by C.T.C. Wall

Introduction

These notes are based on a seminar held in Cambridge 1960-61. In writing up, it has seemed desirable to elaborate the foundations considerably beyond the point from which the lectures started, and the notes have expanded accordingly; this is only the first set. It is divided into three parts: 0, on analytical foundations, I, on geometrical foundations, and II, on theorems of transversality and general position. (No index is included since numeration and pagination are by chapters). We hope to have given a thorough treatment of the basic theorems of use in investigating smooth manifolds; the only others to my knowledge are a paper by J. Cerf (Bull. Soc. Math. France 89, pp.227-380 (1961)) and a forthcoming book by S. Lang (on unbounded manifolds only). It is intended that subsequent parts of these notes shall be as follows: imbeddings and immersions, cobordism theory, the h-cobordism theorem, and surgery; however, this is somewhat optimistic.

It is perhaps appropriate to comment here on a few points which were only noticed when the notes were typed out. Part 0, 4.2 (the Implicit Function Theorem) is not needed; a proof can be given as in I.2.2. Proofs of 0, 4.1 and 0, 4.4 can be found in any good book on analysis. The proof of I, 4.5 is cooked: I should have extended the method of proof of I, 4.2. The proofs in I, 5 of uniqueness of tubular nbds can be used to give a local piecing together, and hence prove existence also: this avoids the difficulties in I, 6.2, and is the method adopted by Cerf and Lang. I have used a more direct geometrical construction by preference; the other method is, however, stronger, and removes the restriction to compact submanifolds, thus answering, for example, the problem of I, 7.1. By an oversight, the existence part of the proof of I, 6.4 was omitted - it is very simple, the reader will easily supply it for himself. I am indebted to all the Cambridge topology research students of last year for participating in the seminar; in particular to P. Baxandall for taking notes on the first 6 seminars, and to Steve Gersten for doing the rest, and for considerable assistance in writing up.

NOTATIONS, etc.

We assume known a certain amount of analysis, and a few terms and results from analytical topology - for example, "nbd" means neighbourhood, " \mathcal{G} " denotes a metric, and a paracompact space is defined by the property that any open covering admits a locally finite refinement. The word "smooth" is always used to mean "infinitely differentiable", i.e. C^{∞} .

We use \mathbb{R} to denote the real numbers, \mathbb{R}^n for the vector space of *n*-tuples, with its usual metric and topological structure, \mathbb{R}^n_+ , \mathbb{R}^n_{++} for the subsets with the first, or first two terms nonnegative. For $\times \in \mathbb{R}^n$, |x| is the root square sum of the terms, and $\mathcal{U}(\mathsf{x},\mathbb{M}) = \{\forall: | \forall -\mathsf{x}| < \mathbb{M}\}$. $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}_n}(\mathbb{R})$ is the group of nonsingular linear transformations of \mathbb{R}^n , with subgroups $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}} \stackrel{+}{}_{n}(\mathbb{R})$ (with positive determinant), the orthogonal group O_n (preserving the metric |x|), and SO_n , their intersection. The interval \mathcal{I} is the subset $0 \le \times \le 1$ of \mathbb{R} , and \mathbb{D}^n , \mathbb{S}^{n-1} are the subsets $|x| \le 1$, |x| = 1 of \mathbb{R}^n .

We denote set membership by \mathcal{E} , and set inclusion by \mathcal{C} . The restriction of a map \oint to a subset X of the domain is $\oint |X$. Composition of maps is (usually) denoted by a circle, as $\oint \circ g$, and is written in the illogical order. The image of a map \oint is $\lim_{x \to 1} f$. If X, Y are sets, $X \times Y$ is the set of pairs $\{(x, y): x \in X, y \in Y\}$, and $\Delta(X)$ is the diagonal subset of $X \times X$, with pairs $\{(x, x): x \in X\}$. Finally, the conclusion of a proof is signalled by:

- 2 -

PART O

Chapter 1

Definitions

D1

D2

A <u>smooth m-manifold</u> M^n is a paracompact Hausdorff space with a family $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_M$ of continuous real-valued functions defined on \mathcal{M} and satisfying the following conditions:

i) f is local. If $f: M \to \mathbb{R}$ is such that each point of M has a nbd in which f agrees with a function of f, then $f \notin \mathcal{F}$.

ii) f is differentiably closed. If $f_1, \dots, f_k \in \tilde{f}_k$ and F is a smooth function on \mathbb{N}^k , then $F(f_1, \dots, f_k) \in \tilde{f}_k$

iii)(M, f) is locally Euclidean. For each point $P \in M$, there are \mathcal{M} functions $f_1, \ldots, f_m \in \mathcal{J}$ such that $Q \Rightarrow (f_1(Q), \ldots, f_m(Q))$ gives a homeomorphism of a nbd \mathcal{U} of P onto an open subset Vof $\mathcal{R}^{(m)}$. Every function $f \notin f$ coincides on \mathcal{U} with $F(f_1, \cdots, f_m)$, where F is a smooth function on V.

We call functions $\int \xi \int \underline{f} = \underline{smooth \ functions} \ on \ \mathcal{M}$, and the mapping defined in iii) (or, by abuse of language, the set \mathcal{H}) a <u>co-ordinate neighbourhood</u>, or <u>C.N.</u> of \mathcal{O} . It follows from ii) that sums, products, and constant multiples of smooth functions are also smooth.

The first tool we need, to work with the above definition, is a bump function. Define first a function ψ on \mathbb{R}^{1} by:

Then Ψ is smooth, nonnegative, and differs from zero when $0 < \infty < 1$.

The <u>bump function</u> $\beta_{\rho}(x)$ is now given by $\beta_{\rho}(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(t) dt / \int_{-1}^{1} \psi(t) dt$. Since $\psi(x)$ is smooth, so is $\beta_{\rho}(\infty)$. Also, $\beta_{\rho}(x) = 0$ if $\beta_{\rho}(\infty) < 1$ $0 < \beta_{\rho}(\infty) < 1$ if $\beta_{\rho}(\infty) < 1$, and $\beta_{\rho}(\infty) = 1$ if $\beta_{\rho}(\infty) > 1$.

These are the essential properties of the bump function; any other function with them would serve the same purpose. We now have Prop 1.1. Let $\varphi: u \to V$ be a C.N. of $F \in M$, and let F be a smooth function on V. Then there is a function $f \in F$, agreeing with $F_c \varphi$ in a mbd of P, and zero outside U.

1.2

Ŧ

Proof

Without loss of generality, let $\varphi(F) = 0$. Since \forall is a nod of O, we can find F > 0 with $U(0,3r) \in V$. Define $\overline{\Phi}(x) = \frac{1}{6}r(2-\frac{1}{2}r)$ then $\overline{\Phi}(x) = 1$ for $|x| \leq F$, $\overline{\Phi}(x) = 0$ for $|x| \geq 2r$, and $\overline{\Phi}$ is a smooth function on $\mathbb{R}^{(n)}$, hence also on V, since \mathbb{R}_{P} is smooth, and |x| is smooth except at O. Then $F\overline{\Phi}$ is also smooth on V, and $F(x)\overline{\Phi}(x) = 0$ if $|x| \geq 2r$. We define a function f on M by: $f(F) = F\overline{\Phi} = \overline{\Phi}(F)$ if $F \geq U$ = O otherwise.

Then, by condition ii), $f \in \mathcal{F}$, and f agrees with $F = \mathcal{G}$ in $\mathbb{Q}^{-1}\{\mathfrak{M} : |\mathfrak{X}| \leq r\}$.

This proposition enables us to observe that the above definition of smooth manifold coincides with the definition in terms of an open covering $\{U_{\boldsymbol{x}}\}$ of \mathcal{M} , each $U_{\boldsymbol{x}}$ provided with a homeomorphism $\{U_{\boldsymbol{x}}\}$ onto an open subset of $\mathcal{R}^{(n)}$, such that in the intersection $U_{\boldsymbol{x}} \cap U_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ we have a smooth change of co-ordinates. Indeed, the only real difference between this definition and \mathcal{D} 1 is that \mathcal{D} 1 requires $\{\mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\}$ to be defined by functions which extend to smooth functions on the whole of \mathcal{M} . But since the proof of Prop 1 is equally valid for the other definition, we see that any locally smooth functions, provided we allow their range to be slightly restricted, extend s oothly to all of \mathcal{M} .

We now give some simple examples of smooth manifolds.

- 0. The empty set is a smooth m-manifold (the definition is vacuously satisfied).
- P^(h), with smooth functions taken in the ordinary sense, is a smooth m-manifold. Condition i) is trivial, ii) follows from the rule for differentiating a function of a function, and for iii), since the co-ordinate functions are smooth, we take the identity map.
- 2. The discrete union of an arbitrary set of smooth m-manifolds is another. Define a function to be smooth if the induced function on each summand is so; the conditions are then all trivial.
- 3. Let \mathcal{M} be a smooth m-manifold, \mathcal{O} an open subset of \mathcal{M} . Write \mathcal{G}_{ν} for the restrictions to \mathcal{O} of functions of $\mathcal{G}_{\mu\lambda}$; \mathcal{G}_{ν} for the localisation of $\mathcal{G}_{\mu\lambda}$, i.e., the set of functions

locally agreeing with a function of \mathcal{J}_{0} . Then it is clear, since \mathcal{O} is open in \mathcal{M} , that $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{J}_{0})$ satisfies conditions i), iii); $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{J}_{0})$ satisfies them and also condition ii). So in this way, the structure of smooth m-manifold on \mathcal{M} naturally induces such a structure on \mathcal{O} . We call \mathcal{O} an <u>open submanifold</u> of \mathcal{M} .

4. Let M^{n} , V^{n} be smooth manifolds. Then the topological product $N^{n,r} = M^{n} \times V^{n}$ has a natural structure of smooth manifold. For let $\overline{\mu}_{1}, \overline{\mu}_{2}$ denote projections on the factors. Then for $f \in \mathcal{F}_{M}$, $f \in \mathcal{F}_{V}$, we define $f_{0}, \overline{\mu}_{1}, g_{0}, \overline{\mu}_{2}$ to belong to \mathcal{F}_{N} ; any smooth functions of a finite set of these; and any function locally agreeing with one of these functions. This definition ensures that conditions i) and ii) are satisfied. But so is iii), for it now follows that if $\varphi_{1}: \mathcal{U}_{1} \to \mathcal{R}^{m}, \varphi_{2}: \mathcal{U}_{2} \to \mathcal{R}^{V}$ are C.N.s in M and V, then $\varphi_{2}: \mathcal{U}_{1} \times \mathcal{U}_{2} \to \mathcal{R}^{m+V}$ can be taken as a C.N. in $M_{X} \vee$. Let M^{m}, \vee^{V} be smooth manifolds. A mapping $\varphi: M \to V$ is called <u>smooth</u> if for each $f \in \mathcal{F}_{V}, f \circ \varphi \in \mathcal{F}_{M}$.

Note that in view of condition iii) this is equivalent to the requirement that each transformation of co-ordinates induced by φ between C.N.s in M and in V be smooth in the usual sense. However, the above definition is much more convenient. Prop 1.2 If $\varphi_{1}^{*} \stackrel{M}{\rightarrow} \stackrel{M}{\rightarrow}$ and $\psi_{12}^{*} \stackrel{M}{\rightarrow} \stackrel{M}{\rightarrow}$ are smooth, then so is

 $\begin{array}{c} \varphi_{2} \circ \varphi_{1} & M_{1} \rightarrow M_{3} \\ & \text{For if } _{1} \in \mathcal{F}_{3} + \circ \varphi_{2} \in \mathcal{F}_{2} \ , \ \text{and so } \int \circ \varphi_{2} \circ \varphi_{1} \notin \mathcal{F}_{1} \\ & \mathcal{F}_{1} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{For if } f \in \mathcal{F}_{M} \ , \ f \circ f \in \mathcal{F}_{2} \\ & \text{For if } f \notin \mathcal{F}_{M} \ , \ f \circ f \notin \mathcal{F}_{2} \end{array} \\ & \mathcal{F}_{1} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{These two propositions merely assert the consistency of our} \end{array}$

definitions. To conclude this chapter, we define the equivalence relation which classifies manifolds.

D4

A (1-1) correspondence φ . $N_1 \stackrel{m}{\to} \sqrt{\stackrel{m}{\to}}$ between two smooth manifolds is a <u>diffeomorphism</u> if both φ and φ^{-1} are smooth. $M \stackrel{m}{\to}$ and $V \stackrel{m}{\to}$ are called <u>diffeomorphic</u>.

D3

Thus a diffeomorphism defines a (1-1) correspon the two manifolds, under which smooth functions corresp. Differential geometry and topology each consist of the stu y (from different points of view) of those properties of smooth manifolds which are invariant under diffeomorphisms.

Chapter 2. <u>Analytic Topology</u>

We collect in this chapter, for purposes of reference, most of the results from analytic topology of which we will later make use. The reader desiring continuity should read up to Prop 2.5 and then go on to Chapter 3, referring back later when necessary. We first elucidate the condition of paracompactness in D1.

- Theorem 2.1 We can find a set of C.N.s $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{A}}: \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(0,3)$ for $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{M}}$ such that i) The sets $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(\mathcal{I}(0,1))$ cover \mathcal{M} . ii) Each $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{M}$ has a nbd in \mathcal{M} which meets only a finite number of sets $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{A}}$ i.e., the $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{A}}$ are locally finite. Moreover, the covering by the $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{A}}$ may be chosen to refine any given covering of \mathcal{M} .
- Proof First take any set of C.N.s $\psi_{\beta}: O_{\beta} \to \mathbb{R}^{m}$ for M, such that the O_{β} cover M and refine the given covering. Since M is paracompact, there is a locally finite refinement $\{W_{\beta}\}$ of $\{O_{\beta}\}$, still covering M. If we now prove the result for W_{β} , the union of all such C.N.s for the various W_{β} satisfies the same conditions. But ψ_{β} defines a diffeomorphism of W_{β} on an open submanifold of \mathbb{R}^{m} . So we can suppose that M is an open submanifold of \mathbb{R}^{m} .

For each positive integer i, take all the open sets $\mathcal{W}(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{F}_{m}, \mathbf{y})$ which are contained in \mathcal{M} (actually, since we use Prop 1 to say that a C.N. in $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{S}}$ above is also one in \mathcal{M} above, say: whose closures are contained in \mathcal{M}), and such that $\mathbf{1}\mathbf{x}$ has integral co-ordinates. Suppose $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{M}$; then some $\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{\delta}) < \mathcal{M}$. Choose $\mathbf{1} > \frac{4}{m} \mathbf{\delta}$. Then some \mathbf{x} with $\mathbf{1}\mathbf{x}$ integral is within a distance $\sqrt{m}/\mathbf{\lambda}$ of \mathbf{y} , and $\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{x}, \frac{3}{m}/\mathbf{1}) \subset \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{y}, \frac{4}{m}/\mathbf{1}) \subset \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{\delta}) \subset \mathcal{M}$. Thus the corresponding sets $\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{x}, \sqrt{m}/\mathbf{1})$ cover \mathcal{M} . Delete any of these which is contained in another. Then the remaining ones still cover \mathcal{N} . We say also, that the corresponding $\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{x}, \frac{2}{m}/\mathbf{1})$ are locally finite. Now \mathbf{y} has a neighbourhood of the form $\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{x}, \sqrt{m}/\mathbf{1})$; chose \mathcal{S} such that $\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{y}, \mathcal{S}) \subset \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{x}, \sqrt{m}/\mathbf{1})$. Then if $\mathbf{j} > 3\sqrt{m} / \mathbf{\delta}$, and $\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{z}, \frac{3}{m}/\mathbf{j})$ meets $\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{\delta})$ it is contained in $\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{y}, 2\mathbf{S})$, and so in $\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{x}, \sqrt{m}/\mathbf{1})$, so it was one of the nbds which we discarded. Thus $\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{S})$ only meets sets $\mathcal{U}(z_j)$ with $j \leq 3\sqrt{m}/8$, and hence only a finite number of such sets.

2.2

Я

Let $\acute{+}$ be a continuous positive function on ${\sf M}$. Corollary 2.1.1. Then we can find a smooth function $\frac{1}{2}$, with $0 < \frac{1}{2} (P) < \frac{1}{2} (P)$ for all PE M.

Proof

With the notation of the Theorem, choose
$$S_{\chi} > 0$$
 less than
the infimum of f on the compact set $\varphi_{\chi}^{-1}(\tilde{\mathcal{U}}(0,2))$. Set
 $\overline{\mathcal{P}}_{\chi}(F) = \mathcal{B}_{f}(2-|\chi|)$ if $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{U}_{\chi}, \varphi_{\chi}(P) = \chi)$
 $= 0$ otherwise;

as in the proof of Prop 1.1, $ar{\Phi}_{\sim}(F)$ is smooth. The functions $ar{\Phi}_{\sim}$ have the properties: i) For each ${}^{
m O}{}_{\mathcal E} \cap {}^{
m I}$, there is an \prec , with $\tilde{\Phi}_{\sim}(P)=1$. ii) Each \tilde{P} in M has a nbd on which all but a finite number of functions Φ_{\star} vanish. These, in fact, translate properties i), ii) of the Theorem. By ii), the function $\Sigma_{\sim} \Phi_{\infty}(P) = \Sigma(P)$ can be defined, and is everywhere smooth; we set $\Psi_{\times}(P) = \bar{\phi}_{\Sigma}(P) / \Sigma(P)$. Again using ii), we can define

 $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{P}) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{x}} \, \mathfrak{H}_{\mathbf{x}} \, \mathfrak{V}_{\mathbf{x}} \, (\mathcal{P}) \quad ;$ since $\sum_{\infty} \tilde{\psi}_{\infty} (\mathbb{P}) = 1$, this is a weighted mean of numbers $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathbf{X}}$ all less than f(P) , hence also is, and it is positive, as all $\delta_{\infty}>0$ and so is some $\overline{\Psi}_{\prec}\left(\mathcal{P}
ight)$.

Complement 2.1.2. We can find a countable set of pairs of disjoint co-ordinate discs $(\mathcal{U}_{\prec}, \mathcal{V}_{\prec})$ such that the $\mathcal{U}_{\checkmark} \times \mathcal{V}_{\bigstar}$ cover all of $M \times M$ except the diagonal (points $(\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{X})$.

Proof

As above, we easily reduce this to a problem in Euclidean space, and there the disjoint pairs of $\mathcal{U}(x, \mathcal{I}_{m}/1)$, (where ix has L integer co-ordinates) will clearly do what we want.

D5

A set of nonnegative smooth functions $\psi_{\mathbf{x}}$ on \mathcal{M} is called a partition of unity if the sets $\mathcal{U}_{x} = \{P: \psi_{x}(P) > 0\}$ form a locally finite covering of M , and $\sum \psi_{+}(F) = 1$.

The functions $\widehat{\Psi}_{\omega}$ above had this property, and, in addition, that the closures of the \mathcal{U}_{\prec} were compact.

Our next investigation of smooth manifolds concerns connectedness.

A smooth map $\sim \mathcal{R} \to M$ is called a path in M. Two points $P \varphi$ in M are called <u>connected</u> in M if there is a path in Mwhose image contains ${\cal P}$ and ${\cal Q}$.

Connectedness in \mathcal{M} is an equivalence relation. Lemma 2.2

By definition, the relation is symmetric. It is reflexive, Proof since a constant map is a path. To prove transitivity, let \prec, β , be paths with images containing (P, Q) (Q, R), and suppose without loss of generality $\propto (-1) = P, \propto (0) = Q, \beta(0) = Q$, and $\beta(1) = R$. Let $\Phi: \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{V}$ be a C.N. of Q such that \mathcal{V} is convex. Since \propto is continuous, for some $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\langle 1, |t| \langle \varepsilon \Rightarrow \propto (t) \varepsilon U$. Similarly for β ; let's suppose that ξ will do for both. by $\chi(t) = \langle t \rangle$ $t < -\varepsilon$ Now define $= (1 - \lambda) \propto (t) + \lambda \beta(t) \qquad -\xi \leq t \leq \xi$ $= \beta(t) \qquad + > <$

where the linear combination is taken in V, and λ is a smoothing function which is C near $t = -\xi$ and 1 near $t = \xi$, e.g. $\lambda(t) = \beta_{p} \left(\frac{t_{2}}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right)$

+>5

₽

Б

is clearly smooth, and its image contains $\mathcal P$ and $\mathcal R$. ${\tt S}$ then χ Each equivalence class is open in M . Lemma 2.3

- If $\varphi: \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{V}$ is a C.N. of \mathcal{P} such that \mathcal{V} is convex, Proof every point of $\mathcal V$ can be joined to $\mathcal P$ using the path corresponding to the straight line in V (suitably parametrised). Æ
- Corollary 2.3.1. Each equivalence class is closed in M, for it is the complement of the union of the other equivalence classes.
- A subset of M is open and closed if and only if it is a Lenna 2.4 union of equivalence classes.
- Sufficiency follows by Lemma 2.3 and Corollary. Proof For necessity, observe that since \mathcal{R}^1 is connected, any path which meets an open and closed subset is contained in it, so such a subset is saturated for the equivalence relation.
- D7

The equivalence classes are called the components of $\mathcal M$.

 \mathcal{M} is connected if it only has one component.

Lenma 2.4 shows that this is taking components in their usual sense. Comparing with D6, we note that for smooth manifolds,

D6

connection and connection by smooth paths are equivalent. A component of \mathcal{M} , being open, is an open submanifold; and \mathcal{M} is the discrete union of all its components. Thus to study \mathcal{M} up to diffeomorphism, it suffices to take the components separately; we shall frequently do this.

Prop 2.5 A connected smooth manifold M'' has a countable base of open sets.

Proof Since \Re^m has a countable base, it is sufficient to show that the set of nbds occurring in Theorem 2.1 is countable. Since M^m is connected, there is a path joining any two points, so between any two nbds $\varphi_{\infty}^{-1}(\mathcal{U}(0,1))$ there is a finite chain of such nbds, each overlapping the next. Now the sets \mathcal{U}_{∞} are locally finite: each point has a nbd meeting only a finite number, so each compact set meets only a finite number. Thus each $\varphi_{\infty}^{-1}(\mathcal{U}(0,1))$ and so each $\varphi_{\infty}^{-1}(\mathcal{U}(0,1))$ meets only a finite number of others. By induction, the number of sets $\mathcal{U}(0,1)$ joined to a given one by a chain of length at most K is finite; hence their total number is at most countably infinite.

Corollary 2.5.1. A smooth manifold \mathcal{M}^{m} is second countable if and only if the set of its components is finite or enumerable. \mathbb{S} Lemma 2.6 Let \mathcal{Y} be a metric space, X a closed subset. For any open nbd \mathcal{U} of X in \mathcal{Y} , there is a positive continuous

function f on X such that $x \in X$, $g(x, y) < f(x) \Rightarrow y \in U$. Proof Define f(x) = g(x, Y-U): clearly $|f(x) - f(x')| \leq g(x, X')$, so f is continuous: it is nonzero and satisfies the condition. \mathfrak{F} Corollary 2.6.1. If X is a compact subset of the metric space Y, any open nbd U of X in Y contains an \mathcal{E} - nbd for some $\mathcal{E} > O$. Proof Take $\mathcal{E} = \inf f$, where f is given by the Lemma. Corollary 2.6.2. If X is a metric space, U a neighbourhood of the diagonal $\Delta(x)$ in $X \times X$, there is a positive continuous function f on X such that $g(x, y) < f(x) \Rightarrow (x, y) \in U$.

1

Proof Take $Y = X \times X$ and \mathcal{G}_1 a product metric in the Lemma, and set $f_1(x) = f(x, x)$. Since $\mathcal{G}(x, y) = \mathcal{G}_1((x, x), (x, y))$ the result follows.

Corollary	2.6.3. If X is compact metric, U a neighbourhood of $\Delta(X)$
	$X \times X$, then for some $\xi > 0$, $\varphi(x, y) < \xi \Rightarrow (x, y) \notin U$.
Proof	Take $\xi = \inf_{f}$, where \int_{f} is given by Cor 2.6.2.
	If X is a compact subset of the metric space γ , and $\mathcal U$
	open nbd of $X \star X$ in $orall \star \bigvee$, then for some $\xi > 0$, if V is
	e ξ -nbd of X in Y, \mathcal{U} contains $\forall \star \lor$
Proof	Take $\xi = \frac{1}{2} \varphi (X_x \times Y_x \vee - \mathcal{U})$, which exists since $Y_x \vee$
is	normal, $X_{\star}X$ compact. Then if $g(v_{\tau_1}, X) < \xi, g(v_{\tau_2}, X) < \xi$ we have
	$((v_1 \times v_2) \times x) \times 2\varepsilon = \varphi(x \times x, y \times y - U)$, so $v_1 \times v_2$ does not lie
	$Y_{\times}Y - U$.
Corollary	2.7.1. Let X be a compact subspace of the metric space Y ,
f =	$Y \rightarrow Z$ locally homeomorphic, and $f \mid X (1-1)$. Then X has a
nb	d \mathcal{U} in Y such that $\int I \mathcal{U}$ is a homeomorphism.
Proof	Let $D = \{(y_1, y_2) : y_1 \neq y_2, f(y_1) = f(y_2)\} \subset Y \times Y $. By
hy	pothesis, D is disjoint from $X \times X$ (since $\int X $ is $(1-1)$). Now
	e closure D is contained in the closed subset defined by
	$y_1) = f(y_2)$, so is contained in $\mathbb{D} \cup \Delta(Y)$. But by hypothesis,
0	is a local homeomorphism, so each point (y, y) has a neighbour-
ho	od disjoint from D . Thus $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ is disjoint from $\mathbb{A}(\mathcal{Y})$, so
<u>1</u>) is closed. Now apply Lemma 2.7, taking $\mathcal{U} = \forall x \forall - D$. We
fi	nd V , so that $V \times V$ does not meet D. Hence $f V \mapsto (1-1)$,
	is a honeomorphism.
	. Let V be locally compact, N Hausdorff. Then a proper
	to its image $(1-1)$ map $f: V \rightarrow N$ is a homeomorphism onto
	s image.
Proof	Let $M = \oint (V)$. Since f is proper onto M it extends
to	a continuous map of the one-point compactifications \vec{L}
-	$f: V u \infty \rightarrow M u \infty$.
	is a 1-1 map of a compact set, so a homeomorphism. Hence
	is a homeomorphism.
	t 2.8.1. If $f: V \to N$ is proper, then M is closed in N.
	r f then define $f: V \to N \cup \infty$, a homeomorphism into, with
COI	mpact image. Since $M \cup \infty$ is closed in $N \cup \infty$, so is M in N .

~

2.5

,

Theorem 2.9. (Baire's Theorem) Let M be a complete metric space. The intersection of a countable family of dense open subsets of M is dense.

Proof

Let the given subsets be $\{\mathcal{U}_i\}$, and let \vee be any nonempty open set. Then $V_n \mathcal{U}_i$ is nonempty and open, and so contains a spherical nbd $\mathcal{U}(x_1, \mathcal{E}_1)$. Next, $\mathcal{U}_2 \cap \mathcal{U}(x, \mathcal{E}_2)$ is nonempty and open, so contains a $\mathcal{U}(X_2, \xi_2)$. We can thus construct a decreasing sequence of nbds $\mathcal{U}(x_i, \mathcal{E}_i)$ and clearly $\mathcal{E}_i \to 0$. Then $\{X_i\}$ is a Cauchy sequence, so has a limit point X, which lies in each $\overline{\mathcal{U}}(X_i, \xi_i)$ (since the later X_j do) and so in each \mathcal{U}_i and in V. If W is open in M, the theorem holds for W . Complement 2.9.1. We construct the nbds as above. The limit point X exists Proof in \mathcal{M} (which is complete), and hence by the argument above ⊞ also in W .

Chapter 3

Throughout this chapter, M^{m} will be a smooth manifold. A tangent vector at $P \in M$ is a derivation on \neq to RD8a More precisely, it is a mapping $\lambda: \neq \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which satisfies i) If $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R}, f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{F}, \quad \lambda(\alpha, f_1 + \alpha, f_2) = a_1 \lambda(f_1) + a_2 \lambda(f_2)$ $\lambda(f, f_2) = \lambda(f_1)f_2(P) + f_1(P)\lambda(f_2).$ ii) If $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{F}_1$ We shall discuss the structure of the set of all tangent vectors Note that sums and real multiples of tangent vectors Μ. to $\mathcal P$ are also tangent vectors at $\mathcal P$, thus these form a vector atspace. The tangent space \mathcal{M}_{ρ} to \mathcal{M} at \mathcal{P} is the vector space formed D8b by all tangent vectors to M at PLet $\varphi: \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{V}$ be a C.N. of \mathcal{P} , and suppose without loss of generality $\varphi(\rho) = 0$. Let $\chi_{\mu}, \dots, \chi_{\mu}$ be co-ordinates in \mathbb{R}^{m} . Then for each $f \in \mathcal{F}$, we have $f' = f \circ \varphi^{-1}''$, a smooth function on V, so there are partial derivatives $d_i f = \frac{\partial f'}{\partial x_i} |_{\sigma}$. We assert that d_i is a tangent vector at P: condition i) is clear, and ii) follows by the rule for differentiating a product. We shall prove that these form a basis for M_{ρ} ; first, however, we need a lemma. Lemma 3.1 Let f be a smooth function on an open convex subset V of \mathbb{R}^{m} containing O, and let f(O)=O. Then there exist further smooth functions f_i $(1 \le i \le m)$ on V such that $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i f_i(x)$. Moreover, if f is a smooth function of additional parameters Q_{f} , we may suppose that the f_i also are f(x) = f(x) - f(o) $\int_{1}^{1} \frac{\partial f(tx)}{\partial t} dt$ Proof $= \int_{0}^{1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}}(fx) dt$ Hence we can take $f_i(x) = \int_0^{\infty} \sum_{x=1}^{\infty} \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_i} (fx) dt$ Hence we can take $f_i(x) = \int_0^{\infty} \sum_{x=1}^{\infty} (1x) dt$. The last part also R follows. The tangent vectors d_1, \cdots, d_n , form a basis for M_ρ . Theorem 3.2. We first remark that a tangent vector is essentially local Proof in nature: if f = q in a nbd 1A of P, and λ is a tangent

3.1

vector at P, then $\lambda(f) = \lambda(g)$. For by Prop 1.1, we can find a function $\overline{\Phi}$ on M, equal to 1 in a nbd of P, and zero outside \mathcal{U} . Then $\overline{\Phi}f = \overline{\Phi}g$, and so $f-g = (f-g)(1-\overline{\Phi})$. Thus $\lambda(f) - \lambda(g) = \lambda(f-g) = \lambda(f-g)(1-\overline{\Phi}(P)) + (f(F)-g(P))\lambda(1-\overline{\Phi})$ = 0.

Hence it is sufficient to consider only functions defined and smooth in $\mathcal N$, where $\phi\colon \mathcal U\to \mathcal V$ is a C.N. of $\mathcal P$ with $\mathcal V$ convex; it will be simpler to speak directly of functions on $\ \mathcal V$.

For any smooth function f on \vee , by Lemma 3.1, we can put $f(X) = f(0) + \sum x_i f_i(X)$

For any tangent vector λ at β , then, $\lambda(f) = \lambda(f(\omega)) + 5 \lambda(x + f_{\omega})$

$$f_{i}(f) = \lambda(f(0)) + \sum \lambda(x_{i}, f_{i})$$

= $f(0) \lambda(1) + \sum \lambda(x_{i}) f_{i}(0) + \sum x_{i}(0) \lambda(f_{i}).$

But $\lambda(1) = \lambda(1, 1) = 1$, $\lambda(1) + \lambda(1)$, $1 = 2\lambda(1)$, and so = 0. Thus $\lambda(f) = \sum \lambda(x_i) f_i(0)$.

In particular $dj(f) = \xi dj(x_1)f_1(0) = \xi \delta i j f_1(0) = fj(0)$. So $\lambda(f) = \xi \lambda(x_1) di(f)$

and as this is true for all f, $\lambda = \sum \lambda(x_i) di$. Hence the di span M_p . Since $di(x_j) = \sum i j$, they are linearly independent. Hence they form a basis.

We shall usually, by abuse of notation, write \mathcal{Y}_{x_1} for $d_{\widehat{z}}$ Now let $\varphi: M^{m} \rightarrow V^{r}$ be a smooth mapping, and let $\varphi(P) = Q$. The <u>differential</u> of φ at P, $d \varphi M_P \rightarrow V_Q$ is defined by: $d\varphi(x)(f) = X(f \circ \varphi)$ for $X \in M_P$, $f \in F v$. Since f, φ are smooth, so is $f \circ \varphi$, so the right hand side is defined. Then $d\varphi(X)$ is a derivation since X is. Clearly,

 ${\rm d}\,\phi$ is a linear mapping of M_{P} to V_{Q} .

If $f \in \mathcal{F}_{m}$, $f: \mathcal{M}^{m} \to \mathcal{R}$ is a smooth mapping, so that if $f(\mathcal{P}) = a$, we have $d \int \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{P}} \to \mathcal{R}_{a}$. However, we may identify each \mathcal{R}_{a} with \mathcal{R} itself in a natural manner: if \mathcal{K} is the parameter on \mathcal{R} , identify the vector \mathcal{R} $\mathcal{Y}_{\mathcal{X}}$ with the number $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}$. By change of parameter $\mathcal{Y} = \lambda x$, we have the same identification. [Similarly, we identify tangent spaces to $\mathcal{R}^{\mathcal{H}}$ with $\mathcal{R}^{\mathcal{H}}$ itself.] Thus for $f \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{M}}$, $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{M}$, we have $df: \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{P}} \to \mathcal{R}$. Since df is linear,

D9

it is an element of the dual vector space, M_{ρ}^{*} to M_{ρ} . Now, if $\mathcal{X}_{i} \dots \mathcal{X}_{m}$ are local co-ordinates at ρ , we have $dx_{i} \left(\mathcal{J}_{x_{j}} \right) = \mathcal{J}_{x_{j}}^{*} = \mathcal{J}_{x_{j}}$

so the dx; form the basis of M_p^* dual to the basis \Im_{x_i} of M_p^* .

This concludes the discussion of tangent vectors at a single point. We now wish to assemble together all tangent vectors: for this we need the idea of a fibre bundle. We refer the reader to Steenrod's book "Fibre Bundles" for a fuller description; we shall recapitulate some definitions here for the sake of continuity of argument.

D10

A map $\overline{\Pi}: T \rightarrow M$ is the projection of an <u>*Y*-vector bundle</u> if M can be covered by open sets $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{A}}$ such that

i) There are homeomorphisms $\varphi_{\prec} \colon \mathbb{M}_{\prec} \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \to \pi^{-1}(\mathbb{M}_{\prec})$ such that $\widetilde{\pi} \varphi_{\prec}(m, \chi) = m$.

ii) For each pair (α, β) there is a continuous map

 $\mathcal{J}_{\times \mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{U}_{\times} \cap \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{B}} \to \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{R}}}(\mathcal{R})$ such that for $m \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{B}} \cup \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{B}}, X \in \mathcal{R}^{n}$

and that to the character of the state of th

 $\begin{aligned} & \varphi_{\mathcal{S}}\left(m,\, X\right) = \varphi_{\mathcal{K}}\left(m,\, \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}} \right) \\ & \text{A map}\left(: M \rightarrow \overline{I} \text{ is called a } \underline{\text{cross-section}} \text{ if } \overline{n} \circ \right) = 1. \end{aligned}$ The bundle is <u>smooth</u> if the maps $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}} = \frac{1}{2}$ are smooth $[\mathcal{G}L_n(\mathcal{R})]$ is an open submanifold of $\mathcal{R}^{n,2}$.]. In this case \overline{I} admits a natural structure as smooth (m+n)-manifold, such that the maps $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}$ are diffeomorphisms on open submanifolds. For if we use these to define C.N.s, then we have differentiable transformations of co-ordinates on the intersections.

For a general <u>fibre bundle</u>, $G: L_n(\mathbb{R})$ is replaced by a general topological group G (we shall only make use of Lie groups) and \mathbb{R}^n by a general topological space F (the <u>fibre</u>) on which Goperates. The structure of the bundle is determined by the maps $\mathcal{J}_{\prec,\mathcal{B}}$; two bundles with the same $\mathcal{J}_{\prec,\mathcal{B}}$ but different fibres are called <u>associated</u>. If the $\mathcal{J}_{\prec,\mathcal{B}}$ all have images in a subgroup G of G, we say that the group of the bundle <u>reduces</u> to G. Write $T(\mathbb{M}) = \bigcup \{\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{P}} : \mathcal{P} \in \mathbb{M}\}$; the set of all tangent vectors to \mathbb{M} . Define $\overline{\mathfrak{h}} : T(\mathbb{M}) \to \mathbb{M}$ by $\overline{\mathfrak{h}} (\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{P}}) = \mathbb{P}$ Let $H_{\propto}: \mathcal{U}_{\prec} \to \mathcal{V}_{\prec}$ be a set of local co-ordinate systems, with the \mathcal{U}_{\prec} covering \mathcal{M} , and for $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{U}_{\prec}, \mathcal{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$, define $\mathcal{P}_{\propto} (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{V})$ as the tangent vector at \mathcal{P} determined by $\sum \mathcal{V}_{i} \to \mathcal{V}_{i}$. Then $\mathcal{P}_{\prec}: \mathcal{U}_{\sim} \times \mathbb{R}^{m} \to \mathcal{T}_{i}^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_{\downarrow})$ is a (1-1) mapping for each \propto . On $\mathcal{U}_{\prec} \cap \mathcal{U}_{\beta}$, denoting the two systems of co-ordinates by $\times \mathcal{X}_{, \chi} \mathcal{S}_{, \chi}$ we have, by the usual transformation rule

3.4

so we define
$$g = \beta : \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}} \land \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}} \Rightarrow \mathcal{GL}_{\mathcal{A}} (\mathcal{R})$$
 by
 $g \ll \beta(\mathcal{Q}) = \left(\frac{\Im \chi}{\Im \chi}\right)_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathcal{A}}$.

Then $\mathcal{J}_{\infty/\mathcal{S}}$ is a smooth mapping, and satisfies the condition above. To conclude that we have a vector bundle, it remains only to topologise $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{M})$. But since the maps $\mathcal{J}_{\infty/\mathcal{S}}$ are smooth, we may as above take the \mathcal{P}_{∞} (or rather their inverses) as C.N.s, and thus define on $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{M})$ the structure of smooth manifold, which in particular gives it a topology, with the \mathcal{P}_{∞} homeomorphisms.

T(M) is the <u>tangent bundle</u> to M. Write $\overline{T_0}(M)$ for the zero cross-section, i.e. the set of zero tangent vectors. In general, a smooth cross-section of T(M) is called a <u>vector field</u> on M. Any bundle associated to T(M) via a linear representation of $G_{L_{rc}}(\widehat{R})$ is called a <u>tensor bundle</u> (and points of it are tensors, whose type is determined by the representation). The bundle given by the adjoint representation is the <u>bundle of differential 1-forms</u> on M^{m} ; its fibre over P is the dual space M_{p}^{*} to M_{p} . The bundle whose fibre over P is the set of all positive definite quadratic forms on M_{p} is called the <u>Riemann bundle</u>, and any cross-section of it a <u>Riemannian structure</u> on M_{p} .

For further discussion of such bundles, we refer the reader to Nomizu's book 'Lie Groups and Differential Geometry'. The above contains more than we shall need. We now prove the fundamental

Theorem 3.3 Every smooth manifold M^{n} has a Riemannian structure. Proof Let $q_{\prec}: \mathcal{N}_{\prec} \to \mathcal{N}(03)$ be the C.N.s constructed in Theorem 2.1

D11

and let Ψ_{∞} be the partition of unity constructed in the Corollary. Now $\mathcal{U}(0,3)$ has the standard Euclidean Riemannian structure: $\sum d_{\infty} \frac{2}{i}$ We write $ds^2 = \sum \Psi_{\infty} \sum (d \ge i \circ d \phi_{\infty})^2$ As usual, since the \mathcal{U}_{∞} are locally finite, the sum is defined. Since a linear combination of positive definite quadratic forms is again positive definite, ds^2 is everywhere positive definite. Thus it defines a Riemannian structure on $M^{\gamma_{\infty}}$.

Now suppose a Riemannian structure chosen on M^m . This induces an inner product on each $M\rho$, which we use to introduce notions of length of a tangent vector, etc. We can modify the maps $\varphi_{\star}: \mathcal{M}_{\star} \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_{\star})$ so as to preserve the inner product on the fibres; simply apply the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalisation process. In fact, consider φ_{\star} as a map $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^m$ depending on certain parameters. We modify φ by putting

 $\varphi'(e_i) = \sum_{j \leq i} \lambda_{ij} \varphi(e_j)$ where the λ_{ij} with j < i are chosen to make the $\varphi'(e_i)$ orthogonal, and $\lambda_{ii} > 0$ so as to make the $\varphi'(e_i)$ unit vectors. Then the λ_{ij} are also smooth functions of the parameters.

Thus if a Riemannian structure is chosen on M^{m} , we can always consider orthonormal bases in the fibres, so the group then reduces to the orthogonal group O(m). The converse: that a reduction to O(m) corresponds to a Riemannian structure, follows by reversing the argument. We observe that the choice of an inner product on M_{ρ} allows us to identify M_{ρ} with M_{ρ}^{\star} . For a Riemannian manifold, we shall usually do this.

 $M^{\mathcal{M}}$ is called <u>orientable</u> if the group of the tangent bundle is reducible to $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{M}} \begin{pmatrix} + \\ \mathcal{M} \end{pmatrix}$, <u>oriented</u> if the group is so reduced. Since the co-ordinate transformations were given by the matrices $\begin{pmatrix} \partial x & \beta \\ \partial x & \gamma \end{pmatrix}$ the condition is that all the Jacobian determinants are positive. The bundle associated to the tangent bundle with fibre $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}}(\mathcal{R})/\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}}(\mathcal{R}) = \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ is a double covering of \mathcal{M} , called the <u>orientation covering</u>. Its projection on \mathcal{M} , together with C.N.s of \mathcal{M} , can be taken as C.N.s, so the orientation covering is a smooth manifold. By the definition, all the Jacobians

D12

occurring here are positive, so this manifold is orientable. If \mathcal{M} is nonorientable, we can find a closed chain of C.N.s, each overlapping the next, such that the number of negative Jacobians is odd.

If \mathcal{M} has a Riemannian structure, the same considerations of orientation apply, replacing $G \underset{m}{\overset{(R)}{\underset{m}}} G \underset{m}{\overset{(R)}{\underset{m}}} G \underset{m}{\overset{(R)}{\underset{m}}} O_{m}$, $S O_{m}$.

Chapter 4

Analysis

In this chapter, we list a number of standard results from analysis which we shall need later. Since a number of the proofs are long, we shall omit them, and give references for the less accessible results.

4.1 Inverse Function Theorem.

Let f_1, \dots, f_n be smooth functions defined in a nbd of $O \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and suppose $| \underbrace{\Im_{X,j}}_{i \neq i} \neq O$ at O. Then in some nbd $\mathcal{U} \circ f_0, f_1, \dots, f_n$ define a diffeomorphism of \mathcal{U} on an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n . Corollary 4.1.1. Let \mathcal{M}^m be a smooth manifold; f_1, \dots, f_m smooth functions on $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{M}$. The f_i may be taken as co-ordinate functions for a C.N. of \mathcal{P} if and only if the f_i form a basis for $\mathcal{M}^*_{\mathcal{O}}$.

Proof Let $\varphi: \mathcal{U} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a C.N. of \mathcal{P} . Then the $\mathfrak{fi} \circ \varphi^{-1}$ are smooth functions on a nbd of $\varphi(\mathcal{P}) \notin \mathbb{R}^n$; by the theorem, they define a diffeomorphism of some such nbd if and only if the Jacobian $\left|\frac{\partial(\mathfrak{fi} \circ \varphi^{-1})}{\partial \chi_i}\right| \neq 0$ at $\varphi(\mathcal{P})$. But the elements of this matrix are just the coefficients in the $d\mathfrak{fi}$ of basis elements $d\chi_i$ of $M_{\mathcal{P}}^{\star}$.

..2 Implicit Function Theorem.

Let f_1, \dots, f_r be smooth functions defined in a nbd of $O \in \mathbb{R}^{r+s}$ and suppose the determinant formed by their partial derivatives with respect to $\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_r$ is nonzero at O. Then there are \mathfrak{r} smooth functions g_1, \dots, g_r defined in a nbd of $O \in \mathbb{R}^S$ such that within some nbd of $O \in \mathbb{R}^{r+s}$, a point satisfies $f_i(P) = O$ $(1 \le i \le r)$ if and only if it satisfies $\mathfrak{X}_i = g_i(\mathfrak{X}_{r+1}, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{r+s})$ $(1 \le i \le r)$.

,3 Whitney's Extension Theorem

Let f be a smooth function defined on the open set $x_1 > 0$ of \mathbb{R}^n , and suppose that f and all its partial derivatives extend to continuous functions on \mathbb{R}^n_+ . Then there is a smooth function g on \mathbb{R}^n which agrees with f in its range of definition.

Whitney's proof, which establishes results of much greater generality, can be found e.g. in his paper: Analytic extensions

4.1

of differentiable functions defined on closed sets, in the Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 36 (1934) pp. 63-89.

We next consider Picard's existence theorem for differential equations. It is convenient to use the following terms. Let \mathcal{U} be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n , \mathbb{K} a compact subset of \mathcal{U} . Existence Theorem for Ordinary Differential Equations 4.4

Given a system of equations $\frac{dx}{dt} = \varphi(x)$ where φ is a smooth function on \mathcal{M} to \mathbb{R}^n , then for some $\ell > 0$ there exists a unique smooth function $x = g(x_0, t)$ on $K \times E$ to \mathcal{M} (where E is the set: $|t| < \ell$) satisfying the equation, and such that $X_0 = g(X_0 O)$.

