of topological manifold structures

- ► Surgery Theory Question Is a homotopy equivalence f : M → X of topological m-dimensional manifolds homotopic to a homeomorphism?
- ▶ Answer No, in general. As in the smooth case, surgery theory provides systematic obstruction theory for $m \ge 5$. Need Kirby-Siebenmann (1970) structure theory for topological manifolds.
- Example Homeomorphisms are split. If *f* is homotopic to a homeomorphism then *f* splits up to homotopy at every submanifold *Y* ⊂ *X*.
- Contrapositive If f does not split up to homotopy at a submanifold Y ⊂ X then f is not homotopic to a homeomorphism.

The Borel Conjecture

- ► BC (1953) Every homotopy equivalence f : M → X of smooth m-dimensional aspherical manifolds is homotopic to a homeomorphism.
- http://www.maths.ed.ac.uk/ aar/surgery/borel.pdf Birth of the Borel rigidity conjecture.
- In the last 30 years the conjecture has been verified in many cases, using surgery theory, geometric group theory and differential geometry (Farrell-Jones, Lück).

The existence of smooth manifold structures

- A smooth *m*-dimensional manifold *M* is a finite *CW* complex with *m*-dimensional Poincaré duality H^{m−*}(M) ≅ H_{*}(M)
- Surgery Theory Question If X is a finite CW complex with m-dimensional Poincaré duality isomorphisms

 $H^{m-*}(X) \cong H_*(X)$ (with $\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X)]$ -coefficients)

is X homotopy equivalent to a smooth m-dimensional manifold?

- The Browder-Novikov-Sullivan-Wall surgery theory deals with both existence and uniqueness, providing obstructions in terms of homotopy theory and algebra.
- Various examples of Poincaré duality spaces not of the homotopy type of smooth manifolds

The existence of topological manifold structures

- Surgery Theory Question If X is a finite CW complex with m-dimensional Poincaré duality isomorphisms is X homotopy equivalent to a topological m-dimensional manifold?
- For m ≥ 5 the Browder-Novikov-Sullivan-Wall surgery theory provides algebraic obstructions. The reduction to pure algebra makes use of algebraic transversality and codimension 1 splitting obstructions (R.,1992).

Obstructions to splitting homotopy equivalences up to homotopy

- In general, homotopy equivalences of manifolds are not split up to homotopy, in both the smooth and topological categories.
- There are algebraic K and L-theory obstructions to splitting homotopy equivalences up to homotopy for m − k ≥ 5 (Browder, Wall, Cappell 1960's and 1970's).
- ► Waldhausen (1970's) dealt with the case m = 3, k = 1, motivated by the Haken theory of 3-manifolds.
- Cappell (1974) constructed homotopy equivalences

$$f: M^m \to X = \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^m \# \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^m$$

which cannot be split up to homotopy, for $m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ with $m \ge 5$, and $Y = S^{m-1} \subset X$.

Same algebraic K- and L-theory obstructions to decomposing Poincaré duality space as X = X₀ ∪ Y × D^k, with Y codimension k Poincaré. (R.)

CW complexes and chain complexes I.

- ► Given a CW complex X and a regular cover X̃ with group of covering translations π let C(X̃) be the cellular chain complex, a free Z[π]-module chain complex with one generator for each cell of X.subcomplex
- A map $f : M \to X$ from a CW complex induces a π -equivariant map $\tilde{f} : \tilde{M} = f^*\tilde{X} \to \tilde{X}$ of the covers, and hence a $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$ -module chain map $\tilde{f} : C(\tilde{M}) \to C(\tilde{X})$.
- Theorem (J.H.C. Whitehead) A map f : M → X is a homotopy equivalence if and only if f_{*} : π₁(M) → π₁(X) is an isomorphism and the algebraic mapping cone C(f) is chain contractible, with X the universal cover of X and π = π₁(X).

CW complexes and chain complexes II.

If i : Y ⊂ X is the inclusion of a framed codimension k subcomplex the decomposition X = X₀ ∪_{Y×S^{k-1}} Y × D^k lifts to a π-equivariant decomposition

$$\widetilde{X} = \widetilde{X}_0 \cup_{\widetilde{Y} \times S^{k-1}} \widetilde{Y} \times D^k$$

with $\widetilde{Y} = i^* \widetilde{X}$ the pullback cover of Y, a framed codimension k subcomplex of \widetilde{X} .