We shall use this to develop the connection between vector fields on a smooth manifold M^m and 1-parameter groups of diffeomorphisms of ${\cal M}$.

D13

A family $\{ \varphi_t : t \in \mathbb{R} \}$ of mappings of M into itself is called a <u>1-parameter group of diffeomorphisms</u> of M if

i) The mapping $\varphi: M \times \mathbb{R} \to M \times \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\varphi(m, t) = (\varphi_t(m), t)$ is a diffeomorphism

ii) For all s, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\varphi_s \varphi_t = \varphi_{s+t}$.

We observe that the first condition implies that each $\varphi_{\mathfrak{t}}$ is in fact a diffeomorphism. Now suppose $\{\varphi_{\mathfrak{t}}\}$ does satisfy these conditions. Then we define a vector field X on M as follows. For $\mathfrak{f} \in \mathfrak{f}_{\mathcal{M}}$ $\mathfrak{f} \in \mathcal{M}$, we set

For $f \in \mathcal{F}_{M}$, $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{M}$, we set $X \cap (f) = \lim_{\chi \to 0} \frac{f(\mathcal{Q}_{\chi}(\mathcal{P})) - f(\mathcal{P})}{\chi} = \frac{d}{d_{\chi}} f(\mathcal{Q}_{\chi}(\mathcal{P}))|_{\chi = 0}$ It is clear that X_{ρ} is a tangent vector to \mathcal{M} at \mathcal{P} . The fact that X_{ρ} varies smoothly with \mathcal{P} , so that X is a vector field, now follows from i).

Our present aim is to obtain a partial converse to this result.

<u>Theorem 4.5</u> Let M^{M} be a smooth manifold, X a vector field on M, \mathcal{U} an open set in M with compact closure K. Then we can find $\varepsilon > 0$, and for each t with $|t| < \varepsilon$, a map Φ_{t} of \mathcal{U} in M,

4.2

such that

i) The map $\varphi: \mathcal{W}_{X} E \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{X} \mathbb{R}$ (defined as above) is a diffeomorphism onto an open submanifold.

ii) If |S|, |t|, and |S+t| are less than \mathcal{E} ; \mathcal{P} and $\mathcal{Q}_t(\mathcal{P})$ are in \mathcal{U} , then

 $\varphi_{s} \varphi_{t}(\rho) = \varphi_{s+t}(\rho).$ iii) For each PEU, fE JM, $X_{p}(f) = \frac{d}{dt} f(\varphi_{t}(P))|_{t=0}$ The map ${\cal Q}$ is uniquely determined by these conditions.

Proof

Cover K by a finite number of compact sets k_{∞} , each contained in the interior of V_{\propto} , where \mathbb{M}_{\approx} : $V_{\approx} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{\sim}$ is a C.N. We shall now interpret our conditions in \mathcal{M}_{\sim} . First, however, note that if $\int \mathcal{E} \mathcal{F}, \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int (\varphi_t(P))|_{t=S} = \frac{d}{dt} \int (\varphi_{S+t}(P))|_{t=Q}$ $= \frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{t} \left(\phi_t(\phi_s(P))) \right|_{t=0} = X_{\phi_s(P)}(f) (1)$ $U_{x} \text{ write } X = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f^{i} \mathcal{J}_{x_{1}}, \text{ and consider the system}$ $\frac{d \mathcal{L}_{i}}{d F} = f^{i}(x)$

Now in

We shall apply 4.4, taking \mathcal{U}_{x} for \mathcal{U} , and $H(\mathcal{K}_{x})$ for K . Since X is smooth, the \int_{1}^{1} are smooth, and the result does apply: we find \mathcal{E}_{\propto} , and a smooth function $X = g(X_o, t)$ for $X \in K_{\sim}, |t| < \varepsilon_{\sim}$. uniquely determined by the equation. We write $\varphi_{t}(x_{o}) = q(x_{o},t) - q(x_{o},t)$ or rather define $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{F}}$ in M by this relation in $\mathcal{U}_{\boldsymbol{\varkappa}}$. If $\boldsymbol{\ell}=\min \boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}_{\boldsymbol{\varkappa}}$, $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}}$ is now defined on the required range: the fact that the functions defined by different C.N.s agree on the intersection follows by the uniqueness, and the fact that the equations solved are simply derived from each other by change of variables.

We note that the functions $\varphi_{s+t}(\rho) \rightarrow q(x_o, s+t)$ satisfy the same equation, with initial value $g(x_o, \varsigma)$. By the uniqueness, $g(x_0, s+t) = g(g(x_0, s), t)$, i.e. $\phi_{s+t}(x_0) = \phi_t \phi_s(x_0)$ in the common range of definition. Thus ψ_{-t} is an inverse of ϕ_t , so each ϕ_{t} is a diffeomorphism (over a smaller set than K , initially - but we could have enlarged K in the first place), and since \mathcal{Q} is smooth, it too is a diffeomorphism. € If M^{m} is compact, each vector field generates a Corollary 4.5.1 1-parameter group of diffeomorphisms of $\mathcal M$.

Proof We can now take $k = \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}$ in the theorem, and find $\varphi: \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{R}$. But the definition of φ can be extended over the whole of \mathcal{R} using the functional equation $\varphi_{s} \varphi_{t} = \varphi_{s+t}$, since this is satisfied in $|t| < \varepsilon$.

In general, a vector field on M is called <u>complete</u> if it generates a 1-parameter group of diffeomorphisms of M. Corollary 4.5.2. If X is complete, and Y agrees with X outside a compact subset of M, then Y is also complete.

 ${\tt Proof}$

Outside a neighbourhood of such a subset, φ can be defined for $|t| < \varepsilon$, by hypothesis, since it can for X. But such a neighbourhood is compact, so inside it φ can also be defined for $|t| < \varepsilon$, by the Theorem. The conclusion follows as for the first Corollary.

We observe that in \mathbb{R} the constant vector field \mathcal{G}_{X} is complete; indeed, we then have $\varphi_{\mathbb{P}}(X) = \mathbb{E} + X$. More generally, in the product $M^{m} \times \mathbb{R}$, the field which we may call $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{E}}$ which maps to zero on the first factor and to the standard field on the second is also complete; here we have

 $\varphi_{t}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{S}) = (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{S} + \mathbf{t}).$

These results are our first justification of the use of the term tangent in tangent vectors, since we now see that such vectors correspond to displacement along the manifold.

-4.4

Chapter 1

Geodesics

In this chapter, we shall suppose that M^{11} has a fixed Riemannian structure ds^2 , expressed in local co-ordinates by $ds^2 = g \cdot g \cdot dx_1 \cdot dx_2$, where $g \cdot g$ is a positive definite quadratic form. Let $p \cdot R^1 \rightarrow M$ be a path (smooth map). We define the <u>length</u> and <u>energy</u> of P between two of its points by $k(1) = \int_{0}^{1} ds \cdot dt$

$$\mathcal{L}(p) = \int_{a}^{b} \frac{dt}{dt} \frac{dt}{dt}$$

$$E(p) = (b-a) \int_{a}^{b} \frac{ds}{dt}^{2} dt,$$

$$E(p) = (dx_{t})^{2} \frac{ds}{dt}^{2} dt,$$

where $\binom{d_{M_{L}}^{2}}{d_{L}}^{2} = g_{ij} \begin{pmatrix} d_{X_{ij}} \\ d_{L} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d_{M_{L}} \\ d_{L} \end{pmatrix}$, the derivatives being taken along the path. We define a distance function on M by $\varphi(P,Q) = \inf \left\{ (p) : p \ a \ path joining P \ b Q$.

Thus $\mathcal{Y}(P,Q)$ is defined if and only if P,Q are in the same component of \mathcal{M} ; in fact we suppose \mathcal{M} connected for the remainder of this chapter. We note that at a point, by changing co-ordinates, we can diagonalise $dS^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i dC_i \sum_{i=1}^{2} dC_i$, and it is then clear that at this point, and so in a nbd, its ratio to the Euclidean metric is bounded above and below by positive numbers. Hence the metric induces the given topology on \mathcal{M} ; we call it the Riemannian metric.

D15

A <u>geodesic</u> is a smooth path $p: \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{M}$ (U open in \mathbb{R}^{1}) giving an extremal value to the energy between any two of its points.

By Schwarz' inequality $\{l(p)\}^2 = \{\int_a^b ds_{dt} dt\}^2 \leq \int_a^b dt \int_a^b (ds_{dt})^2 dt$ $= (b - a) \int_a^b (ds_{dt})^2 dt = E(p),$ with equality if and only if ds_{dt} is constant, so that the curve is parametrised proportionately to arc length. If it is not, we clearly do not have an extremal value, as a first order change

in parametrisation, making it more even, will give a first order

$$\frac{d^2 x_i}{dt^2} + \int_{jk}^{i} \frac{dx_j}{dt} \frac{dx_k}{dt} = 0$$

Proof

Euler's equation for the variational problem is

 $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{i}} \right), \quad \text{where } y_{i} = \frac{\partial x_{i}}{\partial t},$ i.e. $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{dx_{j}}{dt} \frac{dx_{k}}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt} \left(2 q_{ij} \frac{dx_{j}}{dt} \right)$ $= 2 q_{ij} \frac{d^{2}x_{j}}{dt^{2}} + 2 \frac{\partial q_{ij}}{\partial x_{k}} \frac{dx_{j}}{dt} \frac{dx_{k}}{dt}$ $= 2 q_{ij} \frac{d^{2}x_{j}}{dt^{2}} + \frac{dx_{j}}{dt} \frac{dx_{k}}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial q_{ij}}{\partial x_{k}} + \frac{\partial q_{ik}}{\partial x_{j}} \right)$ If q^{ij} is the inverse to q_{ij} , multiply by q^{ij} and reduce; $\frac{d^{2}x_{i}l}{dt^{2}} + \frac{1}{2} q^{ij} \left(\frac{\partial q_{ij}}{\partial x_{k}} + \frac{\partial q_{ik}}{\partial x_{j}} - \frac{\partial q_{jk}}{\partial x_{i}} \right) \frac{dx_{ij}}{dt} \frac{dx_{k}}{dt} = 0$ The coefficient of the last term is usually abbreviated to \int_{jk}^{l} Theorem 1.2 Let q^{ij} be a C.N. in M, K a compact subset. Then
there exists $\mathcal{E} > 0$ such that for $f \mathcal{E} K$, $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{E} M_{p}$, and $|\mathcal{V}| \leq \mathcal{E}$,
there is a unique geodesic p(t) with p(0) = P, $\frac{d}{dt} p(t)|_{t=0} = \mathcal{V}$;
this is defined for |t| < 2, stays in \mathcal{U} , and depends smoothly
on p, \mathcal{V}, t .

Proof

We shall apply the Existence theorem for Differential Equations (4.4 of Part 0). Consider the system

 $\frac{dx_{i}}{dt} = y_{i}$ $\frac{dy_{i}}{dt} = -\int_{jk}^{i} (x) y_{j} y_{k}$

where $X \in \mathcal{U}, |\mathcal{V}| \leq 3$ corresponds to the \mathcal{U} of that theorem, and $X \in K |\mathcal{V}| \leq 2$ to its K. Then for some $\varepsilon > 0$, we find a unique solution $X = f(X_0, Y_0, t)$, depending smoothly on all its arguments, and lying in \mathcal{U} . Lifting to V by φ^{-1} , this gives a geodesic in M. To deduce the theorem, we need only change parameter by $t' = \frac{2}{\varepsilon}t$; this has the effect of multiplying the initial $\frac{d}{d\varepsilon} p(t)$ by the inverse factor, and so altering the condition $|\mathcal{V}| \leq 2$ to $|\mathcal{V}| \leq \varepsilon$.

Remark that the condition $v = \frac{d}{dt} p(t) \Big|_{t=0}$ means that

I.1.3.

for $\int \mathcal{L} \mathcal{J}, \, \mathcal{V}(f) = \frac{d}{dt} \int (\rho(t)) |_{t=0}$. We shall refer to \mathcal{V} as the <u>direction</u> of p at P.

D16

Let $P \in M$, $\gamma \in Mp$, and suppose that the geodesic with direction \mathcal{V} at P can be defined for $|t| \leq 1$. Then $\exp(P, \mathcal{V})$ is the point at t=1 on the geodesic. \exp is called the <u>exponential</u> <u>map</u>. We also write $E \propto p(P, \mathcal{V}) = (P, \exp(P, \mathcal{V}))$. Note that the local existence and uniqueness of geodesics of

Theorem 1.2 does not imply global existence, but does imply uniqueness in the whole range of existence (by applying the result to a sequence of points along the geodesic) given the initial point and direction.

Corollary 1.2.1. $exp: V \to M$, $Exp: V \to M \times M$ are smooth maps defined on a nbd V of $T_o(M)$ in T(M).

For by Theorem 1.2, each point of $\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{M})$ has a nbd on which they are defined.

The Jacobian determinant of $E \times \rho$ is nonzero on $\mathbb{T}_{\alpha}(M)$. Prop 1.3 For $P \in M$, let $\varphi: \mathcal{U} \to \mathbb{R}^m$ be a C.N., and choose x_1, \dots, x_m Proof as co-ordinates in M, dx_1, \dots, dx_m as co-ordinates in the fibres $M_{\mathcal{P}}$; write the latter as $\mathcal{N}_1, \cdots, \mathcal{N}_m$, and write co-ordinates in MxM as $X_1, \dots, X_m, Z_1, \dots, Z_m$. Then we have Exp(x, r) = (x, Z), so it remains to compute the partial derivatives of the Z_i at O . Now Z is the point at t = 1 on the solution of the equation $\frac{dz}{dt} = \gamma$ with initial condition $Z = X, \gamma = \gamma_0$ i.e. at the point t_0 on the solution with initial condition $Z = x, Y = \frac{v_{4}}{2} = V$. Hence $z = \chi + t_0 \vee +$ smaller terms (when t_0 is small, \vee fixed), and so to find $\frac{\partial Z_i}{\partial V_j}$, set $(V_o)_i = t \delta_{ij}$; then $\frac{\partial Z_i}{\partial V_j} = \frac{\partial Z_i(V_o)}{\partial t_o} = \delta_{ij}$ This proves the result: for later reference note also $\frac{\partial Z_i}{\partial x_j} = \delta_{ij} \quad (\text{clear})$ Corollary 1.3.1 $\Pi_o(M)$ has a nbd V' in $\Pi(M)$ on which Exp is defined, \$ and is a local diffeomorphism.

Corollary 1.3.2 If M is compact, $T_{0}(M)$ has a nbd \forall'' in T(M) on which Exp is defined, and is a diffeomorphism. Proof 1.3.1 follows from the Proposition and the Inverse Function Theorem (4.1 of Part 0). 1.3.2. follows from 1.3.1, using Corollary 2.7.1 of Part 0.

However, the result of the last corollary can also be obtained, in a stronger form, without the assumption of compactness. Theorem 1.4 There is a nbd W of $\Delta(M)$ in $M \times M$ such that if $(x, y) \in W$, there is a unique geodesic from x to y of length $\varphi(x, y)$. Hence Exp defines a diffeomorphism of $\text{Exp}^{-1}(W)$ onto W.

Proof For $P \in M$, by Corollary 1.3.1, let \mathcal{M} be a nbd of Psuch that $\mathbb{E} \times \rho^{-1}$ defines a diffeomorphism of $\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}$ on a nbd of $\mathbb{T}_{o}(\mathcal{U})_{j}$ and let $\varphi: \mathcal{U} \to \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a C.N. of P. Then if \mathcal{U}_{1} is a sufficiently small nbd of P, each pair of points in \mathcal{U}_{1} is joined by a unique geodesic lying in \mathcal{U}_{1} , and each geodesic going outside \mathcal{U}_{1} is longer. We say it is obvious that this geodesic gives a minimum length for curves in \mathcal{U}_{1} joining the two points, by comparison with the Euclidean problem (in the technical language of Calculus of Variations, since the metric is positive definite, the problem is regular, and we have constructed a semi-field of extremals, passing though a point and covering a nbd). Hence it gives the global minimum, which we defined as the distance $\varphi(x, y)$. Thus Exp^{-1} is a diffeomorphism on $\mathcal{U}_{1} \times \mathcal{U}_{1}$: we take \mathcal{W}_{1} as the union of such nbds.

We recall that a metric space is complete if each fundamental sequence of points converges to a limit point, or equivalently, if each bounded closed subset is compact. With this concept, we can give the global forms of the above theorems.

Theorem 1.5 M is complete if and only if geodesics may be indefinitely produced, i.e. if exp and Exp are definable on T(M). Any two points in a complete manifold may be joined by geodesics: the length of at least one such is the distance between them.

Proof Suppose first M complete, and $\rho(t)$ a geodesic which exits only for t < k. Then its points form a fundamental sequence: since M is complete, these have a limit point P. But by Theorem 1.2, P has a compact nbd K such that any geodesic within \hat{k} may be produced a distance \mathcal{E} . This give a contradiction.

\$

Now suppose exp globally definable, but that there are pairs of points (P,Q) not joined by a geodesic of length $\mathcal{G}(P,Q)$. Let \mathbf{v} be the greatest lower bound of the distances of such points Q from P (by Theorem 1.4, $\mathbf{v} > 0$), let $K_1 = \{ \forall \in M \rho : | \forall | \leq \mathbf{v} \}$, and let $K = \exp(K_1)$. Then K_1 is compact, hence so is K_1 , by definition of \mathbf{v} , K contains all points at distance less than \mathbf{v} from P. Choose $2\mathcal{E} < \mathbf{v}$ as the number \mathcal{E} in Corollary 1.4.1, and choose Q such that $\mathcal{G}(P,Q) = \mathcal{V}_0 < \mathcal{V} + \mathcal{E}$, but P and Q are not joined by a geodesic of length $\mathcal{G}(P,Q)$. Now let P_1 be a smooth path from P to Q of length at most $\mathbf{v}_0 + \mathcal{I}_1$, and let R_1 be the point on it at distance $\mathbf{v} - \mathcal{E}$ from P. The R_1 lie in the compact set K; let \mathbf{F} be a cluster point. Then

 $\mathcal{G}(P,R) \leq \lim \sup \mathcal{G}(P,R_1) = \mathcal{V} - \mathcal{E}, \ \mathcal{G}(R,Q) \leq \lim \sup \mathcal{G}(R_1,Q) = \mathcal{V}_{-}\mathcal{V}_{+\mathcal{E}}$ so by the triangle inequality we have $\mathcal{G}(P,R) = \mathcal{V} - \mathcal{E}, \ \mathcal{G}(R,Q) = \mathcal{G} - \mathcal{V} + \mathcal{E}$. By the definitions of \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E} ; \mathcal{P} can be joined to R by a geodesic of length $\mathcal{V} - \mathcal{E}$; R to Q by one of length $\mathcal{V}_{-} - \mathcal{V} + \mathcal{E}$. If these met at an angle at Q, by cutting a corner, we could find a shorter path; a contradiction. Hence they have the same direction at Q, so by the uniqueness theorem form part of the same geodesic. Thus \mathcal{P} is joined to Q by a geodesic of length $\mathcal{G}(P,Q)$; a contradiction.

Finally, suppose $\exp M_{\rho} = M$. Then a bounded set lies within a finite distance from ρ , so is contained in the image of a closed and bounded, hence compact, subset of M_{ρ} . But the image of this set is also compact, so the result follows.

Theorem 1.6. Any connected manifold has a Riemannian metric in which it is complete

Proof. We make a slight refinement of the proof of Theorem 3.3 in Part O, asserting the existence of Riemannian structures. Let $\varphi_X : \mathcal{U}_X \to \mathcal{U}(0,3)$ be the C.N.s constructed in Theorem 2.1, Part O, and define $\Phi_X \in \mathcal{F}_i$ by $\Phi_X(P) = B_P(2! - |x|)$ if $P \in \mathcal{U}_X$, $\varphi_X(P) = X$ = O if $P \notin \mathcal{U}_X$.

 $= O \qquad \text{if } \mathcal{P} \notin \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{X}}.$ Then write $d\mathcal{S}^2 = \sum \Phi_{\mathcal{X}} \cdot \left(\sum d\chi_{i}^2 \right) \circ \Phi_{\mathcal{X}}.$ As in the earlier proof, we see that this is a metric. In $\Phi_{\mathcal{X}}^{-1}(\mathcal{U}(O, | \frac{1}{2}))$, it dominates the Euclidean metric, so the set of points at distance $\leq \frac{1}{3}$ from $\varphi_{\chi}^{-1}(\overline{u}(0,1))$ is a closed subset of $\varphi_{\chi}^{-1}(\overline{u}(0,2))$, so is compact. As in Theorem 1.5, it follows that all geodesics from a point of $\varphi_{\chi}^{-1}(u(0,1))$, and hence from any point of M, may be produced a distance at least $\frac{1}{3}$. Thus they can all be produced indefinitely.

I.1.6.

Note that by Part 0, Corollary 4.1.1. this is equivalent to the requirement that in a nbd of each point of M, M is defined by the vanishing of (n - m) functions with linearly independent differentials. For in the case above, M is defined by the vanishing of the last (n - m) coordinate functions; while by that corollary, any set of functions with linearly independent differentials can be taken as functions of a C.N. If M is a closed subset of N, we call it a closed submanifold.

With this definition, $M^{\mathcal{M}}$ has a natural structure of smooth \mathcal{M} -manifold, given by the restrictions to M of the functions of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{N}}$; the existence of C.N.s for M follows immediately from the definition. We call this the <u>induced structure</u> on M.

D 18 A map $f: V \to N$ between two smooth manifolds will be called an <u>imbedding</u> if f(V) is a submanifold M of N, and f induces a diffeomorphism of V on M, where M has the induced structure. Lemma 2.1 If a smooth map $f: V^{\vee} \to N^{\mathcal{M}}$ is an imbedding then for each $Q \in V$, if $f(Q) = \rho$, $df: VQ \to N\rho$ has rank \vee .

Proof We know f is an imbedding. Choose a C.N. at f as above, and let $x, ..., x_n$ be the co-ordinate functions on N. By definition of the induced structure, $x_1 \circ f, ..., x_n \circ f$ define a C.N. of Q in V say $y_1 = x_1 \circ f$. But then $df(x_y) = x_1$ and so df has rank vat Q.

D.19 A map $f: V \to N^n$ between two smooth manifolds is called an <u>immersion</u> if f is smooth, and for all $Q \in V$, writing f(Q) = P, then $df: V_Q \to N_P$ has rank V.

Thus Lemma 2.1 states that an imbedding is always an immersion. The converse is of course false (the 'figure of 8' curve in the plane shows that), but we can prove a partial converse, which is the first step in constructing imbeddings - one of our main objects. Lemma 2.2 An immersion is an imbedding if and only if it is a homeomorphism into.

Proof Let $f: V \to N^n$ be an immersion which is a homeomorphism onto its image M. Let $Q \in V, f(Q) = P$, and choose a $C \cdot N \cdot Q : U \to \mathbb{R}^n$ of Pin N such that $df^*(dx_1), \dots, df^*(dx_N)$ form a basis for VQ - this is possible since f is an immersion. Write $Y_1 = Y_1 \circ f$: then since dY_1, \dots, dY_N form a basis for VQ by Part 0, Corollary 4.1.1, Y_1, \dots, Y_N may be taken as co-ordinates in a nbd of Q. Since the other Y_1 are smooth functions, by the definition of smooth manifold we can write

 $2c_i - g_i(x_1, \dots, x_v)$

which clearly have linearly independent differentials. So M is a submanifold, and it is now clear that \oint defines a diffeomorphism of V on M.

Corollary 2.2.1 An immersion of a compact manifold is an imbedding if and only if it is
$$(1-i)$$
.

For a (1-1) continuous map of a compact space is a homeomorphism.

Corollary.2.2.2 An immersion is an imbedding if and only if it is (1-1) and a proper map onto its image.

For an imbedding is clearly (1-1) and proper onto its image, and if $\int is(1-1)$ and proper onto its image, then by Part 0, Lemma 2.8 it is a homeomorphism into, and by the Lemma, it is then an imbedding. Corollary 2.2.3 An immersion is an imbedding as a closed submanifold if and only if it is (1-1) and proper.

We now return to our consideration of a submanifold M^{m} of a manifold N^{n} . If $P \in M$ the inclusion $i: M \rightarrow N$ induces $d_{i}: M_{p} \rightarrow N_{p}$ of rank m, hence the adjoint map $d_{i}^{*}: N_{p}^{*} \rightarrow M_{p}^{*}$ also has rank m, and its kernel has rank (n - m). D 20 The kernel of $d_{i}^{*}: N_{p}^{*} \rightarrow M_{p}^{*}$ is called the <u>normal space</u> to Min N at P. The union of the normal spaces is the <u>normal bundle</u> $N(N_{M})$ of M in N.

Ð

I.2.3.

We must check that the normal bundle is indeed a vector bundle over M. Let $\varphi: \mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a C.N. of P in \mathbb{N} with $\mathcal{M} = \varphi(\mathbb{R}^{m})$; then in $\mathcal{M} \cap \mathbb{M}$ we may take $\mathcal{I}_{m+1} : :: \mathcal{I}_{m} \circ \mathbb{R}_{n}$ as a basis for the normal space. These give the local product maps $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}$ required of a fibre bundle; as with the tangent bundle, the maps $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{N}}\beta$ come from Jacobians on shange of co-ordinates.

We usually suppose a Riemannian structure chosen on N, which also induces one on M. The distinction between N_{ρ}^{\star} and N_{ρ} disappears, and in this case we can regard $N(N_{M})$ as a sub-bundle of the restriction $\overline{N}(N)IM$ of $\overline{T}(N)$ to M. We refer the reader again to Steenrod for definitions concerning bundles: the Whitney sum of two vector hundles over M may be roughly described by taking the direct sum of the fibres over each point.

Prop. 2.3 T(N)|M is the Whitney sum of N(N/M) and T(M).

Proof Since all the above bundles are defined, and the latter two are sub-bundles of the first, it is sufficient to verify that at each point the fibre of the first is the direct sum of the latter two. Since we have a positive definite inner product, it will be sufficient to verify that the fibre \bigvee_{ρ} of $\mathbb{N}(N_{\mathcal{M}})$ over ρ is the orthogonal complement of the fibre \mathbb{M}_{ρ} of $\mathbb{T}(\mathbb{M})$ in the fibre \mathbb{N}_{ρ} of $\mathbb{T}(\mathbb{N})$, or, that it is the annihilator of \mathbb{M}_{ρ} in \mathbb{N}_{ρ}^{*} . But since d_{i}^{*} is adjoint to d_{i} , the kernel of d_{i}^{*} is certainly the annihilator of the image of d_{i} . We now apply the results of Chapter 1.

Prop. 2.4 The Jacobian of exp: $N(N'M) \rightarrow N$ on $T_0(M)$ is nonzero. Proof Let $P \in M$, and let $\varphi: U \rightarrow R^m$ be a C.N. of P in N such that $U_A M = \varphi^{-1}(R^m)$. Then $if \chi_1, \dots, \chi_m$ are co-ordinates in R^m , We can take as local co-ordinates in $N(N'M) \propto_1, \dots, \chi_m$ (co-ordinates in M) and V_{m+1}, \dots, V_m (co-ordinates in the fibre) where $V_1 = d_{X_1}$. Now refer back to Prop 1.2, where we showed that if $e_X \rho(x, v) = Z$, then $\frac{\partial Z i}{\partial \chi_j} = \frac{\partial Z i}{\partial V_j} = \delta i j$ so that with respect to our co-ordinates, the Jacobian matrix is the unit matrix, so its determinant is nonzero. Corollary 2.4.1 $e_X \rho: N(N'M) \rightarrow N$ is a local diffeomorphism at $T_0(M)$. Proof This follows from the Inverse Function Theorem (Part 0, 4.1) \clubsuit Corollary 2.4.2 If M is compact, $T_0(M)$ has a nbd in N(N'M) on which exp is a diffeomorphism to a nbd of M in N.

Proof Use the above corollary and Part 0, Corollary 2.7.1. In fact, we can both strengthen the last corollary, and remove

the assumption of compactness, so will now do so.

Theorem 2.5 M has a nod \mathcal{M} in N such that each point \mathcal{P} of \mathcal{U} is joined to M by a unique geodesic of length $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{M})$; this meets M orthogonally. Thus \exp^{-1} defines a diffeomorphism of \mathcal{M} on a nod of $\overline{\Pi_0}(\mathcal{M})$ in $|\mathcal{N}|(\mathcal{N}/\mathcal{M})$.

Proof

Let $Q \in M$, and let $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{U}_{as}$ be node of Q as in the proof of Theorem 1.4: any two points in \mathcal{N}_1 are joined by a unique geodesic of minimal length, and this lies in \mathcal{M}_{o} . We may clearly also suppose that any path joining a point of \mathcal{U}_1 to a point outside \mathcal{U}_{0} is longer than the diameter of \mathcal{U}_{i} (simply take \mathcal{U}_{i} smaller). Then for $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{E}}\mathcal{U}_{i}$, the closest point to P in M lies in $\mathcal{U}_{o} \cap M$ (such a point exists by local compactness of M , if we assume, say, $\overline{\mathcal{U}}_{\mathbf{x}}$ compact - the minimising point cannot lie outside \mathcal{U}_{o}). If \mathcal{U}_{2} stands in the relation to \mathcal{U}_{1} that \mathcal{U}_{1} does to \mathcal{U}_{2} , then for \mathcal{PE} \mathcal{U}_{2} , the closest point to P in M lies in $\mathcal{M}_{,n}M$, so is joined to \overline{P} by a unique shortest geodesic, lying in $\mathcal U$. This, then, is the shortest curve joining $\boldsymbol
ho$ to a point of M; we say it meets M orthogonally. For if not, by a small modification near where it meets \mathcal{M} , we could make it shorter (take a path orthogonal to M, and smooth off the corner). If we take ${\mathcal U}$ as the union of the sets ${\mathcal U}_2$, the first part of the theorem is Taking $e_x \rho$ to be defined by the shortest geodesic, this, with proved. ¶ Corollary 2.4.1, proves the second part.

With this preparation, we are ready for the main results of this chapter, which give a preliminary description of the way in which a submanifold lies in a manifold by describing the structure of a nbd of the submanifold. With the extra precision which will be given in Chapter 4, this constitutes one of our main tools for getting at the structure of manifolds.

 N^n is still a manifold, with a Riemannian structure. M^m is a submanifold, with normal bundle $N(N'_M)$ - this has group O_{n-m} . Let us write B for the associated disc bundle: precisely, Bconsists of vectors of $N(N'_M)$ of at most unit length.

A <u>tubular nbd</u> of M in N is an imbedding $\psi : \mathcal{B} \to N$ (as submanifold with boundary, see D 24 for exact definition): extending the diffeomorphism of $\mathcal{T}_{O}(M)$ on M induced by projection.

D 21

I.2.5

As with C.N.s, the actual $nbd\psi(B)$ is the more geometrical concept, but the mapping ψ is more convenient to work with. The above definition appears to involve the Riemannian structure; however, if we extend it by letting \mathcal{B} be any (n-m)-disc bundle over \mathcal{M} , we shall see in Chapter 4 that this gives no extra generality; in fact we prove there a theorem of uniqueness for tubular nbds. Here, we only obtain existence.

Theorem 2.6 There exists a tubular nbd of M in N .

Proof Let W be a nbd of $\overline{T_o}(M)$ in |N|(N/M) mapped diffeomorphically by exp: its existence is guaranteed by Theorem 2.4. Using Part 0, Lemma 2.6, let f be a positive continuous function on M such that vectors in $(N_M)_P$, of length less than f(P), are contained in W. By Part 0, Corollary 2.1.1, we can find a positive smooth function g on M such that 0 < g(P) < f(P) for all $P \in M$. We now define a diffeomorphism Ψ . For each $P \in M$, $\sqrt{\epsilon}(N/M)_P$, set $\Psi(P, \nabla) = \exp(P - g(P)\nabla)$. Multiplication by g(P) in the fibre is possible since $g(P) \neq O$, and we have $|v| \leq | \implies |g(P) \vee | \leq q(P) < f(P) \implies (P, g(P)_V) \in W$.

.

.

.

•

·

·

.

,

.

.

. .

Chapter 3

Boundaries

We now extend the notion of manifolds by considering manifolds with boundary. In the sequel these will play as much part as manifolds; we have merely deferred the definition till this point to help concentrate ideas.

D 22 N' is a smooth <u>manifold with boundary</u> (or bounded manifold) if it satisfies all the defining conditions of a smooth manifold, with the exception that we allow C.N.s to map onto open sets in \mathbb{R}^{n}_{+} (as well as \mathbb{R}^{n}).

The images of points on $\infty_{1} = 0$ are called <u>boundary points</u> of N; it is clear that this property is preserved on change of C.N. Their union is the <u>boundary</u> of N, which we always denote by ∂N . We write $\mathring{N} = N - \partial N$, the 'interior' of N. By defining this as an open submanifold, it may be considered as a manifold.

There are various corresponding extensions of the notion of submanifold.

D 23

A subset M of a manifold with boundary N is a <u>submanifold</u> if it satisfies the same conditions as when N is not bounded, except that the C.N. φ may map M to \mathbb{R}^n or \mathbb{R}^n_+ , and if $\overline{M} \wedge \partial N = M \cdot \partial N$. Thus in a nbd of a point of M, the pair (N, M) is locally

like $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m)$ or $(\mathbb{R}^n_+, \mathbb{R}^m_+)$. Geometrically, we can say that M meets ∂N transversely (for precise definition of this, see Part II).

M has an induced structure of manifold with boundary, just as above, and we observe that $\partial M = M \cap \partial N$. In a particular case, ∂M is empty, and M disjoint from ∂N ; but then M is a submanifold of N.

D 24

If N^n is a manifold (without boundary), we define M^m to be a <u>submanifold with boundary</u> of N^n , if M^m satisfies the defining conditions for a submanifold, weakened to allow $U \cap M = \varphi^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^m_+)$ as an alternative possibility to $U \cap M = \varphi^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^m)$.

In this case, in a nbd of a point of M, the pair (N, M)is locally like $(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{m})$ or $(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{m}, \mathbb{R}^{m})$. Again, M has the induced structure of manifold with boundary. To the new kinds of submanifold correspond new kinds of imbedding. No changes need to be made in D 18; to distinguish cases we speak of imbedding V as a submanifold, or, as a submanifold with boundary.

We have still not defined sufficiently many types of manifold, and must next discuss corners. For example, the unit interval I is a manifold with boundary, but the product $I \times I$ is a square, so has corners, and is a new kind of object.

D 25

 N^n is a smooth <u>manifold with corner</u> if it satisfies the defining conditions of a smooth manifold, except that C.N.s may map into open sets in any of \mathbb{R}^n , \mathbb{R}^n_+ and \mathbb{R}^n_{++} .

Points corresponding to $X_1 = O$ (in the second case) or to $X_1X_2 = O$ (in the third) form the <u>boundary</u> ∂N ; topologically (as opposed to differentiably), N is a manifold with boundary, and ∂N the boundary. Points corresponding to $X_1 = X_2 = O$ (in the third case) form the <u>corner</u>, ΛN , which is a smooth manifold of dimension n-2.

Now if M_{1}, M_{2} are manifolds with boundary, products of C.N.s of M_{1}, M_{2} give C.N.s in $M_{1} \times M_{2}$ which (up to a permutation of coordinates) are appropriate for a manifold N with corner. We observe that $\Im(M_{1} \times M_{2}) = \Im(M_{1} \times M_{2} \cup M_{1} \times \Im(M_{1} \times M_{2}) = \Im(M_{1} \times \Im_{2}^{M})$. In this, as most other important cases, $\bigwedge N$ separates $\Im N$ into two parts; of course this is always true locally.

We only introduce one more kind of submanifold, as we are not really interested in corners, except in so far as they occur naturally. D 26 M^m is a <u>submanifold with boundary</u> of the manifold with boundary N^n if $\overline{M} \cap \partial N = M \cap \partial N$ and at each point of M a C.N. may be found mapping the pair on an open set in one of $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m)$, $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m_+), (\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m_+), (\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m_+)$.

Such an \mathcal{M} has an induced structure of manifold with corner, and $\mathcal{N}\mathcal{M}$ separates $\partial \mathcal{M}$ into two parts, one $\partial \mathcal{M}_{\cap} \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{N}}$ and the closure of the other $\partial \mathcal{M}_{\cap} \partial \mathcal{N} = \mathcal{M} \cap \partial \mathcal{N}$. We now give generalisations of the notion of tubular nbd.

Let M be a manifold with boundary, $\tilde{\mu}: \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{M}$ the projection of a disc bundle, \sum the boundary sphere-bundle of \mathcal{B} , and
$C = \tilde{n}'(\partial M)$. It is then clear that \mathcal{B} has the structure of a smooth manifold with corner, and $\Lambda B = \sum \alpha C$ separates ∂B into two parts, with closures Σ and C . (If M has no boundary, C is empty, and β a manifold with boundary: this was already assumed in D 21).

Now suppose N^n a manifold with boundary, M^m a submanifold, and β an (n-m)-disc bundle over M.

A <u>tubular nbd</u> of M in N is an imbedding $\psi: B \rightarrow N$ as D 27 submanifold with boundary, extending the diffeomorphism of the zero cross-section on M induced by projection.

It is easy to see that $\psi(c) = \partial N_{\cap} \psi(B)$ in this case. Of course, such imbeddings may not exist for every disc-bundle ${\mathcal B}$, or indeed for any at all: we will show, however, that for some $\, eta \,$ they do.

A <u>tubular nbd</u> of ∂N in N is an imbedding $\psi : \partial N \times I \rightarrow N$ as submanifold with boundary, extending the projection of $\partial N \times O$ on DN.

We define this separately, since we do not call ∂N a submanifold of N. This completes our list of definitions; we now survey how the results of the two preceding chapters extend to boundaries. Let N be a smooth manifold with boundary. Then N has a Riemannian metric - the proof is the same as before. The discussion of geodesics at non-boundary points is also the same as before. At boundary points P, we must distinguish between inward- and outward-pointing tangent vectors; in terms of a C.N. of P, these are vectors $\sum \lambda i \frac{3}{5} \chi_i$ with $\lambda_i > 0$ resp. $\lambda_i < 0$. If $\lambda = 0$, we call the vector tangent to the boundary; indeed, if $i: \partial N \rightarrow N$ is the inclusion map, such vectors form the image of d_i , so do come from tangent vectors of ∂N . It is now clear, from the differential equations, that local geodesics can be constructed for all inward-pointing tangent vectors and for no outwardpointing ones. It is not determinate in general what happens to those tangent to the boundary; as examples, the reader may consider D^2 and the closure of $R^2 - D^2$, each with the usual metric. The

D 28

results of Chapter 1, up to and including Prop 1.3 now follow, in suitably modified forms (the remainder are mostly false in general). Prop.3.1 There exists a tubular nbd of $\Im N$ in N.

Proof We can identify $\partial N \times I$ with the set of inward-pointing normal vectors to ∂N , of length at most 1 (including those of zero length), for as there is only one normal direction at a point of ∂N , a normal vector there is determined by its length. The proof of Theorems 2.4 and 2.5 now carries over to this case.

This Proposition enables us in most cases, when discussing manifolds with boundary, to avoid special difficulties arising at the boundary. Our first illustration of this is with geodesics.

- D 29 A Riemannian metric on N is <u>adapted to the boundary</u> if $\Im N$ is totally geodesic, i.e. if construction in N of geodesics for vectors tangent to $\Im N$ is locally possible, and if such geodesics are completely contained in $\Im N$.
- Lemma 3.2 Let M^{m} be closed, with a Riemannian metric. Then the product metric for $N = M \times R^{1}_{+}$ is adapted to the boundary.
- Proof Let x_1, \dots, x_m be local co-ordinates in M, and x_o the co-ordinate in \mathbb{R}^1_+ . Then for the metric \Im_{ij} we have $\Im_{oj} = \Im_{oj}$. Hence one of the defining equations for geodesics is simply $d^*x_{Oic}^2 = 0$. Thus if initially $x_c = \frac{dx_o}{dc} = 0$, we have $x_o = 0$ all along the geodesic, which thus stays in $\Im N$ - as indeed one would expect. \clubsuit Prop 3.3 Every manifold with boundary has a Riemannian metric adapted

to the boundary.

Proof By Prop 3.1, if N is the manifold, ∂N has a tubular nbd $\psi : \partial N \times I \rightarrow N$. Let φ be a metric on N, φ' the product of some metric on ∂N with the standard metric of I. We define a metric φ''

Ъy

g'' = g' outside the image of ψ = $g' + (\varphi - \varphi') B\rho(3t-1)$ at $\psi(P, t)$.

The latter agrees with \mathcal{G} in a nbd of $\mathfrak{t}=1$, so is smooth everywhere; it is a Riemannian structure, as a positive linear combination of positive definite forms is another, and it agrees with \mathcal{G}' near $\mathfrak{t}=0$, so by Lemma 3.2, it is adapted to ∂N . Using a metric adapted to the boundary, we could go on to find analogues of all the results in Chapter 1 except Theorem 1.5. We are more interested in generalising the results of Chapter 2. First note that a submanifold M of N meets ∂N orthogonally if the normal vectors to M and ∂N at each point of ∂M are perpendicular. Lemma 3.4 Let N be a manifold with boundary, M a submanifold. Then

- N has a Riemannian metric in which M meets ∂N orthogonally. Proof We construct a metric just as in Part 0, Theorem 3.3; the only point to watch is that M meets ∂N orthogonally in each of the partial metrics to be fitted together. But since M is a submanifold, at a point of ∂M , there is a co-ordinate map of an open set of (N,M)to $(\mathbb{R}^{n}_{+}, \mathbb{R}^{m}_{+})$, and the Euclidean metric will do. Now when we fit these together, M continues to meet ∂N orthogonally.
- Corollary 3.4.1 N has a metric adapted to the boundary in which M meets $\Im N$ orthogonally.
- Proof We take the metric of Lemma 3.4, and construct a corresponding tubular nbd of $\Im N$ in N. Since for $P \& \Im M$, a vector at Pnormal to $\Im N$ is tangent to M, it is a 'generator' of such a tube. Hence using this tubular nbd in Prop. 3.3, M continues orthogonal to $\Im N$ in the metric there constructed.
- Theorem 3.5 If N is a manifold with boundary, M a submanifold, then there exists a tubular nbd of M in N .
- Proof The arguments of Prop 2.4 and Theorems 2.5 and 2.6 can now be carried through in this case: to avoid overloading this chapter, we shall leave the details to the reader.

We shall need one further theorem involving tubular nbds and boundaries. We retain the hypotheses of Theorem 3.5.

- Theorem 3.6 There is a tubular nbd $\psi : \partial N \times I \rightarrow N$ of ∂N in N such that $\psi | \partial M \times I$ is a tubular nbd of ∂M in M.
- Proof Let $\varphi: B \to N$ be a tubular nbd of M in N (with notations as above). Give M a Riemannian structure, and B the product structure. As B is locally a product, we can do this locally, and as the group of the bundle B is the orthogonal group, which preserves the standard Riemannian structure in the fibre, these local structures agree on their intersections, and define a global structure.

Now as in Prop 3.3, we modify the Riemannian structure on Nso as to agree with the above structure on B in a nbd of M (using the bump function to smooth off. Then construct a tubular nbd ψ for ∂N as in Prop. 3.1. We assert ψ has the required property; indeed, since in a nbd of M the metric is the product constructed above, geodesics tangent to M are contained in M, as in Lemma 3.2.

Our tubular nbds give a global form to one's vague idea that a submanifold is imbedded nicely in a manifold, in that they describe the topology of a whole nbd of the submanifold. We wish to obtain also uniqueness theorems for tubular nbds; for this we need some rather different methods.

I.3.6

Chapter 4

Diffectopy Extension Theorem

D 30

Let M^{m} , N^{n} be smooth manifolds, possibly with boundary.

A weak diffectopy of M in N is an imbedding (possibly as submanifold with boundary)

$$\mathcal{L}: \mathsf{M} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathsf{N} \times \mathbb{R}$$

which is <u>level-preserving</u>, i.e. we can write

$$\begin{split} h(m,t) &= (h_t(m),t) \qquad \text{meM}, t \in \mathbb{R}. \\ \text{It follows that each } h_t \text{ is also an imbedding.} \quad h \text{ is called} \\ \underline{\text{normalised if }} h_t &= h_o \text{ when } t \leq 0, \text{ and } h_t = h_t \text{ when } t \geq 1, \\ \text{and is then also called a weak diffeotopy between } h_o \text{ and } h_t \quad . \end{split}$$

A diffectopy of N is a diffeomorphism k of $N \times R$ which is level-preserving, thus in particular it is a weak diffectopy of N in N. It is called <u>normalised</u> if $k_t = 1$ when $t \le 0$ and $k_t = k_1$ when $t \ge 1$.

The diffeotopy k of N covers the weak diffeotopy k of M in N if

 $k_{2}(h_{o}(m)) = h_{1}(m) \quad \text{for } m \in M, t \in \mathbb{R}.$ A weak diffectopy covered by a diffectopy of N is called a <u>strong</u> <u>diffectopy</u>.

It is desirable to prove that weak diffeotopies are strong, for the following reason. It frequently happens that we are able to construct a weak diffeotopy - for example, if m is small compared to n (see next part), between two imbeddings. If the diffeotopy is strong, there is a diffeomorphism (\mathcal{K}_1) of N carrying one imbedding into the other, so that up to diffeomorphism the imbeddings are the same. The diffeotopy extension theorem asserts that under certain conditions, this is possible; it may thus be looked on as a uniqueness theorem. As to these conditions, we refer the reader to Milnor's notes on Differentiable Structures for spectacular counterexamples which occur when they are removed.

A weak diffectopy often occurs in the following form: we are given a level preserving imbedding $f_{L}: M \times I \rightarrow N \times I$. We cannot immediately extend this to a normalised weak diffectopy in the above sense, but if we define $H: M \times I R \rightarrow N \times I R$ by $H(m, t) = (H_t(m_t), t)$ where $H_t = f_{Bp}(t)$. I- is clearly level-preserving, normalised, and an imbedding.

Lemma 4.1 Weak diffectopy is an equivalence relation.

Proof The definition $h(m, t) = (h_o(t), t)$ gives a weak diffeotopy between h_o and itself. If h' gives one between h_o and h_1 , then h'', where h''(m, t) = h'(m, 1-t) gives a weak diffeotopy between h, and h_o . Finally, let h', h'' be normalised weak diffeotopies between h_1 and h_1 and between h_1 and h_2 . Then set $h_{1}^{\prime\prime\prime}(m) = h_{32}^{\prime}(m)$ $= f_{32}(m)$ if $t \le \frac{1}{2}$, = $f_{32-2}(m)$ if $t \ge \frac{1}{2}$; if 252,

this is a smooth imbedding, since h' and h'' are so, and we have $h_{2}^{\prime\prime\prime} = h_{1}$ for $\frac{1}{2} \leq 1 \leq \frac{2}{3}$, so that the two parts of the definition fit smoothly.

One of our main objectives will be to determine the set of equivalence classes; in some simple cases this is accomplished in Part III.

- D 31
- The support of a diffeomorphism \mathcal{K} of a smooth manifold Nis the closure of the set of points P with $h(P) \neq P$.

The support of a weak diffeotopy \mathcal{K} of \mathcal{M} in \mathcal{N} is the closure of the set of points $P \in M$ such that $k_{\perp}(P)$ is not independent of £ .

Theorem 4.2 Let M, N be smooth manifolds, perhaps with boundary, and let $h: M \times R \rightarrow N \times R$ be a weak diffeotopy of M in N . Suppose that the support K of ${\cal K}$ is compact, and contained in ${\cal N}$. Then there is a diffeotopy k of N , whose support is compact and contained in N, which covers k; in particular, h is strong.

We shall refer to this as the Diffeotopy Extension Theorem. Since K is contained in N, we can ignore the boundary Proof of N , and suppose simply that N is a smooth manifold, for if the result is proved in this case, the diffeotopy ${\cal R}$ of ${\cal N}$ which we obtain, having compact support, equals the identity on a nbd of $\Im N \star R$, and can therefore be extended to the boundary as the identity.

We shall prove the result by applying Part O, Theorem 4.5 on 1-parameter groups of diffeomorphisms. In fact, let he be a diffeotopy of $N \times R$, with compact support. Then k defines a vector field on $N \times R$, for if X_0 is the vector field which projects to O on N and to Y_t on R, we define an associated vector field X_k to k as $dk(X_0)$; since kis a diffeomorphism, this is a one-valued vector field on $N \times R$. Since k is level-preserving, its projection on the second factor is still Y_t . Also, as k has compact support, $X_k = X_0$ except at some points of a compact set.

Conversely, suppose given a vector field $X (= X_k)$ with these properties, that its projection on \mathcal{R} is \mathcal{Y}_t , and that it agrees with X_o outside a compact set; we assert that k can be recovered. In fact, referring to Part 0, Theorem 4.5, note that X_o is complete (as remarked after that theorem), hence also X, by Corollary 4.5.2. Thus there is a 1-parameter group (Φ_t) of diffeomorphisms of $N \times \mathcal{R}$. We set $\Phi_t(n, \circ) = (\mathcal{K}'_t(n), t) = \mathcal{K}'(n, t)$; that the second component is t follows from our assumption on X. We now say that $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{K}'$; this in fact follows from the local uniqueness in Part 0, Theorem 4.4, for $\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}'$ each satisfy

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} x_i(k(m,t)) = X_i(k(m,t))$$

where the x_i are local co-ordinates in N, and the X_i the components of X in these co-ordinates.

We conclude that to construct the diffeotopy, it is sufficient to construct the vector field X. By the proof that k = k', we see that the necessary and sufficient condition that k covers kis that on $k(M \times R)$, $\chi = dk(\mathcal{L}_{L})$. Thus the problem is reduced to the construction of a vector field χ on $N \times R$ satisfying

i) $X = X_{\alpha}$ outside a compact set.

ii) The projection of X on \mathbb{R} is everywhere \mathfrak{Z}_t . iii) On $\mathcal{K}(\mathsf{M}_{\mathsf{X}}\mathbb{R})$, $\mathsf{X} = \mathrm{d}\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{Z}_t)$.

It is possible to carry out this construction more or less explicitly, using tubular nbds, but to include the case of boundaries, we use rather more general method, already used above in proving existence of Riemannian structures. First, for convenience, let us give N a Riemannian metric and $N \times R$ the product metric. Now condition ii) determines the component of X in the direction of \mathbb{R} (in a fashion compatible with i), iii); we must find the component in the direction of \mathbb{N} . We assert that if we can do this in a nbd of each point of $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{M}_X \mathbb{R})$, X can be constructed. For such nbds, together with the complement of $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{M}_X \mathbb{R})$, form an open covering of $\mathcal{N}_X \mathbb{R}$. By Theorem 2.1 of Part 0, we can find C.N.s $\Phi_X: \mathcal{U}_X \to \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{O},3)$ refining this covering, and by the proof of its Corollary 2.1.1, a corresponding partition of unity $\{\mathcal{I}_X\}$. If, then, a function X_X can be constructed in each set \mathcal{U}_X to satisfy conditions i) - iii); we can define simply $X = \sum_X X_X \mathcal{I}_X$, which will satisfy all the conditions.

Now $h(M \times R)$ is a submanifold of $N \times R$, hence in a nbd of any point of it we can find a C.N. $\varphi: U \to R^{n+1}$ with $U \wedge I_m h = \varphi^{-1}(R^{m+1})$; say for simplicity that the image of U is U(O, 1). Then $d\varphi(dh(\mathcal{C}_L)) = \sum a_i \mathcal{C}_{\times_i} in U(O, 1)$ in \mathbb{R}^{m+1} ; we define X by taking the same formula in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} (i.e. by taking the a_i independent of the last n-m co-ordinates). In the case of boundaries, the a_i are only defined on the set in \mathbb{R}^{m+1}_+ . But by Whitney's Extension Theorem (4.3 of Part O), they can be extended to smooth functions on U(O, 1) in \mathbb{R}^{m+1} , and then extended to \mathbb{R}^{n+1} as above. This completes the proof of the result. \clubsuit Corollary 4.2.1 If N is a smooth manifold, M a compact submanifold (perhaps with boundary), then any weak diffectopy of the inclusion $i: M \subset N$ is strong.

- Corollary 4.2.2 If N is a smooth manifold with boundary, any weak diffectopy of a compact submanifold (perhaps with boundary) of \mathring{N} is covered by a diffectopy of N.
- Proof By the Theorem, it is covered by a diffectopy of N with compact support. Thus $\exists N$ has a nbd in \mathring{N} left fixed by the diffectopy, which can thus be extended to N, defining it to be fixed on $\exists N$.

Prop 4.3 Any diffeotopy of ∂N is covered by a diffeotopy of N. Proof We shall suppose the diffeotopy h_t of ∂N normalised so that $h_t = 1$ for $t \leq 3$ and $h_t = h_1$ for $t \geq \frac{2}{3}$. Let $\psi : \partial N \times T \rightarrow N$ be a tubular nbd of ∂N in N (such exist by

I.4.5

Prop 3.1). Then we define a covering diffectopy k_{t} of N by $k_{t} = 1$ outside $l_{M} \forall$; $k_{t} \forall (P, s) = \forall (k_{ts}(P), s)$ where $k_{ts}(P) = P$ for $s \ge t$ $= k_{2-s}(P)$ for $t \ge s$ Thus for s = 0, k_{ts} agrees with k_{t} , and for $s \ge \frac{2}{3}$, $k_{ts}(P) = P$, so that k is everywhere smooth, and does cover k. Theorem 4.5 Let N be a manifold with boundary, M a submanifold (perhaps with boundary). Any weak diffectopy of M in N with compact support is covered by a diffectopy of N with compact support. Proof First suppose M a submanifold. Let $k: M \times R \rightarrow N \times R$ be the weak diffectopy. By Theorem 3.6, let $\forall \exists N \times R \times I \rightarrow N \times R$ be

a tubular nbd of the boundary of $N \times R$ whose restriction to $I_{M}h$ gives a tubular nbd of the boundary of that. Now by Theorem 4.2, the weak diffectopy of ∂M can be covered by one of ∂N . By Prop 4.3, this is covered by a diffectopy of N; moreover, by the construction of this diffectopy, it covers the diffectopy of M not only at ∂M , but in a nbd, and has compact support.

This still fails to cover the diffectopy of \mathcal{M} , but only on a set of compact support, contained in \tilde{N} , and the methods of Theorem 4.2 now apply to complete the proof.

If \mathcal{M} is a submanifold with boundary, there is a similar proof, using instead Corollary 6.2.1.

We shall need one or two further kinds of diffeotopy extension, when we come to consider corners, but feel that by now proofs may be left to the reader. We mention one immediate application of our results.

- Prop 4.6 Let N^n be a manifold (perhaps with boundary), M^m a compact submanifold with boundary. There there is a submanifold U^m of N^n containing M^m .
- Proof First suppose that N has no boundary. Let $\varphi: \partial M \times I \rightarrow M$ be a tubular nbd of ∂M in M. We define a weak diffectopy of Mby $h_{t}(P) = P$ $P \notin I_{m} \varphi$ $h_{t} \varphi(P, u) = \varphi(P, f(t, u))$

where f is chosen with f(t, U) = U for $u > 1-\varepsilon$, f(0, U) = U, f(t, 0) > 0, for 0 < t, and $\partial f_{u} > 0$ everywhere; so that

the diffeotopy 'pushes' the boundary a little way into \mathcal{M} . e.g. we can take

$$f(t, u) = u + Bp(t - u)$$

provided $t \leq k$, where in this range $\beta \rho(t) < 1$. Now h_t is weak, so strong (M being compact), and covered by H_t , say, $h_k(M) \subset M$, so we can take $\mathcal{M} = H_k^{-1}(\tilde{M})$.

If N is bounded, we argue similarly, using that part of the boundary of M not contained in $\Im M$.

This result has the effect that to describe a nbd of \mathcal{M} in \mathcal{N} we can use tubular nbds of \mathcal{M} ; tubes round \mathcal{M} do not give nbds,

D 33 An imbedding $\overline{\varphi} : E \to N$ as open submanifold, extending the projection of E_o on M, is a <u>weak turular nbd</u> of M in N. Lemma £.1 Any tubular nbd $\varphi : B \to N$ can be extended to a weak tubular nbd $\overline{\varphi} : E \to N$. Remember that we are assuming that M is compact. Proof We define a weak diffeotopy of φ as follows. Recall that over each nbd in M, B is a product of M with a vector space: in the sequel, we permit ourselves to form sums and products by scalars in these vector spaces, using the standard notation. Then our weak diffeotopy is $\varphi_t(m, v) = \varphi(m, cv)$ for $\xi \in \xi \leq 1$ (where

 $m \in M, v \in D^{n-m}, \text{ the fibre}). \text{ Since } M \text{, and so also } B \text{, is}$ compact, the weak diffectopy is strong: say it is covered by the diffectopy \hat{R}_{E} of N. But $\Phi^{\frac{1}{2}}$ can be extended to a weak tubular nbd, e.g. by $\bar{\Phi}$: $\bar{\Phi}(m, v) = \Phi(m, \frac{f(1v)}{1v}, v)$

where γ is smooth, $\gamma(t) = \pm t$ for $0 \le t \le 1$, $\gamma'(t) > 0$, and $\gamma(t) < 1$. Such a γ may easily be constructed by using bump functions, e.g. $t < -1 \le 1 \le 2$

 $\gamma(t) = \frac{1}{3} \int_{0}^{t} \{1 + (e^{-3c} - 1) Bp(x - 1)\} dx.$

We can now define $\overline{\varphi} = k_{1}^{-1} \circ \overline{\varphi}$ Lemma 5.2 Let $\overline{\varphi}: E \to N, \overline{\varphi}^{1}: E' \to N$ be weak tubular nbds of M in Nsuch that $\int_{M} \overline{\varphi} \subset \int_{M} \overline{\varphi}'$. Then for some bundle map $\overline{\chi} \in E \to E'$, there is a weak diffectopy of $\overline{\varphi}$ on $\overline{\varphi}' \overline{\chi}$ which is fixed on \mathcal{B}_{o} . Proof Let $j = \overline{\varphi}'^{-1} \circ \overline{\varphi}: E \to E'$, then j is an imbedding. Consider the mappings $j_{t} \colon j_{t}(e) = t^{-1}j(te)$ for $o \langle t \leq 1, e \in E$; where the multiplications by t^{-1} ; tare again scalar multiplications in the fibre. Clearly $j_{1} = j$; we shall show that the definition of j_{t} can be extended to $t = \circ$, and that j_{\circ} can be taken as $\overline{\chi}: \overline{\varphi}'j_{t}$ will then give the required weak diffeotopy of $\overline{\varphi} = \overline{\varphi}'j_{\circ}$ on $\overline{\varphi}'\overline{\chi}$; it is

Take local co-ordinates $X = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$ in M, and let Y, Zbe Euclidean co-ordinates in the fibres of E, E'. Then setting $j(X,Y) = (\propto(X,Y), \beta(X,Y))$ we have $j \in (X,Y) = (\propto(X, \xi, Y), \xi^{-1}\beta(X, \xi, Y)).$

clearly fixed on B.

I.5.1

Chapter 5

Tubular Neighbourhood Theorem

We shall now use our results on diffeotopy extension to complete the discussion in Chapters 2 and 3 of tubular nbds by showing that these are, essentially, unique. This enables us to pass from knowledge of the structure of a compact submanifold M^{m} of a manifold N^{n} to knowledge of a nod of M^{m} : the only extra piece of information needed is the structure of the normal bundle $M(N_{1})$. Thus our considerations help with the general problem of building up global results from merely local ones.

We recall the definition. If \mathcal{B} is an (n-m)-disc bundle over \mathcal{M} , with group $\mathcal{O}(n-m)$, and central cross-section \mathcal{B}_o , then a tubular nbd of \mathcal{M} in \mathcal{N} is an imbedding $\varphi: \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{N}$, as submanifold with boundary, extending the projection of \mathcal{B}_o on \mathcal{M} .

Two tubular nbds $\varphi: \mathcal{B} \to \mathbb{N}$ and $\varphi': \mathcal{B}' \to \mathbb{N}$ are <u>equivalent</u> if there is a bundle map $\chi: \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}'$ over the identity map of \mathcal{M} , and a strong diffectopy of φ on $\varphi'_{\circ}\chi$ which is fixed on \mathcal{B}_{\circ} .

Our object is to show that any two tubular nbds are equivalent. Since we shall use the results of Chapter 4, we shall have to assume that \mathcal{M} is compact. One would expect that this assumption was unnecessary; however, it cannot be simply omitted.

Example of tub nbd of \mathbb{R}' in \mathbb{R}_{+}^2 , not equivalent to standard:

 $\begin{array}{c} T \text{ is the set } |\gamma| < 3 \\ \chi^2 + (\gamma - 2)^2 \ge 1 \end{array}$

and the projection of T on \mathbb{R}' is defined by straight lines through (0,3). Clearly this gives a tubular nbd, equally clearly non-standard.

For applications in later parts, we shall usually assume all manifolds compact anyway.

Let $\varphi: \mathcal{B} \to \mathbb{N}$ be a tubular nbd for M in \mathbb{N} . We consider the bundle E associated to \mathcal{B} but with fibre \mathbb{R}^{n-m} , and correspondingly extend the group to G(n-n). \mathcal{B} is a submanifold with boundary of E. For the tubular nbds of Chapter 2, E is simply the normal bundle $\mathbb{N}(\mathbb{M})$.

D 32

But j carries the zero cross-section of E onto that of E', so $\propto (X, \cup) = X$, $\beta(X, \cup) = 0$.

Now by Part O, Lemma 3.1, applied to β (regarded as a function of γ with X as a parameter), there are smooth functions β_i with

 $\beta(X,Y) = \sum y_i \beta_i(X,Y)$ Then $t^{-1}\beta(X,tY) = \sum y_i \beta_i(X,tY)$, so we can write j_t in the form $j_t(X,Y) = (\alpha(X,tY), \sum y_i \beta_i(X,tY))$

where the left hand side is a smooth function also at t=0. This shows that we have a smooth map $\mathcal{J}: E \times \mathcal{I} \to E' \times \mathcal{I}$ defined by the j_t ; to have a weak diffeotopy, we must check that the Jacobian is everywhere nonzero. This is clear for $t \neq 0$, since j is a diffeomorphic imbedding, and multiplication by t or t^{-1} gives a diffeomorphism. Now

 $j_{o}(X,Y) = (X, \sum y_{i}\beta_{i}(X,O)) = (X, \sum y_{i} \frac{\partial P}{\partial y_{i}}|_{Y=O})$ induces a linear map of each fibre, with matrix $(\partial \beta_{j}/\partial y_{i}) = (\partial Z_{i}/\partial y_{i})$ which is also the matrix of partial derivatives of j on \mathcal{B}_{o} . Since

j is an imbedding, this is nonzero. So j_o is a fibre map, with each fibre mapped isomorphically, so is a homeomorphism; since the Jacobians are nonzero, it is a diffeomorphism (Lemma 2.2), and we can take $\overline{\chi} = j_o$. We have also verified by the same token that \mathcal{T} is a weak diffeotopy.

- Corollary 5.2.1 The result holds also without the assumption $\int_{m} \overline{\varphi} \subset \int_{m} \overline{\varphi}'$ For $\overline{\int_{m}} \overline{\varphi} \wedge \overline{\int_{m}} \overline{\varphi}'$ is a nod of \mathcal{M} , which thus has a tubular nod, hence also a weak one $\overline{\varphi}''$, with $\overline{\int_{m}} \overline{\varphi}'' \subset \overline{\int_{m}} \overline{\varphi} \wedge \overline{\int_{m}} \overline{\varphi}'$. Then there are bundle maps modulo which $\overline{\varphi}''$ is weakly diffeotopic both to $\overline{\varphi}$ and to $\overline{\varphi}'$, whence the result follows.
- Lemma 5.3 Let $\overline{\varphi}: E \to N, \overline{\varphi}': E' \to N$ be weak tubular nbds of M in Nwhere the bundles E, E' have group O(n-m). Then the conclusion of Lemma 5.2 holds, with $\overline{\chi}$ an O(n-m)-bundle map.
- Proof It suffices to show that any $\gamma : E \to E'$ which is a bundle map when the group is extended to $Gl_{n-m}(\mathbb{R})$ is weakly diffectopic to an O(n-m)-bundle map. As above, in co-ordinates, γ is given by $\gamma(X, Y) = (X, Z)$ where $Z_i = \sum a_{ij}(X) Y_j$. Now since the group is the orthogonal group, we can speak of the length of a vector in the fibre (cf Part 0, Chap. 3).

By the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalisation process, take the vectors b_i with components a_{ij} , and write $b_i = \sum_{j=1}^{i} \lambda_{ij} e_j$, where the e_i are orthonormal, and each $\lambda_{ii} > 0$. If e_i has components e_{ij} , consider now the weak diffectopy

I.5.4

 $\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{K}_{t}(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Y}) = (\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Z}_{t}) , \text{ where} \\ & (\mathbf{Z}_{t})_{i} = \sum_{j,k} (\mathbf{E} \lambda_{ij} + (1-\mathbf{E}) \delta_{ij}) C_{jk} \mathcal{Y}_{k} \end{aligned}$

That this is a weak diffeotopy follows as no matrix $(t\lambda_{ij}+(1-t)S_{ij})$ is singular (for the matrix is triangular, with nonzero diagonal terms); k_1 is the given map γ , and k_2 takes one orthonormal base to another, so is an O(n-m)-bundle map.

Corollary 5.3.1 Let $\varphi: \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{N}$, $\varphi': \mathcal{B}' \to \mathcal{N}$ be tubular nbds of \mathcal{M} in \mathcal{N} Then there is a bundle map $\chi: \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}'$, with $\varphi' \circ \chi$ weakly diffeotopic to φ .

Proof By Lemma 5.1, φ , φ' extend to weak tubular nbds $\overline{\varphi}$, φ' ; by Lemma 5.3, there is a bundle map $\overline{\chi} : E \to E'$ with the corresponding property. Then $\overline{\chi}$ maps \mathcal{B} into \mathcal{B}' , and so we can take χ as its restriction.

Corollary 5.3.2 Under these conditions, \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{B}' are equivalent bundles. For \mathcal{K} is a bundle isomorphism.

- Theorem 5.4 (Tubular Nbd Theorem) N^n a smooth manifold, M^n a compact submanifold. Then any two tubular nbds of M in N are equivalent.
- Proof This follows from Corollary 5.3.1 since, by Theorem 4.2, the weak diffectopy we have constructed is in fact strong.

As a first corollary, we obtain a useful little result. Theorem 5.5 (Disc Theorem) Let N be a connected manifold (perhaps with boundary), f_1, f_2 N^n imbeddings as submanifold with boundary. Then f_1 and f_2 are strongly diffeotopic unless N is orientable and f_1, f_2 have opposite orientations.

Proof Let $f_i = f_i(0)$ (i = 1, 2). Since \mathring{N} is connected, by D 7 there is a smooth path connecting P_1 and P_2 in \mathring{N} , i.e. a weak diffeotopy of P_1 to P_2 , considered as submanifolds of zero dimension. By the diffeotopy extension theorem, there is a strong diffeotopy. Hence we may suppose $P_1 = P_2 = P$. Now f_1, f_2 are tubular nbds of P, so by Theorem 5.4, there is an orthogonal transformation χ of \mathring{N}^n , such that f_1 and f_2 are strongly diffectopic.

Now if $\chi \in SO(n)$, then clearly f_2 is weakly, so also strongly diffeotopic to $f_2 \circ \chi$, so the result follows. If not, and N is orientable, we have the case excluded by the theorem. If N is non-orientable, there is an orientation reversing smooth path (cf the discussion after D 12), and if we take P on a strong diffeotopy round such a path, the sign of the determinant of χ will change.

We shall use numerous extensions of Theorem 5.4 in the sequel; let us indicate one or two briefly here. The definition of equivalence remains the same.

- Prop 5.6 Any two tubular nbds of ∂N in N are equivalent, if ∂N is compact.
- Proof Follow the above closely. The analogues of 5.1 and of 5.2 follow as before. In 5.3, note only that our group is not $GL_1(\mathbb{R})$ or O(1), but simply $GL_1^+(\mathbb{R})$, or SO(1) - the trivial group. This makes for a slight simplification in the argument. \clubsuit Prop 5.7 The result of Theorem 5.4 holds also if N has a boundary. We note that in proving uniqueness of tubular nbds, in contrast to the case where we had to prove existence in Chapter 3, no extra difficulties arise in the case where we have boundaries.

<u>Chapter 6</u> Comers and Straightening

In this chapter we shall pay a little more attention to manifolds with a corner, and give a process of straightening this, so as to have simply a manifold with boundary. This will be very useful later on, where any corners which occur may be ignored by the results of this chapter.

We first need existence and uniqueness theorems for a lot of new kinds of tubular nbd. Let M be a manifold with corner ΛM . A <u>Riemannian structure</u> on M is defined as before, with the extra condition that the two parts of $\Im M$ at a point of ΛM meet orthogonally (i.e. the vectors normal to them are perpendicular). A <u>tubular nbd</u> of $\Im M$ is defined as before. However, $\Im M \times I$ does not have the structure of a smooth manifold (of any kind) on $\Lambda M \times I$, so we must interpret "imbedding" to mean a homeomorphism into, which is a diffeomorphism except on $\Lambda M \times I$, and with all partial derivatives continuous at $\Lambda M \times I$ from each side.

Lemma 6.1 There exists a tubular nbd of ∂M in M, if ∂M is compact. Proof First define inward-pointing vectors on ∂M ; except on ΛM these are, as usual, vectors $\sum \lambda_i = \sum \lambda_i$ with $\lambda_i > 0$, in terms of a C.N. On ΛM , we require $\lambda_i > 0$, $\lambda_2 > 0$. We observe that at each point, the space of inward-pointing vectors is convex. Now construct on ∂M a smooth field of inward-pointing vectors: we first do this everywhere locally, and piece together with a partition of unity (of Part 0, proof of Theorem 3.3). The exponential map applied to this field now gives a local diffeomorphism, and from this we deduce a tubular nbd as usual, using Part 0, Cor 2.7.1 and Lemma 2.6.

(We could do without compactness, but the result is not of sufficient importance to make it worth the trouble). Our next object is to obtain a tubular nbd of ΛM in M; this is of no little difficulty, and our first suggested proofs were fallacious. We hope the following is not. The tubular nbd is as usual an imbedding of a fibre bundle. The choice of the fibre is of no great importance, provided we do get a nbd; we obtain a set of the from $|x| \leq \gamma \leq 1$ in \mathbb{R}^2 , with group \mathbb{Z}_2 operating by reflection in the Y-axis. This is

somewhat more convenient than co-ordinates $X_{\rm b}, X_{\rm b}$.

Theorem 6.2 If ΛM is compact, there exists a tubular nbd of ΛM in M. Proof We first suppose a Riemannian structure given on M, and take the fector field on ΛM consisting of that normal vector inclined at M to each part of ∂M . As in Lemma 6.1, we apply the exponential map to such vectors (provided they are inward-pointing), and for sufficiently small ones obtain a diffeomorphic imbedding of $\Lambda M \times I$

Next we construct geodesics normal to this subset, until they meet the boundary ∂M . Observe that by the usual arguments, every point of a sufficiently small nbd of ΛM lies on just one such geodesic. We use this to define a map of such a nbd into \mathbb{R}^2 . A point \mathcal{P} in the image of $\Lambda M \times T$, at distance $\lambda \mathcal{E}$ from ΛM (where \mathcal{E} is the "sufficiently small" distance,) is mapped to (\mathcal{O}, λ) . A point in a normal geodesic of \mathcal{P} , at distance $\mu \mathcal{E}$ from it, is mapped to $(\stackrel{+}{\downarrow}\mu, \stackrel{-}{\lambda})$. Here, the choice of sign is indeterminate, but can be made coherently locally.

By the usual arguments, our mappings are smooth (they come from the exponential map.) The product map to $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{R}^2$ is thus also smooth, and has Jacobian 1 on $\mathcal{M} M$, so is a local homeomorphism, and if \mathcal{E} is small enough, a diffeomorphism. Here I have been imprecise: as the map to \mathcal{R}^2 was only defined up to a reflection, my map really goes on to an \mathcal{R}^2 -burdle over $\mathcal{M} M$, in general non-trivial.

The image in \mathbb{R}^2 is defined by equations of the type

 $-k(y)y \leq x \leq g(y)y, \quad 0 \leq y \leq 1$ where h(0)=g(0)=1 (since the angle is right) and k, g are positive in the range under consideration, and depend also on the point of Λ . To simplify this, we define a new co-ordinate w by

 $2x = \{ \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{Y}) + \mathcal{h}(\mathcal{Y}) \} w + \mathcal{Y} \{ \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{Y}) - \mathcal{h}(\mathcal{Y}) \} w^{*};$ provided \mathcal{E} is small enough (for the last time!) this defines

an increasing function of x, restricted only by $-\chi \leq w \leq \gamma$.

Reflection in the \mathcal{Y} -axis interchanges \mathcal{J} and h and changes the sign of \mathcal{IC} . Thus it also changes the sign of \mathcal{W}^{*} , and our bundle has a well-determined fibre and group. Finally, the new co-ordinate is also smooth; indeed, this is quite clear from the

as

definition above.

We have left out most of the details in this proof to make the ideas clearer. The only other proof to my knowledge is in Cerf's thesis.

In the corollaries we shall suppose, for simplicity, that we can write $\partial M = \partial_1 M \cup \partial_2 M$, $\Delta M = \partial_1 M \cap \partial_2 M = \partial_1 M = \partial_2 M$; so that ΔM separates ∂M into parts with closures $\partial_1 M, \partial_2 M$. This is the case for all the corners that we actually need. A tubular nbd of $\partial_1 M$ is defined in the usual way; the image contains a nbd of ΔM .

Corollary 6.2.1 There exists a tubular nbd of $\partial_i M$ in M.

As in the proof of Prop 3.3, we can use the tubular nbd of ΛN in M to construct a metric adapted to each of $\partial_1 M_1 \partial_2 M$ in a nbd of ΛM . The construction of the tubular nbd now proceeds as usual.

Corollary 6.2.2 There exists a metric adapted to $\Im M$.

Proof We use the tubular nbds of the above Corollary and the method of Prop 3.3. Note that the product metrics given by these tubular nbds near the corner agree with the metric we have already (which was constructed using a tubular nbd of ΛM); thus near ΛM the metric is unaltered by this process.

We observe that tubular nbd theorems for the tubular nbds constructed in 6.2 and 6.2.1 follow without difficulty by the methods of Chapter 5; in contrast to the existence problem, we need no new ideas here. We now turn to the main topic of the chapter. Let Mbe a manifold with compact corner.

- Theorem 6.3 There exist manifolds with boundary N such that there is a homeomorphism $k: N \to N$ which is a diffeomorphism except on MMoreover, there is a construction of such an N which gives a result unique up to diffeomorphism.
- Proof Our construction is as follows. N will be M itself, with a different differential structure, defined by a new set of C.N.s. At points of $M-\Lambda M$, the differential structure and C.N.s are unchanged. Let $\varphi: \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be a tubular nbd for $\Lambda \mathcal{M}$, where \mathcal{B} is a bundle whose fibre is the set $|x| \leq y \leq 1$. Then a C.N. for $\Lambda \mathcal{M}$,

I.6.4

with co-ordinates x_3, \dots, x_m determines one for \mathcal{B} , and so \mathcal{M} , with additional co-ordinates ∞,γ . We define N by the same mapping, followed by taking the new co-ordinate instead of y, $z = y^2 - x^2$. The C.N. is then defined locally by $Z \ge O$, which is of the right form for a manifold with boundary. $\gamma = \sqrt{x^2 + Z}$ is a smooth function of Z except on ΛM , so the differential structure is unchanged elsewhere. Finally, as these C.N.s all come from a single tubular nbd of ΛM , the differential structure so defined is clearly consistent.

The uniqueness up to diffeomorphism of such N follows at once \$ from the tubular nbd theorem for M in M .

N is said to be derived from M by straightening the corner. We reserve this term for the constructed N , not for any N which has an $k: M \rightarrow N$, a homeomorphism, diffeomorphic except on AM. Such

N are in fact unique, but a proof of this would lie much deeper, since this allows arbitrary singularities of h on MM. We mention that the popular definition of straightening uses the same process, but replaces (x, y) by $(2xy, y^2 - x^2)$ instead of $(x, y^2 - x^2)$. The reason for our choice will soon be apparent.

Theorem 6.4 Let $\varphi: \partial M \times I \longrightarrow M$ be a nice tubular nbd for ∂M in M. Let \prec : $\partial M \rightarrow (0, 1)$ be a map, smooth except on ΛM , and suppose $j: \partial M \rightarrow \mathring{M}$ defined by $j(P) = \varphi(P, \alpha(P))$ such that the image of j is a smooth submanifold ∂N . Such \propto exist, and if N is the interior of ∂N , i.e. the closure of that residual component of ∂N in M which does not contain ∂M , N is derived from M by straightening the corner.

- Rema**r**k
- Proof

D 34

We need φ to be well-behaved near ΛM . It will suffice if φ is derived from a metric defined using a tubular nbd of ΛM .

We shall first construct a homeomorphism ${\cal K}$ of N onto M, and then prove that it carries C.N.s for N onto those for M with the corner straightened.

Let us refer to the paths $\varphi(P \times I)$ as orbits. h will keep points outside $l_m \varphi$ fixed; those inside are moved along the orbits in such a way that a nbd of $\varphi(P_X 1)$ is fixed, while $\varphi(P_X \alpha(P))$ is mapped to $\psi(P \times O)$. This may be effected as usual, using bump functions; the map can be made smooth away from MM.

1.6.5

Near $\bigwedge M$ we take co-ordinates (x, y, x_3, \dots, x_m) as for a tubular nbd. By assumption or φ , the orbits are obtained by letting \mathcal{Y} vary. Let $X = (x, 0, x_3, \dots, x_m)$ be the corresponding point on the boundary. Then for X close to $\bigwedge M$ and Z small, we write $h(\varphi(X, \varphi(X) + Z)) = \varphi(X, \sqrt{\chi^2 + Z})$

and use the bump function to pass smoothly from this to the other values of h. Observe that the co-ordinate ∞ is well-determined up to sign referring to the tubular nbd of ΛM . Finally, if $y = \sqrt{x^2+z}$, $z = y^2 - x^2$ is indeed the co-ordinate introduced to straighten the corner.

This theorem is very useful in reconciling the definition of straightening with the applications. For example, we have now Corollary 6.4.1 \int_{k}^{r+s} is derived from $\int_{k}^{r} \times \int_{k}^{s}$ by straightening the corner. Proof We can take the tubular nbd of $\partial(D \times D^{s})$, where $D^{r} \times D^{s}$ is imbedded in the standard way in \mathbb{R}^{r+s} , to be defined by orbits which are straight lines through O. Then the image of j can be taken as a sphere with centre at the origin.

So far we have discussed straightening corners. We may also consider the converse process, the introduction of corners. For given a manifold with boundary N, and a submanifold \bot of ∂N of co-dimension 1, we can construct a tubular nbd of \bot in N, and redefine the differentiable structure to introduce a corner along \bot . The resulting M is unique up to diffeomorphism, and if we straighten the corner, we return to N. The proofs of these results are parallel to those above, but are much easier.

Prop 6.5 If L is a submanifold of $\exists N$ of co-dimension 1, we can introduce a corner on L in an essentially unique way. If we straighten it, we recover L.

.

.

.

Charter 7 Cutting and Glueing

Cutting and glueing are simple geometrical constructions which, given some smooth manifolds (probably with boundaries and corners) and additional data where necessary, give rise to new manifolds. On account of their perspicuity, these methods were much used in the days of topology of surfaces, and they remain a very powerful tool.

We first discuss the simplest case of glueing. Let $M_i(i=1,2)$ be manifolds with boundary, $\ni M_i = Q_i$, and suppose given a diffeomorphism $h:Q_1 \rightarrow Q_2$ (the necessary additional data). We now form a smooth manifold. Take $M_1 \cup M_2$ (disjoint), and identify points corresponding under h. This gives a topological space N, and identification map $\tilde{n}: M_1 \cup M_2 \rightarrow N$. Now take tubular nbds $Q_i: Q_i \times I \rightarrow M_i$. These define a map $\varphi: Q_i \times D^1 \rightarrow N$ by $\varphi(q,t) = \tilde{n} \varphi_1(q,t)$ if $t \ge 0$ $= \tilde{n} \varphi_2(h(q),t)$ if $t \le 0$;

these agree on 4 = 0 since \hat{Q}_1 and \hat{Q}_2 were identified using h. It is clear that $\hat{\Psi}$ is (1-1); in fact, a homeomorphism into. Now define a function \hat{f} on N to be smooth provided $\hat{f} \circ \tilde{n}$ is a smooth function on $M_1 \cup M_2$ and $\hat{f} \circ \hat{\Psi}$ a smooth function on $\hat{Q}_1 \times \hat{D}^1$. The axioms defining a smooth manifold are now clearly satisfied: C.Ns in $M_1, \hat{Q}_1 \times \hat{D}_1$, and in M_2 give rise to C.N.s in N, and where these overlap, they agree.

We have really not made full use of the assumption $\partial M_i = Q_i$, and none of the above argument is affected if ∂M_i is the disjoint union of a certain set of components, and Q_i the union of a subset of these components. In this case, the remaining boundary components form the boundary of N.

D 36 N is obtained by <u>glueing</u> M_1 to M_2 by $h(\text{or, along }Q_1)$. **Prop 7.1** The manifold defined by glueing M_1 to M_2 by h is determined up to diffeomorphism, provided Q_1 is compact.

Proof The only arbitrary element in the definition was the choice of the tubular nbds Q_i . By the tubular nbd theorem, these are unique up to diffeomorphisms of M_i , so the result follows.

I.7.1

It is unclear whether compactness of Q_i is essential here. Certainly, glueing by inequivalent tubular nbds can give the same manifold as for example glueing two copies of \mathcal{R}_{+}^{2} , we always obtain a contractible 2-manifold, and any such is known to be diffeomorphic to \mathcal{R}_{-}^{2} itself.

I.7.2

D 37

If N is obtained by glueing M to itself, via $1: \partial M \rightarrow \partial M$, we say it is defined by <u>doubling</u> M.

This particular case is useful in some contexts.

The inverse operation to glueing is sutting. Again, we discuss the simplest case first. Let N^{n} have Q^{n-1} as submanifold, and suppose that N-Q has just two components, with closures M_{1} and M_{2} so that $\partial M_{1} = Q = \partial M_{2}$. It is immediate that each M_{1} is a submanifold with boundary of N, and has the induced structure of a smooth manifold. The M_{1} are uniquely determined by (N, Q) and Nmay have a boundary. No compactness is needed.

Prop 7.2 If N is defined by glueing M_1 to M_2 along Q_1 , and we cut N along $\mathcal{H}(Q_1)$, we recover M_1 and M_2 . Conversely, if N and its submanifold Q^{n-1} are connected, Q_2 separates N with parts M_1 and M_2 and we glue M_1 to M_2 along Q_2 , then if Q_2 is compact, we recover N.

Proof

The first part is immediate from the definition of glueing. For the converse, if the above conditions are satisfied, we obtain M_1 and M_2 . Now if $\varphi: Q \times D \to N$ is a tubular nbd of Q in N_1 φ defines by restriction tubular nbds of Q in M_1, M_2 . If these are used in the glueing process, we clearly recover N. The second part of the result now follows from Proposition 7.1.

Thus cutting and glueing are inverse operations. We now discuss cutting in a more general context. We continue to suppose that N^n is a smooth manifold (without boundary), Q a submanifold of unit codimension. However, we no longer suppose that Q separates N, or even that it separates a nbd of Q; thus in general, when we cut N along Q, it will not fall into two pieces.

There are two quick ways of defining cutting. One is to let \Im be a complete metric on N, and define M as the metric

completion of N-Q. A somewhat preferable procedure is to define M by deleting from N the interior of a tubular nbd of Q; this has the advantage that M has a natural induced structure as submanifold with boundary. However it, like the first proposal, makes use of additional structure - the tubular nbd - which is not essential, and obscures the problem of uniqueness of the result; so we shall proceed differently.

1.7.3

Observe that, if $\hat{\iota}: Q \to N$ is the inclusion, and $P \in Q$, then $di(Q_{\rho})$ is a subspace of N_{ρ} of unit codimension, and so separates this real vector space into two components. We define a manifold M as follows. Its points are those of N-Q, together with two for each point ${\mathcal P}$ of Q , one associated with each complementary component of $di(Q_p)$ in N_p cr, as we shall say, <u>side</u> of Q There is thus a natural projection $\widetilde{n}: M \longrightarrow N$. We in N. take for C.N.s in M those induced by \widetilde{n} from C.N.s in N-Q; in addition, for each C.N. $f: \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ with $f^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}) = \mathcal{U} \cap \mathbb{Q}$ two C.N.s in \mathcal{M} ; induced by $\widetilde{\iota}$ from the restrictions of \oint to the inverse images of \mathbb{R}_{\perp}^{n} and \mathbb{R}_{\perp}^{n} (in the latter case, we must change the sign of the first co-ordinate to obtain a C.N. of standard type). Here, of course, the points of N corresponding to a certain side of Q in N are mapped by the C.N. for the corresponding side of \mathbb{R}^{n-1} in \mathbb{R}^n ; since of is nonsingular, it preserves the distinction between sides. M is obtained by <u>cutting</u> N along Q. D-38

We note that $\Im M$ is a double covering of Q. In fact, it is easy

to determine which covering.

- Prop 7.3 Let Q^{n-1} be a submanifold of N^n , $\varphi: \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow N$ a tubular nbd which extends to a weak tubular nbd, M' the closure of $N - I_m \varphi$, and M obtained by cutting N along Q. Then M is diffeomorphic to M', and hence $\mathcal{B}M$ to $\mathcal{B}B$, the normal covering of Qin N.
- Proof Cut \widehat{B} along \mathbb{Q} (the zero cross-section). Then we obtain simply $\Im \mathcal{B}_X \mathbb{I}$; this is clear, since the whole is a bundle over \mathbb{Q} with group \mathbb{Z}_2 . Hence \mathcal{P} induces a tubular nbd of the boundary of M, the complement of which is M'. It is now clear that M'

is diffeomorphic to M; indeed, using the weak extension of φ , we can define a diffeotopy of the identity map of M' to a diffeomorphism onto M (cf proof cf Lemma 5.1). The result follows.

The corresponding extension of Prop 7.2 for the present definition of cutting now follows. However, cutting is more general than simply the inverse of glueing as is clear, for example, when the normal covering of Q in N is non-trivial.

We shall need further generalisations of cutting and glueing which involve corners. If N is a manifold with boundary, Q a submanifold, we may define the manifold M obtained by cutting along Q precisely as above: the only new feature is that M has a corner at points corresponding $\exists Q$; this divides $\exists M$ into two parts, corresponding respectively to $\exists N$ and to Q.

Likewise, let M_i (i = 1, 2) be manifolds with corners, and let Q_i be part of the boundary of M_i with $\partial Q_i = M_i$. Let $f: Q_i \rightarrow Q_i$ be a diffeomorphism. Since the Q_i have tubular nbds by Corollary 6.2.1, we can define a manifold N by glueing M_i to N_2 by f_i precisely as before; again the tubular nbd theorem shows that it Q_i is compact, the result is unique. The generalisations of Prop 7.2 and Prop 7.3 to the present case now present no difficulty.

Finally we remark that it is sometimes desirable to glue together two parts of the boundary of the same manifold. If the parts are disjoint, we can use disjoint tubular nbds to effect this. If not, since it is usually the case that we are interested only in obtaining a result up to diffeomorphism, we can usually imitate the following trick. Let $\gamma: \ni M \longrightarrow Q$ be a double covering and suppose we wish to glue together points of $\ni M$ lying above the same point of Q. Now the mapping cylinder \mathcal{B} of γ_i is a disc-bundle over Q, and so a smooth manifold with boundary, and the same result can be effected by glueing M to \mathfrak{S} by the identity map of the boundary; that it is the same follows by Prop 7.3.

As an important application of cutting, we mention the following.

Let $M_{1,j}^{m}$ M be connected smooth manifolds, $f_{1}: D^{m} \rightarrow M_{1}^{m}$

D 39

imbeddings. Delete the interiors of the images of the $f_{\bar{i}}$, and glue the results along the boundary $f_{\bar{i}}(S^{m-1})$ by $f_{\bar{i}}f_{\bar{i}}^{-1}$. The result is called the <u>connected sum</u>, written $M \times M$. (It is obvious that it is connected).

I.7.5

<u>Theorem 7.4</u> $M \approx M$ is determined up to diffeomorphism by the summands, unless these are both orientable, when there are two determinations. <u>Proof</u> By the Disc Theorem 5.5, the imbeddings f_i are unique up to strong diffeotopy, and a possible change of orientation. By Prop 7.1, the result of the glueing, given f_i and f_2 , is unique up to diffeomorphism. Hence the result follows, except for considerations of orientation. Note that if f_i , f_2 are replaced by $f_i \circ r$, $f_2 \circ r$, where r is a reflection, the connected sum is unaltered. Now if neither M_i is orientable, the result is trivial: if only M_2 is orientable, using the above possibility of simultaneous reversal, uniqueness again follows. If both are orientable, the result now has two possible cases.

To make the result precise in the orientable case, we suppose the M_i both oriented, and that one of the f_i preserves, the other reverses orientation. The result is then again unique, and has a canonical orientation inducing the given ones of the M_i .

The connected sum is also defined for manifolds with boundaries and corners; we simply suppose that the f_i map into the interior. However, in this case we also have a different sum operation. Let us suppose that M_i^M , M_2^M are connected manifolds with connected boundaries. Let $f_i: D^{m-1} \rightarrow \partial M_i^M$ be an imbedding. Introduce a corner along $f_i(S^{m-2})$. We may now glue the $f_i(D^{m-1})$ together by $f_2 f_i^{-1}$.

D 40 The result is called the sum M, + M₂ of M₁ and M₂.
Prop 7.5 M, + M₂ is determined up to diffeomorphism by M₁ and M₂ unless 3 M₁ and 3 M₂ are both orientable, when there are two sums.
Proof This follows by the disc theorem exactly as for Theorem 7.4.
We conclude by summing up the simple properties of these operations.

I.7.6

Prop 7.6

$$M^{m} \bigotimes S^{m} \cong M^{m}, \quad M^{m} + D^{m} \cong M^{m}, \\ \partial (M_{1} + M_{2}) = \partial M_{1} \bigotimes \partial M_{2}.$$

To form $M^{m} \bigotimes S^{m}$ we simply delete one disc from M^{m} , and

Proof

replace it by another, equally good one.

The second result may be seen as follows. D^{m} is obtained from $D^{m-1} \times I$ by straightening the corner. Derive N from M by introducing a corner along $f(S^{m-2})$ as above; then glueing on $D^{m-1} \times I$ does not affect N other than by a diffeomorphism (as $f(D^{m-1})$ has a tubular nbd by Cor 6.2.1, and we have the usual deformation argument). The result follows by straightening the corners.

The last part is merely an observation of what happens to the boundary, for the sum operation; the proof is immediate.

II.0.1

⋬

Chapter O

<u>Nul Sets</u>

We now need a few standard facts about nul sets (i.e. sets of Lebesgue measure zero) which will be very useful in the sequel.

D 41 A subset A of \mathbb{R}^n is <u>nul</u> if for each $\mathcal{E} > O$, it can be enclosed in a countable union of balls of total volume $< \mathcal{E}$.