► The Z[π]-module chain complex of X has an algebraic decomposition

$$C(\widetilde{X}) = C(\widetilde{X}_0) \cup_{C(\widetilde{Y}) \otimes C(S^{k-1})} C(\widetilde{Y}) \otimes C(D^k) .$$

- ► Algebraic transversality studies Z[π]-module chain complexes with such decompositions.
- If f : M → X is transverse at Y ⊂ X the algebraic mapping cone of f̃ : M̃ → X̃ has such a decomposition

$$\mathcal{C}(\widetilde{f}) \;=\; C(\widetilde{f}_0) \cup_{C(\widetilde{g}) \otimes C(S^{k-1})} C(\widetilde{g}) \otimes C(D^k) \;.$$

The fundamental groups in codimension 1

If X is a connected CW complex and Y ⊂ X is a connected framed codimension 1 subcomplex then

 $X = \begin{cases} X_1 \cup_{Y \times D^1} X_2 & \text{if } X_0 = X_1 \sqcup X_2 \text{ is disconnected} \\ X_0 \cup_{Y \times S^0} Y \times D^1 & \text{if } X_0 \text{ is connected} \end{cases}$

according as to whether Y separates X or not.

• The fundamental group $\pi_1(X)$ is given by the Seifert-van Kampen theorem to be the $\begin{cases} \text{amalgamated free product} \\ HNN \text{ extension} \end{cases}$

$$\pi_{1}(X) = \begin{cases} \pi_{1}(X_{1}) *_{\pi_{1}(Y)} \pi_{1}(X_{2}) \\ \pi_{1}(X_{0}) *_{\pi_{1}(Y)} \{t\}. \end{cases}$$
 determined by the morphisms
$$\begin{cases} \pi_{1}(Y) \to \pi_{1}(X_{1}) , \ \pi_{1}(Y) \to \pi_{1}(X_{2}) \\ \pi_{1}(Y \times \{0\}) \to \pi_{1}(X_{0}) , \ \pi_{1}(Y \times \{1\}) \to \pi_{1}(X_{0}) . \end{cases}$$

Separating and non-separating codimension 1 subspaces



Y separates X



Y does not separate X

Handle exchanges I.

- Will only deal with the separating case.
- Let *M* be an *m*-dimensional manifold with a separating framed codimension 1 submanifold N^{m-1} ⊂ M, so that

$$M = M_1 \cup_N M_2 .$$

A handle exchange uses an embedding

$$(D^r imes D^{m-r},S^{r-1} imes D^{m-r})\subset (M_i,\mathsf{N}) \ (i=1 ext{ or } 2)$$

to obtain a new decomposition

$$M = M_1' \cup_{N'} M_2'$$

with

$$\begin{split} N' &= \operatorname{cl.}(N \backslash S^{r-1} \times D^{m-r}) \cup D^r \times S^{m-r-1} , \\ M'_i &= \operatorname{cl.}(M_i \backslash D^r \times D^{m-r}) , \\ M'_{2-i} &= M_{2-i} \cup D^r \times D^{m-r} . \end{split}$$

• Initiated by Stallings (m = 3) and Levine in the 1960's.

Handle exchanges II.



$$X_1' \;=\; X_1 \cup D^r \times D^{m-r} \;,\; X_2' \;=\; \operatorname{cl.}(X_2 \backslash D^r \times D^{m-r}) \;.$$

Codimension 1 geometric transversality I.

Let X = X₁ ∪_Y X₂ be a connected CW complex with a separating connected framed codimension 1 subspace Y ⊂ X such that π₁(Y) → π₁(X) is injective. Then

$$\pi_1(X) = \pi_1(X_1) *_{\pi_1(Y)} \pi_1(X_2) = \pi$$

with injective morphisms

$$\pi_1(Y) = \rho \to \pi_1(X_1) = \pi_1, \ \pi_1(Y) = \rho \to \pi_1(X_2) = \pi_2.$$

► The Bass-Serre tree T is a contractible non-free π -space with $T^{(0)} = [\pi : \pi_1] \cup [\pi : \pi_2], T^{(1)} = [\pi : \rho], T/\pi = I.$

The universal cover of X decomposes as

$$\widetilde{X} \;=\; [\pi:\pi_1] imes \widetilde{X}_1 \cup_{[\pi:
ho] imes \widetilde{Y}} [\pi:\pi_2] imes \widetilde{X}_2$$

with $\widetilde{X}_1, \widetilde{X}_2, \widetilde{Y}$ the universal covers of X_1, X_2, Y , and

$$\widetilde{Y} = \widetilde{X}_1 \cap \widetilde{X}_2 \subset \widetilde{X}$$





Codimension 1 geometric transversality II.