It is trivial that a countable union of nul sets is nul. Also that a nul set has no interior: its complement is everywhere dense.

Lemma 0.1 Suppose \mathcal{U} open in \mathbb{R}^n , $f: \mathcal{U} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ smooth, and $A \subset \mathcal{U}$ nul. Then f(A) is nul.

Proof Let K be a compact subset of \mathcal{U} . Then in K the partial derivatives of f of first order are bounded, so infinitesimal lengths are multiplied by a bounded factor: let N be a bound. Then the image of a ball of radius r is contained in a ball of radius Nr; thus if \mathcal{B} is contained in a number of balls in K of total volume less than \mathcal{E} , $f(\mathcal{B})$ is contained in a union of balls of total volume less than $N^m \mathcal{E}$.

Now as in Part 0, 2.1, we may find a countable set of discs $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}(\check{x}_i, 2, \delta_i)$ contained in \mathcal{M} , with the $\mathcal{U}(\check{x}_i, \delta_i)$ covering \mathcal{M} . Then if $A_i = A_0 \mathcal{U}(\check{x}_i, \delta_i)$, we can cover A_i by balls contained in $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}(\check{x}_i, 2, \delta_i)$ of total volume less than \mathcal{E}_i ; hence by the above, $f(A_i)$ by balls of total volume less than $\mathcal{N}_i^{*} \mathcal{E}_i$. Thus $f(A_i)$ is nul, and so is the countable union f(A). Corollary 0.1.1 Suppose \mathcal{M} open in \mathbb{R}^m , $m \langle n, f: \mathcal{U} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ smooth. Then $f(\mathcal{U})$ is nul.

smooth. Then $f(\mathcal{U})$ is nul. Proof Define $F: \mathcal{U} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-m} \to \mathbb{R}^{n}$ by F(x, y) = f(x). Then $f(\mathcal{U}) = F(\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{O})$, but clearly $\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{O}$ is nul in \mathbb{R}^{n} . D 41a \mathbb{N}^{n} a smooth manifold. $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ is <u>nul</u> if for each C.N. $\varphi: \mathcal{U} \to \mathbb{R}^{n}$, $\varphi(\mathcal{U}_{\Omega} A)$ is nul.

Since by the lemma, nul sets are preserved by smooth maps, it is sufficient to verify the condition for a set $(\mathcal{U}_{\prec}, \mathcal{P}_{\prec})$ of C.N.s with the \mathcal{U}_{\varkappa} covering N.

II.0.2 Prop 0.2 Suppose $A < N_1^n$ nul, $f: N_1^n \to N_2^n$ smooth. Then f(A) is nul. Proof The result follows at once from Lemma 0.1 and the definition. \$

Corollary 0.2.1 Suppose $m < n, f: M^m \to N^m$ smooth. Then f(M) is nul. Proof As for Corollary C.1.1.

These give the basic properties of nul sets: we now go on to the deeper result which we shall need.

Let $f: M^m \rightarrow V^{\gamma}$ be smooth. A point $P \in M$ is a D 42 <u>regular point</u> of f if $df: M_{\rho} \rightarrow V_{f(\rho)}$ has rank v. Otherwise P is a <u>critical point</u>, and f(P) a <u>critical value</u> of fTheorem 0.3 (Sard's theorem). Let $f: M^{\mathcal{M}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{V}}$ be a smooth map. Then the set of critical values of f is nul.

Proof

We observe that it is sufficient to consider values in a C.N. of V , and further that, since M is a countable union of C.N.s, we may also restrict attention to a C.N. of $\mathcal M$. This reduces the proof to the case $\vee = \mathbb{R}^{\vee}$, M an open subset of \mathbb{R}^{m} . Now for $\mathcal{T} < \mathcal{V}$, the result follows by Corollary 0.1.1.

We give the proof here only for $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{V}$. For $\mathcal{M} > \mathcal{V}$, we refer the reader to the paper by A. Sard, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 48 (1942) pp.883-890.

Let ρ be a critical point. Since m = v, the Jacobian determinant of f vanishes at ho , so given S , we can find a ball containing ρ with $J(f) < \delta$ in the ball. Hence the volume of the image is $\leqslant S \times$ volume of original ball: it can be contained in balls of at most twice this total volume.

If K is a compact submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^{n_{i}}$, A the set of critical points in K , we enclose these in small balls of total volume less than $2\mu(k)$, say. Then f(A) can be enclosed in balls of total volume less than $4 \delta_{\mu}(\kappa)$. But δ is arbitrarily small, so f(A) is nul. The set of critical values is a countable union of sets f(A), hence also nul. ⋬

Chapter 1 Whitney's Imbedding Theorem

We open our discussion of the deeper properties of smooth manifolds with Whitney's imbedding theorem for two reasons. The first is historical: smooth manifolds were originally considered as submanifolds of Euclidean space, and this theorem reconciled this approach with the abstract form of definition which we prefer. Secondly, the proof is quite simple, and opens the way to our later discussion of the general transversality theorem.

Theorem 1.1 Any compact manifold \mathcal{M}^{m} (perhaps with boundary) can be imbedded in a Euclidean space.

Proof If the manifold is bounded, double up: any imbedding of the double restricts to give an imbedding of the original manifold. Now let $\varphi_i: \mathcal{U}_i \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}(O, 3)$ be the C.N.s constructed in 2.1, Part O: since they are locally finite, and \mathcal{M} compact, there are only a finite number. Also as in 2.1.1, Part O, let $\overline{\varphi_i}(P) = \beta_P (2 - l\varphi_i(P)l)$ for P in the range of φ_i , O otherwise. Now define functions f_{ij} by

$$f_{io}(P) = \Phi_i(P)$$

$$f_{ij}(P) = \Phi_i(P) \chi_j(\varphi_i(P)) \qquad P \text{ in range of } \varphi_i$$

$$= O \qquad \text{otherwise.}$$

Clearly, the fig are all smooth functions of P; if the range of i is $1 \le i \le N$, there are (M+1)N of them, so they define a smooth map $F: M^m \to \mathbb{R}^{(m+1)N}$

We assert that F is an imbedding: by 2.2.1, Part I, it is sufficient to prove F(1-1) and an immersion (M being compact). First, since the $\varphi_i^{-1}(\mathcal{U}(O, 1))$ cover M, each $P \in M$

First, since the $\varphi_i(\mathcal{U}(0,1))$ cover M, each $P \in M$ belongs to at least one such. But in this set, $\overline{\Phi}_i = 1$, $f_{ij}(P) = \chi_j(\varphi(P))$, and so these df_{ij} form a basis for M_P^* . Thus $df : M_P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{f(P)}^{(m+1)N}$ is (1-1), and so F is an immersion. Now if F(P) = F(Q), and $P \in \varphi_i^{-1}(\mathcal{U}(0,1))$, then $1 = \overline{\Phi}_i(P) = f_{i0}(P)$, and so $1 = f_{10}(Q) = \overline{\Phi}_i(Q)$, and $Q \in \varphi_i^{-1}(\mathfrak{U}(0,1))$ also. But in this set, we can take the $f_{ij}(=x_f)$ as co-ordinates - since these have the same values for P and Q, then P = Q. Thus F is also (1-1).

II.1.2

This is the first of Whitney's theorems: the proof is very simple, but the result is rather weak. We shall now obtain a stronger version, with a bound on the dimension of the Euclidean space, and an approximation clause. It is also possible by similar methods to give a proof for non-compact manifolds; for us, it will be more convenient to defer this extension till we have the transversality theorem.

Each vector in \mathbb{R}^n determines the parallel unit vector from the origin, and hence its end-point, which lies on S^{n-1} . Lemma 1.2 Let $f: \mathbb{M}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be an imbedding. Then the set of points of S^{n-1} whose vectors are parallel to a tangent of \mathbb{M}^m is nul, if $n \ge 2m + 1$, and the set whose vectors are parallel to a chord is nul, if $n \ge 2m + 2$.

Proof Any tangent of M^m is parallel to a unit tangent. Let \mathcal{B} be the sub-bundle of $\overline{T}(M)$ consisting of unit vectors. Then $df:\overline{T}(M) \to \overline{T}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ defines $\mathcal{f}:\mathcal{B}\to\overline{T}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and since all tangent spaces to \mathbb{R}^n have been identified with \mathbb{R}^n , there is a smooth map $\overline{TT}:\overline{T}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathbb{R}^n$. Moreover, since \mathcal{B} consists of unit vectors, $\overline{TT} \circ \mathcal{f} = \mathbb{R}^n$. Hence the set of points in S^{n-1} whose vectors are parallel to a tangent of \mathcal{M} is the image of \mathcal{B} under a smooth map. Since \mathcal{B} has dimension 2m-1, the first result follows from Corollary 0.2.1.

For chords we proceed similarly. Let $M \times M$ be the product manifold, $\Delta(M)$ the diagonal, and consider $C = M \times M - \Delta(M)$: this is also a smooth manifold. Since \oint is an imbedding, any two distinct points have distinct images, so if we define $f_1: C \to \mathbb{R}^n$ by $f_1(P,Q) = \int (P) - f(Q)$ (vector subtraction), the image does not contain O. Thus we can normalise the image and define $f_2: C \to \leq M^{-1}$. Again we see that the set of points of S^{M-1} whose vectors are parallel to a chord of M is the image under a smooth map; this time of C. Since C has dimension 2m, the result follows as before. Theorem 1.3 (Whitney's Imbedding Theorem). Let $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{M}}$ be a smooth compact manifold. Any map of $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{M}}$ to \mathcal{R}^{2m+1} may be approximated arbitrarily closely by an imbedding.

Since we have not yet discussed topologies for mapping spaces (see Chapter 3 below), approximation is here to be understood in the sense of pointwise convergence.

Proof Let $f_1: \mathbb{M}^m \to \mathbb{R}^{2m+1}$ be the given map, $f_2: \mathbb{M}^m \to \mathbb{R}^m$ some imbedding (which exists by Theorem 1.1). Consider the product map $f_3: \mathbb{M}^m \to \mathbb{R}^{2m+1+n}$: this is an imbedding. For since f_2 is an immersion and (1-1), so is f_3 . Now by Lemma 1.2, the set \mathcal{E} of points of S^{2m+n} whose vector is parallel to a tangent or chord is nul, thus its complement is everywhere dense. We choose a point X, close to the unit point on the last axis, and not in \mathcal{E} . Now project $f_3(\mathbb{M})$ in the direction X to \mathbb{R}^{2m+n} . Clearly the first 2m+1 co-ordinates of the projected map f_4 differ from those of f_3 , and hence of f_1 , by an amount which can be made arbitrarily small by choice of X.

We say that f_4 is an imbedding. For since X is parallel to no chord of $f_3(M^m)$, no two distinct points of M have the same image under f_4 ; and since X is parallel to no tangent vector, there is no tangent vector which is mapped to zero by df_4 . Thus f_4 is an immersion and (1-1), hence an imbedding.

We may now repeat the projection process a further (n-1) times, obtaining ultimately an imbedding in \mathbb{R}^{2m+1} with co-ordinates differing by arbitrarily little from those of f_1 . Theorem 1.4 Any map of a compact M^m to \mathbb{R}^{2m} may be approximated by an immersion.

Proof As for Theorem 1.3, we obtain an imbedding in \mathbb{R}^{2m+1} , and then choose $\times \mathcal{E} S^{2m}$, arbitrarily close to the unit point on the last axis, and parallel to no tangent vector (which is possible, as before, using Lemma 1.2). Projecting parallel to X, we obtain the desired immersion.

II.1.3

<u>Chapter 2</u> <u>Existence of Nondegenerate Functions</u>

At a later stage in these seminars we shall give a method for describing compact manifolds up to diffeomorphism. The method consists in defining a smooth function $f: \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{M}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}$; and then we can regard \mathcal{M} as "filtered" by the subset $f^{-1}(-\infty, \alpha]$ as increases. In order to carry out this process in detail, it is necessary to suppose f nondegenerate.

Let \oint be a smooth function on M, and P a critical point of f, so that $\partial f(M_p) = 0$. If we take local co-ordinates with P as origin, we have f(0) = 0 and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}$ vanishes at Ofor 1 & $i \leq m$. It is now natural to consider the Hessian matrix $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$ of second derivatives of f at O. We regard the Hessian as a symmetric bilinear form $H(f): M_p \times M_p \to \mathbb{R}$, where $H(f)(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i}$, we extend γ

to a vector field $\underline{\vee}$ defined (at least) in a nbd of \hat{P} ; then $H(f)(u,v) = u(\underline{v}(f)).$

(Recall that a tangent vector is a mapping of functions on M to the reals, and hence a vector field maps functions to functions). This is independent of the extension $\underline{\vee}$ of \checkmark (since \hat{P} is a critical point), and is clearly the same as the definition by co-ordinates.

D 43 P is a <u>degenerate</u> (<u>nondegenerate</u>) <u>critical point</u> of f if H(f) is a singular (nonsingular) bilinear form, f is nondegenerate if it has no degenerate critical point.

Now suppose given an imbedding $i: M \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. Then since we identify $\mathbb{T}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$, we may identify $\mathbb{N}(\mathbb{R}^n/M)$ with the submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ given by pairs $\{(P, v): P \in M, v \in \mathbb{R}^n \}$ orthogonal to $d_i(Mp)$. Recall that the exponential map is given by exp(P, v) = P + v (vector addition).

Let M be a submanifold of the complete Riemann manifold N. Then a critical value of $\exp:\mathbb{N}(N/M) \to N$ is called a <u>focus</u> of M; if the corresponding critical point is a vector at \mathcal{P} , it is a focus of M at \mathcal{P} .

DШ

We observe that by Sard's theorem, the set of foci of M in N (or in \mathbb{R}^n) is nul. It is then clear that the existence of nondegenerate functions will follow from the theorem below. For $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^m - M)$, define $L_p: M \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^1$ by $L_p(Q) = |\mathcal{P}-Q|$. Theorem 2.1 L_p has a critical point at $Q\mathcal{E}M$ iff $\mathcal{P}Q$ is normal to M at Q. Q is a degenerate critical point iff \mathcal{P} is a focus of M at Q.

Proof The first statement is clear. For the second, first suppose M a curve in \mathbb{R}^2 . Then a focus must be a point of intersection of consecutive normale, i.e. a centre of curvature. But $L\rho$ has a degenerate critical point at Q iff |P-X| is constant to the second order at X = Q, i.e. again if and only if P is the centre of curvature of M at Q.

For general M, the argument is a little more complicated. Suppose that P = Q + v is a focus, i.e. a singular point of exp, at(Q, v). Then for a consecutive point(Q + SQ, v + Sv) in some direction, the difference SQ + Sv is of the second order of small quantities. Now since P is on a normal at Q, Lphas a critical point at Q, so $dLp \cdot MQ \rightarrow R^1$ is zero. But at Q + SQ, to the first order P again lies on the normal, and $dL_p \colon MQ + SQ \rightarrow R^1$ is zero. Thus if v is the tangent vector at Q corresponding to SQ, w(Y(Lp)) = 0 at Q for any $v \notin MQ$ i.e. $H(L_p)(u,v) = 0$ for all v, and $H(L_p)$ is singular on M_Q , so Q is a degenerate critical point of L_p .

If we suppose conversely that Q is degenerate, we can reverse the argument. Since $H(L_p)$ is singular, there exists uwith $H(L_p)(u,v)=0$ for all $v \in M_Q$, so $dL_p: M_{Q+SQ} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$ vanishes to the first order if we move in the direction u, so to that order, P also lies on a normal at Q + SQ, and hence Pis a focus of M at Q.

Corollary 2.1.1. Any compact manifold M admits nondegenerate functions.

Proof By Theorem 1.1, M can be imbedded in Euclidean space, by Sard's theorem, the set of foci (critical values of a smooth map) is mul, so we can choose $P \notin M$ not a focus, and then by the Theorem, Lp is a nondegenerate function.

II.2.3

We remark that compactness is inessential, and also that using the approximation clause in Theorem 1.3, we could obtain one here. Also the condition $P\notin M$ is irrelevant; however, we should replace $L\rho = |P-Q|$ by $|P-Q|^2$ in this case; P itself will then be a nondegenerate critical point. We shall obtain very precise forms of this corollary later, even specifying the needed number of critical points.

II.3.1

Chapter 3

Jet spaces and function spaces

We now approach the general tranversality theorem; for this we need a number of preliminary notions. We first discuss jets. Lemma 3.1 Let $f: \mathbb{R}^{\checkmark} \to \mathbb{R}^{m}$ be a smooth map such that f and all its partial derivatives of orders $\leq \mathbb{P}$ vanish at O. Let φ, ψ be diffeomorphisms of $\mathbb{R}^{\checkmark}, \mathbb{R}^{m}$ keeping O fixed. Then $\psi f \varphi$ has all partial derivatives of orders $\leq \mathbb{P}$ zero at O.

Proof The result is an immediate consequence of the chain rules for differentiating "a function of a function".

Clearly, also, the result holds if the maps are only locally defined, and writing f = g - h, holds also if we speak of g, hhaving equal derivatives rather than of f having zero ones. D 45 Let g, $h \lor \rightarrow M$ be smooth maps, and let $P \And \lor \lor$. Then $g \sim_{\mu} h$ at P if, w.r.t. some local co-ordinates at P and g(P), we have g(P) = h(P), and all partial derivatives of order $\leqslant r$ of g and h at P agree.

By the lemma, this is independent of the chosen co-ordinate system. Clearly, \sim_{r} is an equivalence relation for maps defined on a nbd of f. An equivalence class is called an <u>*r*-jet</u> of maps from \vee to M at f. The set of all jets of maps of \vee to M is the jet space $\mathcal{T}^{r}(\vee, M)$.

Each jet is a jet of a map at some $P \in V$, so there is a natural projection $\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_1: \mathcal{J}^r(V, \mathcal{M}) \to V$. Similarly (since $r \ge 0$), since two functions g, h with the same r-jet at P, have g(P) = h(P), there is another projection $\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_2: \mathcal{J}^r(V, \mathcal{M}) \to \mathcal{M}$. In fact it is clear that for r = 0 (when derivatives do not come in to it) we have $\mathcal{J}^o(V, \mathcal{M}) \cong V_X \mathcal{M}$; here we may define a topology and the structure of a smooth manifold on the jet space using that on the product.

More generally, consider \mathcal{V} -jets of functions f on a nbd of \mathcal{P} with $f(\mathcal{P}) = \mathbb{Q}$. With respect to local co-ordinates at \mathcal{P}, \mathbb{Q} , since two functions with the same partial derivatives define the same jet, we may take such partial derivatives as co-ordinates in
$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}^{r}(\vee, \mathsf{M}). & \text{We need a streamlined notation.} \quad \text{Let } \mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}_{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_{\nu}) \\ \text{be a set of local co-ordinates at } \mathcal{P}, \quad \mathcal{Y} = (\mathcal{Y}_{1}, \cdots, \mathcal{Y}_{\mathcal{M}}) \quad \text{local} \\ \text{co-ordinates at } \mathcal{Q} & \text{We write } \boldsymbol{\omega} = (\omega_{1}, \cdots, \omega_{\nu}) \quad \text{for an} \\ \text{arbitrary set of non-negative integers; } \mathbf{x}^{\omega} \text{for } \mathbf{x}_{1}^{\omega_{1}} \cdots \mathbf{x}_{\nu}^{\omega_{\nu}}, \\ \partial_{\mathcal{W}} = (\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{1}})^{\omega_{1}} \cdots (\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{\nu}})^{\omega_{\nu}}, \quad |\omega| = \omega_{1} + \cdots + \omega_{\nu}, \text{ and} \\ \omega| = \omega_{1}! \cdots \omega_{\nu}! \quad \text{Then if } \mathbf{f} \text{ is a function on a nbd} \\ \text{of } \mathcal{P}, \quad \mathbf{f}(\mathcal{P}) = \mathcal{Q}, \text{ its partial derivatives of order } \leq \mathcal{P} \text{ are simply} \\ \text{the numbers } \mathcal{U}_{\omega_{1}} = \partial_{\omega} \mathcal{Y}_{1} \quad (\mathbb{O} \leq |\omega| \leq \mathcal{P}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq \mathcal{M}), \text{ thus} \\ \text{these values determine the } \mathcal{P} \text{-jet of } \mathbf{f} \text{ at } \mathcal{P} \text{ . Conversely, given} \\ \text{a set of numbers } \mathcal{Q}_{\omega_{1}j} \quad (\text{where the point } (\mathcal{Q}_{\omega_{1}j}) \text{ must lie in the} \\ \text{prescribed nbd of } \mathcal{Q} \text{, there exists a corresponding function - in} \\ \text{fact, the polynomial} \end{aligned}$

II.3.2

 $y_{j} = \sum \alpha_{\omega,j} \chi^{\omega}/\omega!$ Hence the set of r-jets $g_{\text{with}} \pi(g) = P, \pi(g) = Q$ is isomorphic to a Euclidean space.

If we now take $(\mathcal{X}_i, \mathcal{M}_{\omega, j})$ as local co-ordinate system in $\mathcal{J}'(V, M)$ - which we have seen to be possible - it is easy to convince oneself that the co-ordinate changes are smooth (they exhibit, again, the chain rule for partial differentials); we shall spare the reader a detailed exhibition of them. We conclude that $\mathcal{J}''(V, M)$ is a smooth manifold.

We now observe that the projections \mathbb{N}_1 and \mathbb{N}_2 are smooth maps. Also, let $f: \vee \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be a smooth map. Then at each $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{E}} \vee$ the equivalence class of f is our \mathcal{M} -jet at \mathcal{P} , so f defines a cross-section $\overline{f}: \vee \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}^{\mathcal{M}}(\vee, \mathcal{M})$, which is smooth since f (and hence all its partial derivatives) is. Here it is useful to really restrict ourselves to infinitely differentiable maps - the condition was not essential in the preceding chapters. In the case $\mathcal{M}=O$, of course, \overline{f} is just the graph of f; we may consider our case as generalised from this.

We now use the jet space terminology to discuss spaces of maps. Write M^{\vee} for the set of smooth maps of \vee in M: we wish to give this set a topology. First suppose \vee compact. Now each jet space $\mathcal{J}'(V, M)$ is a smooth manifold, so admits a complete Riemannian metric g''': we shall replace by the non-Riemannian metric g' = inf(g'r, 1), which gives the same topology. Then if $f, g: V \longrightarrow M$ are smooth maps, we define $g''(f, g) = \sup_{P \in V} g''(f(P), \overline{g}(P))$ (this is finite since V is compact) If we used g'' to define a topology, we should obtain the topology of uniform convergence of f (with its first Γ derivatives). Instead, we take $g(f, g) = \sum_{P} 2^{-r} g_{P}(f, g)$ to define a topology here, convergence is equivalent to simultaneous convergence of fwith all derivatives. Hence we may reasonably call it the smooth topology.

If \vee is not compac⁺, (in fact in general), we define D 46 The <u>smooth topology</u> on \mathcal{M}^{\vee} is the topology of uniform convergence of all derivatives on compact subsets.

Lemma 3.2 The smooth topology is metric.

Proof We know this is so if V is compact. If not, write $V = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} V_i$ as a countable union of compact submanifolds (with boundary, but that is irrelevant) - say discs. Then the topology for M^{V_i} is defined by a metric p_i , bounded by 1. Hence the metric $y = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^{-i} y_i$ defines the product topology on $\Pi_i M^{V_i}$, and hence the required topology on the subset M^V .

Theorem 3.3 With the smooth topology, MV is a complete metric space.

Proof We have just established that this topology is metrisable. Now again first suppose V compact. A Cauchy sequence in \mathcal{M}^V must à fortioni be Cauchy with the metric $\mathcal{G}^{\mathcal{F}}$. Since $\mathcal{J}^{\mathcal{F}}(V, \mathcal{M})$ is complete, the maps \overline{f}_i converge to a limit $\overline{f}^{\mathcal{F}}$, which is continuous, since the convergence was uniform.

Now for the f_i , the co-ordinates $\mathcal{U}_{\omega,j}$ are the partial derivatives of the $\mathcal{U}_{\omega,j}$. Let ω be derived from ω by increasing ω_i by unity, and $|\omega'| \leq \kappa$: then $\mathcal{U}_{\omega,j} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_{\omega,j}}{\partial c_i}$ and so $\mathcal{U}_{\omega,j}$ is the indefinite integral with respect to X_i of $\mathcal{U}_{\omega,j}$. Integration commutes with uniform limits, so the same holds for \tilde{f}^{κ} .

II.3.4 We deduce that for \overline{f}^{μ} , $\mathcal{M}_{\omega,j} = \partial \overline{\chi_i} \mathcal{M}_{\omega,j}$ again, so that the $\mathcal{M}_{o,j} = \mathcal{Y}_j$ are \mathcal{F} times continuously differentiable. But this shows that \overline{f}^{μ} is the graph of an \mathcal{F} -times differentiable function f, clearly independent of \mathcal{F} , so \overline{f} is smooth, and is the limit of the sequence.

If V is not compact, we write $V = UV_i$, and then M^V as a closed subset of the complete $\overline{\Pi}_i M^{V_i}$ is also complete.

It follows that Baire's theorem applies to the space M^{\vee} (2.9, Part 0).

Corollary 3.3.1 The intersection of a countable family of dense open subsets of M^V is still dense.

This is an exceedingly useful result.

4

Charter 4

The Transversality Theorem

Let V, M^{m} be smooth manifolds, and let N^{n} be a submanifold of M^{m} . Let $f: V \rightarrow M$ be a smooth map. D 47 The map f is <u>transverse</u> to N if for every $P \in V$ with $f(P) = Q \in N, \quad df(V_{P}) + N_{Q} = M_{Q}.$

This may also be interpreted as stating that df induces an epimorphism of $V_{\mathcal{P}}$ on $M_{\mathcal{Q}}/N_{\mathcal{Q}}$, or equivalently, if $N_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\perp}$ is the normal space to N at \mathcal{Q} (the annihilator of $N_{\mathcal{Q}}$ in $M_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\star}$ - see D 20), that df induces a monomorphism of $N_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\perp}$ into $V_{\mathcal{P}}^{\star}$.

If dim $V < \operatorname{codim} N$, the above condition connot be satisfied: in that case transversality requires $\int (V) t_0$ be disjoint from N. The following result gives some indication of the geometrical meaning of the condition.

Lemma 4.0 Let $f: V \to M$ be transverse to a submanifold N of M. Then $f^{-1}(N) = W$ is a submanifold of V, whose codimension equals that of N in M.

- <u>Proof</u> Let $P \in V$, $f(P) = Q \in N$, and let N be locally defined at Q by $x_1 = \cdots = x_c = 0$, where the X_1 have linearly independent differentials at Q, and $C = Cod_{M} \cap N$. Then by transversality, the functions $x_1 \circ f_1, \cdots, x_c \circ f$ have linearly independent differentials at P, and clearly their vanishing defines W near P. The result
 - follows by the proof of 2.2, Part I (using 4.1.1, Part 0).
 - We extend the concept as follows. Let N be a submanifold of $\overline{J}^{\leftarrow}(V, M)$, Then we say that f is transverse to N if \overline{f} is so. Then roughly speaking, the transversality theorem states that almost any map is transverse to N. This is very general, so we need a lot of apparatus: we develop all the local results in a lemma.

Lemma 4.1 Let $f: V \to M^{n}$ be a smooth map with graph $\overline{f}: V \to \overline{J}^{n}(V, M)$, and let N be a submanifold of $\overline{J}^{n}(V, M)$ of codimension p. Let $\overline{f}(P) = Q \in N$. Then we can find i) a C.N. \mathcal{U}_{1} of P in V, ii) a C.N. \mathcal{U}_{2} of Q in $\overline{J}^{n}(V, M)$ and iii) an open nbd W of f in M^{V} such that

- a) For gEW, q (U,)c. U2
- b) For every q EW, there are maps h arbitrarily close to 9 in M* such that $h|\mathcal{U}_i$ is transverse to N.
- Proof

We first choose a C.N. $in \mathcal{J}^{\leftarrow}(V, M)$ at Q , within which N is given by equations $H_{\lambda} = O(1 \le \lambda \le p)$, where the $H \lambda$ are smooth functions with linearly independent differentials. Hence we can find a subset $\{Z_{\mu}: 1 \le \mu \le p\}$ of the co-ordinates X_i, M_{ω_j} at Q such that $|\partial H_{\lambda}/\partial Z_{\mu}| \neq O$ at Q_o , say w.l.o.g. it is positive.

Now, having fixed in advance the local co-ordinates at P and Q, we may take for \mathcal{U}_{2} any nbd of Q within which N is defined by the equations $\mathcal{H}_{\lambda}=0$, and $|\overset{\partial\mathcal{H}_{\lambda}}{\mathcal{J}_{2,\lambda}}|>0>0$. We choose \mathcal{U}_{1} such that $\tilde{f}(\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_{1})\subset\mathcal{U}_{2}$: these will nearly be the nbds i), ii) of the lemma. It will be convenient to write (without loss of generality)

$$z_{\lambda} = x_{\lambda} (1 \le \lambda \le q) z_{\lambda} = u_{\omega_{\lambda},j_{\lambda}} (q < \lambda \le p).$$

In order to obtain the result, we must now take a map 9, with $\tilde{g}(\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_{1}) \subset \mathcal{U}_{2}$, and attempt to deform g to be transverse to N. We shall define the deformation locally; it may be extended to the rest of the manifold by using bump functions. We define $G_{\mathbf{x}}: V \rightarrow M$ by $(\mathbf{x}_{i}(\mathbf{x}_{i}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{v}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}, \dots, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{p}) = g_{j}(\mathbf{x}_{i} + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{q} + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{q}, \mathbf{x}_{q+1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{p}) + \sum_{q \in \mathbf{x}} c \lambda \boldsymbol{\xi} \lambda \boldsymbol{\chi}^{\omega_{\lambda}}$ where the c_{λ} are constants to be determined. We shall calculate the partial derivatives of the $H_{\lambda}^{(\overline{G})}$ with respect to the \mathcal{E}_{λ} at $\mathcal{E} = 0$.

Now
$$\frac{\partial H_{\lambda}(\bar{G})}{\partial \epsilon_{\mu}} = \sum_{\omega,j} \frac{\partial H_{\lambda}(\bar{G})}{\partial u_{\omega,j}} \frac{\partial U_{\omega,j}}{\partial \epsilon_{\mu}}$$
 (1)
But by definition, $U_{\omega,j}(\bar{G}) = \partial_{\omega}G_{ij}$ so
 $U_{\omega,j}(\bar{G}) = \partial_{\omega}g_{j}(x_{i}+\epsilon_{i},...,x_{q}+\epsilon_{q},x_{q+1},...,x_{p}) + \sum_{\substack{q \leq \lambda \leq p \\ q \leq \lambda \leq p \\ \partial \epsilon_{\mu}}} (\lambda_{i}+\epsilon_{i},...,x_{q}+\epsilon_{q},x_{q+1},...,x_{p}) + \sum_{\substack{q \leq \lambda \leq p \\ q \geq \lambda \leq p \\ \partial \epsilon_{\mu}}} (\lambda_{i}+\epsilon_{i},...,x_{q}+\epsilon_{q},x_{q+1},...,x_{p}) + \sum_{\substack{q \leq \lambda \leq p \\ q \geq \lambda \leq p \\ \partial \epsilon_{\mu}}} (\lambda_{i}+\epsilon_{i},...,x_{q}+\epsilon_{q},x_{q+1},...,x_{p}) + \sum_{\substack{q \leq \lambda \leq p \\ q \geq \lambda \leq p \\ \partial \epsilon_{\mu}}} (\lambda_{i}+\epsilon_{i},...,\lambda_{q}+\epsilon_{q},x_{q+1},...,x_{p}) + \sum_{\substack{q \leq \lambda \leq p \\ q \geq \lambda \leq p \\ d \geq i}} (\lambda_{i}+\epsilon_{i},...,\lambda_{q}+\epsilon_{q},x_{q+1},...,x_{p}) + \sum_{\substack{q \leq \lambda \leq p \\ q \geq \lambda \leq p \\ d \geq i}} (\lambda_{i}+\epsilon_{i},...,\lambda_{q}+\epsilon_{q},x_{q+1},...,x_{p}) + \sum_{\substack{q \leq \lambda \leq p \\ q \geq \lambda \leq p \\ d \geq i}} (\lambda_{i}+\epsilon_{i},...,\lambda_{q}+\epsilon_{q},x_{q+1},...,x_{p}) + \sum_{\substack{q \leq \lambda \leq p \\ q \geq \lambda \leq p \\ d \geq i}} (\lambda_{i}+\epsilon_{i},...,\lambda_{q}+\epsilon_{q},x_{q+1},...,x_{p}) + \sum_{\substack{q \leq \lambda \leq p \\ q \geq \lambda \leq p \\ d \geq i}} (\lambda_{i}+\epsilon_{i},...,\lambda_{q}+\epsilon_{q},x_{q+1},...,x_{p}) + \sum_{\substack{q \leq \lambda \leq p \\ q \geq \lambda \leq p \\ d \geq i}} (\lambda_{i}+\epsilon_{i},...,\lambda_{q}+\epsilon_{q},x_{q+1},...,x_{q}+\epsilon_{q},x_{q+1},...,x_{p}) + \sum_{\substack{q \geq \lambda \leq p \\ q \geq \lambda \leq p \\ q \geq i}} (\lambda_{i}+\epsilon_{i},...,\lambda_{q}+\epsilon_{q},x_{q+1},...,x_{q}+\epsilon_{q},x_{q+1},...,x_{q}) + \sum_{\substack{q \geq \lambda \leq p \\ q \geq \lambda \leq p \\ q \geq i}} (\lambda_{i}+\epsilon_{i},...,\lambda_{q}+\epsilon_{q},x_{q+1},...,x_{q+1}$

$$\begin{array}{c} c_{\mu}\partial_{\omega}x^{\omega\mu} \text{ if } \mu > 9, j = j\mu \\ \partial_{\omega}x^{\mu} \text{ if } \mu > 9, j = j\mu \\ \partial_{\omega}x^{\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\mu}} \mathcal{U}_{\omega,j} \{ \overline{\mathcal{G}}_{\varepsilon}(x + \varepsilon_{i}, ..., x_{p}) \} \text{ if } \mu \end{array}$$

Now set $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{E} = 0$. Then $\partial_{\omega} \chi^{\omega_{\mu}} = 0$ unless $\omega = \omega_{\mu}$, in which case it = ω_{μ} ! We set $c_{\mu} = (\omega_{\mu}!)^{-1}$. Hence at $\chi = \mathcal{E} = 0$, if $\mu \leq q$, $\frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_{\omega_{j}}(\overline{\alpha})}{\partial \mathcal{E}_{\mu}} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_{\omega_{j}}(\overline{\alpha})}{\partial \chi_{\mu}}$ $\frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_{\omega,j}(\bar{\alpha})}{\partial \mathcal{E}_{\mu}} = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad (\omega, j) \neq (\omega_{\mu}, j_{\mu})$ if M>q,

59

and so substituting in (1), $\frac{\partial H_{\lambda}(\bar{G})}{\partial \epsilon_{\mu}} = \sum_{\omega,j} \frac{\partial H_{\lambda}(\bar{G})}{\partial u_{\omega,j}} \frac{\partial u_{\omega,j}}{\partial x_{\mu}} = \frac{\partial H_{\lambda}(\bar{G})}{\partial x_{\mu}} \quad (\mu \leq q)$ $= \frac{\partial H_{\lambda}(\bar{G})}{\partial u_{\omega,\mu,\mu}} \quad (\mu \geq q)$ thus in any case, $\frac{\partial H_{\lambda}(\bar{G})}{\partial \epsilon_{\mu}} = \frac{\partial H_{\lambda}(\bar{G})}{\partial \epsilon_{\mu}} \quad \text{at } x = \epsilon = 0.$

We are now ready to complete the proof of the lemma. For any q defined (at least) on a nbd of \mathcal{U}_1' , with $\overline{g}(\overline{\mathcal{U}},) \subset \mathcal{U}_2$, we define $K(q, \epsilon) = \left| \frac{\partial H_{\lambda}(\overline{c}_0)}{\partial \epsilon_{\mu}} \right|$; this is a function on $\overline{\mathcal{U}}_1'$, and we have checked that at \mathcal{P} we have K(f, 0) > 0 . Now choose δ , and then \mathcal{U}_1 , such that on $\overline{\mathcal{U}}_1$, we have $K(f, \epsilon) > \frac{2}{3} D$, provided $|\epsilon| \leq \delta$. Then $|\mathcal{V}|$ is the set of maps q with $\overline{q}(\overline{\mathcal{U}}_1) \subset \mathcal{U}_2$ and $K(q, \epsilon) > \frac{4}{3} D$ on $\overline{\mathcal{U}}_1$, provided $|\epsilon| \leq \delta$: this clearly defines an open set in \mathcal{M}^{\vee} .

In particular, for $g \in W$, K(g) is nonzero on \mathcal{U}_{i} . By the Implicit Function Theorem (4.2, Part 0), the equations $H_{\lambda}(\overline{G}(x_{1}, \dots, x_{V}, \mathcal{E}_{i}, \dots, \mathcal{E}_{p})) = 0$

define $\mathcal{E}_i, \dots, \mathcal{E}_p$ as smooth functions of $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_V$, with $|\mathcal{E}| < \delta$, in an open subset of \mathcal{U}_i (points whose images under \overline{q} are close to N). By Sard's theorem, we can find arbitrarily small regular values \mathcal{E}° of this map. But at a regular value, $d\mathcal{E}_i, \dots, d\mathcal{E}_p$ are linearly independent functions of $d\mathbf{x}_i, \dots, d\mathbf{x}_V$; and since $K(g, \mathcal{E}^\circ)$ is nonzero, $d\mathcal{H}_i, \dots, d\mathcal{H}_p$ are linearly independent functions of these. Hence the induced map from $N^{\perp} \subset \mathcal{J}^{(*)}$ (which admits the $d\mathcal{H}_{\lambda}$ as basis) to $V^{(*)}$ is monomorphic on \mathcal{U}_i for $\overline{\mathcal{G}}_i(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{E}^\circ)$, i.e. $\mathcal{G}_i(\mathbf{x}, \mathcal{E}^\circ)|_{\mathcal{U}_i}$ is transverse to N. Taking \mathcal{E}° small, it also approximates $q(\mathbf{x})$.

It is now easy to prove the general theorem: Theorem 4.2 Let N be a submanifold of $\mathcal{J}^{\vee}(V,M)$. The set of maps $f: V \rightarrow M$ transverse to N is dense in M^{\vee} .

Proof First let K be a compact subset of V. Then K can be covered by a finite number of the nbds $\mathcal{U}_{i}^{\mathsf{X}}$ of the lemma. The intersection of the corresponding sets W is an open nbd of f_{i} , and the subset of W of functions g with g/\mathcal{U} , transverse to N is dense, by the lemma. By Baire's theorem 3.3.1, the subset of g with g/K transverse to N is also dense (Baire's theorem applies to an open subset of a complete metric space - see 2.9.1, Part O), and is open, being defined by mapping a compact subset of V to an open subset of a jet space.

TI.4.4

Since \oint was arbitrary, we now see that the set of q with $q \mid K$ transverse to N is a dense open set. The result follows by a second application of Baire's theorem 3.3.1. \clubsuit Complement 4.2.1 If V is compact, the set of $f: V \rightarrow M$ transverse to N is also open in M^V .

This was established in the proof of the above theorem. In general, the set of f is a dence Gebset; by further applications of Baire's theorem, we see that the set of f satisfying a finite, or even countable, number of conditions of the above type is still dense.

We now derive a number of extensions of the above theorem: these are rather more useful than the result in its original form. Prop 4.3 If F is closed in V and $\int |F$ is transverse to N, then fcan be approximated by g, transverse to N, and with g|F = f|F. Proof Consider the subspace of M^V of functions agreeing with fon V. Since, if f_{i} is such a function, f_{i} is transverse to Nabove an open nbd of V_{i} , we can apply Baire's theorem as in the proof of 4.2 (the space is clearly still complete). Prop 4.4 Let N be a cell-complex contained in $J^{rr}(V, M)$, with codim $N > \dim V$. Then the set of \hat{f}_{i} with $\hat{f}_{i}(V)$ disjoint from N

is dense in M^{\vee} .

Proof by induction on dim N. Suppose proved for dimension i-1. Then any \oint can be approximated by \Im with $\overline{g}(V)$ disjoint from the skeleton N^{i-1} . But now any \mathring{L} sufficiently close to p also avoids N^{i-1} , and we can apply the theorem to the manifold $N^{i}-N^{i-1}$ to make \mathring{L} transverse to (and so avoiding) that.

- Corollary 4.4.1 Let $N \in \mathcal{J}^{*}(V, M)$ have a subcomplex K whose codimension (in \mathcal{J}) is > Jim V, and with N - K a manifold. The set of f with $\overline{f}(V)$ disjoint from K and transverse to N - K is dense.
- Proof As for 4.4, any f may be approximated by g avoiding K, and then apply the theorem (taking an approximation close enough still to avoid K). We obtain k, as desired.
- Prop 4.5 Let N be a submanifold of $\overline{J}^{r}(V_{1}, M_{1}) \times \overline{J}^{r}(V_{2}, M_{2})$. Then the set of $(f_{1}, f_{2}) \in M_{1}^{V_{1}} \times M_{2}^{V_{2}}$ such that $\overline{f}_{1} \times \overline{f}_{2}$ is transverse to N is dense in $M_{1}^{V_{1}} \times M_{2}^{V_{2}}$.
- Proof Follow the proof of Lemma 4.1: we there found variations \mathcal{E}_{i} say of f_{i} , and \mathcal{E}_{2} of f_{2} . Taking these as a simultaneous variation, the remainder of the proof can be completed without essential change.
- Prop 4.6 Let N be a submanifold of $\mathcal{J}^{r}(V, M) \times \mathcal{J}^{r}(V, M)$, D an open nbd of the diagonal $\Delta(V)$ in $V_{X}V$, $C = V_{X}V - D$. Then the set of $\int \mathcal{E} M^{V}$ such that $(\bar{f} \times \bar{f}) | C$ is transverse to N is dense in M^{V} . Proof By 2.1.2, Part 2, we may cover C by a countable union of
- products of discs $\mathcal{U}_{1}^{\times} \times \mathcal{U}_{2}^{\times}$ where $\mathcal{U}_{1}^{\times}, \mathcal{U}_{2}^{\times}$ are disjoint. By Prop 4.5, the set of pairs $f_1: \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{M}, f_2: \mathcal{U}_2 \to \mathcal{M}$ with $\overline{f}_1 \times \overline{f}_2$, transverse to N is a dense open set. It follows (from definition of topology on M^{\vee}) that the set of $f: V \rightarrow M$ with $\overline{f} | \mathcal{U}_{1}^{\times} \times \overline{f} | \mathcal{U}_{2}^{\times}$ transverse to N is a The required set is the intersection of all these, dense open set. so by Baire's theorem (2.9, Part 0) is still dense. Corollary 4.6.1 Let N be a submanifold of $J^{\prime\prime}(V, M)_{\star} J^{\prime\prime}(V, M), f: V \rightarrow M$ such that $(\bar{f} \times \bar{f})(\Delta)$ does not meet N . Then we can approximate f by a map g, transverse to N, and with $(\tilde{g} \times \tilde{g}) \Delta$ disjoint from N. Since N is closed, some nbd of $(f \times f) \Delta$ also avoids N: we may Proof take the inverse image of a smaller nbd as b in the above. But for any sufficiently close approximation g to f, $(\tilde{g} \times \tilde{g})$) is still disjoint from N. К

There are of course numerous results which can be obtained by a judicious combination of these extensions, but it does not seem worth attempting to formulate a common generalisation of them all.

Chapter 5 Applications

<u>Theorem 5.1</u> Let $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{M}}$ be a smooth manifold, $\mathcal{N}^{\mathcal{N}}$ a submanifold, $\mathcal{V}^{\mathcal{V}}$ a manifold with boundary. Then any $f: \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{M}$ can be approximated by maps gtransverse to \mathcal{N} , and if $f|\partial \mathcal{V}$ is transverse to \mathcal{N} , we may suppose $g|\partial \mathcal{V} = f|\partial \mathcal{V}$.

<u>Proof</u> Apply Theorem 4.2 with r=0, and considering the submanifold $V^{\vee} \times N^{\vee}$ of $V^{\vee} \times M^{\vee} = \mathcal{J}^{\vee}(V, M)$. The last clause follows from Prop. 4.3.

This was an early form of the transversality theorem, and is useful for applications to cobordism theory.

- <u>Theorem 5.2</u> Suppose $m \ge 2_{V}$. Then immersions of V in M are dense in M^V . <u>Proof</u> Consider the subset N of $\mathcal{J}^1(V, M)$ consisting of singular jets; i.e. of jets where the matrix (\mathcal{U}_{ij}) has rank $\langle V \rangle$. This is defined by the vanishing of (m - V + 1) determinants in general, so is a simplicial complex of codimension at least $m - V + 1 \ge V + 1$. By Prop 4.4, the set of maps $f : V \rightarrow M$ with $\mathcal{J}(V)$ disjoint from N is dense. But these are just the immersions.
- <u>Theorem 5.3</u> Suppose $n_1 \ge 2v_1 i$. Then imbeddings of V in Mare dense in M^V , provided V is compact. If not, imbeddings as closed submanifolds are dense in the set of proper maps.
- **<u>Proof</u>** First suppose \vee compact: then any (1-1) immersion is an imbedding. Now any $f: \vee \to M$ can be approximated by an immersion g, by Theorem 5.2. Since g is an immersion, for some nbd D_i of $\Delta(\vee)$ in $\vee \vee \vee$, no distinct pair of points in D_i have a common image under g. We shall now apply Corollary 4.6.1, taking $\overline{D} \subset D_i$ and N as the set of pairs of jets in $\mathcal{J}^{\circ}(\vee, M) \times \mathcal{J}^{\circ}(\vee, M)$ with the same image (i.e. $\vee \vee \vee \Delta(M)$). This has codimension m_i , so since $m > 2 \vee$, $\overline{h} \times \overline{h}$ is transverse to N on $C = \vee \vee \vee D$ only if $(\overline{h} \times \overline{h})(C)$ is disjoint from N. But if h approximates closely enough to g, by 4.2.1, \overline{h} is still an immersion, and h will not identify pairs of points which lie in D. Then h is (1-1), and so an imbedding. For \vee non-compact, we express it as a countable increasing

union of compact subsets V_i . By the above, the set of f with $f | V_i$

an imbedding is a dense open set, hence the intersection of all these is still dense. Since the modification on \oint to be an imbedding on each $V_{\bar{i}}$ can be made smaller as we move further out, we may find such an approximation to any proper map which is another one. The result then follows by 2.2.3, Part I.