• If X is finite the cellular f.g. free $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$ -module chain complex $C(\widetilde{X})$ has f.g. free $\mathbb{Z}[\pi_i]$ -module chain subcomplexes $C(\widetilde{X}_i) \subset C(\widetilde{X})$ and a f.g. free $\mathbb{Z}[\rho]$ -module chain subcomplex $C(\widetilde{Y}) = C(\widetilde{X}_1) \cap C(\widetilde{X}_2) \subset C(\widetilde{X})$

with a short exact Mayer-Vietoris sequence of f.g. free $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]\text{-module chain complexes}$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\pi] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\rho]} C(\widetilde{Y}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\pi] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1]} C(\widetilde{X}_1) \oplus \mathbb{Z}[\pi] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi_2]} C(\widetilde{X}_2)$$
$$\longrightarrow C(\widetilde{X}) \longrightarrow 0 \quad .$$

If f : M → X is a homotopy equivalence of m-dimensional manifolds there is no obstruction to making f transverse regular at Y ⊂ X, but there are algebraic K- and L-theory obstructions to splitting f up to homotopy, involving the MV sequence of the contractible Z[π]-module chain complex C(f̃ : M̃ → X̃) and algebraic handle exchanges.

Codimension 1 algebraic transversality

- Let $\pi = \pi_1 *_{\rho} \pi_2$ be an injective amalgamated free product.
- Proposition (Waldhausen, R.) For any f.g. free Z[π]-module chain complex C there exist f.g. free Z[π_i]-module chain subcomplexes D_i ⊂ C and a f.g. free Z[ρ]-module chain subcomplex E = D₁ ∩ D₂ ⊂ C with a short exact MV sequence of f.g. free Z[π]-module chain complexes

$$0 \to \mathbb{Z}[\pi] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\rho]} E \to \mathbb{Z}[\pi] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1]} D_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}[\pi] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi_2]} D_2 \to C \to 0$$

Any two such choices (D_1, D_2, E) are related by a finite sequence of algebraic handle exchanges. If C is contractible there is an algebraic K-theory obstruction to choosing D_1, D_2, E to be contractible.

Corollary (Cappell, R.) If C has m-dimensional Poincaré duality there is an algebraic L-theory obstruction to choosing (D_i, ℤ[π_i] ⊗_{ℤ[ρ]} E) to have m-dimensional Poincaré-Lefschetz duality and E to have (m − 1)-dimensional Poincaré duality.

Universal transversality

Let X be a finite simplicial complex, with barycentric subdivision X' and dual cells

$$D(\sigma) = \{\widehat{\sigma}_0 \widehat{\sigma}_1 \dots \widehat{\sigma}_r \, | \, \sigma \leqslant \sigma_0 < \sigma_1 < \dots < \sigma_r \} \; .$$

A map f : M → |X| = |X'| from an m-dimensional manifold is universally transverse if each inverse image

$$M(\sigma) = f^{-1}(D(\sigma)) \subset M$$

is a framed codimension $|\sigma|$ submanifold with boundary

$$\partial M(\sigma) = \bigcup_{\tau > \sigma} M(\tau) \; .$$

The algebraic obstruction theory for the existence and uniqueness of topological manifold structures in a homotopy type uses algebraic universal tranvsersality.

References

- http://www.maths.ed.ac.uk/~aar/books/exacsrch.pdf
 Exact sequences in the algebraic theory of surgery,
 Mathematical Notes 26, Princeton University Press (1980)
- http://www.maths.ed.ac.uk/~aar/books/topman.pdf
 Algebraic L-theory and topological manifolds,
 Cambridge University Press (1992)
- http://www.maths.ed.ac.uk/~aar/papers/novikov.pdf
 On the Novikov conjecture,
 LMS Lecture Notes 226, 272–337, CUP (1995)
- http://www.maths.ed.ac.uk/~aar/papers/trans.pdf
 Algebraic and combinatorial codimension 1 transversality,
 Geometry and Topology Monographs, Vol. 7 (2004)

http://www.dailymotion.com/playlist/x2v26c_Carmen_Rovi_transversality in algebra-topology Videos of 4 lectures on transversality in algebra and topology, Edinburgh (2013)