Even this is not the final form of Whitney's theorem - a further argument along the same lines proves

<u>Complement 5.3.1</u> If $m \ge 2v + 1$, and $f: V \rightarrow M$ is proper onto f(v), then f can be approximated by an imbedding.

We will not go into the details, since the argument really uses a different topology on \mathcal{M}^{\vee} from that considered above. Now we can similarly improve the results of Chapter 2. <u>Theorem 5.4</u> Nondegenerate functions are dense in \mathbb{R}^{\vee} .

<u>Proof</u> (cf. 5.2 above). Let N be the subset of singular jets in $J^{1}(V, \mathbb{R})$: this is given in local co-ordinates by the equations $\mathcal{U}_{i} = 0$, so is a submanifold. By theorem 4.2, the set of functions f which are transverse to N is dense.

We now say that \overline{f} is transverse to N if and only if f is nondegenerate. P is a critical point of f when $\overline{f}(P) = Q \in N$. Taking local co-ordinates as usual at P, Q we must calculate $d\overline{f}(\Im_{x_i}) = \Im_{x_i} + \Im_{x_i} \Im_{y_i} + \Sigma \Im_{x_i} \Im_{x_i} \Im_{y_i}$ $= \Im_{x_i} + \Sigma_i \Im_{x_i} \Im_{y_i} + \Sigma \Im_{x_i} \Im_{y_i} \Im_{y_i}$ since at $Q, \Im_{x_i} = 0$. But the tangent space to N is spanned by

since at Q, $\Im_{X_1} = O$. But the tangent space to N is spanned by \Im_Y and the \Im_{X_1} (since N is defined by the equations $\mathcal{U}_1 = O$), and these with the above span J_Q if and only if the matrix $\Im_{X_1}^2 \Im_{X_1}^2$ is nonsingular, i.e. Q is a nondegenerate critical point of f.

In fact one can make this a little more precise yet. If $\{P_{\alpha}\}$ are the critical points of f, recall that $\{f(P_{\alpha})\}$ are the critical values.

<u>Prop 5.5</u> Nondegenerate functions with all critical values distinct are dense.

Proof

of Let N be the submanifold of $J'(V, R) \times J'(V, R)$ given by pairs of singular jets with the same image (i.e. value). This has codimension 2v+1 (as N in Theorem 5.4 has codimension v). By

11.5.2

II.5.3

that theorem, any \int can be approximated by \mathcal{Q} with only nondegenerate critical points. Since these are all isolated, there is a nbd Dof $\Delta(V)$ in $V \times V$ containing no pair of critical (for \mathcal{Q}) points; a fortiori, $\mathcal{Q}(D)$ avoids N. By Corollary 4.6.1, we can approximate \mathcal{Q} by a map \mathcal{L} transverse to (and so avoiding) N everywhere - of course, \mathcal{L} can still be taken nondegenerate.

Such functions are called generic. In general, given V, M, a generic map of \bigvee^{\vee} to \bigwedge^{∞} is to be thought of as one which satisfies all the transversality conditions which can be stated in terms of $\bigvee^{\sim} M$ alone (using no special facts about $\bigvee^{\vee} M$). To find a satisfactory general definition of the word "generic" in this context is still an unsolved problem. The above is the case M = 1, and Theorem 5.3 is the case $\mathcal{M} \ge 2\mathcal{V} + 1$. We now discuss a very general case, namely when $2\mathcal{M} \ge 3\mathcal{V}$; we shall use the results later, for Haefliger's imbedding theorem.

We need to make, in all, six applications of the transversality theorem. First, let N_1 be the subvariety of $J^1(V,M)$ consisting of jets with rank $\leq \sqrt{-2}$ (here, we use "variety" to denote a manifold with singularities - for our purposes this may be defined as a countable, finite-dimensional CW-complex). For a $(\nabla \times m)$ matrix to have rank $\sqrt{-2}$, imposes some conditions: now in an open subset of the space of such matrices, the first $\sqrt{-2}$ columns are linearly independent, and the condition is then that the remaining $(m - \sqrt{+2})$ lie in a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{\sqrt{-3}}$ of codimension 2. Hence the codimension of this set of matrices, hence of N_1 , is $L(m - \sqrt{+2})$, which is greater than $\sqrt{-12} m \geq 3\sqrt{-3}$, so by Prop 4.4, the set of $\int with$ $\overline{\int} (V)$ disjoint from N_1 is a dense \bigotimes_{S} -set.

Next, let N_2 be the subvariety of $J^1(V, M)$ consisting of singular jets (i.e. of rank $\leq V-1$). Then by Corollary 4.4.1, we may suppose f transverse to N_2 (since the singularities of N_2 all lie on N_1). Hence, by Lemma 4.0, $f^{-1}(N_2)$ is a submanifold of V, whose codimension is that of N_2 , namely (m-V+1). We call this the <u>singular manifold</u> Σ of f: at each point of Σ , df has rank (V-1). The dimension of Σ is (2V-m-1).

II.5.4

Now let N_3 be the subvariety of $\mathcal{J}^2(Y, M)$ consisting of singular jets of rank V-1 of a function at \mathcal{P} such that $\operatorname{Ker}(df)_{\mathcal{P}} \subset \Sigma_{\mathcal{P}}$, and jets of rank $\leq V-2$. Since Σ has codimension (m - V + 1), the condition $\operatorname{Ker}(df)_{\mathcal{P}} \subset \Sigma_{\mathcal{P}}$ imposes (m - V + 1) further conditions, and N_3 has codimension 2(m - V + 1). By Prop 4.4, provided this exceeds Vi.e. $2m \geq 3V - 1$, we may suppose that $\tilde{f}(V)$ avoids N_3 . Observe that this means that at each point of Σ , $d\tilde{f}(\operatorname{Ker}df)$ is not tangent to N_2 . We now phrase these three normalisations in terms of analysis. First take co-ordinates in V and M, and the usual co-ordinates in the jet space $\mathcal{J}^1(V, M)$. Then we have

 $df(\mathcal{J}_{X_i}) = \mathcal{J}_{X_i} + \mathcal{E}_i \mathcal{M}_{i,j} \mathcal{J}_{Y_j} + \mathcal{E}_{j,k} \mathcal{J}_{X_i} \mathcal{J}_{X_k} \mathcal{J}_{K,j}$ where, we recall, $\mathcal{U}_{ij} = \frac{\partial Y_i}{\partial \chi_i}$. Now by the first normalisation, at each critical point P, df has rank V-1. We suppose co-ordinates chosen so that at P, \mathcal{J}_{X_i} spans Ker (\mathcal{J}_i), thus at P, $\mathcal{O} = df(\mathcal{J}_{X_i}) =$ $= \mathcal{E}_j \mathcal{U}_{i,j} \mathcal{J}_{Y_i}$ i.e. $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{U}_{i,j} (1 \le j \le m)$. Then at $Q = \overline{f}(P)$, N_2 may be locally described as the set of

Then at Q = f(P), N_2 may be locally described as the set of jets such that the first row of $(M_{i,j})$ is a linear combination of the rest, i.e. for suitable \mathcal{E}_i , $M_{i,j} = \sum_2 \mathcal{E}_i M_{i,j}$ for all j. Hence the tangent space to N_2 at Q has as basis the \Im_{χ_i} , \Im_{χ_j} , $\Im_{M_{i,j}}(i \neq 1)$ and $\Im_{\mathcal{E}_i}$, where $\Im_{\mathcal{E}_i} = \sum_j M_{i,j} \Im_{M_{i,j}}$. Now the condition that f is transverse to N_2 , i.e. $d\bar{f}(V_P) + (N_2)Q = \bar{J}Q$ states that the space spanned by the $\Im_{M_{i,j}}$ is also spanned by the $\sum_j M_{i,j} \Im_{M_{i,j}} (\operatorname{from}(N_2)Q)$, and the $\sum_j \Im_j / \Im_{\chi_i} \Im_{\chi_i} \Im_{M_{i,j}} f$ (from $d\bar{f}(V_P)$). Also, the condition that f is transverse to N_3 i.e. $d\bar{f}(\Im_{I_1})$ is not tangent to N_2 , now states that the first of the last set of vectors is linearly independent of the first set (they are linearly independent of each other since df has rank v = 1).

To simplify this, first choose the co-ordinates in V so that the $\Im_{i}(m-v+2\leq i\leq v)$ span $\sum_{j=1}^{i}$ then the $d\bar{f}(\Im_{\chi_{i}})$ corresponding lie in $(N_{2})_{Q}$. The matrix whose rows are $(\Im_{\chi_{i}}^{2})(\Im_{\chi_{i}}^{2})(\Im_{\chi_{i}}^{2})(\Im_{\chi_{i}}^{2})$ and $(\Im_{\chi_{i}}^{2})\chi_{\chi_{i}}^{2}\chi_{i}^{2}\chi_{i}^{2}\chi_{m-v+1}$ is now nonsingular: we make a linear transformation of the Υ_{j} to reduce it to the unit matrix. Then by Taylor's theorem,

 $y_{1} = \frac{1}{2} x_{1}^{2} + Q_{1} (x_{2} \dots x_{v}) + \dots$ for 2 \(\sigma_{i} + \begi_{j} + Q_{j} (x_{1}, \dots x_{v}) + \dots and for 2 \(\sigma_{i} + \vert_{i}, \vert_{i+v-1} = x_{1} x_{i} + Q_{i+v-1} (x_{2}, \dots, x_{v}) + \dots where the Q_j are quadratic, and dots represent terms of higher order. Finally, put $x'_j = x_j + Q'_j(x_1, ..., x_r); y'_1 = y_1 - Q_i(y_2, ..., y_r)$, and $y'_{i+\nu-1} = y'_{i+\nu-1} - Q'_{i+\nu-1}(y_2, \dots, y_{\nu})$ - clearly all allowable changes - and the quadratic terms drop out too, so that moduls terms of the third and higher orders, $\frac{1}{2}$ is described in a nbd of P by $y_{i} = \frac{1}{2} x_{1}^{2}, \quad y_{j} = x_{j}, \quad y_{i+\nu-1} = x_{i} x_{i}.$ (1)

We shall see later that by further co-ordinate transformations, \int may be seen to take exactly this form.

Our further normalisations are concerned with double points, rather than singular points, of f . Next let N_{μ} be the subvariety of $J^{1}(V, M) \times J^{1}(V, M)$ consisting of pairs of jets with the same image, one of which (say the first) is singular. We wish to apply Corollary 4.6.1. Now certainly in a nbd of $(P, P) \in V \times V$, the image of \int avoids N_4 if f is not a singular point. Suppose then $P \in \Sigma$. Then in a nbd of P, the function f is described by the equations above. If $f(0,0,...,0) = f(x_1, x_2, ..., x_{\nu})$, for small x_{i} , then to the second order in them, $x_{j} = 0$ $(2 \leq j \leq v)$, equating the corresponding γ_1 , and $\frac{1}{2}\chi_1^2 = 0$, equating the corresponding γ_1 . Hence all the x_i vanish. So in some nbd of $(P, P), f \times f$ does avoid N_{4} (except on $\Delta(\Sigma)$). Since N_{4} has codimension m + (m - v + 1), greater than 2_{V} if $2_{m} \ge 3_{V}$, by Corollary 4.6.1, we can approximate f by a map (let us again call it f) such that f avoids N_{4} . Now let N_5 be the subvariety of $J^{\circ} \times J^{\circ}$ consisting of pairs of jets with the same image. Again, before we apply the theorem,

we must investigate the nbd of a critical point ${\cal P}$. We shall use equations (1) as exact - the error will always be small; and we suppose \mathfrak{X}_{1} not of a smaller order of magnitude than the other \mathfrak{X}_{1} (otherwise, refer co-ordinates to a different point P' on Σ). Then clearly two points $(x_1, ..., x_{\gamma})(x'_1, ..., x_{\gamma})$ have the same image

11.5.6

only if

 $\begin{aligned} x'_{1} = \pm x_{1}, & \chi'_{1} = \chi_{1} (2 \le j \le m), & \chi'_{1} \chi'_{1} (= \chi'_{1} \chi_{1}) = \chi_{1} \chi_{1} (2 \le i \le m - v + 1) \\ \text{so for distinct points}, & \chi'_{1} = -\chi_{1} \text{ and } \chi_{1} = \chi'_{1} = 0 (2 \le i \le m - v + 1); & \chi'_{1} = \chi'_{1} \\ (m - v + 2 \le i \le v). & \text{Now we have} \\ df(\Im_{\chi_{1}}) = \chi_{1} \Im_{\chi_{1}} + \sum_{2}^{m - v + 1} \chi_{1} \Im_{\chi_{1}} + v - 1; \\ \text{for } 2 \le i \le m - v + 1, & df(\Im_{\chi_{1}}) = \Im_{\chi_{1}} + \chi_{1} \Im_{\chi_{1} + v - 1} \\ \text{and for } m - v + 2 \le i \le v, & df(\Im_{\chi_{1}}) = \Im_{\chi_{1}} \\ \text{and for the other point, change the sign of } \chi_{1} . & \text{Then since } \chi_{1} \neq 0, \\ \text{it is clear that these vectors span the tangent space to } M \text{ at} \\ \text{the common image of the two points.} \end{aligned}$

Now to say that $\int x \int f$ is transverse to N_5 is the same as to say that when $\int (P) = f(P') = Q$, then $d f(V_P) + d f(V_P) = M_5$. We check this near the diagonal: if two adjacent points have a common image, they are adjacent to a critical point, and we have just checked the condition in the nbd of a critical point. Hence we can apply Corollary 4.6.1, and suppose $\int x f$ transverse to N_5 (except on ΔV , where the condition does not make sense). Thus the inverse image of N_5 in $V_X V$ is a submanifold: this is not tangent to (say) the first factor V (except at a critical point), so its projection in the second factor V is an immersion. The image is the set of double points Δ of f.

Finally let \aleph_6 be the subvariety of $J^\circ \times J^\circ \times J^\circ$ consisting of triples of jets with the same image. We again apply Corollary 4.6.1 strengthened for triples (instead of pairs). First check that three points of \vee , of which two are neighbouring, cannot have the same image under f; now the neighbouring ones must be near a critical point P, and a point distant from P has a distant image (by the fourth step), and from the details above, we see that three points close to P cannot have a common image. Hence we can make f transverse to N_6 ; since this has codimension 2^m , we can avoid triple points if $2^m \ge 3^{\infty}$.

<u>Theorem 5.6</u> Let M^{m} , V^{r} be smooth manifolds, 2m > 3v. Then any $g: V \rightarrow M$ may be approximated by an f, which is an imbedding except as follows. There are double points, forming a submanifold Δ of

11.5.7

dimension 2v - m, and singular points, forming a submanifold Σ of codimension γ in Δ . Near Σ , f is given locally by (1). Hence $D = f(\Delta)$ is a submanifold of M with boundary $S = f(\Sigma)$.

Proof

We have seen that Δ is an immersed submanifold; when there are no triple points it is imbedded. That Δ remains a manifold near Σ , with Σ as submanifold, follows from the equations above: Δ is simply given by $\chi_i = O(2 \le i \le m - V + 1)$ (modulo higher terms). Moreover $f(\Delta)$ is also clearly a submanifold, except perhaps near $f(\Sigma)$; but there it is locally given by $\chi_i \ge 0$, $\chi_i = O(2 \le j \le m - V + 1)$ and $V + 1 \le j \le m$) which makes the matter quite clear. by

C. T. C. WALL

Part IV Theory of handle decompositions

<u>Preface</u>

These notes are a continuation of Parts 0 (analytical foundations), I (geometrical foundations) and II (transversality and general position), issued in Cambridge in 1962 (copies available on request from the Department of Pure Mathematics). They are based on lectures given in Oxford (Oct-Dec.1962) and Cambridge (Jan-March 1964), and on various seminars held in Cambridge. Thanks are due to large numbers of research students for attending lectures and giving seminars, to Charles Thomas for lending me his notes on my lectures, and particularly to Denis Barden, whose research on the s-cobordism theorem enabled me to understand the non-simply-connected case, and the theorems stated by Barry Mazur in his blue book (Publ. Math. I.H.E.S. No.15).

It is intended that further parts shall be as follows: III (immersions and embeddings) - a few enigmatic references to III are needed in IV - V (cobordism), and VI (surgery); these will probably appear at about yearly intervals (though I hope sconer). Suggestions for improvements in presentation will be welcomed, in anticipation of attempts to rewrite the notes more comprehensibly. <u>Chapter 1 Existence</u>

Definitions Let W be a manifold, and suppose $\partial_{-} W$ and $\partial_{+} W$ disjoint manifolds with union ∂W . Then the pair $(W, \partial_{-} W)$ is a <u>cobordism</u>. We call the pair $(W, \partial_{+} W)$ the <u>dual cobordism</u>. We also call W a cobordism of $\partial_{-} W$ to $\partial_{+} W$, and say that $\partial_{-} W$, $\partial_{+} W$ are <u>cobordant</u>. If W is a manifold with corner, and $\partial_{-} W$, $\partial_{c} W$, $\partial_{+} W$ are parts of the boundary such that $\partial_{-} W$ and $\partial_{+} W$ are disjoint, $\partial_{-} W = (M = \partial_{-} W \cup \partial_{+} W)$, we call W a cobordism with corner. We shall usually denote a cobordism by a single letter and often just call it a manifold. For example, we usually regard a product M x I as a cobordism, with $\partial_{-} (M \times I) = M \times 0$, $\partial_{+} (M \times I) = M \times 1$; if M has boundary, write $\partial_{-} (M \times I) = \partial_{-} M \times I$. Our manifolds will be compact unless otherwise stated.

Suppose M^m a cobordism, $f: S^{r-1} \times D^{m-r} \rightarrow \partial_+ M$ an imbedding. Introduce a corner (I,6.5) along $f(S^{r-1} \times S^{m-r-1})$. Now glue $D^r \times D^{m-r}$ to M by f (I,7). We know this gives a result unique up to diffeomorphism. This is described as <u>M with an r-handle attached by f</u>, or as $M \cup_f h^r$, and f as the <u>attaching map</u> of the handle. We call r the <u>dimension</u> of the handle. We define $\partial_+ (M \cap h^r) = (M - Imf) \oplus (D^r \times S^{m-r-1})$. If we have a sequence of attached handles:

$$\mathbf{H} = \mathbb{M} \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{f}_1} \mathbf{h}^{\mathbf{r}_1} \mathbf{U} \dots \mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{f}_k} \mathbf{h}^{\mathbf{r}_k}$$

we describe this as a <u>handle presentation</u> of H on M; if the maps f_i are not specified, as a <u>handle decomposition</u>. In particular, if $M = Q \times I$, we speak of a handle decomposition of N with <u>base</u> Q (here, Q may be empty). Observe the similarity of this definition to that of a C.W.-complex: one of our main objects will be to show how the theory parallels that of finite C.W.-complexes. The purpose of this chapter is to prove the existence of handle decompositions for compact manifolds: in the next few chapters we will show how to reduce such a decomposition (under some hypotheses) to its simplest form.

To prove existence, we shall use nondegenerate functions.

<u>Lemma 1.1</u> Any cobordism V admits a nondegenerate function f, with all critical values distinct, attaining an absolute minimum on ∂_{\perp} V only, and an absolute maximum on ∂_{\perp} V only.

<u>Proof</u> Let $\partial_W x I$, $\partial_+ W x I$ be tubular neighbourhoods of ∂_W , $\partial_+ W$ which are disjoint (I,3.1). Define g:W \longrightarrow [-1,2] by:

for
$$\mathbf{x} \in \partial_{-} \mathbb{V}$$
, $g(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}) = \mathbf{t} - 1$)
 $\mathbf{x} \in \partial_{+} \mathbb{V}$, $g(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}) = 2 - \mathbf{t}$) (1)

and some extension to a continuous function taking only values between O and 1 elsewhere: this is possible since W is normal. Approximate g by a smooth function h, agreeing with g near ∂W (use a partition of unity, as in 0,2.1.1). Now approximate h by a nondegenerate function f with distinct critical values (II,5.5) agreeing with h, and so g, near ∂W - which is possible (II,4.3) since g and h have no critical points in a neighbourhood of ∂W .

<u>Complement 1.1.1</u> We may suppose that for x close to ∂W , f is defined by the formula (1).

Now give W a Riemannian structure (0,3.3) adapted to the boundary (D29); for convenience we suppose it as in (I,3.3) - that is, a product metric in some neighbourhood of ∂W . Then the differential 1-form df induces at each $P \in W$ an element df_P of W_P^* ; using the Riemannian structure, this is identified with an element of \tilde{W}_P - i.e. a tangent vector. Thus df gives a vector field, which we call ∇f .

In $\overset{N}{\forall}$, we can use (0,4.5) to integrate f and obtain orbits $p_t(P)$, each defined for a certain range of values of P. Near a point of ∂_-W , we can take coordinates x_1, \dots, x_n such that W is defined by $x_1 \ge 0$, x_1 is the t-coordinate in the tubular neighbourhood, so that $f(x) = x_1 - 1$, and the Riemannian structure is of the form $ds^2 = dx_1^2 + \sum_{i,j=2}^{n} g_{ij} dx_i dx_j$. Hence ∇f agrees with $\partial_{j} x_1$ in such a neighbourhood, and orbits are of the form

 $p_t (x_1, \dots, x_n) = (x_1 + t, x_2, \dots, x_n) \qquad x_1 \ge 0, x_1 + t \ge 0.$ Each of them meets $\partial_- W$ in just one point, and together they fill out a neighbourhood of $\partial_- W$ in a (1-1) manner. Similarly for $\partial_+ W$.

If we regard $\theta_t(P)$ as a function of t, it is smooth, and we have a metric, so can speak of speed.

Lemma 1.2

(a)
$$\frac{d}{dt} f(\mathscr{D}_{t}(P))\Big|_{t=0} = \left| df_{P} \right|^{2}$$

(b) The speed of $\mathscr{D}_{t}(P)$ at t=0 is $\left| df_{P} \right|$

Proof

(a)
$$\frac{d}{dt} f(p_t(P))\Big|_{t=0} = \overline{\nabla}f(f)\Big|_p$$
 by definition of p
= $df(\nabla f)\Big|_p$
= $\langle df_p, df_p \rangle = |df_p|^2$

in the Riemannian inner product on \mathbb{W}_p , since this defined ∇f .

(b) Take coordinates (x_1, \ldots, x_n) at P (so that P has coordinates (0,...,0)) such that at P the Riemannian metric agrees with the standard metric in \mathbb{R}^n . Let df = $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ii} dx_{ii}$: then $\nabla f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ii} \partial_{ii} dx_{ii}$ (at P)

Thus, at P,

so the speed of
$$\boldsymbol{p}_{t}(P)$$
 at P is just $(\sum a_{i}^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left| df_{P} \right|$

 $\frac{\partial \phi_{t}(P)}{\partial x} = a_{i}$

Now suppose $P \in \hat{W}$, and that the maximum range of t in which $\beta_t(P)$ is defined is (a,b).

Lemma 1.3 Suppose W compact. Then either a is finite and as $t \rightarrow a$, $p_t(P)$ tends to a point on $a \lor W$, or $a = -\infty$, and the closure of each $\{(p_t(P) : t \lt -x)\}$ contains a critical point of f. (Similarly for b). <u>Proof</u> If a is finite, by Lemma 1.2 (b), the points $p_t(P)$ form a Cauchy sequence as $t \rightarrow a$ (Since W is compact, $|df_p|$ is bounded); since W is complete, they tend to a limit point Q. If Q was interior to W, it would follow that Q was on the orbit, which could then be extended: thus Q is on $a \lor W$. Since by Lemma 1.2 (a), f increases along each orbit, $f(Q) \lt f(P)$, so Q is on $a \lor W$.

Now let $a = -\infty$. Then by Lemma 1.2 (a),

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| df_{\rho_t(P)} \right|^2 dt$$

converges. So $|df_{\mathcal{P}_{t}}(\mathbf{P})|$ has infimum zero as $t \longrightarrow -\infty$. Outside any open neighbourhood of the set of critical points, |df| is nonzero, and attains its lower bound (by compactness), so $\mathcal{P}_{t}(\mathbf{P})$ meets any such neighbourhood. But the set of critical points is compact, and so meets the closure of the orbit.

We are now ready to analyse the function f. For a CE, write

$$W^{a} = \{P \in W : f(P) \leq a\}$$
$$M^{a} = \{P \in W : f(P) = a\};$$

thus for a

 $a < -1 \qquad W^{a} = \emptyset \qquad M^{a} = \emptyset$ $a = -1 \qquad W^{a} = \partial_{-} W \qquad M^{a} = \partial_{-} W$ $a = \xi -1 \qquad W^{a} = \partial_{-} W \times [0, \xi] \qquad M^{a} = \partial_{-} W \times \xi$ $a = 2 - \xi \qquad W^{a} = W - \partial_{+} W \times [0, \xi] \qquad M^{a} = \partial_{+} W \times \xi$ $a = 2 \qquad W^{a} = W \qquad M^{a} = \partial_{+} W$ $a > 2 \qquad W^{a} = W \qquad M^{a} = \emptyset$

provided that \mathcal{E} is so small that $\mathcal{F}_{V} \ \mathbb{X} \ [0, \mathcal{E}] \ (\eta = +, -)$ are contained in the neighbourhoods described earlier. Clearly, for a < b, $\mathbb{W}^{a} \subset \mathbb{W}^{b}$; we want to describe how \mathbb{W}^{b} is formed from \mathbb{W}^{a} .

<u>Theorem 1.4</u> Suppose that for $a \leq c \leq b$, c is not a critical value of f. Then (a) $f^{-1}[a,b]$ is diffeomorphic to $M^{D} \times [a,b]$,

(b) W^{b} is diffeomorphic to W^{a}

<u>Remark</u> Since a, b are not critical values, M², M^b and f⁻¹[a,b] are submanifolds by (II,4.0).

<u>Proof</u> (a) Let $a \leq f(P) \leq b$. The orbit through P must terminate (at the lower end) at a critical point or at $\partial_- W$, by Lemma 1.3. In either case it meets M^a , for we have assumed the absence of critical points in between. Similarly it meets M^b . Since f increases along orbits, the orbit meets M^a and M^b in just one point each.

Define a map $h: f^{-1}[a,b] \longrightarrow M^{a} x [a,b]$ as follows. If $a \leq f(P) \leq b$, the first component of h(P) is the unique point where the orbit through P meets M^{a} . The second component is f(P). h is (1-1), for if h(P) = h(Q), then P and Q lie on the same orbit, and have the same value of f; since f increases strictly along orbits, P=Q. Also h is onto, for if $R \in M^{a}$, we know that the orbit through R meets M^{b} , so if $a \leq t \leq b$ there is one (and only one) point P on the orbit with f(P)=t, and so h(P) = (R,t). Further, h is smooth, for if $h(P) = (\beta_{+t}(P), f(P))$, f is smooth, and $\beta_{-t}(P)$ a smooth function of t and P (0,4.5) and since $\frac{df(\beta_{t}(P))}{dt}$ is nonzero on the orbit, t is a smooth function of P, $f(\mathcal{G}_{t}(P))$, and f=O defines t as a smooth function of P. Finally h^{-1} is smooth by a similar argument. (b) It follows from (a) that W^b is obtained from W^a by glueing on M^a x I along M^a . The result now follows easily : using a tubular neighbourhood of M^a in W^a and the bump function, we could produce an explicit diffeomorphism, and even a weak diffeotopy of it with the identity map of M^b .

<u>Complement 1.4.1</u> If V is a compact submanifold of W, containing no critical point, and with ∇f nowhere tangent to ∂V , and $\partial_- V$ is the set of points of ∂V at which ∇f points into V, then $V \cong \partial_- V \propto I$.

The proof needs only inessential changes.

The above shows that "as long as <u>a</u> does not pass through a critical value, the diffeomorphism type of W^{a} remains constant". We now have to investigate the critical value.

<u>Morse lemma</u> Let f be a smooth function on a neighbourhood of 0 in \mathbb{R}^n with Taylor expansion

$$f(x) = -\sum_{1}^{\lambda} x_{i}^{2} + \sum_{\lambda+1}^{n} x_{j}^{2} + O(|x|^{3}).$$

Then there is a smooth coordinate change y=y(x) such that

y(0)=0, $\frac{\partial y}{\partial x}\Big|_{0} = I_{n}, \text{ and near } 0$

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = -\sum_{1}^{\lambda} y_{\mathbf{i}}^2 + \sum_{\lambda+1}^{n} y_{\mathbf{j}}^2 \cdot$$

<u>Proof</u> We have f(0)=0, so by (0,3.1) there exist near 0 smooth functions f_i with $f(x) = \sum x_i f_i(x)$. Also, $f_i(0) = \frac{\Im f}{\partial x_i} \Big|_0 = 0$, so we can apply the result again to obtain h_{ij} with $f_i(x) = \sum x_j h_{ij}(x)$. Write $g_{ij}(x) = \frac{1}{2}(h_{ij}(x) + h_{ji}(x))$. We think of $f(x) = \sum g_{ij}(x) x_i x_j$ as a quadratic form, and diagonalise. Note that $g_{ij}(0) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i} \Big|_0 = \sqrt{1} \quad i = j \leq \lambda$

 $g_{ij}(0) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \bigg|_0 = \begin{cases} 0 & i \neq j \\ -1 & i = j \leq \lambda \\ 1 & i = j > \lambda \end{cases}$ Set $y_1 = (\stackrel{+}{=} g_{11}(x))^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\sum_{j=1}^n g_{1j}x_j)$, where the sign is that of $g_{11}(0)$. Then $\frac{\partial y}{\partial x_1} \bigg|_0 = \stackrel{+}{=} 1$, $\frac{\partial y}{\partial x_1} \bigg|_0 = 0$ if i > 1, and $f(x) = \stackrel{+}{=} y_1^2 + \sum_{i,j=2}^n g_{ij}(x) x_i x_j$.

We now repeat the reduction, observing only that although $g'_{ij}(\mathbf{x})$ depends on x_1 we can express x_1 by y_1 , and the dependence is smooth. Eventually we obtain the required result. <u>Theorem 1.5</u> Suppose that for $a \leq f(P) \leq b$ there is justicene critical point, 0, which is nondegenerate and with f(0)=0. Then W^b is diffeomorphic to W^a with a handle attached. <u>Proof</u> Our discussion of orbits in Theorem 1.4 remains, valid except for those orbits with 0 as a limit point. We must therefore investigate a neighbourhood of 0. Take coordinates y_1, \ldots, y_n , with 0 as origin : then in a neighbourhood of 0 we can expand

$$f(y) = c + \sum a_{ij} y_i y_j + 0(|y|)^3)$$
 $(a_{ji} = a_{ij}).$

Here (a_{ij}) is the matrix of the Hessian of f at 0; by assumption, this is nonsingular. Making an appropriate change of coordinates, we can diagonalise this quadratic form, and write

$$f(x) = c - x_1^2 - \dots - x_{\lambda}^2 + x_{\lambda+1}^2 + \dots + x_n^2 + (0(|x|^3))$$

The integer λ is called the <u>index</u> of the Eessian, of of the critical point 0. By the Morse lemma, we may suppose that the term $O(|x|^3)$ is absent. It will be convenient to suppose that the Riemannian structure agrees with the Euclidean structure in this coordinate system : it is certainly possible to find such a metric.

We draw figures for $f(x) = c - x_1^2 + x_2^2$, showing W^{-1} and W^1 .



Choose ξ so small that for $|x| + |y| \leq 5 \xi$, the above formulae are valid. Now consider the following modifications:

-6-

This shows how to imitate $W^{\mathcal{E}}$. Formally, write $\mathbf{x} = (\xi, \eta)$, where $\xi = (\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{\lambda})$, $\eta = (\mathbf{x}_{\lambda+1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n)$, $f(\mathbf{x}) = c - |\xi|^2 + |\eta|^2$, and consider the tube $|\eta| \leqslant \xi$, $|\xi| \leqslant \xi$: this is the handle. Let V be a smooth manifold with corner which

- (i) Coincides with $|\eta| \leq \varepsilon, |\xi| \geq \varepsilon$ near $|\xi| = \varepsilon$. This includes the corner $|\xi| = |\eta| = \varepsilon$.
- (ii) Coincides with $\Psi^{-\mathcal{E}}$ when $|x| + |y| \ge 5\varepsilon$, and contains $\Psi^{-\mathcal{E}}$.

(iii) has ∂V everywhere transverse to the orbits.

This may be found using a bump function.

Then by (I,6.4) $M^{-\xi}$ is obtained from V by straightening the corner - or equivalently, (I,6.5), V from $M^{-\xi}$ by introducing one.

Now $|\hat{j}| \leq \varepsilon$, $|\eta| \leq \varepsilon$, defines a product $D^{\lambda} \ge D^{n-\lambda}$, which meets V in the set $|\hat{j}| = \varepsilon$, $|\eta| \leq \varepsilon$, an $S^{\lambda-1} \ge D^{n-\lambda}$ on their common boundary. Since the union U is evidently smooth, and V and $D^{\lambda} \ge D^{n-\lambda}$ are defined by cutting it along $S^{\lambda-1} \ge D^{n-\lambda}$, by (I,7.2) (in the extended form), U is obtained by glueing these. Now we observe that U is a smooth manifold, transverse to the orbits, with no critical points between it and M^{b} ; thus by complement 1.4.1, we find W^{b} diffeomorphic to U. But U consists of W^{a} with a λ -handle attached.

<u>Complement 1.5.1</u> If the Hessian of f at C has index λ , we attach a λ -handle.

<u>Complement 1.5.2</u> If there are several nondegenerate critical points at level c, we attach several handles. Indeed, we can apply the above argument in a neighbourhood of each.

Corollary 1.5.3 W has a handle decomposition on A.W.

It is also possible to proceed in the opposite direction. <u>Theorem 1.6</u> Given a handle decomposition of W on \mathcal{F}_W , there is a nondegenerate function f on W (as in Lemma 1.1) with just one critical point of index λ for each λ -handle.

<u>Proof</u> The result is proved by induction on the number of handles: if there are none, $W \cong \partial_- W \ge I$, and we take f as the projection on I. Now let \overline{V} be defined by attaching all but the last handle: by the induction hypothesis, f can be defined on V, constant on $\partial_+ V$. So if we can define f on $(\partial_+ V \ge I) \cup h^{\lambda}$, we can glue back (using collar

-7-



Chapter 2 Normalisation

We could now proceed immediately to make various deductions about smooth manifolds from the existence of a handle decomposition. First, however, it is convenient to normalise a presentation. Recall that $MU_{f}h^{r}$ is defined by attaching $D^{r} \propto D^{m-r}$ to E using an imbedding

$f: S^{r-1} \times D^{m-r} \longrightarrow \partial_{+} M.$

It follows at once from the diffeotopy extension theorem that this is determined up to diffeomorphism by the diffeotopy class of f, for if g is a diffeomorphism of M, g induces a diffeomorphism of $M \cup_f h^r$ with $M \cup_g f^r$. By the tubular neighbourhood theorem, it is even determined by the diffeotopy class of $\overline{f} = f | S^{r-1} x | 0$ together with a homotopy class of normal framings of $f(S^{r-1} x | 0)$ in $\mathcal{J}_+ M$.

<u>Definition</u> Let $MU_{a}h^{r}$ be a manifold with handle. The <u>attaching sphere</u> (or a-sphere) of h^{r} is the sphere $f(S^{r-1} \times C)$ in $\mathcal{F}_{+}M$. The <u>belt sphere</u> (or b-sphere) is the sphere $C \times S^{m-r-1}$ in $\mathcal{F}_{+}(MU_{a}h^{r})$. The <u>core</u> is the disc $L^{r} \times O$.

Lemma 2.1 Let $r \leq s$. Then $(M \cup_{f} h^{S}) \bigcup_{g} h^{r}$ may be obtained from M by attaching the handles simultaneously, or in the reverse order. <u>Proof</u> Let $m = \dim M$, $Q = \partial_{+}(M \cup_{f} h^{S})$. Then we have in Q the a-sphere S^{r-1} of h^{r} and the b-sphere S^{m-s-1} of h^{s} . Since (r-1) + (m-s-1) = $m-1-(s+1-r) \leq m-1 = \dim Q$, by (II,5.1), S^{r-1} may be approximated by a sphere not meeting S^{m-s-1} : if the approximation is $(C^{1}-)$ close enough, we still have an imbedded sphere, diffeotopic to the old one. By further diffeotopies, we may make S^{r-1} avoid the tubular neighbourhood $D^{s} \ge S^{m-s-1}$ (using the diffeotopy extension theorem, and the obvious fact that the tubular neighbourhood may be 'shrunk' to avoid S^{r-1}) and shrink the tubular neighbourhood $S^{r-1} \ge D^{m-r}$ so that this, too, avoids $D^{s} \ge S^{m-s-1}$. But now the attaching map of the r-handle is disjoint from the s-handle: its image lies in $\Im M$, and the handles may clearly be added in either order.

<u>Corollary 2.1.1</u> Any W has a handle decomposition on ∂_W with the handles arranged in increasing order of dimension.

Follows at once by induction.

From now on we shall generally assume that handles have been arranged in order of increasing dimension. Mext, we consider handles of consecutive dimensions. To clarify the exposition we describe only the case $W^{n+1} \cup_{f} h^{r} \cup_{g} h^{r+1}$: write M^{m} for $\partial_{+}(W \cup_{f} h^{r})$. In M^{m} we have the a-sphere S^{r} of h^{r+1} and the b-sphere S^{m-r} of h^{r} . These have complementary dimensions.

By (II,5.1) the imbedding of S^r may be approximated by a map transverse to S^{m-r} ; if the approximation is close enough, we have merely altered the imbedding by a diffeotopy. Now since the dimensions are complementary, and the map transverse, intersections are isolated points; since S^r is compact, there are only finitely many.

We now take an intersection P of $S^{\mathbf{r}}$ with $S^{\mathbf{m-r}}$ and normalise $S^{r} \times D^{m-r}$ in the neighbourhood $D^{r} \times S^{m-r}$ of P in M. Regard P as in S^{m-r} , so the point is 0 x P. First we will deform part of S^r near P to lie along $D^{r} \times P$; indeed, by the implicit function theorem (0,4.2), the projection of $f(S^r)$ in $D^r \times S^{m-r}$ to $D^r \times P$ is locally a diffeomorphism at 0 x P, and there is an obvious diffeotopy along great circles in S^{m-r} . It is easy to extend this diffeotopy to S^r, without introducing any new intersections with S^{m-r}. Next we observe that the tubular neighbourhood $D^{r} \times S^{m-r}$ of $0 \times S^{m-r}$ can be shrunk, by a diffeotopy, to a smaller concentric tube $D^{\mathbf{r}} \times S^{\mathbf{m-r}}$ intersecting $S^{\mathbf{r}}$ in a subset of the $D^{\mathbf{r}} \times P$ above. We can extend this diffeotopy (by the D.E.T.) to one of M, and, if we prefer, apply the inverse diffeotopy to $f(S^r)$; this has the effect of stretching out the part where S^r lies along D^r x P to the whole of D^r. Finally, choose a tubular neighbourhood T of P in S^{m-r} ; then $D^r \times T$ and $D^{\mathbf{r}} \times D^{\mathbf{m-r}}$ are two tubular neighbourhoods of $D^{\mathbf{r}}$ in M, and so diffeotopic (by the T.N.T.); use a diffeotopy to move the imbedding of $S^{\mathbf{r}} \times D^{\mathbf{m-r}}$ so that they coincide.

The same process can be done for any intersection other than P. <u>Definition</u> In $W^{m+1} \cup_{f} h^{r} \cup_{g} h^{r+1}$, the handles are in <u>normal position</u> if all intersections of $D^{r} \times S^{m-r}$ and $S^{r} \times D^{m-r}$ are of the form $D_{i}^{r} \times D_{i}^{m-r}$, where $D_{i}^{r} \times D_{i}^{m-r} \longrightarrow D^{r} \times S^{m-r}$ is given by the identity on the first factor and an imbodding (those for separate values of i disjoint) on the second factor, and taking the product; and similarly for

-10-

 $D_i^r \times D_i^{m-r} \longrightarrow S^r \times D^{m-r}$.

Then the argument above proves .

<u>Theorem 2.2</u> Any handle presentation of $(W, \partial -W)$ may be modified by diffeotopies so that.

(i) The handles are arranged in increasing order of dimension, -

.

and the second second

.

• ·

.

.

. .

. . . .

and the second second

: ... , ...

. .

.

(b) The second s second secon second sec

· · ·

. .

.

.

ана <u>с</u> с с

. .

,

(ii) Any two handles of consecutive dimensions are in normal

;

position.

tenta de la composition de la

.

.

. . .

provide the second s

.

and the second second

the weather the second second second

Chapter 3 The homology and homotopy of handles

It follows from the definition that there is a deformation retraction of $\mathbb{M} \cup_{f} \mathbf{h}^{r} = \mathbb{M} \oplus_{f} (\mathbf{D}^{r} \times \mathbf{D}^{n-r})$ on $\mathbb{M} \cup_{f} (\mathbf{D}^{r} \times 0)$, so that up to homotopy, attaching a handle is the same as attaching a cell (its core). In fact, it is clear that, $\mathbf{D}^{r} \times \mathbf{D}^{n-r}$ deformation retracts on $\mathbf{S}^{r-1} \times \mathbf{D}^{n-r} \cup \mathbf{D}^{r} \times 0$. This gives a very close connection between handle decompositions and cell complexes. In particular, we deduce the following from Corollary 2.1.1.

<u>Proposition 3.1</u> If W is closed, it has the homotopy type of a finite CW complex. In general, (W, J_W) has the homotopy type of a finite C.W. pair.

<u>Proof</u> The first statement follows by taking a normalised handle decomposition of W and replacing each handle by an equivalent cell. In fact it would not be difficult to show (using the methods of Chapter 1) that in this case W is even homeomorphic to an appropriate finite C.W. complex.

For the second statement, note that by the first, we can regard $\partial_{-}J$ as a finite cell complex, and again apply Corollary 2.1.1.

We now discuss duality. Observe that with f, -f is also nondegenerate. Its critical points coincide with those of f, but if f has index λ at 0, it has locally the form

$$f(x) = c - x_1^2 - \dots - x_{\lambda}^2 + x_{\lambda+1}^2 + \dots + x_n^2$$

and -f has index n- λ . Using the correspondence (Theorems 1.5 and 1.6) between nondegenerate functions and handle decompositions, we find the following.

<u>Proposition 2.2</u> Suppose W has a handle decomposition on \mathfrak{g}_{-} W with $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathbf{r}}$ r-handles for $0 \leq \mathbf{r} \leq \mathbf{n}$. Then it also has one on \mathfrak{g}_{+} W, with $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathbf{r}}$ (n-r)-handles.

If we ignore corners, we may identify the handles in the two cases, and observe that in the reversal, a- and b-spheres are interchanged. Now up to homotopy we may replace handles by cells. For homology, we have chain groups

 $C_r(W, \partial_W) = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z} + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{A}_r \text{ times});$

we must calculate the boundary homomorphism

 $\exists: c_{r+1} (W, \exists w) \longrightarrow c_r (W, \exists w).$

This is determined by incidence numbers, one for each r- and (r+1) -handle. Lemma 3.3 The incidence number of handles h^{r+1} and h^r equals the intersection number of the a-sphere S^r of h^{r+1} and the b-sphere S^{n-r-1} of h^r .

<u>Proof</u> Here we shall write $\mathbb{W}_{r+\frac{1}{2}} = (\bigcirc \mathbb{W} \times \mathbb{I}) \cup$ all s-handles for $s \leq r$, and $M = \bigcirc_{+} \mathbb{W}_{r+\frac{1}{2}}$: the intersection number is taken in M, where we use Lemma 2.1 and add all r-handles simultaneously. A word about signs: the cells in the cell-complex ($D^{r} \times 0$) are arbitrarily oriented; this-induces orientations of their bounding a-spheres S^{r-1} and of the <u>normal bundles</u> of their b-spheres. If an a-sphere S^{r} and a b-sphere S^{n-r-1} meet transversely at a point, we take the sign + or - according as the orientation of S^{r} does or does not agree with that in the normal bundle of S^{n-r-1} : thus orientability of W is irrelevant. If, though, W (and hence M) <u>is</u> oriented, orienting the normal bundle of a belt sphere is equivalent to orienting the sphere, and we can count multiplicities in the usual way.

Now we may suppose that S^r meets S^{n-r-1} transversely: then the intersection number agrees with the (local) degree of the projection of S^r on the normal disc D^r ; but this degree is the required incidence number. <u>Theorem 3.4</u> (<u>Duality Theorem</u>) If W is orientable, $H_r(W, \partial_- W) \cong H^{n-r}(W, \partial_+ W)$. <u>Proof</u> By Proposition 2.2 we can identify the chain groups of $(W, \partial_- W)$ with the chain or cochain groups of $(W, \partial_+ W)$. By Lemma 2.3, the incidence numbers are the same up to sign (only a-spheres and b-spheres are interchanged) and the isomorphism identifies the one boundary with the other coboundary.

<u>Corollary 3.4.1</u> (Poincaré Duality) If $\partial W = \emptyset$, $H_r(W) \cong H^{n-r}(W)$. <u>Corollary 3.4.2</u> (Lefschetz Duality) $H_r(W) \cong E^{n-r}(W, \partial W)$ $H_r^r(W) \cong E_{n-r}(W, \partial W)$.

The proof above is surprisingly reminiscent of the earliest proofs of the result, but of course is only valid for compact smooth manifolds.

As a special case of homology groups, we mention connectivity. We retain the notation of Lemma 2.3. Observe that the a-sphere S^{-1} of a 0-handle is the empty set; in fact a 0-handle consists precisely of an n-disc, disjoint from \mathfrak{d} -W x I. Now the a-sphere S⁰ of a 1-handle is a pair of points: these may or may not be in the same component of $W^{\frac{1}{2}}$. If not, the 1-handle connects the two components; but if they are, the corresponding handle does not affect connectivity.

If $\partial - W$ is nonorientable then so, of course, is W. If, however, $\partial - W$ is orientable, so is $W^{\frac{1}{2}}$, since adding a disjoint set of discs has no effect. Nor does adding a set of 1-handles which connect different components of $W^{\frac{1}{2}}$ (we are thinking of 1-handles as being added in turn, not simultaneously). However, the attaching map for a 1-handle is a map of S⁰ x Dⁿ⁻¹ - i.e. of a pair of discs. If these are mapped into the same component of $W^{\frac{1}{2}}$ with opposite orientations, then the orientation of $W^{\frac{1}{2}}$ can be extended over the handle; but if with the same orientation, $W^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is nonorientable. Thus if, say, $W^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is connected and orientable, we may speak of orientable and of nonorientable 1-handles. It is now easy to see that r-handles for $r \stackrel{1}{=} 1$ do not affect orientability; for they introduce no new (potentially orientation-reversing) elements of the fundamental group.

This illustrates how the addition of handles affects W; we next discuss what happens to the boundary on addition of a handle. <u>Definition</u> Let M^{n-1} be a manifold, $f : S^{r-1} \times D^{n-r} \to M$ an inbedding. The operation of removing the interior of the image of f, and attaching $D^r \times S^{n-r-1}$ to the result by $f | S^{r-1} \times S^{n-r-1}$ is called a <u>spherical</u> <u>modification</u> of M, of type (r,n-r).

We observe the following:

(S1) The effect of a spherical modification is determined by f - even by the diffeotopy class of f (by (I,4.2)).

(S2) The modification gives a manifold M with the same boundary as M: in particular, if M is closed so is M.

(S3) Set $W = (M \times I_{f} h^{r})$. The manifold W (with corner, if M has a boundary) thus has M, M'as $\partial -W$, $\partial +W$; we may call it the <u>supporting</u> <u>manifold</u> of the modification. Also, $\partial_{c}W = \partial M \times I$.

(S4) If M'is obtained from M by a spherical modification of type (r,n-r), we can obtain M from M'by one of type (n-r,r). This is essentially the remark that we made above in discussing duality. We

-14-

have the same supporting manifold for both modifications.

We recall that if a cobordism W has $M = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}} W$, $N = \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}} W$, M and N are called cobordant.

If M^{n-1} , M^{n-1} are oriented, they are <u>cobordant in the oriented sense</u> if W^n is oriented, and W induces the given orientation of M, and the negative of the given one on M - this is usually written as $\partial W = M \cup (-N)$. <u>Proposition 3.5</u> M^{n-1} , M^{n-1} are cobordant if and only if one may be obtained from the other by a series of spherical modifications; if oriented, they are cobordant in the oriented sense if and only if, in addition, the modifications of types (1,n-1) and (n-1,1) all correspond to 1-handles of the orientable type.

<u>Proof</u> The first statement is an immediate consequence of (S3) and Corollary 1.5.2; the second follows from that and the discussion of orientability above.

Finally let M'be obtained from M by an (r,n-r)-modification: we wish to discuss homology and homotopy. There are two approaches: to use the supporting manifold $W = (M \times I) \cup_f h^r$ or the intersection $X = M \cap M'$. For, up to homotopy, W is obtained from M by attaching an r-cell, and from M' by attaching an (n-r)-cell. On the other hand, M is obtained from X by attaching an (n-r)- and an (n-1)-cell, and M'from X by attaching an rand an (n-1)-cell.

<u>Proposition 3.6</u> Let $r \leq n-r$. Then M and M have the same (r-2)-type (in particular, if $r \geq 3$, the same fundamental group). If r < n-r, and x, ξ are the homology and homotopy classes of the a-sphere $f(S^{r-1} \times 0)$ in M, then

(i) $H_{r-1}(M')$ is the quotient of $T_{r-1}(M)$ by the subgroup generated by x. (ii) If r=2, $\pi_1(M')$ is the quotient of $\mathcal{T}_1(M)$ by the normal subgroup generated by \int_{r}^{k} . (iii) If $r \geq 3$, $\pi_r(M')$ is the quotient of $\mathfrak{T}_r(M)$ by the $\pi_1(M)$ -submodule generated by $\begin{cases} k \\ k \end{cases}$.

These all follow from standard properties of cell complexes. We can express the homology relations by a single diagram, as follows. Observe that the inclusions $(M',X) \subset (W,M \times I) \supset (W,M)$ induce isomorphisms of relative homology groups in dimensions \neq n-1. Indeed, excising most of

-15-

X, they become $(D^r \times S^{n-r-1}, S^{r-1} \times S^{n-r-1}) \subset (D^r \times D^{n-r}, S^{r-1} \times D^{n-r})$ and both relative groups vanish except in dimensions r,n-1; in dimension r we have an isomorphism.

Proposition 3.7 We have exact sequences



for i < n-2.

<u>Proof</u> Identify $H_j(M',X) = H_j(W,M)$ ($j \le n-2$) and $H_j(M,X) = H_j(W,M')$, dually. Then write out the exact homology sequences of the four pairs (M,X), (M',X), (W,M), and (W,M').

Chapter 4 Modifying decompositions

terre de terre

In this chapter we discuss several modifications that can be made to handle decompositions: introduction or cancellation of a complementary pair of handles; addition of handles; replacement of a handle by one in a different dimension. These will be used below to obtain a minimal form of handle decomposition.

As the simplest case, we first discuss O-handles. We may suppose that W is connected. Now if W has α_1 i-handles, we know that $W^{\frac{1}{2}} \cong \partial - W \ge I \cup \alpha_0 D^n$. To this we add 1-handles, which must make it connected; moreover, a 1-handle affects connectivity only if its a-sphere S^0 has the two points in different components of $W^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Rearrange the 1-handles (Lemma 2.1) such that the first few each connect different components of $W^{\frac{1}{2}}$, till it is connected. Observe that for one of these, we have two manifolds with boundary, and a disc imbedded in the boundary of each. Attaching $D^{n-1} \ge I$ is the same (I.7) as glueing along the (n-1)-discs-i.e., forming the sum (D40). Moreover, by (I,7.6), for any manifold M^n , $M^n+L^n \cong M^n$. So the O-handles are just cancelled out, and the various components of $\partial - W \ge I$ added together.

<u>Froposition 4.1</u> $\mathbb{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ admits a handle presentation of the following kind. If $\partial -\mathbb{W} = \emptyset$, there is one 0-handle L^{n} , and a number of 1-handles. If $\partial -\mathbb{W}$ is connected, there are no 0-handles, but a number of 1-handles. If $\partial -\mathbb{W}$ has components $\mathbb{M}_{(1)}$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, there are no 0-handles, then (k-1) 1-handles connecting the components to give $\mathbb{M}_{(1)} \ge 1 + \cdots + \mathbb{M}_{(k)} \ge 1$, then a further number of 1-handles.

<u>Corollary</u> The new presentation has, if $\partial - \Psi = \emptyset$, one 0-handle and $(\mathcal{A}_1 - \mathcal{A}_0 + 1)$ 1-handles; if $\partial - \Psi \neq \emptyset$, no 0-handle and $(\mathcal{A}_1 - \mathcal{A}_0)$ 1-handles.

For each use of $N^n + D^n \subseteq N^n$ to simplify the decomposition removes just one 0-handle and one 1-handle.

We next wish to discuss cancellation of handles. We first prove the simplest case.

Lemma 4.2 Let $\varphi: \mathbb{D}^{n-r-1} \to \mathbb{D}^{n-r}$ be the imbedding, by stereographic projection from $(0, \dots, 0, -1)$, on the boundary of the upper hemisphere. Then $S^r \ge \mathbb{D}^{n-r} \cup_{1 \ge 0} \mathbb{D}^n$.

-13-

<u>Proof</u> We first give the proof for r = 0, n = 2. Let E be the ellipse $\frac{1}{2}x^2 + y^2 = 1$ and \mathbb{R} the confocal hyperbola $2x^2 - 2y^2 = 1$. Write Int and Ext for the (closed) interior and exterior. We shall show that Int E \cap Int E is obtained from S⁰ x D² by introducing a corner along S⁰ x D¹; that Int E \cap Ext E is diffeomorphic to D¹ x D¹, and that the attaching map 1 x \emptyset becomes the identity. It follows that the required manifold is diffeomorphic to Int E, which is evidently diffeomorphic to D² (e.g. use the function $x^2 + y^2$ and apply Complement 1.4.1).

E meets E at $(\frac{1}{1}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$. Consider the component of Int E \cap Int E in x>0; it has the focus (1,0) as interior point. Rays through the focus define a vector field everywhere transverse to the boundary, which may therefore be used for straightening the corner. A smooth cross-section is given by $(x-1)^2 + y^2 = \frac{1}{4}$, which meets the rays through the corner in $(1, \frac{1}{2})$. Thus the component is obtained from a disc by introducing corners at opposite ends of a diameter, as stated.

In Int E \uparrow Ext H we use confocal coordinates. Each point (x,y) of the plane with xy $\neq 0$ lies on just two of

 $x^{2}_{\lambda+1} + y^{2}_{\lambda} = 1;$

one hyperbola, given by $-1 < \lambda_1 < 0$, and one ellipse, given by $0 < \lambda_2$. However, these two meet in 4 points. So we write $\mu^2 = 1 + \lambda_1$, $\gamma^2 = \lambda_2$, and obtain $x = \mu \sqrt{1 + \gamma^2}$ $y = \gamma \sqrt{1 - \mu^2}$

where the positive square roots are to be taken, and $-1 < \mu < 1$. It is easy to verify that this transformation is smooth, with nonzero Jacobian, (1-1) onto the whole plane except for y = 0, $x^2 \ge 1$. Hence, in particular, it induces a diffeomorphism of the rectangle $|\mu| \le \frac{1}{2}$, $|\mathcal{V}| \le 1$ onto Int E \cap Ext E, as required.

Now return to the case of general r and n, which is obtained by rotating the figures about x- and y-axes. Write

 $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{r+1}) \qquad \mathbf{y} = (\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_{n-r-1})$ $\mathcal{H} = (\mathcal{H}_1, \dots, \mathcal{H}_{r+1}) \qquad \mathcal{H} = (\mathcal{H}_1, \dots, \mathcal{H}_{n-r-1})$

and $|x|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} x_i^2$, etc. Then the transformation given by

$$\mathbf{y}_{i} = \mu_{i} \sqrt{1 + |\boldsymbol{\gamma}|^{2}} \qquad \mathbf{y}_{i} = \mathcal{P}_{i} \sqrt{1 - |\boldsymbol{\mu}|^{2}}$$

induces a diffeomorphism of the $D^{r+1} \ge D^{n-r-1}$ given by $|\mathcal{M}|^2 \leqslant \frac{1}{2}, |\mathcal{V}|^2 \leqslant 1$ onto the intersection $\frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{x}|^2 + |\mathbf{y}|^2 \leqslant 1$, $2|\mathbf{x}|^2 - 2|\mathbf{y}|^2 \leqslant 1$.

Likewise in the intersection $||x|^2 + |y|^2 \leq 1$, $2|x|^2 - 2|y|^2 \leq 1$, consider the field formed by rays through the r-sphere y=0, |x| = 1and perpendicular to it (and not produced beyond their intersection with x=0). This certainly is a vector field (except on the sphere and on x=0), and we easily see that it is transverse to the boundary, so can be used for rounding the corner. Rounding it, we obtain the manifold $(|x| - 1)^2 + |y|^2 \leq \frac{1}{4}$, where the corner is to be introduced along |x| = 1, $|y| = \frac{1}{2}$ (in fact S^r x S^{n-r}).

Consider $S^T \times D^{n-r} \subset \mathbb{R}^{r+4} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-r-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{1}$ with coordinates (u,w,t), so |u| = 1, $|w|^2 + |t|^2 \leqslant \mathbb{R}^{n-r-1}$. We define inverse diffeomorphisms between this and the manifold above by

$$u = \frac{x}{|x|} \quad w = 2y \quad t = 2(|x| - 1)$$
$$x = u(1 + \frac{1}{2}t) \quad y = \frac{1}{2}w$$

Note that |x| is nowhere zero, so it and its inverse are smooth. We also note that the conner |x| = 1, $|y| = \frac{1}{2}$ becomes the locus |w| = 1, t = 0.

Finally we must identify the attacking map. The sphere $S^r \ge 0$ given by $|\mathcal{M}|^2 = \frac{1}{2}$, $\forall = 0$ maps (via $x_i = |\mathcal{L}_i|$) to $|x|^2 = \frac{1}{2}$, y = 0, then rounding the corner multiplies x_i by $2^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and leaves y at 0. Finally we obtain $u = \frac{x}{|y|} = \frac{\mu}{|\mathcal{M}|}$ and $\forall = (w, t) = (0, -1)$; modulo the obvious identifications, in fact, we have the identity map. The estaching map is a tubular beighbourhood of this, and we note that a normal direction $\frac{\partial}{\partial v_i}$ maps to some positive multiple of $\frac{\partial}{\partial v_i}$; using the tubular neighbourhood theorem, it follows that the attaching map is, up to a diffeotopy, as stated.

Note that if diffeomorphism is replaced by homeomorphism, this (and the next lemma) become much easier to prove; it was the necessity of rounding the corners systematically which led us to the formulae above.

<u>Lemma 4.3</u> Suppose that for $D^n \bigcirc_f h^r \biguplus_g h^{r+1}$, the a-sphere of h^{r+1} meets the b-sphere of h^r transversely in one point. Then

.-16.

- (i) $D^{n}_{\nu_{f}}h^{r} \cong S^{r} \times D^{n-r}$
- (ii) The diffeomorphism in f can be so chosen that g becomes the map
 - 1 x \emptyset of Lemma 4.2, and so $D^{n} \cup_{\rho} h^{r} \cup_{\rho} h^{r+1} \cong D^{n}$.

<u>Proof</u> We first normalise (Theorem 2.2) so that we can write $g_{-}(D_{-}^{r} :: D^{n-r-1}) \longrightarrow D^{n}$. How $g_{+}: D_{+}^{r} \times D^{n-r-1} \longrightarrow D^{r} \times S^{n-r-1}$ is of the form $\wp_{r}^{-1} \times \wp_{n-r-1}$ (in normal position, g is a product map; it is isotopic to the particular form shown, by the Disc Theorem). Also by the



disc theorem, $g_{(D_{x}^{r} \times D^{n-r-1})}$ is isotopic to any other imbedding with the same orientation (for this manifold with corner is contained in a slightly larger

disc, which can be constructed using a tubular neighbourhood of the corner and we use the uniqueness of that disc). This determines $g_+(S^{r-1} \times D^{n-r-1})$, hence also $f(\partial D^r \times \mathscr{G}_{n-r-1}(D^{n-r-1}))$, which may thus be put in a standard position. Applying the tubular neighbourhood theorem to this, we see that f, too, is essentially unique. Thus to prove (i), the existence of an f with the required property will suffice: we introduce a corner on D^n to make it $D^r \times D^{n-r}$, and take for f the inclusion of $\partial D^r \times D^{n-r}$. The result is $S^r \times D^{n-r}$, and g can be taken as $1 \times \mathscr{Q}$. How (ii) follows, also since the pair (f,g) was essentially unique.

<u>Theorem 4.4</u> Suppose that for $\mathbb{M}^n \cup_p h^r \cup_p h^{r+1}$, the a-sphere of h^{r+1} meets the b-sphere of h^r transversely in one point. Then we can suppose $\lambda_{+} M$ contains a disc \mathbb{D}^{n-1} to which both handles are added. Thus we can write $\mathbb{M}^n \cong \mathbb{N}^n + \mathbb{D}^n$, with the handles added to \mathbb{D}^n , and so $\mathbb{M}^n \odot h^r \odot h^{r+1} \cong \mathbb{R}^n + (\mathbb{D}^n \heartsuit h^r \boxdot h^{r+1}) \cong \mathbb{N}^n + \mathbb{D}^n \cong \mathbb{M}^n$.

<u>Proof</u> First normalise as for Lemma 4.3. Then the image of g is contained in a disc (as before); and similarly the image of f is contained in a tubular neighbourhood of the boundary of this disc, which merely extends it to a larger disc. The rest follows from the lemma.

<u>Definition</u> A pair of handles of consecutive dimensions, with the a-sphere of the second meeting the b-sphere of the first transversely
in one point, is called a complementary pair.

We can thus paraphrase Theorem 4.4 briefly by saying that a complementary pair of handles may always be cancelled. The converse result is now trivial. <u>Theorem 4.5</u> At any point of a handle decomposition of a manifold, a complementary pair of handles can be introduced.

<u>Proof</u> "At any point" means when we have constructed some manifold M, say. Now $M \cong M + D$ by Prop I,7.5, and by Lemma 4.2, we can add a complementary pair of handles to D, hence also to M.

We observe that adding two complementary handles in succession to M has the effect on $V = \partial_+$ M of performing consecutively spherical modifications of types (r,n-r) - leading to W, say - and (r+1,n-r-1) returning to V. "Reversing" the second of these shows that we can also go from V to V by a modification of type (n-r-1, r+1). The condition on the first modification necessary for this replacement to be possible was the existence of a complementary handle; arguing as in Lemma 4.3, we see that this is equivalent to requiring the a-sphere to span an r-disc in V, such that the inward normal vector to the sphere in the disc agrees with the first vector of the chosen normal framing of the a-sphere.

We now discuss "addition" of handles - this is to be understood in a homotopy sense. Since \mathcal{F}_+ M need not be simply-connected, an (r-1)sphere in it does not necessarily have a well-determined homotopy class. This ambiguity may be resolved by introducing as further structure a base-point * in \mathcal{D}_{+}^{*} M, and for each handle with attaching map f: $\partial D^r \times D^{m-r} \rightarrow \partial_{+} M$ a path in $\partial_{+} M$ from * to f(1 x 0): the homotopy class of f may then be defined in an obvious way. Of course we shall ່ ຕໍ. look for results which do not depend much on the choice of path. <u>Theorem 4.6</u> (Eandle addition theorem) Suppose $\partial_+ \mathbb{N} = \mathbb{N}$ connected, _____ $2 \leq r \leq m-2$. Let f,g: $\partial D^r \times D^{m-r} \rightarrow M$ be disjoint imbeddings, 1 . A. 9 determining homotopy classes \varkappa , $\beta \in \pi_{r-1}$ (M); let $\gamma \in \pi_1$ (M). 1000 1 65 Then there are imbeddings $h_{,h}: \partial D^r \times U^{m-r} \longrightarrow M$, disjoint from f, -1 / and determining $\beta + \alpha^{\vee}$, $\beta - \alpha^{\vee} \in \mathbb{T}_{r-1}$ (M), such that and a set of the set o $W_{\boldsymbol{v}_{f}} \mathbf{h}^{r} \mathbf{u}_{g} \mathbf{h}^{r} \stackrel{\boldsymbol{\omega}}{=} W_{\boldsymbol{v}_{f}} \mathbf{h}^{r} \mathbf{u}_{h} \mathbf{h}^{r} \mathbf{u}_{h} \mathbf{h}^{r} \quad \text{(for } \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = \pm).$

<u>Proof</u> We observe that \mathcal{A} injects to zero in $\mathbb{W}_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{f}}}\mathbf{h}^{\mathbf{r}}$; the idea of the proof is to deform the second handle 'across' the first, by a diffeotopy of the attaching map in $\partial_{+}(\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathbf{f}}}\mathbf{h}^{\mathbf{r}})$; we know that this will not affect the diffeomorphism class of the result.

--18-

•• . -

We have supposed M connected, so there is a path λ joining $f(1 \ge 1)$ and $g(1 \ge 1)$. Notice that this path may be taken in any homotopy class. By general position arguments (II, Chapter 4), we can make the path an imbedding, disjoint from the images of \overline{f} and \overline{g} ; we can choose it to start along the outward normals to Im f and Im $_{\overline{G}}$, and finally we can deform it off tubular neighbourhoods of Im f and Im $_{\overline{G}}$, so that it meets Imf and Im $_{\overline{g}}$ only at its ends. Choose two normal framings e_1, \ldots, e_{m-2} for λ so that e_1, \ldots, e_{r-1} give the standard orientation of $g(S^{r-1} \ge 1)$ at $g(1 \ge 1)$ and both possible orientations of $f(S^{r-1} \ge 1)$ at $f(1 \ge 1)$: this is possible since $r \le m-2$: and choose a Riemannian metric in which $f(S^{r-1} \ge 1)$ and $g(S^{r-1} \ge 1)$ are totally geodesic (see I,3.6). Then exponentiating normal vectors to λ gives an imbedding $\emptyset^*: I \ge D^{r-1} \longrightarrow M$ with $\emptyset'(0 \ge D^{r-1}) \subset g(S^{r-1} \ge 1)$, $\vartheta'(1 \ge D^{r-1}) \subset f(S^{r-1} \ge 1)$. Extend λ by a diameter of $D^r \ge 1$ in $\partial_+(Mo_fh^r)$, and ϑ' correspondingly to an imbedding $\vartheta: [0,2] \ge D^{r-1} \Rightarrow \partial_+(Mo_fh^r)$. We now define an isotopy of \widetilde{E} by

 $\vec{g}_{t}(x) = x \quad \text{if } x \notin \mathcal{Q}(0 \times D^{r-1})$ $\vec{g}_{t} \mathcal{Q}(0, y) = \mathcal{Q}(2t \text{ Bp } (1 - |y|), y)$

the properties of the bump function ensure that these fit to give a smooth diffeotopy. This 'pulls' the cell $\varphi(0 \ge D^{r-1}) \subset g(S^{r-1} \ge 1)$ across part of the disc $D^r \ge 1$, covering the central point. Since $g(S^{r-1} \ge 0)$ is diffeotopic to $g(S^{r-1} \ge 1)$, we also obtain a diffeotopy of \overline{g} , which we can extend to one of g such that the final imbedding h is disjoint from $0 \ge S^{n-r-1}$. But we can think of the (f-) handle as shrunk to a small neighbourhood of this b-sphere (c.f. proof of 2.2), so $h(S^{r-1} \ge D^{n-r})$ lies in M again.

Since our diffeotopy has (clearly) degree 1 on the attached cell, the homology class of h is that of g plus or minus that of f, the sign depending on an orientation chosen earlier. The same applies to homotopy, except for consideration of base points. But freedom of choice of homotopy class of λ is equivalent to the freedom of choice of γ in the Theorem. <u>Remark</u> We could also discuss the homotopy **elasses** in

 $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{r}}(W_{\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{f}}}h^{\mathbf{r}}u_{\mathbf{g}}h^{\mathbf{r}},W)$ represented by the handles; these also are added, in the same way.

. . .

. • · · ·

:

.

>

-19-

Chapter 5 Simplifying decompositions

-.20-

In the last chapter we gave a method of simplifying handle decompositions under geometric assumptions. We shall now obtain some corresponding results under algebraic hypotheses: this will enable us to operate with handles using only homotopy data. There are several ways of applying the cancellation theorem 4.4: we start with the most direct. It is interosting to note that this closely resembles a technique of Whitehead, with C.W.-complexes.

<u>Theorem 5.1</u> Suppose $n \ge 2r + 3$, $W^n = M \times I \cup h^r \cup h^{r+1}$, and $\mathcal{T}_r(W,M) = 0$. Then $W \cong M \times I \cup h^{r+1} \cup h^{r+2}$.

<u>Proof</u> The case r=0 follows from 4.1; otherwise we may suppose M connected.

We identify h^r with $D^r \ge D^{m-r}$. Since $n \ge 2r+2$, we can perform a diffeotopy togensure that the attaching maps of the h avoid $D^r \ge 1$. The disc $D^r \ge 1$ determines an element of $\gamma_r(\mathbb{W},\mathbb{M})$, which is zero by hypothesis. Hence this disc is homotopic in W (relative to its boundary) to one in M; i.e. there is a map $F:D^{r+1} \longrightarrow W$, which takes the upper hemisphere of S^r onto $D^{r} \times 1$ and the lower into M. Since $n \ge 2r + 3$, we may suppose that In F is disjoint from the cores of the handles (of dimensions r and (r+1)). We can therefore also deform it off tubular neighbourhoods of the cores, so that eventually In FC ∂ W. We may suppose $\mathbb{P}|S^r$ an imbedding of S^r in ∂ W: this imbedding is honotopic to zero, hence also diffeotopic (we again use $n \ge 2r+2$). So by Theorem 4.5, we can use if for the a-sphere of the first of a complementary pair of handles h_A^{r+1} , $h_{\bar{2}}^{r+2}$, where h_A^{r+1} is disjoint from the other a^{r+1} . But h_A^{r+1} is also completentary to h^r , so $\mathbb{W} \cong \mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{H}} \mathbf{h}^{\mathbf{r}} \mathfrak{glh}^{\mathbf{r}+1} \cup (\mathbf{h}_{\mathbb{A}}^{\mathbf{r}+1} \cup \mathbf{h}_{\mathbb{B}}^{\mathbf{r}+2}) \qquad (\text{Theorem 4.5})$ $\cong \mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{I}_{\odot}(\mathbb{h}^{\mathbf{r}}_{\odot} \mathbb{h}^{\mathbf{r}+1}_{\mathbb{A}}) \oplus \mathbb{h}^{\mathbf{r}+1}_{\mathbb{B}} \mathbb{V} \mathbb{h}^{\mathbf{r}+2}_{\mathbb{B}}$ $\cong \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{I} \oplus \ell h^{r+1} \oplus h^{r+2}$ (Theorem 4.4).

<u>Corollary 5.1.1</u> We can replace M x I in the theorem by V, provided $\mathfrak{F}_{\perp}^{v} \subset V$ induces $\mathfrak{M}_{1}^{v} (\mathfrak{F}_{+}^{v}) \cong \mathfrak{M}_{1}^{v} (\mathbb{V})$. <u>Proof</u> Set $\mathbb{M}_{1}^{v} = \mathfrak{F}_{+}^{v}$; we may suppose $\mathbb{W} = \mathbb{V} \circ (\mathbb{M}_{1}^{v} \times \mathbb{I}) \circ$ handles; write \mathbb{W}_{1} for the closure of W-V. Then it is enough to show that $K_r(W_1, M_1) = 0$. Now if r=1, by van Kampen's theorem,

$$\mathfrak{T}_{1}(\mathbb{W}) \cong \mathfrak{F}_{1}(\mathbb{V}) * \mathfrak{T}_{1}(\mathbb{M}_{1}) \ \mathfrak{F}_{1}(\mathbb{W}_{1}) \cong \mathfrak{T}_{1}(\mathbb{W}_{1}),$$

and if $r \ge 2$, by the Hurewicz theorem,

$$\mathfrak{T}_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbb{V}_{1},\mathbb{M}_{1}) \cong \mathbb{H}_{\mathbf{r}}(\widetilde{\mathbb{W}}_{1},\widetilde{\mathbb{M}}_{1}), \qquad \mathfrak{T}_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbb{V},\mathbb{V}) \cong \mathbb{H}_{\mathbf{r}}(\widetilde{\mathbb{V}},\widetilde{\mathbb{V}}),$$

(the universal covers of W_1, V, M_1 are induced from that of W, since under our assumptions, the fundamental groups map isomorphically), and we can now use excision.

Corollary 5.1.2 If
$$W = V \cup kh^{\mathbf{r}} \cup lh^{\mathbf{r}+1}$$
, $\widetilde{\Pi}_{\mathbf{r}}(W, V) = 0$,
 $\widetilde{\Pi}_{\mathbf{1}}(\mathfrak{d}_{+}V) \cong \widetilde{\Pi}_{\mathbf{1}}(V)$, $n \geq 2r+3$, then $W \cong V \cup lh^{\mathbf{r}+1} \cup kh^{\mathbf{r}+2}$.

<u>Proof</u> Write $\mathbb{V}_1 = \mathbb{V} \cup (k-1)h^r$. Since $\mathfrak{T}_r(\mathbb{W},\mathbb{V})$ and $\mathfrak{T}_{r-1}(\mathbb{V}_1,\mathbb{V})$ vanish, so does $\mathfrak{T}_r(\mathbb{W},\mathbb{V}_1)$. Also, if $\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{I}$, $\mathbb{M}_1 = \mathbb{P}_+\mathbb{V}_1$, then $\mathbb{V}_1 \cong \mathbb{M}_1 \times \mathbb{I} \cdot (k-1)h^{n-r}$, so $\mathfrak{T}_1(\mathbb{M}_1) \cong \mathfrak{T}_1(\mathbb{V}_1)$ if n > r+3. For a general \mathbb{V} , we use van Kampen's theorem, as above, to deduce $\mathfrak{T}_1(\mathbb{P}_+\mathbb{V}_1) \cong \mathfrak{T}_1\mathbb{V}_1$. How by 5.1.1, we have $\mathbb{W} \cong \mathbb{V}_1 = \mathfrak{L}h^{r+1} = h^{r+2}$; the result follows by induction on k.

<u>Corollary 5.1.3</u> Suppose (W,V) r-connected, $\pi_1(\partial_+ V) \cong \pi_1(V)$, n $\geq 2r+3$. Then W has a handle decomposition on V with no i-handles for $i \leq r$. <u>Proof</u> Use 5.1.2 repeatedly to replace i-handles by (i+2)-handles for i=0,1,...,r.

<u>Remark</u> We can tighten up the proof of Theorem 5.1 to cover also the case n = 2r+2, $r \neq 1$. (In fact the only point to be watched is the deformation of F off the cores of the h^{r+1} .) But we obtain a more general result below, by a different method, for $r \ge 2$. To introduce this method, we first consider a simple special case (the first, historically, too - due to S. Smale): we observe that we succeed in cancelling handles, not merely replacing some by others.

<u>Theorem 5.2</u> Suppose $\partial_{+} V^{n}$ simply-connected, $r \geq 3$, $(n-r) \geq 4$. Let $W = V \cup h^{r} \cup kh^{r+1}$ and $H_{r}(W,V) = 0$. Then $W \cong V \cup (k-1)h^{r+1}$.

<u>Proof</u> Let n_1, \dots, n_k be the intersection numbers of the a-spheres of the (r+1)-handles with the b-sphere of h^r . By Theorem 4.6, we can 'add' or 'subtract' the handles; hence if n_i, n_j are nonzero, say $n_i > n_j > 0$, we can replace n_i by $n_i - n_j$. Hence by induction on $\sum_{j=1}^{k} |n_j|^{1-j}$ we may suppose all the n_i zero except one - say n₁. By Lemma 3.3, the assumption $H_r(W,V) = 0$ now implies $n_1 = \frac{+}{-} 1$.

Now use Theorem 2.2 to normalise the handle decomposition. Then the a-sphere of h_1^{r+1} and the b-sphere of h^r , both of dimension at least 3, meet transversely and have intersection number [-1 in $\supset_+(V_{\bullet}h^r)$, which by Prop 3.6 is simply-connected since \supset_+V is. Hence by(III) we can perform a diffectory to reduce the number of intersections to one. But then h^r and h_1^{r+1} are complementary, so can be cancelled by Theorem 4.4.

We now consider the general case and, in particular, abandon simpleconnectivity. This is more technical, and we shall eventually refer to the notions of simple homotopy theory. We first state the general conditions which we leed to assume.

<u>Hypothesi 5.3</u> $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{V}^{n} \cup \operatorname{kh}^{r} \cup \operatorname{kh}^{r+1}$, $\mathcal{T}_{r}(\mathbb{V}, \mathbb{V}) = 0$, $\mathcal{T}_{1}(\mathcal{F}_{+}\mathbb{V}) \stackrel{\sim}{=} \mathcal{T}_{1}(\mathbb{V})$. We have r = 2, $n = r \geq 4$; or $r \geq 3$, n = r = 3, and $\mathcal{T}_{1}(\mathcal{F}_{+}\mathbb{V}) \stackrel{\sim}{=} \mathcal{T}_{1}(\mathbb{W})$. Set $\mathbb{M} = \partial_{+}(\mathbb{V} \cup \operatorname{rh}^{r})$, $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_{1}(\mathbb{M})$ and $\bigwedge = \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{T}_{1}]$.

The ring \bigwedge consists of finite (formal) linear combinations, with integer coefficients, of elements of \Im , with the obvious multiplication. Using Prop 36, $\Pi_1(\nabla) \cong \Pi_1(\mathbb{M}) \cong \Pi_1(\partial_+ \mathbb{W}) \cong \Pi_1(\nabla \cup \operatorname{kh}^r) \cong \Pi_1(\mathbb{W})$, (note if red that our hypothesis implies $\Pi_1(\nabla) \cong \Pi_1(\mathbb{W})$) and the isomorphism are induced by inclusion maps. We use tilde for all universal covering spaces. By the Hurewicz theorem $\mathfrak{F}_r(\mathbb{W}, \nabla) \cong \mathbb{H}_r(\widetilde{\mathbb{W}}, \widetilde{\mathbb{V}})$, so our hypothesis gives some information about the chain map in the universal covering space. To use this, we need the lemma below; first, however, we need some otation.

Let * be a base point in $V \cap \partial_+ W$ (h not in M): join by paths in M to the a-spheres of the h^{r+1} and the b-spheres of the h^r: all of these lie in 1. Now to each intersection F of the b-sphere of an r-handle h^r with the a-sphere of h^{r+1} we assign the element $g_p \in \mathbb{T}$ represented by the path from * to the a-sphere, :ound this to P, along the b-sphere, and back by the chosen path of the b-sphere. We also set $\xi_p = -1$ according as the orientations agree or differ (c.f. Lemma 3.3).

- 22-

Label the handles h_j $(1 \le j \le k)$, h_i^{r+1} $(1 \le i \le l)$; let \tilde{h}_j^r , \tilde{h}_i^{r+1}

be the handles above them in $\widetilde{\mathbb{W}}$, determined by some lifting of *, and lifting the chosen paths, and let $\widetilde{\mathbb{e}}_{j}^{r}$, $\widetilde{\mathbb{e}}_{i}^{r+1}$ be the corresponding chains of $(\widetilde{\mathbb{W}}, \widetilde{\mathbb{V}})$. We note that all the handles of (\mathbb{W}, \mathbb{V}) are of the form \widetilde{gh}_{j}^{r} , \widetilde{gh}_{i}^{r+1} for various $g \in \widetilde{\mathbb{T}}$; these are all distinct, since we took the universal cover; so that $C_{r}(\widetilde{\mathbb{W}}, \widetilde{\mathbb{V}})$ and $C_{r+1}(\widetilde{\mathbb{W}}, \widetilde{\mathbb{V}})$ are the free Λ -modules with bases the $\left\{\widetilde{\mathbb{e}}_{j}^{r}\right\}, \left\{\widetilde{\mathbb{e}}_{i}^{r+1}\right\}$. Lemma 5.4 (i) We have $\Im \widetilde{\mathbb{e}}_{i}^{r+1} = \sum_{P,j} \xi_{P} g_{P} \widetilde{\mathbb{e}}_{j}^{r}$, where the sum is over intersections P of the a-sphere of h_{i}^{r+1} with the b-sphere of h_{j}^{r} . (ii) If the coefficient of $\widetilde{\mathbb{e}}_{j}^{r}$ in $\widetilde{\mathbb{e}}_{i}^{r+1}$ is $\frac{t}{2}$, we can perform a diffeotopy to make h_{i}^{r+1} complementary to h_{j}^{r} . <u>Proof</u> (i) If \widetilde{P} is the point of \widetilde{h}_{i}^{r+1} lying over P, it represents the intersection of the a-sphere of \widetilde{h}_{i}^{r+1} with the b-sphere of some $g'\widetilde{h}_{j}^{r}$. If we lift the defining path of g_{p} , we see that $g'=g_{p}$. The result now

follows from Lemma 3.3 (which did not use compactness).

(ii) It follows from the assumption that, with one exception, we can collect intersections of the two spheres into pairs (P,Q) with $g_p=g_0$,

 $\mathcal{E}_{P} = -\mathcal{E}_{Q}$. The result will follow if we show how to remove the intersections P and Q. Observe that the spheres - say S_{a}^{r} and S_{b}^{n-r-1} have complementary dimension in M, and each has dimension ≥ 2 . If we join P to Q by an arc in S_{a} and one in S_{b} we obtain a circle; moreover since $g_{P}=g_{Q}$, this circle is nullhomotopic in M (which is of dimension ≥ 5) and so it bounds a disc. If we can make this disc disjoint from S_{a} and S_{b} , the usual method of removing intersections (due to Whitney; see III) applies, and we can remove P and Q; this can certainly be achieved if the codimensions r and n-r-1 are ≥ 3 .

Now consider the case r=2, n-r > 3. Here the disc may be supposed disjoint from S_a ; also we note that the process of constructing the disc gives first (when $\xi_p = -\xi_q$) an annulus which pushes the circle off $S_a \neq S_b$. So the result will follow if we show $\mathfrak{M}_1(\mathbb{M} - S_b) \stackrel{\sim}{=} \mathfrak{M}_1(\mathbb{M})$. The proof of this is sufficiently illustrated by the case k=1; here we may identify $\mathbb{M}-S_b$ with $\mathfrak{d}_+ \mathbb{V}-S^1$, where S^1 is the a-sphere of h^2 . So $\widetilde{\pi}'_1(M - S_b) \cong \widetilde{\pi}'_1(\partial_+ V - S^1) \cong \widetilde{\Pi}'_1(\partial_+ V) \cong \widetilde{\Pi}'_1(M)$ (for the codimension of S^1 is ≥ 4), If r = n-3, r > 2, there is a similar argument using the hypothesis $\widetilde{\Pi}'_1(\partial_+ W) \cong \widetilde{\Pi}'_1(W)$. The proof breaks down completely if r=2, n=5.

<u>Remark 5.4.1</u> The same argument enables us to extend Theorem 5.2 to the cases r=2, r=n-3.

<u>Theorem 5.5</u> Theorem 5.1 (and its corollaries) hold whenever $n \ge r+4$; also if n=r+3 provided $r \neq 1,2$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_1(\mathfrak{Z}_+ \mathbb{W}) \cong \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_1(\mathbb{W})$.

<u>Proof</u> Since $\mathbb{F}_{\mathbf{r}}(\widetilde{\mathbb{W}},\widetilde{\mathbb{V}}) = 0$, $\ni: \mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{r}+1}(\widetilde{\mathbb{W}},\widetilde{\mathbb{V}}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{r}}(\widetilde{\mathbb{W}},\widetilde{\mathbb{V}})$ is onto, so we can solve $\ni (\sum \lambda_{i} \widetilde{\mathbf{e}}_{i}^{\mathbf{r}+1}) = \widetilde{\mathbf{e}}^{\mathbf{r}}$. By Theorem 4.5 we can introduce a complementary pair of handles $h_{A}^{\mathbf{r}+1}$, $h_{B}^{\mathbf{r}+2}$; by applying Theorem 4.6 repeatedly, we can 'add' to the a-sphere of $h_{A}^{\mathbf{r}+1}$ any \bigwedge -linear combination of the a-spheres of the other $h^{\mathbf{r}+1}$. So we may suppose $\ni (\widetilde{\mathbf{e}}_{A}^{\mathbf{r}+1}) = \widetilde{\mathbf{e}}^{\mathbf{r}}$. Now by Lemma 5.4(ii), we can perform a diffeotopy to make $h_{A}^{\mathbf{r}+1}$ complementary to $h^{\mathbf{r}}$, and by Theorem 4.4, we can then cancel these two handles.

<u>Theorem 5.6</u> Assume 5.3, and that the inclusion of V in W is a simple homotopy equivalence (so k=l). Then $W \cong V$.

<u>Proof</u> We shall not discuss here the definition of simple homotopy type, nor the equivalence of definitions via triangulations and handle decompositions, but instead assume that our hypothesis is equivalent to assuming

 $\ni : C_{r+1}(\widetilde{W},\widetilde{V}) \longrightarrow C_{r}(\widetilde{W},\widetilde{V})$ a simple isomorphism (that it is an isomorphism follows if the inclusion is any homotopy equivalence). Hence the matrix of \ni can be reduced to a unit matrix by a sequence of moves of the following kinds:

- (i) Add some multiple of a row to another row.
- (ii) Multiply some row by an element of T, or by -1.
- (iii) Take the direct sum of the matrix with (1).

But each of these can be induced by a change of the handle decomposition: (i) by handle addition (Theorem 4.6), (ii) by changing the path from * to an a-sphere, or the orientation of a cell, and (iii) by inserting a complementary pair of handles (Theorem 4.5). Thus we may assume that the matrix of ∂ is the identity, and $\partial \tilde{e}_{i}^{r+1} = \tilde{e}_{i}$. Now by Lemma 5.4(ii), we can perform a diffeotopy on the a-sphere of h_{i}^{r+1} (isoving other handles fixed) to make h_{i}^{r+1} complementary to h_{i}^{r} . But then the handles are complementary in pairs, and can all be cancelled, by Theorem 4.4.

We observe that the proof of 5.2 shows that, in the simplyconnected case, any matrix of determinant $\stackrel{+}{-1}$ can be reduced to the identity by moves (i) and (ii), so that if $\mathcal{T}_{1} = \{1\}$, a homotopy equivalence is a simple homotopy equivalence. The same is also known to hold if $\mathcal{T}_{1} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}$, \mathbb{Z}_{3} , \mathbb{Z}_{4} , or if \mathcal{T}_{1} is free or free abelian, or an elementary 2-group.

÷.,

· •

2 1 d ...

1

and the second second

the start

. .

•

a sa an Ana sa an

-25-

Let W be a cobordism. If the melusions of \mathcal{F}_W , \mathcal{F}_W in Definition W are homotopy equivalences, W is called an <u>h-cobordism</u>; if they are simple homotopy equivalences. W is called an s-cobordism. <u>Theorem 6.1</u> Assume that the inclusion of $\mathcal{I} \setminus \mathcal{V}$ in \mathcal{V}^n is a homotopy equivalence and that the inclusion of $\mathfrak{F}_{L}W$ induces an isomorphism of fundamental groups. Then The inclusio: of $\mathcal{F}_{\perp}^{\mathbb{M}}$ is a homotopy equivalence (i) (ii) If either inclusion is a simple homotopy equivalence, so is the other, and if $n \ge 0$, W is diffeomorphic to ∂ W x I. <u>Proof</u> By Corolle 7.5.1.2, V has a handle decomposition on $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{V}}$ with no 0- or 1-handles (n. 5). Take the dual decomposition and apply Theorem 5.4: this says we may concel the r-handles for $r \leq n-4$, and leaves only those with r=n-3, n-2. Also, '7 elementary homology theory, there must be the same number k of handles of these two dimensions, and the chain complex of the universal cover consists of a single isomorphism $\partial: C_{n-2} \to C_{n-3}$. So W has a handle decomposition on $\mathcal{Y}_{\mathcal{Y}}$ with only 2- and 3-handles (the 2-handles attached trivially), and for this the matrix of $\supset_*: C_3 \to C_2$ is the transpose conjugate (vis $g \rightarrow (3 \in \mathbb{T})$) of that of ∂ , so ∂_* is also an isomorphism. Thus all $H_i(\tilde{V}, \tilde{\mathcal{D}}) = 0$, all $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_i(\tilde{V}, \tilde{\partial}_+ \tilde{V}) = 0$ by the Hurewicz theorem and (i) follows $\exists y t \in Whitehead$ theorem. [For $n \leq 4$ we can use a more direct proof which is a mays valid].

Now let the inclusion of $\Im_{\mathcal{N}} W$ be a simple equivalence. Then \Im is a simple isomorph sm. If $n \ge 6$, by Theorem 5.5, all handles may be cancelled, so $W \cong \Im_{\mathcal{N}} W \times I$, and the result is proved.

The same arguments will give us somewhat more general results if we relax the compactness condition. For example, let V be a submanifold of W such that there is a diffeomorphism $\mathfrak{P}: \mathbb{V} \cong \mathfrak{F} - \mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{I}$. Then, as in Lemma 1.1, we can find a nondegenerate function on W whose restriction to V has no critical points; the proof of (1.1) is only changed by using the given product structure to define g near V. We can now carry out all the hand! decomposition and cancellation arguments in W-V. Write N for a tubular neighbourhood of V in W, \Re for its interior, X = W - \mathring{N} and $\mathring{Y} = N \cap X = \partial_c N = \partial_c X$.

<u>Theorem 6.2</u> With the above notations, suppose X an s-cobordism. Then φ can be extended to a diffeomorphism of W on $\Im_W \times I$.

For, as in 6.1, we can cancel all the handles in X. Lemma 6.3 With the above notations, suppose $\mathbb{W}^n, \mathbb{V}^{n-c}$ h-cobordisms, and $c \geq 3$. Then $\mathbb{X}^{\tilde{n}}$ is an h-cobordism.

<u>Proof</u> Since $c \ge 3$ is the codimension of V in W (and of $\supset V$ in $\supset W$, $\supset_+ V$ in $\supset_+ W$), removing V does not alter the fundamental group. So it is enough to check that $\supset X \subset X$ induces isomorphisms of homology in the universal cover, by Whitehead's theorem. This reduces the problem to the case when W is simply-connected.

Now since ∂_V is a deformation retract of V, and N is a disc bundle, ∂_N is a deformation retract of N, also of $\partial_N \cup Y$. Hence $O = H_*(N, \partial_N V)$ $\cong H_*(V, X_* \partial_N)$ by excision.

But $O = H_*(W, \partial_W)$, so using the homology exact sequence of the triple $\partial_W \subset X \cup \partial_W \subset W$, we deduce $O = H_*(X \cup \partial_W, \partial_W) \cong H_*(X, \partial_X)$ by excision. The result follows.

<u>Corollary 6.3.1</u> Suppose \mathbb{V}^n a simply-connected h-cobordism, $n \ge 6$, \mathbb{V}^{n-c} a submanifold, $c \ge 3$, such that $\mathbb{V}^{n-c} \cong \mathfrak{g}_V \times I$. Then $(\mathbb{W}, \mathbb{V}) \cong (\mathfrak{g}_W, \mathfrak{g}_V) \times I$.

For since W-V is simply-connected, the lemma shows that 6.2 applies. <u>Corollary 6.3.2</u> Two h-cobordant pairs of homotopy spheres (T_i^{n+c}, T_i^n) (i=0,1) with $n \ge 5$, $c \ge 3$ are differmorphic.

By 6.1.1, the h-cobordism of the T_i^n is a product, so the result follows from 6.3.1.

We also have a slight generalisation of 6.1.

<u>Theorem 6.4</u> Suppose $V^n \subset W^n$ a simple homotopy equivalence, that $n \ge 6, \partial V = \partial_{-} V$, and that $\partial_+ V \subset V$, $\partial_+ W \subset W$ induce isomorphisms of fundamental groups. Then $W = V \circ \partial_+ V \times I$.

<u>Proof</u> Let $M = \mathcal{F}_{+}V$, X be the closure of W-V. Then

 $\cdot \qquad = \pi_{1}(V) \cong \pi_{1}(X) \oplus \pi_{1}(V) \cong \pi_{1}(X)$

By Corollary 5.1.2, X has a handle decomposition on \supset_{+}^{W} with no Oor 1-handles. So W has one on V with no (n-1)- or n-handles. Applying Theorem 5.5 repeatedly, we can got rid of i-handles for i < n-3. The result now follows from Theorem 5.6.

<u>Corollary 6.4.1</u> (<u>Disc bundle theorem</u>), Suppose \mathbb{M}^{n-c} a submanifold of \mathbb{W}^n , $\mathfrak{M} = \emptyset$, $c \geq 3$, $n \geq 6$, $\mathbb{M} \subset \mathbb{W}$ a simple homotopy equivalence, and $\mathcal{W}_1(\mathfrak{d}_+\mathbb{W}) \cong \mathcal{H}_1(\mathbb{W})$. Then \mathbb{W} has the structure of a disc bundle with \mathbb{M} as mero cross-pection.

Proof Let V be a tubular reighbourhood of M: then 6.4 applies.

 $\widetilde{W}_1(\partial_+ V) \cong \widetilde{W}_1(V)$ since $c \ge 3$ (it can also happen for c=1,2). <u>Corcillary 6.4.2</u> If U^n is contractible, $n \ge 6$, $\widetilde{W}_1(\partial W) = 0$, then $W^n \cong D^n$. Tak M a point in 6.4.1.

<u>Corollary 6.4.3</u> (<u>Poincaré conjecture</u>) If \mathbb{T}^n is a homotopy sphere, n > 6, then \mathbb{T}^n may be obtained by glueing two discs together along the boundary. Thus \mathbb{T}^n is homeomorphic to S^n .

<u>Proof</u> Let \mathbb{W}^n be the closure of the complement of a disc \mathbb{D}^n in \mathbb{T}^n . Then W is ometopic to $(\mathbb{T}^n \text{-point})$, so is simply-connected, and its reduced thomol gy groups vanish, so W is completible. By 6.4-2, $\mathbb{W}^n = \mathbb{D}^n$. The last remark follows since any homeomorphism of \mathbb{S}^{n-1} can be extended (taking the cone to \mathbb{D}^n .

<u>Rem the</u> The result follows from 4.1 if $n \leq 2$, and holds if n=5, when we shell show later that any T^5 bounds a contractible W^6 . The cases n=3, n=4 are unsolved.

<u>Co: llary 6.4.4</u> Suppose M_{i}^{in} compact. $\geq M_{i}^{ii} = \emptyset$ (i=1,2), $f:M_{1} \rightarrow M_{2}$ a simple homotopy convirators and $2c \geq 124$. Then $M_{2}^{m} \times D^{c}$ is a disc bundle ovr M_{1} .

<u>Proof</u> If c < 3, $m \le 1$, $M_1 = M_2 = S^1$ (or point) and the result is trivial. Now let $c \ge 3$.

Then by Haefliger's theorem (.fI), we can approximate f by an imbedding of M_1 in $M_2 \times D^2$. The - ult now follows from the Theorem. <u>Corollary 6.4.5</u> Suppose in addition that $c \ge m+1$ and $f^*(T_{M_1}+1) \cong T_{M_1}+1$. Then $M_1 \propto D^2 \equiv M_2 \approx D$

For under these conditions $t_{1,2}$ normal bundle of $g(M_1)$ in $M_2 \times D^c$ is stably trivial and stable, henc trivial.

<u>Theorem 6.5</u> Let T^{n-c} be a homovery sphere in S^n (n>6, c>3), N a tubular neighbourhood, V the closure fits complement. Then V is diffeomorphic to $S^{n-1} \times D^{n-c+1}$.

<u>Proof</u> Let N' be a larger concentric tube, D^{c} a fibre, S^{c-1} its boundary. Since S^{c-1} bounds the contractible D^{c} , its normal bundle is trivial. We assert that the inclusion of S^{c-1} in V is a homotopy equivalence; indeed, both are simply-connected (V since S^{n} is and $c \ge 3$, so S-T is also) and the complement of $V \oplus D^{c}$ is the interior of N-D^c, a cell bundle over a cell and so contractible. By duality, $V \oplus D^{c}$ is contractible, and $0 = H_{r}(V \oplus D^{c}, D^{c}) =$ $H_{r}(V, V \cap D^{c})$. But $V \cap D^{c}$ is an annulus with S^{c-1} as deformation retract, hence $H_{r}(V, S^{c-1}) = 0$.

If $c \neq n-1$, $\partial V = \partial N$ is simply-connected, and $n-c+1 \ge 3$, so the result follows from Theorem 6.4. If c = n-1, T is a circle, and unknots, so the result is trivial.

<u>Theorem 6.6</u> Suppose W^n $(n \ge 6)$ such that $\ni W$, $\ni_+ W$ and W are simplyconnected. Let $\mathbb{H}_i(W, \ni_- W) \cong F + T$, where F is a free abelian group of rank β_i and T is a finite group with $\tau_{i+\frac{1}{2}}$ generators. Then W has a handle decomposition on $\ni_- W$ with $\tau_{i-\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{3}{2}i + \tau_{i+\frac{1}{2}}i$ -handles for each i. <u>Proof</u> By Corollary 5.1.2, there is a handle decomposition with no Oor 1-handles. Similarly, we can dispense with (n-1)- and n-handles. This gives a chain-complex of free abelian groups whose homology is that of $H_*(W, \ni_- W)$. We put this chain-complex into normal form; then it is a direct sum of elementary subcomplexes, each with rank 1 or 2, and differential either

$$0 \rightarrow \overline{Z} \rightarrow 0 \text{ or } 0 \rightarrow \overline{Z} \xrightarrow{\theta} \overline{Z} \rightarrow 0.$$

Now the change of base needed to put the chain complex in normal form can be induced by a sequence of elementary automorphisms of the chain groups, and by Theorem 4.6, each of these can be induced by a change in handle decomposition. It remains only to remove the elementary subcomplexes with $\mathcal{O}=1$. But Theorem 5.2 (extended by Remark 5.4.1) assures us that such pairs of handles may be cancelle?.

It does not seem to be easy to obtain a reasonable theorem of this kind without assuming simple-connectivity. The best known are 7.4 and 7.5 below.

Chapter 7 Simple Neighbourhoods

We shall now give a very brief discussion of Masur's concept of simple neighbourhoods; however, we make no attempt to give complete proofs. The details would be comparatively trivial to supply if we were discussing combinatorial manifolds, so the reader may prefer to think of these (nearly all the proofs in Part IV remain valid, only the details are much easier, on account of corners).

Let M^{m} be a compact manifold, K^{k} a finite complex. We call an imbedding f of K in M tame if M is covered by coordinate neighbourhood $(U_{\mathcal{A}} \subset \mathbb{M}^m, \varphi_{\mathcal{A}} \colon U_{\mathcal{A}} \to \mathbb{R}^m)$ such that each $\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}$ of: $f^{-1}(U_{\mathcal{A}}) \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is linear on each simplex. <u>Definition</u> A submanifold U^{m} of M^{m} is a <u>simple neighbourhood</u> of f(K) if $K \subset U$, the inclusion $K \subset U$ is a simple homotopy equivalence, and $\pi_1(\Im U) \cong \pi_1(U - K).$ For example, if K is a submanifold, a tubular neighbourhood is a simple neighbourhood. Proposition 7.1 A smooth regular neighbourhood is a simple neighbourhood. This follows almost at once from the definitions; as to the last clause, $d \alpha$ we observe that if U is a regular neighbourhood, there is a map $\supseteq U \longrightarrow K$ such that U is the mapping cylinder. So $U - K \cong \partial U \times [0,1)$. Proposition 7.2 A smooth regular neighbourhood has a handle decomposition with one h_{\cdot}^{i} corresponding to each simplex σ^{i} of K. This must be proved by induction over simplexes of K; in fact, the handle is simply obtained by thickening the simplex. Conversely, any handle decomposition may be 'unthickened' to the Remark cores of the handles to give a corresponding CW complex K. <u>Theorem 7.3</u> Simple neighbourhood theorem Let $m \ge 6$, codim K ≥ 3 . Then if U_1 , U_2 are simple neighbourhoods of K, there is a diffectopy of M,

constant near K and away from $U_1 \cup U_2$, which moves U_1 to U_2 . <u>Proof</u> Let U_0 be a smooth regular neighbourhood of K in $U_1 \cap U_2$. For i = 1,2 we shall show that $U_i = U_0 \cup (\supseteq U_0 \times I)$: the result then follows at once. Since for j=1,2,0, $\supseteq U_j \subset U_j \supset U_j - K$ induce isomorphisms of fundamental groups, we can apply 6.4, and the result follows.

-30-

Suppose the condition $\mathcal{T}_1(\underline{U}\underline{U}) \cong \mathcal{T}_1(\underline{U}\underline{K})$ in the definition Remark strengthened to state that $\supset U \subset U - X$ is a homotopy equivalence. Then in the above, we could prove the closure of $U_i - U_c$ an h-cobordism, but it would still not in general follow that it was an s-cobordism, if the codimension of X was 1 or 2. This does work, though, if $\mathcal{W}_1(\partial U) \cong 0$, \mathbb{Z}_2 or \mathbb{Z}_2 . Theorem 7.4 (Non-stable neighbourhood theorem) Let K,K be finite C.W.-complexes and $\Theta: K \to K$, a simple homotopy equivalence. Suppose $U^n \longrightarrow K$ by unthickening, and $\overline{x}, \overline{x}_1$ have dimension $\leq n-3$, $n \geq 6$. Then U^{n} has a handle decomposition which mimics the cell decomposition of K. By a theorem of Whitehead, (improved), we can go from K to K. Proof by a sequence of "formal moves" of dimension \leqslant (n-2). (Note n > 6). Wecan imitate each of these by a change in handle decomposition: an elementary expansion by introducing a complementary pair of handles (Theorem 4.5), and an elementary collapse by a handle cancellation (Theorem 4.4). For this last, we must check the necessary conditions. If the collapsed cells have dimensions 0,1, we can use Pro: 4.1; if their dimensions are r,r+1 where $2 \le r \le n-3$, we observe that 5.3 s satisfied and that $\partial e_i^{r+1} = e_i^r$, and apply Lemma 5.4(ii). If the dimensions are 1.2, check that the attaching S^1 of the 2-handle is homotopic, hence isotopic, to a circle which meets the b-sphere of the 1-handle onl; once. In principle, this completes the proof.

The same arguments lead also to <u>Theorem 7.5</u> (<u>Relative non-stable neighbourhood theorem</u> Suppose Wⁿ has a handle decomposition on V with no i-handles for i>n.2. Assume $\widetilde{\Pi_1}(\partial_+ V) \cong \widetilde{\Pi_1}(V) \bigoplus^{\cong \widetilde{\Pi_1}}(W) \cong \widetilde{\Pi_1}(\partial_+ W), n \ge 6$. Let (\mathbb{Z}, V) be a C.W.pair with no i-cells outside V for i>n-2 and f: $\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{W}$ is simple homotopy equivalence rel V. Then W has a handle decomposition based on V with cells corresponding to those of X mod V.

This is stated in a very sharp form (I hope not too sharp to be true), and we shall not give the proof.

need this ?

Yes

Ъy

C. T. C. WALL

Part VA

Cobordism : geometric theory

These notes continue Parts 0 (analytical foundations), I (geometrical foundations, II (transversality and general position) and IV (theory of handle Parts II and IV are decompositions), originally issued at Cambridge, but now available on request to the Secretary, Department of Fure Mathematics, The University, Liverpool, 3. These were not prepared in close connection with a seminar, so the acknowledgements mainly due are to those who originally developed the ideas: primarily Thom, also Atiyah (for much of Chapters 5 and 6), Milnor (for demonstrating the variety which cobordism could encompass), Conner & Floyd (for much of Chapters 6 and 7) and Graeme Segal - who first obtained the results of Chapter 7 in their present generality.

I had originally intended to include a Chapter 8, on exact sequences of cobordism groups of knots: this is now omitted, but the reader may refer to the Bourbaki seminar (no. 280, 1964/5) by A. Haerliger, which gives the argument I had intended to use. Since our Part III has not yet (and may well never) be written, I will define it, too, by the references which seem to me to give the most coherent account of existing general methods (excluding surgery).

s.	Smale	Classification of immersions of spheres in Euclidean space, Ann. of Math. 69 (1959) 327-344.
М.	W. Hirsch	Immersions of manifolds, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 93 (1959) 242-276.
	Haefliger and W. Hirsch	Immersions in the stable range, Ann. of Math. 75 (1962) 231-241.
H.	Whitney	The selfintersections of a smooth n-manifold in 2n-space, Ann. of Math. 45 (1944) 220-246.
Α.	Haefliger	Plongements différentiables de variétés dans variétés, Comm. Math. Helv. 36 (1961) 47-82.
Α.	Haefliger	Plongements différentiables dans le domaine stable, Comm. Math. Helv. 37 (1963) 155-176, and Bourbaki seminar no. 245 (1962-3)
	Haefliger and W. Hirsch	On the existence and classification of differentiable embeddings, Topology 2 (1963) 129-135.

PART VA Cobordism : geometric theory

Chapter 1 Types of cobordism

We have already said that when \mathbb{W} is a compact manifold with $\partial \mathbb{W}$ a disjoint union of closed sets $\partial_{-}\mathbb{W} \cup \partial_{+}\mathbb{W}$, \mathbb{W} is called a <u>cobordism</u> of $\partial_{-}\mathbb{W}$ to $\partial_{+}\mathbb{W}$, and $\partial_{-}\mathbb{W}$, $\partial_{+}\mathbb{W}$ are called <u>cobordant</u>. This concept is of great generality, and there is a wide variety of possible generalisations and restrictions. Our policy here will be to indicate the different kinds of alteration that may be made to the definition, each in the simplest possible case: these may then afterwards be combined ad lib. We establish a convention that each type of cobordism relation is specified by a description of the properties of $\partial_{-}\mathbb{W}$ which are relevant, and there is then a corresponding set of properties of the \mathbb{W} which are permitted for the cobordisms: these will be made precise in this chapter for each new idea, but the convention will be in force subsequently. Note that it is always essential that the manifolds be compact; otherwise the trivial relation $\mathbb{V} = \partial(\mathbb{V} \times [0, 1))$ shows that everything is a boundary.

Oriented cobordism

We consider only oriented manifolds M. Then the cobordisms W must also be oriented. We call the oriented manifold W an oriented cobordism between the oriented manifolds $\partial_{-}W$ and $\partial_{+}W$ if at each $x \in \partial_{-}W$ (resp. $\partial_{+}W$), the erientation of $\partial_{-}W$ ($\partial_{+}W$) followed by the inward (outward) normal at x induces the orientation of W. In terms of homology classes, this becomes

$$\partial_{\mu}[W] = [\partial_{\mu}W] - [\partial_{\mu}W].$$

Cobordism with a given structure group.

In the first instance, the tangent bundle $\tau_{\rm m}$ of M has structure group $\operatorname{GL}_{\rm m}(\mathbb{R})$: an orientation is a reduction of this group to $\operatorname{SL}_{\rm m}(\mathbb{R})$. We generalise this now by letting G be any topological group (usually, but not always compact) and ϕ : $\mathbb{G} \to \operatorname{GL}_{\rm m}(\mathbb{R})$ a homomorphism. Then a <u>G-structure</u> on M is a reduction of the group of $\tau_{\rm m}$ to G. If ϕ is the inclusion of a closed subgroup, we can define this as a cross-section of the bundle associated to $\tau_{\rm m}$ with fibre $\operatorname{GL}_{\rm m}(\mathbb{R})/\mathbb{G}$. In general, we form the classifying space B(G), so ϕ induces a vector bundle $\xi_{\rm C}$ over B(G): then a reduction of the group

of $\tau_{\rm m}$ is a pair (e, f), where f: $M \to B(G)$ is a map and e: $\tau_{\rm m} \to f^* \xi_{\rm G}$ a bundle isomorphism: two reductions are <u>equivalent</u> if there is a reduction of the induced bundle over $M \times I$ which induces them at the two ends.

The natural definition of a cobordism \mathbb{W} now demands a reduction of the structure group $r_{\mathbb{W}}$. However, $\tau_{\mathbb{W}} | \partial \mathbb{W} \cong \tau_{\partial \mathbb{W}} \oplus \epsilon^{1}$ (we shall use $\epsilon^{\mathbf{r}}$ to denote the trivial vector bundle of dimension \mathbf{r}), so the induced structure on the boundary is a reduction of the group of $\tau_{\partial \mathbb{W}} \oplus \epsilon^{1}$, rather than of $\tau_{\partial \mathbb{W}}$ itself. We can base an adequate definition on this, noting only that a convention about the choice of isomorphisms of $\tau_{\mathbb{W}} | \partial \mathbb{W}$ on $\tau_{\partial \mathbb{W}} \oplus \epsilon^{1}$ is necessary: viz. that the positive vector ϵ^{1} is to be identified with the inward normal to $\partial_{\mathbb{W}} \mathbb{W}$ in \mathbb{W} , but with the outward normal on $\partial_{+}\mathbb{W}$ (this is necessary to obtain an equivalence relation: see below).

However, the most satisfactory general theory uses a further weakening of the concept, and some preliminary notation is necessary. Suppose given a commutative diagram of groups and homomorphisms

(in the lower row we have the natural inclusions); then we say we have a <u>stable group</u> G. A <u>weak G-structure</u> on M is prescribed by choosing an integer r and reduction (e, f) of the group of $\tau_m \oplus \epsilon^r$ to G_{m+r} ; (r, e, f) and (r', e', f') are <u>equivalent</u> if the reductions (e, f) and (e', f') of $\tau_m \oplus \epsilon^s$ are so for some $s \ge r$, r'. Then if a cobordism W has a weak G-structure, it induces weak G-structures on $\partial_{-}W$, $\partial_{+}W$ (using the above convention to identify $\tau_W | \partial W$ with $\tau_{\partial W} \oplus \epsilon^1$): we call it a cobordism between these manifolds with the induced structures.

Cobordism of Pairs

Let M be a submanifeld of N; then we call (N, M) a pair. If (N, V) is a pair of manifolds with boundary, and W is a ecbordism of $\partial_{-}V$ to $\partial_{+}W$, we set $\partial_{-}V = V \cap \partial_{-}W$ and $\partial_{+}V = V \cap \partial_{+}W$. Our definition of submanifold when implies that V is a cohordism of $\partial_{-}V$ to $\partial_{+}V$, and we shall call the pair (N, V) a cobordism of the pair ($\partial_{-}V, \partial_{-}V$) to the pair $(\partial_{+}V, \partial_{+}V)$.

We can also restrict the structure groups of the tangent bundles of W and V separately; more important, however, is to consider the normal bundle of V in W, which has group $\operatorname{GL}_q(\mathbb{R})$ if q is the codimension of V in W. Given $\phi_q : \operatorname{G}_q \to \operatorname{GL}_q(\mathbb{R})$, a reduction to G_q of the group of the normal bundle of V in W can be called a <u>normal G_q-structure</u> of V in W: it induces normal G_q-structures of ∂_-V in ∂_-W and of ∂_+V in ∂_+W . Note that here there is no need to speak of weak structures and of identifications: the definition is more natural than the one above, and we have the notion of cobordism of pairs with normal G_q-structure.

Cobordism of Maps.

A map means a continuous (or, if preferred, smooth) map $f: M^{m} \to N^{n}$ of compact smooth manifolds. If V and W are cobordisms, and $F: V \to W$ defines by restriction maps $F_{-}: \partial_{-}V \to \partial_{-}W$ and $F_{+}: \partial_{+}V \to \partial_{+}W$, we call F a cobordism of F_{-} to F_{+} . In particular, a homotopy of f is a cobordism. Since (c.f. proof of 0, 2.1.1) every map is homotopic to a smooth map, and homotopic smooth maps are smoothly homotopic, the restriction to smooth maps f makes no difference. The special case when f is an embedding gives cobordism of pairs above: we could also restrict f to be an immersion. Also since (II, 5.3) if n > 2n, any map is homotopic to an embedding, and if n > 2m + 1, homotopic embeddings are isotopic, all these theories agree in such a stable range. It is also possible to replace f by an embedding in $N \times \mathbb{R}^{2m}$, and restrict the group of the normal bundle.

Cobordism of Bounded Manifolds

Let W be a manifold with corner; suppose the closed parts into which ΛW divides ∂W are separated into three, with disjoint interiors: $\partial_{-}W$, $\partial_{c}W$ and $\partial_{+}W$, where $\partial_{-}W$ and $\partial_{+}W$ are disjoint, and the manifolds $\partial_{-}W \cup \partial_{+}W$ and $\partial_{-}W$ have common boundary ΛW . Then we call W a cobordism of $\partial_{-}W$ to $\partial_{+}W$. We also write $\Lambda_{-}W = \Lambda W \cap \partial_{-}W = \partial \partial_{-}W$ and $\Lambda_{+}W = \Lambda W \cap \partial_{+}W = \partial \partial_{+}W$, so $\partial_{c}W$ is a cobordism of $\Lambda_{-}W$ to $\Lambda_{-}W$.

By itself, this definition gives nothing: any manifold M with boundary is cobordant to the empty set by the manifold W obtained from $M \times I$ by rounding corners at $M \times 1$. So the interesting cases are those in which an extra condition is imposed on the cobordism $\partial_{x}W$.

Cobordism with a cohomology class; bordism

First consider pairs (M, α) with $\alpha \in \operatorname{H}^{r}(M; G)$. Then (W, α) is a cobordism of $(\partial_{-} \mathcal{X}, \beta)$ to $(\mathcal{E}_{+} W, \gamma)$ if α restricts to β on $\partial_{-} W$ and to γ on $\partial_{+} W$. Now the functor $\operatorname{H}^{r}($; G) is representable by the Eilenberg-MacLane complex K = K(G, r), so we can equally regard α as a homotopy class of maps $M \to K$.

More generally, let K be any space and consider pairs (M, α) where α is a map $M \to K$. The definition of cobordism is the same as above. Note that if $\delta : M \times I \to K$ is a homotopy of α to α' , $(M \times I, \delta)$ can be regarded as a cobordism of (M, α) to (M, α') . We shall later consider the dependence of the notion on K (rather than M), and will then say that (M, α) determines a <u>bordism class</u> of K.

If L is a subspace of K, we shall also consider the cobordism relation for manifolds with boundary, where (M, α) is a pair consisting of a manifold M with boundary and a map of pairs $\alpha : (M, \partial M) \rightarrow (K, L)$: a cobordism will be a pair (W, β) where $\beta : (W, \partial_{C}W) \rightarrow (K, L)$ restricts to the given maps of $(\partial_{-}W, \Lambda_{-}W)$ and cf $(\partial_{+}W, \Lambda_{+}W)$.

Equivariant cobordism

Let G be a Lie group, which it is convenient to assume compact. We consider pairs (M, ϕ) where M is a manifold and $\phi : M \times G \rightarrow M$ defines a smooth action of G on M. This induces a G-action on ∂M . Then if W is a cobordism, with G-action ϕ , $(?, \phi)$ is an equivariant cobordism of $(\partial_{-}\Psi, \phi_{-})$ to $(\partial_{+}\Psi, \phi_{+})$ if ϕ_{-}, ϕ_{+} are G-actions induced by ϕ . A variant is obtained if we restrict the isotropy groups of ϕ to lie in an assigned class of subgroups of G - for example, if we have fixed-point-free actions.

The remaining examples involve connectivity, and we will see in Chapter 2 that they are of a slightly different nature: we shall call them all 'of type (C)'.

Connected cobordism

Here we consider only connected (hence, in particular, non-empty) manifolds M. The cobordisms are restricted by the requirement that $\partial_{-}W$, $\partial_{+}W$, and W all be connected. A natural extension of this is k-connected cobordism

We now require M to be k-connected, for some integer $k \ge 1$. In this case, of course, M is orientable: we make the further convention that M is

oriented. The corresponding kind of cobordism is an oriented cobordism W, note that each of $\frac{2\pi}{3}$, $\frac{\pi}{3}$ and $\frac{\pi}{3}$ is the categories. The cases $\kappa = 1, 2$ will later play a special role. Also if dim $M \leq 2k$, then M must be a homotopy sphere.

h-cobordism

The natural way to fit this into our present context seems to be to fix a space X, and insist that each manifold M under consideration be provided with a homotopy equivalence $h_M : M \to X$. A cobordism W must then satisfy the condition that h_W extends the maps $h_{\partial W}$ and $h_{\partial W}$: this implies that the inclusion maps of ∂W , ∂W into W are homotopy equivalences. It is usually more convenient in this case also to restrict to oriented cobordism. The only case to be singled out later is when X is a sphere.

I-cobordism

Here, X is a fixed closed manifold, and we consider only pairs (M, h_M) , where h_M is a diffeomorphism of M on X. A cobordism is a pair (W, h_M) where W is a diffeomorphism of W on X × I inducing the diffeomorphisms $h_{\partial_{-}} W \times 0$, $h_{\partial_{+}} W \times 1$ on the boundary. Naturally, this again is a trivial theory which we will only use in conjunction with others: we usually indicate its application when X is a sphere S^n (the commonest case) by referring simply to 'cobordism of S^n '.

Concordance

X is a fixed finite simplicial complex, and we consider pairs (M, h_M) where $h_{\underline{W}} : X \to M$ is a smooth triangulation of M by a (linear) subdivision of X. Cobordisms must be triangulated by $X \times I$. We shall not give the theory, nor full definitions for these notions, but mention them for completeness. The word 'concordance' is sometimes also used for I-cobordism.

Cobordism with a homotopy class

Consider pairs (M, α) , where M^{m} is simply-connected (so that base points are irrelevant) and $\alpha \in \pi_{r}(M)$. We call (W, α) a cobordism of $(\partial_{-}W, \beta)$ to $(\partial_{+}W, \gamma)$ if the inclusion maps send β and γ to α . We can also replace homotopy by homology, or the sphere S^{r} by another space K (and in some cases weaken the requirement of simple-connectivity). We will later need the restriction $n - 2 \ge r$ (or dim K). <u>Theorem 1.1</u> In all cases, the relation "M is cobordant to M'" is an equivalence relation.

<u>Definition</u> The equivalence classes are called <u>cobordism classes</u>. <u>Proof</u> <u>Reflexivity</u> We use $M \times I$ to provide a cobordism of M to itself. In each case, any additional structure on M automatically defines one on $M \times I$ which extends it: for the natural projection π on M is a homotopy equivalence, so the homotopy conditions extend, $\pi^* \tau_m \oplus \epsilon^1 = \tau_{M \times I}$, and a G-action on M defines one on $M \times I$ by the formula (m, t)g = (ig, t). That $M \times I$ provides a cobordism of M to itself is now trivial except in the case of cobordism with a structure group, where we must use our convention about orientation.

Symmetry Let $\mathbb{W}^{\mathbb{W}}$ be a cobordism of $\partial_{-}\mathbb{W}$ to $\partial_{+}\mathbb{W}$: we wish to interchange their roles to call it a cobordism of $\partial_{-}\mathbb{W}$ to $\partial_{+}\mathbb{W}$. This again is trivial in every case except that of cobordism with a structure group, where we must change the (weak) G-structure of \mathbb{W} to 'interchange the ends'. We change it by observing that one weak structure induces another, using the identification of $\tau_{\mathbb{W}} \in \epsilon^{\mathbb{T}}$ with itself induced by reflection in one of the line bundles constituting $\epsilon^{\mathbb{T}}$. The induced structure on $\tau_{\partial^{\mathbb{T}}} \oplus \epsilon^{\mathbb{T}+1}$ differs from the desired one by reflections in two line bundles: the product of two reflections is homotopic to the identity, so the induced structure is equivalent to the one required.

<u>Transitivity</u> Let W_1, W_2 be cobordisms with $N = \partial W_1$, $N_1 = \partial_+ W_1 = \partial_- W_2$, $N_2 = \partial_+ W_2$. To obtain a cobordism of M_0 to N_2 , we will glue W_1 to W_2 along N_1 (c.f. I, 7). This works without difficulty for cobordism with a structure group (our convention is natural here) and for most of the others. In fact, we need only take care with the glueing for cobordism of pairs and for equivariant cobordism.

In the case of pairs, let $(N_1, M_1) = \partial_+(W_1, V_1) = \partial_-(W_2, V_2)$. We choose collar neighbourhoods of N_1 in W_1 and in W_2 which respect the submanifolds V_1 and V_2 : this is possible by I, Theorem 3.6. If we now glue, $V_1 \cup V_2$ becomes a smooth submanifold.

For G-cobordism, we first observe that every G-manifold has an equivariant Riemannian structure, obtained by taking any such structure, looking at its transforms by elements of G, and integrating with respect to Haar measure on G (which is legitimate since the Riemannian structures form a convex subset of a Banach space). The construction of I, Prop 3.1 now gives equivariant collar neighbourhoods of the boundary; glueing as in I, 7.1 we see that the action of G remains differentiable. The proof of the theorem is now complete.

Chapter 2 Cobordism groups and rings.

We next investigate the various possible structures that can be put on the sets of cobordism classes: here the two key remarks are that disjoint union will (in most cases) define a sum operation making the set of classes an additive abelian group, and that Cartesian product induces various multiplicative structures. A few more delicate operations will be defined later on.

Lemma 2.1 Disjoint union defines an addition which turns the set of cobordism classes (of a given dimension) into an abelian group, except for cobordisms of type (c).

<u>Proof</u> The other kinds of structure pass at once to the disjoint union. Union is compatible with cobordism: if V, W are cobordisms of $\partial_{-}V$ to $\partial_{+}V$, $\partial_{-}W$ to $\partial_{+}W$, then the disjoint union V, W is a cobordism of $\partial_{-}V \cup \partial_{-}W$ to $\partial_{+}V \cup \partial_{+}W$. Thus we have a binary operation on the set of cobordism classes, which is commutative and associative since disjoint unions are. The empty manifold acts as zero.

We obtain an inverse to M whenever $M \times I$ may be regarded as a cobordism of the disjoint union $M \times 0 \cup M \times 1$ to the empty set (the induced structure on $M \times 0$ must coincide with that on M: on $M \times 1$ it can be different). This is immediate in each case except cobordism with a given structure group, where we have an orientation-reversal on $M \times 1$ (as in the proof of symmetry in Theorem 1.1).

In the cases where connectivity is important, we will use connected sum instead of disjoint union. For h-cobordism and I-cobordism we need to take X as a sphere for this to give a group structure: in other cases it gives a map relating three different cobordism sets (of X_1, X_2 and $X_1 \# X_2$) - as indeed did disjoint union.

Lemma 2.2 In all cases except cobordism of maps and equivariant cobordism, connected sum of connected manifolds of dimension > 0 is a commutative associative operation with unit, compatible with cobordism. The set of equivalence classes thus acquires an abelian group structure, provided for h- and I- cobordism we take X as a sphere. In cases where disjoint union and connected sum both define a group structure on cobordism classes, the two structures are the same.

We first check that connected sum can be made compatible with all the Proof extra structures except a G-action. If M_1 and M_2 are k-connected, so is $\mathbb{M}_{1} \text{ # } \mathbb{M}_{2} \text{ - except in the trivial case when dim } \mathbb{M}_{1} \leq k$ (so the \mathbb{M}_{1} are contractible, and have boundaries). For h-cobordism, we have maps $h_i : M_i \to X_i$, where the X can be taken as manifolds. It is then simple to adjust the h, by homotopies to respect the discs used to define #, and thus obtain a homotopy equivalence $M_1 & M_2 \rightarrow X_1 \neq X_2$. The corresponding assertion for I-cobordism is trivial. Weak G-structures can be defined near the discs $f_{i}(D^{m}) \subset M_{i}$ by framings, induced by the f_{i} from the standard framing e_1, \ldots, e_m of \mathbb{R}^m . If e_m is the extra basis element when we add a trivial line bundle to τ_{m} , we change the framing on D^{m} as follows: at $\nu \in D^{m}$, reflect in the hyperplane perpendicular to $e_{m+1} - \nu$, then in the one perpendicular to e_{m+1} . This can be achieved by a homotopy (D^m is contractible). If the new framing is e'_1, \ldots, e'_{m+1} , then e'_{m+1} is the inward normal vector to S^{m-1} in D^m . Thus the weak G-structures on $M_1 - f_1(D^m)$ and $M_2 - f_2(D^m)$ fit together along S^{m-1} after changing the sign of e'_{m+1} . For cobordism of connected pairs, we glue both manifolds simultaneously, using imbeddings f_{i_1} : $(D^n, D^n) \rightarrow (N, M)$ - the theory of this operation is essentially the same as for ordinary connected sum. With homotopy classes, we consider pairs $(\mathbb{M}_{i}^{m}, \alpha_{i}), \alpha_{i} \in \pi_{r}(\mathbb{M}_{i})$. Here we need $r \leq m - 2$. Then α_{i} determines a homotopy class in \mathbb{M}_{i}^{m} - Int $f_{i}(D^{m})$, and hence in $\mathbb{M}_{i} \notin \mathbb{M}_{p}$: we add the resulting classes. With cohomology classes, we first adjust the maps $\alpha_i : \mathbb{M}_i \to \mathbb{K}$ by homotopies so that $\alpha_i f_i$ has image the base point: we then have a natural induced map of $M_{\mu} # M_{\rho}$.

In each of these cases, the operation is clearly commutative and associative, and the sphere S^{m} (associated to the weak framing induced by that of \mathbb{R}^{m+1} , and zero homotopy and cohomology classes) acts as unit - this needs a moment's thought in the case of a structural group.

We must next check that the operation is compatible with cobordism. First, there is a question of arientation: but if any condition on structural groups provides an orientation of the manifold, the connected sum is unique: if not (but the manifolds are still orientable) we can take a further connected sum with a nonorientable manifold, and orientation becomes irrelevant, and we then add the inverse of the manifold (see below).

[Note here the use of an earlier convention that if other conditions on a manifold require orientability, we add an orientation to the specifications]. Next, let V and W be connected cobordisms, of dimension n + 1, and $f_: D^n \to \partial_V$ (similarly f_+, g_-, g_+) be used to define the connected sums $\partial_V \notin \partial_W$, $\partial_V \notin \partial_W$. As above, we suppose either that all manifolds are oriented or that V, W are monorientable. Then we can join $f_{-}(0)$ to $f_{+}(0)$ by an arc α in V, and thicken to obtain an imbedding $F: D^n \times I \to V$ with $f_{-} = F | D^{n} \times 0$ and $f_{+} = F | D^{n} \times 1$ (if orientations do not fit at the first attempt, V is by hypothesis nonorientable, and we compose the homotopy class of α with an orientation-reversing loop). The hypothesis $n \ge 2$ is needed to use general position to get the arc imbedded, but if n = 1, a more direct construction suffices. Similarly define $G: D^n \times I \to W$. Now delete the interiors of the images of F and G and glue the boundaries, and we have a cobordism of $\partial_V \# \partial_W$ to $\partial_V \# \partial_W$. The verification that this construction is compatible with extra structures is the same as f r # itself, except in the case of simple-connectivity. Here, if n > 2, general position shows that the complement of an arc in V is simply-connected if V is, so we consider only the case n = 2. The only simply-connected closed 2-manifold is S^2 , and if $S^2 = \partial_V$, observe that $\pi_1(V - \alpha)$ is generated by conjugates of a loop encircling α , which can be taken in S², but is then already mullhomotopic in $S^2 - f_{(D^2)}$ (a contractible set). So $V - \alpha$ is simply-connected in this case also.

It remains to obtain inverses. Note that S^n bounds D^{n+1} , and the zero structures on S^n all extend to D^{n+1} . Conversely, let W be a connected cobordism with $\partial_+ W = \phi$. Then we assert that $\partial_- W$ is cobordant to the zero class. For deleting the interior of an imbedded disc from W, we obtain a W' with $\partial_- W = \partial_- W'$, $\partial_+ W' = S^n$. The verification that a structure on W induces one on W' is again the same as for %, and the induced structure on S^n extends to D^{n+1} , hence is the zero structure.

The inverse is now obtained by change of crientation, as usual: say M gives rise to M'; together they bound $M \times I$. Now take an imbedding $f : D^{m} \rightarrow M$: this extends to $f \times I : D^{m} \times I \rightarrow M \times I$. Delete the interior of the image and round the corners: this gives \Re with $\partial \Re = M \notin M'$. But now any structure on M induces one on $M \times I$ and (again by the same argument as for #) on \Re . Thus M' is indeed inverse to M. Note that in the cases of I- and h- cobordism with $X = S^{n}$, this construction gives \Re diffeomorphic

(resp. homotopy equivalent) to D^{n+1} : then deleting an imbedded disc gives \forall ! diffeomorphic (or homotopy equivalent) to $S^n \times I$.

The last assertion of the lemma is checked by constructing a cobordism of $M \cup N'$ to $M \notin N'$: for this we take $N \times I \cup N' \times I$ and attach a l-handle to join $M \times I$ and $M' \times I$. Then for a structure group, a pair, or a cohomology class (the three remaining cases), we note that we can use a framing over the added handle, add a handle-pair (with trivial normal bundle), or map the handle to the base point.

This concludes our discussion of additive structure. There is less to say about multiplicative structure in general which is not obvious: the general rule is that the natural ('external') product is a little too precise, and we must weaken its induced structure to obtain a more useful multiplication.

First, products are compatible with cobordism: if \Im is a cobordism from $\partial_{-}\Im$ to $\partial_{+}\Im$, then $\Im \times M$ is a cobordism from $\partial_{-}\Im \times M$ to $\partial_{+}\Im \times M$. Also, products are associative, and distributive over disjoint union.(though not over connected sum), and there is a natural diffeomorphism of $M' \times M$ on $M \times M'$, which gives rise in most cases to some sort of commutativity of multiplication.

Next, let us examine cases in a little more detail. If M_1 , M_2 have weak G_1 resp. G_2 -structures, then $M_1 \times M_2$ has a natural induced weak $G_1 \times G_2$ -structure, and hence also G_3 -structure if we have a morphism ψ : $G_1 \times G_2 \rightarrow G_3$ (see next chapter for definitions) this includes oriented cobordism, for example.

If (M_1, V_1) and (M_2, V_2) are pairs, the natural product is a set of 4 manifolds. Here, the most useful notion is to multiply a pair (M, V) by a manifold M'. Note that the group of the normal bundle is unaltered.

Again, it is unwise to multiply two manifolds with boundary - the resulting structure is so complicated - and it is more likely to be profitable to multiply manifolds with boundary by closed manifolds.

Equivariant cobordism has a natural external product: actions of G on M and of H on N induce an action of $G \times H$ on $M \times N$ hence of any subgroup. If, in particular, G = H, we have a diagonal action of G.

The one remaining case (since we exclude connected cobordisms, after our failure to obtain distributivity) is cobordism with a cohomology class. Given two pairs (M_1, α_1) and (M_2, α_2) , where $\alpha_1 : M_1 \to X_1$, the exterior product is the pair $(M_1 \times M_2, \alpha_1 \times \alpha_2)$. We will usually have a map $f : X_1 \times X_2 \to X_3$, and replace $\alpha_1 \times \alpha_2$ by fo $(\alpha_1 \times \alpha_2)$, to obtain a bordism class of X_3 .

This seems the most appropriate place to mention a general method of constructing exact sequences, several illustrations of which will appear later. Here we will not be too precise.

Suppose two kinds of structure specified, called an α -structure and a β -structure, with the latter stronger than the former. For example, we may consider structure groups G_1 and $G_2 \subset G_1$, or maps to spaces X_1 and $X_2 \subset X_1$, or actions of groups H_1 and $H_2 \supset H_1$, or k_1 -connectivity and $k_2(>k_1)$ -connectivity.

By Ω_n^{α} and Ω_n^{β} we denote the cobordism groups of manifolds with α -(resp. β -)structure; and by $\Omega_n^{\alpha,\beta}$ the cobordism group of bounded manifolds with α -structure, whose boundaries have a β -structure inducing the given α -structure.

Lemma 2.3 There is an exact sequence

$$\dots \quad \Omega^{\beta}_{n} \to \Omega^{\alpha}_{n} \to \Omega^{\alpha}_{n}, \beta \to \Omega^{\beta}_{n-1} \to \Omega^{\alpha}_{n-1} \dots$$

Proof (sketch) The first two maps are the obvious ones; the third is induced by taking the boundary. Exactness at Ω_{n-1}^{β} is immediate. It is clear that the composite of two maps in the sequence is zero. If M is bounded, and ∂M (as a β -manifold) bounds V, we can glue M to V along ∂M to obtain a closed manifold M' with α -structure. A cobordism W of M' to M is obtained from M' × I by introducing a corner at $\partial M \times 0$, and setting $\partial_{-}W = M \times 0$, $\partial_{-}W = V \times 0$ and $\partial_{-}N = M' \times_{+}I$. Finally, if the closed α -manifold M is trivial as a bounded (α,β) -manifold, the corresponding cobordism W has $\partial_{-}W = M, \partial_{-}W = \phi$, and so $\partial_{-}W$ a closed β -manifold, α -cobordant to M.

In some cases, any manifold with α -structure then has a β -structure except on a closed subcomplex or submanifold. Then $\Omega_n^{\alpha,\beta}$ can be calculated differently, for if M is a bounded (α, β) -manifold, $K \subset Int M$ the exceptional subcomplex and L a 'smooth regular neighbourhood' or tubular neighbourhood of K, then M is (α, β) -cobordant to L by W, obtained from $M \times I$ by rounding the corner at $\partial M \times I$ and introducing one at $\partial L \times I$. An analogous remark applies to cobordisms.

Chapter 3

Examples

Before we proceed with the theory, we give here a number of examples which show how the different variants on the simple cobordism relation, as listed in Chapter 1, may be combined in useful ways. We also take the opportunity of introducing the notation for those groups to which we will refer later, and of making clear the application of the results of Chapter 2 to the cases which arise (though we shall not repeat the proofs).

The simplest case of all is unrestricted cobordism of closed n-manifolds. We obtain a group, classically denoted by \mathfrak{N}_n° : but which (to fit into a systematic notation) we shall write as Ω_n° . Multiplication gives a commutative and associative product $\Omega_m^\circ \times \Omega_n^\circ \to \Omega_{m+n}^\circ$, and a point acts as unit. We thus have a commutative graded ring $\Omega_{\mathbf{x}}^\circ$. Each element is its own additive inverse, so we can consider $\Omega_{\mathbf{x}}^\circ$ as an algebra over \mathbf{Z}_2 .

Next we have oriented cobordism, giving a group Ω_n^{SO} (formerly written Ω_n). Multiplication gives a graded ring, as before, which is commutative in the graded sense, and has a unit: we write Ω_n^{SO} .

More generally, let G be any stable group. We consider the cobordism groups of manifolds with weak G-structure on the stable tangent bundle - say provisionally Ω'_n^G . Then we can obtain a bilinear product $\Omega'_m^G \times \Omega'_n^G \to \Omega'_{m+n}^G$ by imposing on G the axiom.

(M) We have a family of mups $\psi_{m,n}: \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_n \to \mathbb{G}_{m+n}$ such that the following diagrams commute up to conjugating by an element in the component of the identity:

 $G_{\ell} \times G_{m} \times G_{n} \xrightarrow{\psi_{\ell,m} \times 1} G_{\ell+m} \times G_{n}$ $\downarrow^{1 \times \psi_{m,n}} \qquad \qquad \downarrow^{\psi_{\ell+m,n}}$ $G_{\ell} \times G_{m+n} \xrightarrow{\psi_{\ell,m+n}} G_{\ell+m+n}.$

The product gives a commutative graded ring Ω^{G}_{\bullet} if we insist also on the diagram

(C) $G_{m} \times G_{n} \xrightarrow{\phi_{m+n} \psi_{m,n}} GL_{m+n}(\mathbb{R})$ $\downarrow \mathbb{T} \qquad \qquad \downarrow \mathbb{T}'$ $G_{n} \times G_{m} \xrightarrow{\phi_{m+n} \psi_{n,m}} GL_{m+n}(\mathbb{R})$

where T is the natural interchange of factors, and T' means conjugation by an element whose determinant has sign $(-1)^{mn}$.

We shall also need a stability axiom

(S) There is a function q_n of n, increasing (in the weak sense) and tending to infinity, such that i_n is q_n -connected.

We now show that if (S) holds, we can replace the structure group on the stable tangent bundle (which has been a constant source of difficulty up to this point) by a structure group on a normal bundle.

Lemma 3.1 Suppose given a commutative diagram

such that the map $\psi_{O} : \underline{G}_{r} \to \underline{G}_{r+s}$ induced by ψ is c-connected. Let K be a C.W. complex of dimension $\leq \min(c, r-2)$, and ξ^{r} , η^{s} vector bundles over K, with a \underline{G}_{s} -structure on η^{s} . Then the function f induced by ψ from \underline{G}_{r} -structures on ξ^{r} to \underline{G}_{r+s} -structures on $\xi^{r} \oplus \eta^{s}$ is bijective. <u>Proof</u> Let X_{i} be the classifying space for $\underline{G}_{i}(i = r, s, r+s); \underline{E}_{i}$ the total space of the principal bundle with fibre $\underline{GL}_{i}(\mathbf{R})$ induced over X_{i} by ϕ_{i} . Write \underline{E}_{ξ} , \underline{E}_{η} , $\underline{E}_{\xi \oplus \eta}$ for the spaces of the corresponding principal bundles over K. Then \underline{G}_{r} -structures of ξ correspond to sections of the bundle over K with total space $\underline{E}_{\xi} \times \underline{GL}_{r}(\mathbf{R})$ \underline{E}_{r} ; similarly for $\xi \oplus \eta$. But the \underline{G}_{s} -structure of η induces a fibrewise map

and the induced map of fibres is $E_r \to E_{r+s}$, which is at least min (c + 1, r - 1)connected since $X_r \to X_{r+s}$ is (c+1)-connected and $GL_r(\mathbb{R}) \to GL_{r+s}(\mathbb{R})$ is (r-1)-connected. Thus (1) is at least (1+dim K)-connected, so any map of K to the second term can be factorised (up to homotopy) through the first, and f is surjective; moreover, the result is unique up to homotopy, so f is bijective. (We use the well-known result - a corollary of the homotopy lifting theorem - that sections of a bundle are homotopic only if they are homotopic through sections).

Corollary 3.1.1 Let $M^{m} \subset \mathbb{R}^{m+N}$ have a weak G-structure, where the stable group G satisfies (M), (A) and (S), and $q_{N} \ge m$. Then the normal bundle has a G_{N} -structure; conversely, this implies a weak G-structure on M.

In this case, $\xi \oplus \eta$ has a standard framing, and hence G-structure. We use (A) only to identify the ψ_{O} of the lemma with a composite of maps i_{n} . <u>Corollary 3.1.2</u> If G satisfies (M), (A) and (S), and $N \ge m + 2$, $q_{N} \ge m + 1$, <u>then Ω'_{m}^{G} is isomorphic to the cobordism group of pairs (S^{m+N}, M^m), with G_{N} as group of the normal bundle.</u>

Strictly speaking, this uses the extension of the lemma where we fix a G-structure of the restriction of ξ to a subcomplex of K: the proof is the same. It is more convenient to use normal than tangent bundles; accordingly, by Ω_m^{G} we will denote the cobordism group of m-manifolds with a G-structure on the stable normal bundle. By (3.1.2), under (M), (A) and (S) we have $\Omega_m^{G} = \Omega_m^{G}$.

Let us observe, before leaving our general discussion of cobordism with a structure group, that if the $\phi_r(G_r)$ are not all contained in the identity components of the groups $GL_r(\mathbb{R})$, then the 'orientation reversal' used in Lemma 2.1 to define inverses does not in fact change the G-structure: up to homotopy, we can realise it by conjugating by an element of G. In this case, we call G <u>nonorientable</u>, and observe that Ω_r^G can be considered as a \mathbb{Z}_2 -module. Otherwise, we call G orientable; then the class of a point in Ω_O^G clearly has infinite order.

The important examples of stable groups G are the classical groups O, SO, Spin, U, SU and Sp, and the trivial group {1}. Of interest also are the groups Spin[°], Pin, and Pin[°] of Atiyah, Bott and Shapiro (Topology 3 supp. 1; see esp. pp 7-10). Clearly, there are many ways of inventing more: for example, we can take products of the above with each other or with any group of linear operators on a finite dimensional vector space.

We next consider pairs (V^{m+q}, M^m) , where V has a weak G-structure and the normal bundle an H-structure. We introduce no notation for this, since the obbordism problem here can be reduced to the prvious case. More generally, consider the situation $M^m \subset V^{m+q} \subset S^{m+q+r}$, where the structure groups of

the normal bundles are H and G_r . Then the normal bundle $M^m \subset S^{m+q+r}$ has an H $_q \times G_r$ -structure.

We shall only consider the stable case r > m + q + 1 where the imbedding of V in S is irrelevant (we can always find one, and any two such are isotopic, by (II, 5.3)), though this restriction could be somewhat weakened.

Lemma 3.2 Suppose H_q compact. Then the pair (V^{m+q}, M^m) is (G_r, H_q) -cobordant to the empty pair if and only if V^{m+q} is G_r -cobordant to zero and M^m is $G_r \times H_q$ -cobordant to zero.

<u>Proof</u> The necessity of the condition is evident. We shall prove sufficiency by establishing a principle of 'extension of cobordisms' (c.f. homotopy extension) which will frequently be of use when considering cobordism of pairs with various restrictions. In this case, we need a construction to extend a $G_r \times H_q$ - cobordism of M^m to the empty set to a $(G_r \times H_q)$ -cobordism of (V, M) to a pair (V', ϕ) . Since cobordism is an equivalence relation, it follows that V' is G_r -cobordant to ϕ , say by W'; then (W', ϕ) is the required (G_r, H_q) -cobordism of (V', ϕ) to (ϕ, ϕ) .

Now since H_q is compact, we can suppose that it acts orthogonally on \mathbb{R}^q . Let \mathbb{N}^{m+1} be the given $\mathbb{G}_r \times \mathbb{H}_q$ -oobordism of M to ϕ : then there is an induced bundle over N with fibre \mathbb{D}^q , whose total space we denote by \mathbb{L}^{m+q+1} . Note that the restriction to M of this bundle is the normal bundle of M in V; hence we can identify a tubular neighbourhood of M in V with part of the boundary of L. We form $\mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{I}$, and attach L to $\mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{I}$ by this identification, giving W. Since L and $\mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{I}$ have \mathbb{G}_r -structures, which agree (by hypothesis, N is a cobordism of M with the $\mathbb{G}_r \times \mathbb{H}_q$ structure induced from V) on the part identified, \mathbb{W}^{m+q+1} has a \mathbb{G}_r -structure. Also, $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{I} \cup \mathbb{N} = \mathbb{N}^r$ is a submanifold whose normal bundle has group \mathbb{H}_q .

Set $V \times 0 = \partial_{-}W$. Then (W, N') is a (G_r, H_q) cobordism, and $N' \cap \partial_{+}W = \phi$. This completes the proof of the lemma. <u>Corollary 3.2.1</u> The cobordism group of pairs (V^{m+q}, M^m) , where V<u>has a weak G-structure and the normal bundle an H_q-structure (H_q compact)</u> <u>is isomorphic to $\Omega_{m+q}^{G} \oplus \Omega_{m-q}^{G \times H_q}$.</u> <u>Proof</u> We have defined a map to the direct sum, and proved it a monomorphism; it clearly respects additive structure. The map to $\Omega_m^{G \times H_q}$ is onto, for given a $(G \times H_q)$ -manifold M^m , we construct as above a bundle

over M with fibre D^q , and can take V as the double of this manifold. Finally, the image contains $\Omega_{II+q}^{G} \oplus 0$: we need only consider pairs with M empty.

We observed in Chapter 2 that the collection of the above cobordism groups (with m varying) was an Ω^{G}_{*} module if G satisfied all the axioms. The module structure clearly respects the direct sum splitting: thus $\Omega^{G\times H_{q}}_{*}$ is an Ω^{G}_{*} -module - as is indeed clear directly. Note that if G is a stable group [satisfying (S)], then so is $G \times H_{a}$.

Next, consider bordism: we denote the cobordism groups of manifolds \mathbb{M}^{m} with weak G-structure and a map $\mathbb{M} \to \mathbb{X}$ by $\Omega_{*}^{G}(\mathbb{X})$: thus $\Omega_{*}^{G} \cong \Omega_{*}^{G}$ (point). If \mathbb{M} has a boundary, (\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}) is a pair, and we have a map $(\mathbb{M}, \partial\mathbb{M}) \to (\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$, we obtain a group $\Omega_{*}^{G}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$. It is also possible to use a group other than \mathbb{G} (but mapping into G) for structure group of $\tau_{\partial \mathbb{M}}$: this extension appears less interesting. If \mathbb{X} has a base-point *, the natural maps $* \to \mathbb{X} \to *$ induce $\Omega_{*}^{G} \to \Omega_{*}^{G}(\mathbb{X}) \to \Omega_{*}^{G}$ which split $\Omega_{*}^{G}(\mathbb{X})$ as a direct sum $\Omega_{*}^{G} \oplus \Omega_{*}^{G}(\mathbb{X})$. We will consider bordism in more detail in Chapter 5.

For equivariant bordism, we let H be a compact group of operators, and A a family of subgroups of H; G will continue to denote a stable group. Then the cobordism group of manifolds with G-structure and an action of H such that each isotropy group belongs to A will be denoted by I_{\star}^{G} (H; A). Note here that H must act on the G-structure. Since every isotropy group is necessarily closed, and since if a given subgroup of H occurs as isotropy group, then so do all its conjugates, we may always suppose that A is a union of conjugacy classes of closed subgroups. Equivariant cobordism will be studied in Chapter 7.

As to connected cobordism, we observe that already in Leuma 2.2. we proved that disjoint union was cobordant to connected sum, so that in dimensions ≥ 1 , the connected cobordism group maps onto the usual one. The map is in fact bijective, since if W is a cobordism to ϕ of a connected manifold ∂_W , then so is the component W' of W which contains ∂_W . There are analogous results for k-connected cobordism, but we postpone these until the section on surgery (Part VI).

By Lemma 2.2, h-cobordism classes of homotopy n-spheres form a group: we denote it by Θ_n . Consider pairs (T^{n+q}, T^n) , with a diffeomorphism $T^{n+q} \to S^{n+q}$ and a homotopy equivalence $T^n \to S^n$: we obtain another group Θ_n^q . If we frame the normal bundle also, we have a group FO_n^q . If we replace the homotopy equivalence $\operatorname{T}^n \to \operatorname{S}^n$ by a diffeomorphism (I-cobordism of pairs), we get a group C_n^q : if we also have a framing, we obtain FC_n^q . If it is replaced by a smooth triangulation by a (linearly subdivided) simplex boundary, we get groups Γ_n , Γ_n^q , Fr_n and Fr_n^q .

Further groups are obtained by making strong restrictions on the boundary. For example, call a manifold M^{m} <u>almost-closed</u> if a homotopy equivalence $h_{\partial M} : \partial M \to S^{m-1}$ is given. The corresponding kind of cobordism is that in which $\partial_{\sigma} W$ is an h-cobordism. We write P_{m} for the cobordism groups of framed, almost-closed m-manifolds; P_{m}^{q} for the group of pairs (S^{m+q-1}, M^{m}) , with framed normal bundle and ∂M almost-closed, and DP_{m}^{q} for the group of pairs (D^{m+q}, M^{m}) with the same restrictions (here, M^{m} is a submanifold of D^{m+q} but for P_{m}^{q} , M^{m} was a submanifold with boundary of S^{m+q-1}), Chapter Scrass.to.have given exact sequences which relate these groups of structures on spheres, but again we postpone fuller discussion until Part VI.

To illustrate the generality of the definitions in Chapter 1, we point out that the ordinary homotopy groups appear as a special case of cobordism groups: more precisely, $\pi_n(X)$ is the group of I-bordism classes of maps $S^n \to X$: our definition of the equivalence relation, and of addition, coincides with one of the traditional definitions.

We give no examples of cobordism with a homotopy class: no research seems to have been done in this direction.

Chapter 4

Thom theory

Let ξ be a vector bundle with total space E_{ξ} and base B_{ξ} . If we assume at least that ξ is numerable (i.e. that there is a partition of unity subordinate to an open covering over each set of which ξ is trivial), then the structure group of ξ can be reduced, essentially uniquely, to the orthogonal group. We then define the <u>Thom space</u> of ξ , denoted by T_{ξ} , by taking the subspace A_{ξ} of E_{ξ} of all vectors of length ≤ 1 , and identifying to a point (denoted ∞) the set A_{ξ} of vectors of length 1. We note that if B_{ξ} is a C.W. complex, use is T_{ξ} ; if B_{ξ} is a smooth manifold, we can give ξ the structure of smooth vector bundle, and E_{ξ} and $T_{\xi} - \{\infty\}$ then also acquire the structure of smooth manifolds. If B_{ξ} is compact, we can give an alternative description of T_{ξ} as the one-point compactification of E_{ξ} : the equivalence of this with the above follows from the observation that E_{ξ} is homeomorphic to the subbundle of vectors of length < 1.

Now let M^{m} be a submanifold of the compact manifold (perhaps with boundary) V^{m+q} , ξ the normal bundle. Then we can find an imbedding $h: A_{\xi} \to V$ defining a tubular neighbourhood of M in V (I, Theorem 3.5). If we now take V, and shrink to a point the complement of Int $h(A_{\xi})$, we obtain a space, and h defines a homeomorphism of T_{ξ} onto that space: thus we have an induced map $V \to T_{\xi}$. This is a preliminary version of the Thom construction.

Next, let B(G) be a classifying space for G, where G is a topological group of orthogonal operators on \mathbb{R}^{q} , let $\omega(G)$: $E(G) \rightarrow B(G)$ be the universal bundle with fibre \mathbb{R}^{q} , having subbundles A(G) with fibre D^{q} and $\dot{A}(G)$ with fibre S^{q-1} . We denote the Thom space by T(G). In the sequel, we wish to be able to consider B(G) as a smooth manifold, hence must weaken the requirement to being N-classifying, for some large enough integer N. Thus we can first replace the original B(G) (given - say - by Milnor's construction) by the (N + 1)-skeleton of its singular complex; next provided the homotopy groups of E(G) (or equivalently of G) are countable, by a countable (N + 1)-dimensional simplicial complex; then by a locally finite one, and finally imbed this last properly in Euclidean (2N + 3)-space and take an open neighbourhood of which it is a deformation retract. More simply, if G is a compact Lie group (the only case of importance in the sequel),

we use the orbit space under the diagonal action of G on the join of (n + 1) copies of itself.

Finally, given a pair (V^{m+q}, M^m) of compact manifolds, and a reduction to G of the group of the normal bundle ξ , we can find a bundle map $\xi \to \omega(G)$, which induces a map $(A_{\xi}, \dot{A}_{\xi}) \to (A(G), \dot{A}(G))$ and hence $T_{\xi} \to T(G)$. We say that the composite map $V^{m+q} \to T_{\xi} \to T(G)$ is obtained by the Thom construction.

The first significant result in cobordism theory is that the construction can, in a sense, be reversed.

Theorem 4.1 Let G have countable homotopy groups. Then the Thom construction induces a bijective map of the set of cobordism classes of pairs (V^{m+q}, M^{n}) , with V fixed and G as structure group of the normal bundle, onto the set of homotopy classes [V: T(G)]. If V is a sphere, the map is a group isomorphism.

<u>Proof</u> We must first show that the map is well-defined. Let $(V \times I, N^{m+1})$ be a cobordism of the appropriate kind, and suppose the construction already performed on the pairs $(V \times 0, \partial_{-}N)$ and $(V \times 1, \partial_{+}N)$. It follows easily from the tubular neighbourhood theorem (I, 5.4) that the chosen tubular neighbourhoods of $\partial_{-}N$ and $\partial_{+}N$ can be extended to one of N in $V \times I$, from which we can construct a map $V \times I \rightarrow T_{\nu}$ (ν the normal bundle of N in $V \times I$) extending the given maps on $V \times 0$ and $V \times I$. Again, by the homotopy extension theorem, we can find a bundle map $\nu \rightarrow \omega(G)$ extending the chosen maps over ∂N . The composite $V \times I \rightarrow T_{\nu} \rightarrow T(G)$ is now a homotopy between the given maps $V \rightarrow T(G)$. Hence we have a well-defined mapping of the cobordism set into the homotopy set.

We next prove the map onto. Since G has countable homotopy groups, we can suppose B(G) a smooth manifold, and hence also $T(G) - \{\infty\}$. We identify B(G) with the zero cross-section in E(G), and hence with a smooth submanifold, closed in T(G). Observe that when we perform the Thom construction on (V^{m+q}, M^m) to obtain a map $f: V \to T(G)$, we have $f^{-1}(B(G)) = M^m$, since the construction is induced from a bundle map $A_{\xi} \to A(G)$. Now, conversely, suppose given a map $f: V \to T(G)$. By (II, 4.2.1), we can approximate f by $g: V \to T(G)$, transverse to the submanifold B(G): the fact that ∞ is a singular point of T(G) is irrelevant, since we can take g = f in a neighbourhood of $f^{-1}(\infty)$, by (II, 4.3). If the approximation is close enough, $g \simeq f$.

Since g is transverse, by (II, 4.0), $M^{m} = g^{-1}(B(G))$ is a submanifold of V^{m+q} . Also, by the definition of transversality, g induces a bundle map of the normal bundle ξ to M in V to the normal bundle of B(G) in T(G) which, by definition, is none other than $\omega(G)$. Thus the pair (V^{m+q}, M^{m}) defines a cohordism class of the right kind. Finally, we show that this cobordism class maps to the homotopy class of g. We have already said that g induces a bundle map $\xi \to \omega(G)$: if we use this map in the Thom construction, then the resulting $h: V^{m+q} \to T(G)$ agrees with g, together with its derivatives, on M^{m} . After a small homotopy, then, we can suppose g = h on a neighbourhood of M. But the complement of such a neighbourhood is mapped, both by g and by h, to T(G) - B(G), which is contractible. It follows that $h \simeq g$, as asserted.

We must now prove that the map is injective. But this follows by almost exactly the same arguments. Suppose given $M_{O} \subset V \times 0$, $M_{I} \subset V \times 1$ giving rise by the Thom construction to maps f_{O} , f_{I} : $V \rightarrow T(G)$, and a homotopy $F: V \times I \rightarrow T(G)$ between f_{O} and f_{I} . By (II, 5.1), we can replace F by a homotopy F' of f_{O} to f_{I} , which is transverse to B(G). Let $N = {F'}^{-1}(B(G))$. Then N is a submanifold of $V \times I$, and provides a cobordism of M_{O} to M_{I} . Also, the normal bundle of N is induced from 4G, and so admits structure group G. Finally, this reduction to G induces the given reductions of the normal bundles of M_{O} , M_{I} (since F' extends f_{O} and f_{I}).

If V is a sphere S^{m+q} , (2.2) shows that we can use connected sum to define addition: we need not connect the submanifolds M as well, since we have not supposed them connected. Thus we use discs disjoint from the neighbourhood of M to define addition: these discs are mapped to ∞ by the Thom construction. If we then remove discs, and glue two spheres together, we obtain the usual sum of homotopy classes.

This completes the proof of the theorem. Although the result is already extremely useful, we will go on to some important generalisations. However, these contain little extra in concept beyond the original result. The concept may perhaps best be stated in terms of cobordism itself (we have already observed that homotopy is a special case of cobordism): it is that the extra structure defined by a submanifold whose normal bundle has group G is equivalent to the extra structure consisting of a map to T(G) (at least, for cobordism theory).
$\Omega_{n}^{\mathsf{G}} \cong \lim_{N \to \infty} \pi_{n+N} \left(\mathbb{T}(\mathsf{G}_{N}) \right).$

<u>Proof</u> By definition, persession of a G-structure is equivalent to having a normal G_N -structure in S^{N+n} for some N. If we fix N, then (by the theorem) we obtain the group $\pi_{n+N}(T(G_N))$. We claim that the desired group is the direct limit of these under the obvious injection maps: this again is essentially by definition.

If G satisfies (S), then it is easily seen that $\pi_{n+N}(T(G_N))$ is independent of N for N large enough (we leave to the reader as an exercise to ascertain the precise value), so no limiting process is necessary.

A case of particular simplicity is $G = \{1\}$: each G_N consists only of the unit element. For each bundle occurring, then, an isomorphism with a trivial bundle is specified. Such an isomorphism we call a <u>framing</u> (it amounts to specifying a basis for each fibre \mathbb{R}^N), and we call the bundle <u>framed</u>. In this case, we take a point for $B(G_N)$; then $T(G_N) = S^N$, and <u>Corollary 4.1.2</u> We have $\Omega_n^{\{1\}} \cong \lim_{N \to \infty} \pi_{n+N}(S^N)$; <u>i.e.</u>, framed cobordism <u>Groups are isomorphic to stable homotopy groups of spheres</u>.

This (due to Pontrjagin) was the first theorem in the subject.

We next discuss multiplicative structure. Let G, H be groups of orthogonal operators on \mathbb{R}^{q} , \mathbb{IR}^{r} . Then B(G) × B(H) is a classifying space for G × H, and $u(G) \times u(H)$ is a universal bundle. As to the Thom space (and this is a general remark for product bundles), the identifications to be made to A(G × H), which is homeomorphic to A(G) × A(H), to obtain T(G × H), include strictly those necessary to form T(G) × T(H): in fact, in this further space, we must identify T(G) × ∞ U ∞ × T(H) to a point. If we use ∞ as base point in T(G), this gives the "smash product", so we have

$$T(G \times H) = T(G) \wedge T(H).$$

However, we only need the existence of a map $T(G) \times T(H) \rightarrow T(G \times H)$ in order to define an external product

 $[V: T(G)] \times [W: T(H)] \rightarrow [V \times W: T(G) \times T(H)];$

the induced map to $[V \land W : T(G) \land T(E)]$ is useful only in the case when V and W are spheres. This case provides

Corollary 4.1.2. Suppose that G satisfies (M), then products in Ω^{G}_{*}

 $\mathbb{T}(\mathbb{G}_{M}) \land \mathbb{T}(\mathbb{G}_{N}) \to \mathbb{T}(\mathbb{G}_{M+N}).$

We now observe that these results can all be generalised to bordism groups.

Theorem 4.2 If G is a stable group with countable homotopy groups, the Thom construction induces isomorphisms

$$\Omega_{M}^{G}(X) \cong \lim_{N \to \infty} \pi_{M+N} (T(G_{N}) \times X / \infty \times X)$$

Precisely as in Theorem 4.1, we see that this construction defines a map $\Omega^{G}_{m}(X) \rightarrow \pi_{m+N}(\mathbb{T}(G_{N}) \times X \not \infty \times X).$ To check that the map is surjective, we start with f : S^{m+N} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}(G_{N}) \times X \not \infty \times X,

and let K be the inverse image of $\infty \times X$. Then f defines a map of $S^{m+N} - K$ to $(T(G_N) - \{\infty\}) \times X$. We alter the first component on a compact subset of $S^{m+N} - K$ by a small homotopy, to make it transverse to $B(G_N)$. This defines also a homotopy of f, say to f'. New set $M^m = f^{(-1)}(B(G_N) \times X)$; then f' induces a map $M^m \to X$, and as before the normal bundle of M^m has group reduced to G_N . It follows, as before, that the bordism class defined by M maps to the homotopy class of f. Again, injectivity follows by a similar but simpler argument, and the proof that the bijection preserves groups structure is the same as before.

Let us write X^{O} for the disjoint union of X and a point *, which we take as base point. Then

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{T}(\mathbb{G}_{\mathbb{N}}) \wedge \mathbb{X}^{\mathbb{O}} &= \mathbb{T}(\mathbb{G}_{\mathbb{N}}) \times \mathbb{X} \quad \cup \quad \mathbb{T}(\mathbb{G}_{\mathbb{N}}) \times * / \mathbb{T}(\mathbb{G}_{\mathbb{N}}) \times * \quad \cup \quad \infty \times \mathbb{X} \\ &= \mathbb{T}(\mathbb{G}_{\mathbb{N}}) \times \mathbb{X} / \infty \times \mathbb{X}. \end{split}$$

Thus the above result oan be written more compactly as an isomorphism

$$\Omega_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{G}}(\mathrm{X}) \cong \pi_{\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{N}} (\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{N}}) \wedge \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{O}}).$$

Note that $X^{\circ} \wedge Y^{\circ} = (X \times Y)^{\circ}$. We now see, as in 4.1.2, that Corollary 4.2.1 Under the above isomorphism, external products

$$\Omega_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathsf{G}}(\mathtt{X}) \times \Omega_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathsf{G}}(\mathtt{Y}) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{m+n}}^{\mathsf{G}}(\mathtt{X} \times \mathtt{Y})$$

correspond to the homotopy pairings induced by

$$\mathbb{T}(\mathsf{G}_{M}) \wedge X^{\mathsf{O}} \wedge \mathbb{T}(\mathsf{G}_{N}) \wedge Y^{\mathsf{O}} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}(\mathsf{G}_{M+N}) \wedge (X \times Y)^{\mathsf{O}}.$$

A similar argument to that of Theorem 4.2, but replacing S^{M+N} by a diso D^{M+N} , shows

Lemma 4.3 With the assumptions of (4.2), have isomorphisms

$$\Omega_{\rm m}^{\rm G}({\rm X}, {\rm Y}) \cong \pi_{\rm m+N} ({\rm T}({\rm G}_{\rm N}) \wedge {\rm X}^{\rm O}, {\rm T}({\rm G}_{\rm N}) \wedge {\rm Y}^{\rm O})$$
 for N large.

Chapter 5 Bordism as a homology theory.

We shall suppose throughout this chapter that G is a stable group. Then the inclusions $i_n : G_n \to G_{n+1}$ induce bundle maps $\omega(G_n) \oplus 1 \to \omega(G_{n+1})$, and hence maps of Thom spaces. Recalling that the Thom space of a Cartesian product is the smash product of the Thom spaces, we have

 $T_{\omega}(G_n) \oplus I_1 = T_{\omega}(G_n) \wedge S^1 = ST(G_n)$, the suspension of $T(G_n)$. Thus we have maps $ST(G_n) \xrightarrow{n} T(G_{n+1})$. The sequence $\{T(G_n), i'_n\}$ is a spectrum: we will denote it by $\mathbf{T}(G)$. If G satisfies (M) and (A), the products $\psi_{m,n} : G_m \times G_n \to G_{m+n}$ similarly induce maps $\psi'_{m,n} : T(G_m) \wedge T(G_n) \to T(G_{m+n})$, and these associate up to homotopy. This provides $\mathbf{T}(G)$ with the structure of a ring spectrum.

Now any spectrum $\mathbb{A} = \{A_n, i_n\}$ gives rise to a homology theory on defining

$$H_{n}(X; \mathbb{A}) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \pi_{n+N} (A_{n} \wedge X^{O})$$
$$H_{n}(X, Y; \mathbb{A}) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \pi_{n+N} (A_{n} \wedge X^{O}, A_{n} \wedge Y^{O})$$
$$= \lim_{N \to \infty} \pi_{n+N} (A_{n} \wedge X, A_{n} \wedge Y),$$

F

and clearly if \mathbf{A} is a ring spectrum we obtain associative external products. Hence the results of Chapter 4 can be summarised by <u>Theorem 5.1</u> The Thom construction induces a natural equivalence between the functor $\Omega_{\mathbf{c}}^{\mathbf{G}}$ and homology theory with coefficients in the spectrum $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{G})$; this respects products in the multiplicative case.

It follows from this that Ω_{\star}^{G} defines a homology theory; however, we prefer to present also a direct proof of this fact.

Theorem 5.2 The groups $\Omega^{G}_{4}(X)$, $\Omega^{G}_{4}(X, Y)$ satisfy the axioms for a homology theory.

<u>Proof</u> We must first define the boundary homomorphism. If $f : (M, \partial M) \to (X, Y)$ gives a bordism class of (X, Y), then $f | \partial M$ gives a bordism class of Y. If $F : (W, \partial_{c}W) \to (X, Y)$ is a cobordism, then $F | \partial_{c}W$ is a cobordism between the boundary maps of $F | \partial_{T}W$ and $F | \partial_{+}W$: thus restriction induces a map $\partial_{m} : \Omega_{m}^{G}(X, Y) \to \Omega_{m-1}^{G}(Y)$ which is compatible with disjoint union and hence a homomorphism.

Also, we have not yet made explicit the functorial dependence of $\Omega_m^G(X)$ on X. If $f: M \to X$ represents a class, and $\phi: X \to Y$ is a map, then $\phi \circ f : M \to Y$ determines a bordism class of Y. Again, it is clear that this construction defines a homomorphism $\phi_* : \Omega_m^G(X) \to \Omega_m^G(Y)$. We can proceed similarly for pairs.

The first two axioms (that $\Omega_{\rm m}^{\rm G}$ is a funtor), and the third (that $\partial_{\rm m}$ is a natural transformation) are trivial. The fifth axiom states that $\phi_{\rm O} \simeq \phi_{\rm l} : X \rightarrow Y$ implies $\dot{\psi}_{O*} = \phi_{\rm l*}$. Indeed, if $f : M \rightarrow X$ represents an element of $\Omega_{\rm m}^{\rm G}(X)$, and $\Phi : X \times I \rightarrow Y$ is the given homotopy, then $\Phi_{\rm O}(F \times l_{\rm T})$ provides the required cobordism.

The fourth axiom states that if $i: Y \to X$ and $j: (X, \phi) \to (X, Y)$ are inclusions, the sequence

 $\dots \Omega_{m}^{G}(Y) \xrightarrow{i_{*}} \Omega_{m}^{G}(X) \xrightarrow{j_{*}} \Omega_{m}^{G}(X, Y) \xrightarrow{\partial} \Omega_{m-1}^{G}(Y) \dots$

is exact: we next verify this. It is our first illustration of (2.3). Exactness at $\Omega^{\mathbb{G}}_{m}(Y)$ is formal: a cobordism to the zero class in X can be identified with a representative of a class in $\Omega^{\mathbb{G}}_{m}(X, Y)$, and vice-versa. Since ∂j_* takes a representative g : $M \rightarrow Y$ to the empty class, it is zero; conversely, if the class of $f: (M, \partial M) \to (X, Y)$ is annihilated by ∂ , there is a G-manifold N with boundary ∂M such that $f \mid \partial M$ extends to a map $e : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Y}$. Form M' by glueing N to M along $\partial \mathbb{N}$; then e and f define f': $M \to X$, representing a class in $\Omega_m^{\mathsf{G}}(X)$. We say that the image of this under j. is the class of (M, f). Indeed, f' \times l_I : X' \times I \rightarrow X provides the required cobordism, if we introduce a corner along $\partial M \times 0$, and agree that $\partial_{(M' \times I)} = M \times 0$, $\partial_{(N \times I)} = N \times 0$ and $\partial_{(M' \times I)} = M' \times 1$. Similarly, if $g\,:\,\mathbb{M}\to Y$ determines a class in $\Omega^{\mathbb{G}}_m(Y),$ we can regard $g\,\times\,l_{_{T}}$ as a cobordism of jig to zero in $\Omega_m^{\mathbb{G}}(X, Y)$. Finally, given an element of Ker j* and a cobordism W of the j*-image of a representative to zero, we have $\partial_{\downarrow} \mathbb{W} = \phi$, $\Lambda_{\underline{N}} = \phi$, and $f : (\mathbb{W}, \partial_{\underline{N}} \mathbb{W}) \to (\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$. But we now reinterpret $\mathbb{W}' = \mathbb{W}$ but with $\partial_{-}\mathbb{W}' = \partial_{-}\mathbb{W}$, $\partial_{+}\mathbb{W}' = \partial_{c}\mathbb{W}$: then \mathbb{W}' is a cobordism of the given representative of Ker j* to f : $\partial_+ \mathbb{W}' \to \mathbb{Y}$, which is clearly in the image of i.

We must now check the excision axiom: that if $U \subset X$ has its closure in the interior of Y, then inclusion induces an isomorphism $\Omega^{G}_{*}(X - U, Y - U) \cong \Omega^{G}_{*}(X, Y)$. To prove surjectivity, we let $f : (M, \partial M) \to (X, Y)$ represent an element of $\Omega^{G}_{m}(X, Y)$. It is convenient first to alter f (if necessary) by a homotopy on a collar neighbourhood of ∂M so that some smaller neighbourhood is mapped into Y. Then $A = f^{-1}(X - Y)$ and $B = \partial M \cup f^{-1}(U)$ have disjoint closures, so we can find $s : M \to I$ with s(A) = 0 and s(B) = 1: in fact, since M is a compact metric space by (I, p.1.1), we can set $s(P) = \rho(P, A) / \rho(P, A) + \rho(P, B)$. We approximate s by a smooth map (as in 0, 2.1.1) and make it transverse to $\frac{1}{2}$ by (II, 5.1). Let $N = s^{-1}[0, \frac{1}{2}]$:

then N is a smooth submanifold of M, and f N determines an element of $\Omega_m^G(X - U, Y - U)$. But N and M determine the same class in $\Omega_m^G(X, Y)$: for a cobordism W, we use $f \times l_{\tau} : M \times I \to X$ with a corner introduced at $\partial N \times O$ and the corner at $\partial M \times O$ rounded (I, 6.5 and I, 6.3) since $(M - N) \subset s^{-1}[\frac{1}{2}, 1]$, it is disjoint from A, and $f(M - N) \subset Y$, so we can safely adjoin $(M - N) \times 0$ to $\partial_{\alpha} W$.



The proof of injectivity is similar. If $f: (W, \partial_{\mathcal{A}} W) \rightarrow (X, Y)$ is a cobordism of $f | \partial_W : (\partial_W, \Lambda_W) \rightarrow (X - U, Y - U)$ to $\partial_W = \phi$, we first adjust f so that $A = f^{-1}(X - Y)$ and $B = \partial_{Q} W \cup f^{-1}(U)$ have disjoint closures. Next choose a smooth s : (\mathbb{V} , \mathbb{A} , \mathbb{B}) \rightarrow (I, 0, 1), transverse to $\frac{1}{2}$, and set $V = s^{-1}[0, \frac{1}{2}]$. Then V is a cobordism of ∂_V to zero in $\Omega_m^G(X - U, Y - U)$: a cobordism of $\partial_v V$ to $\partial_v W$ is obtained exactly as above. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Various standard properties of homology now follow.

Corollary 5.2.1 If (X, Y) is a C.V. pair, or more generally if it has the homotopy extension property, $\Omega^{G}(X, Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega^{G}(X'_{Y}, pt) \cong \widetilde{\Omega}^{G}(X'_{Y})$. For X/Y then has the homotopy type of X with a cone on Y attached; by excision, this modulo the cone has the same groups as X modulo Y. If X is the cone on Y, $\widetilde{\Omega}_{x}^{\mathbb{G}}(X) = 0$, and Corollary 5.2.2 $\underline{\partial : \Omega_{m}^{G}(X, Y) \twoheadrightarrow \widetilde{\Omega}_{m-1}^{G}(Y)}.$

The first assertion follows from the homotopy axiom, the second from the exact sequence.

Corollary 5.2.3 If
$$X \supset Y \supset Z$$
 is a triple, we have an exact sequence
 $\dots \rightarrow \Omega_m^G(Y, Z) \rightarrow \Omega_m^G(X, Z) \rightarrow \Omega_m^G(X, Y) \rightarrow \Omega_{m-1}^G(Y, Z) \rightarrow \dots$

The proof is a standard exercise in diagram chasing. $\widetilde{\Omega}_{m}^{G}(S^{P}) \cong \Omega_{m-p}^{G}.$

Follows by induction from the preceding two.

Corollary 5.2.4

Let $X = Y_1 \cup U_2$, $Z = Y_1 \cap Y_2$. We call $(X; Y_1, Y_2)$ a proper Definition triad if inclusion induces isomorphisms

$$\Omega^{G}_{*}(Y_{i}, Z) \cong \Omega^{G}_{*}(X, Y_{i-i}).$$

(By Corollary 5.2.1, this holds if all pairs (X, Y_i) and (Y_i , Z) have the homotopy extension property.)

Corollary 5.2.5 If $(X; Y_1, Y_2)$ is a proper triad, we have exact sequences

$$\cdots \Omega_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{G}}(Z) \to \Omega_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{G}}(\mathbb{X}_{1}) \oplus \Omega_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{G}}(\mathbb{Y}_{2}) \to \Omega_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{G}}(\mathbb{X}) \to \Omega_{\mathrm{m-l}}^{\mathrm{G}}(Z) \cdots$$

$$\cdots \Omega_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{G}}(Z) \to \Omega_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{G}}(\mathbb{X}) \to \Omega_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{G}}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}_{1}) \oplus \Omega_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{G}}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}_{2}) \to \Omega_{\mathrm{m-l}}^{\mathrm{G}}(Z) \cdots$$

These follow by another standard argument (the same for both).

$$\begin{array}{c} \underline{\text{Corollary 5.2.6}} & \underline{\Omega^{G}_{*}(X \cup Y) \cong \Omega^{G}_{*}(X) \oplus \Omega^{G}_{*}(Y)} & \underline{\text{for disjoint union.}} \\ \\ \underline{\widetilde{\Omega^{G}_{*}(X \vee Y)} \cong \widetilde{\Omega^{G}_{*}(X) \oplus \widetilde{\Omega}^{G}_{*}(Y)} & \underline{\text{if } (X \vee Y; X, Y) \text{ is proper}} \end{array}$$

Apply the previous corollary. If $Z = \phi$, we certainly have a proper triad. <u>Corollary 5.2.7</u> If (X, Y) is a C.W. pair,

$$\Omega_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{G}}(\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{p}} \cup \mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{p-1}} \cup \mathrm{Y}) \cong \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}; \Omega_{\mathrm{m-p}}^{\mathrm{G}})$$

By (5.2.1), $\Omega_m^G(X^p \cup Y, X^{p-1} \cup Y) \approx \Omega_m^G(X^p/X^{p-1} \cup (X^p \cap Y))$. But $X^p/X^{p-1} \cup (X^p \cap Y)$ is a wedge of p-spheres; now apply (5.2.4) and (5.2.6).

These corollaries all illustrate how we can begin to calculate the groups $\Omega_m^G(X, Y)$ in terms of the Ω_m^G (the calculation of these is postponed to Part VB). After (5.2.7), we can formalise this process as a spectral sequence.

Theorem 5.3 Let (X, Y) be a C.W. pair. Then there is a first quadrant Ω_{4}^{G} -module spectral sequence, converging strongly to $\Omega_{4}^{G}(X, Y)$, which starts with $E_{pq}^{2} = H_{p}(X, Y; \Omega_{q}^{G})$.

<u>Proof</u> If r < q < p we have, by (5.2.3), the exact bordism sequence of the triple $(X^{P} \cup Y, X^{q} \cup Y, X^{r} \cup Y)$: all the maps are induced by inclusions and boundary homomorphisms, so all expected diagrams commute. But such a collection of exact sequences always defines a spectral sequence. We write $X^{\infty} = X$, $X^{-\infty} = \phi$: then the end term is certainly $\Omega^{G}_{*}(X, Y)$. The module structure is induced by natural products $\Omega^{G}_{m} \times \Omega^{G}_{n} (X^{P} \cup Y, X^{q} \cup Y) \to \Omega^{G}_{m+n} (X^{P} \cup Y, X^{q} \cup Y)$: if \mathbb{M}^{m} is a closed manifold, and $f : (N, \partial N) \to (X^{P} \cup Y, X^{q} \cup Y)$, then we use the manifold $\mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{N}$ (with induced G-structure) and the map induced by first projecting on N.

The E term is simply

 $\mathbb{E}_{pq}^{l} = \Omega_{p+q}^{G}(X^{p} \cup Y, X^{p-l} \cup Y) \cong C_{p}(X, Y; \Omega_{q}^{G}) \text{ by (5.2.7)}.$

The boundary d¹ is induced by taking the boundary of a manifold: we should

next verify that this coincides with the usual boundary in the chain complex of (X, Y), as it then follows that $E_{pq}^2 = H_p(X, Y; \Omega_q^G)$ and hence that we have a first quadrant spectral sequence (evidently $\Omega_q^G = 0$ for q < 0). We omit the verification, which is a standard argument in homotopy theory.

As to convergence, we note that

$$\Omega_{n}^{G}(X^{-\infty} \cup Y) = \Omega_{n}^{G}(X^{p} \cup Y) \text{ for all } p < 0$$

$$\Omega_{n}^{G}(X^{p} \cup Y) = \Omega_{n}^{G}(X^{\infty} \cup Y) \text{ for all } p > n,$$

the first since $X^{-1} = \phi = X^{\infty}$ and the second since (by the cellular approximation theorem) any map of an n-manifold into X is homotopic to a map into X^{n} . These two isomorphisms imply strong convergence of the sequence.

We shall defer explicit calculations till Part VB. However, one useful retinterpretation may be noted here, which reduces yet further the problem of computing cobordism groups of pairs. Let G be as above, and H_q a topological group of orthogonal operators on \mathbb{R}^q . Then Lemma 3.2 produces the remark that setting $(G \times H_q)_n = G_{n-q} \times H_q$ defines a stable group $G \times H_q$, which satisfies (S) if G does. Lemma 5.4 We have $\Omega_n^{G \times H_q} = \Omega_{n+q}^G (T(H_q))$, and more generally

$$\underline{\Omega}_{n--}^{G \times H_{q}}(X) \cong \underline{\Omega}_{n+q}^{G}(\underline{T}(H_{q}) \wedge X^{O}).$$

Proof By Theorem 4.2, we have

$$\begin{split} \Omega_{n}^{G \times H_{q}}(X) &= \lim_{N \to \infty} \pi_{n+N}(\mathbb{T}(G \times H_{q})_{N} \nearrow X^{O}) \\ &= \lim_{N \to \infty} \pi_{n+N}(\mathbb{T}(G_{N-q} \times H_{q}) \wedge X^{O}) \\ &= \lim_{N \to \infty} \pi_{n+N}(\mathbb{T}(G_{N-q}) \wedge \mathbb{T}(H_{q}) \wedge X^{O}) \\ &= \Omega_{n+q}^{G} (\mathbb{T}(H_{q}) \wedge X^{O}). \end{split}$$

We have developed so far only the homology theory associated with the spectrum $\mathfrak{T}(G)$ and so with the stable group G. There is also an associated cohomology theory, defined by

$$\Omega_{G}^{n}(X) = H^{n}(X; T(G)) = \lim_{N \to \infty} [S^{N}X : T(G_{N+n})].$$

Since we are not particularly concerned with general theory here, we only mention the geometric content of the above definition. This arises again by Theorem 4.2; this time we note that $S^{N}X$ is not a manifold, even if X is, but (if we take

the reduced suspension) has only one 'bad pcint', whose complement is $\mathbb{R}^{N} \times X$. As we will always map the bad point to ∞ , this does not matter. Then by (4.2), $[S^{N}X : T(G_{N+n})]$ corresponds bijectively to cobordism classes of submanifolds of $\mathbb{R}^{N} \times X$ whose normal bundles have group reduced to G_{N+n} . Theorem 5.5 Let G satisfy (N), (A) and (S). Let M^{m} have a weak G-structure. Then $\Omega_{G}^{n}(M) \cong \Omega_{m-n}^{G}(M, \partial M)$.

<u>Proof</u> In this case, $\mathbb{R}^{N} \times M^{m}$ also has a weak G-structure. By Lemma 3.1, a G_{N+n} -structure on the normal bundle of V^{m-n} in $\mathbb{R}^{N} \times M^{m}$ then induces a weak G-structure on the tangent bundle of V, and conversely if G is large enough. Combining this with the remark preceding the lemma, we have a bijective correspondence between $\Omega_{G}^{n}(M)$ and cobordism classes of manifolds V^{m-n} with weak G-structure and an imbedding in $\mathbb{R}^{N} \times M^{n}$, for large enough N. But if N is large, any map to $\mathbb{R}^{N} \times M^{m}$ is homotopic to an imbedding, and homotopic imbeddings are cobordant, by (II, 5.3). Hence specifying an imbedding in $\mathbb{R}^{N} \times M^{m}$ is equivalent to specifying a map to $\mathbb{R}^{N} \times M^{m}$ - or again, a map to M^{m} : it remains only to note that if M has boundary, ∂V is imbedded in $\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \partial M$, so we must insist that it be mapped to ∂M .

Chapter 6 The classical exact sequences.

The sequences to which the title of this chapter refers were originally devised to relate Ω_{\star}^{O} and Ω_{\star}^{SO} , as a means of calculating the latter. A more abstract proof was found by Atiyah (who invented bordism theory for the purpose), and we present a generalisation of an improvement due to Conner and Floyd, who considered the case of Ω_{\star}^{U} and Ω_{\star}^{SU} . We will then give the geometrical proofs too.

Let G be a stable group, defined by a sequence

$$\cdots G_{n-1} \xrightarrow{i_{n-1}} G_n \xrightarrow{i_n} G_{n+1} \cdots,$$

where G_n operates on \mathbb{R}^n . Let $SG_n \subset G_n$ be a sequence of normal subgroups, with $i_n(SG_n) \subset SG_{n+1}$, and such that i_n induces isomorphisms of $\int_{-\infty}^{G_n} /SG_n$. This last condition could perhaps be weakened to requiring that each homotopy group $\pi_r(G_n/SG_n)$ becomes independent of n, for large n. We will denote by Z the quotient group $\lim_{n \to \infty} G_n/SG_n = G/SG$, say.

We will also suppose that G satisfies (M), and that the subgroups $3G_n$ are stable under the product maps ψ .

The examples we have particularly in mind are when $Z = 0_1 (\cong \mathbb{F}_2)$ and G = 0 or Pin, SG = SO resp. Spin or when $Z = U_1 (\cong S^1)$ and G = U or Spin^c, SG = SU resp. Spin. The following is also a useful construction. Let H be any topological group. Then we can replace G by $G \times H$ and SG by SG $\times H$, where $G_n \times H$ operates on \mathbb{R}^n via its projection on G_n . Note that $B(G_n \times H) = B(G_n) \times B(H)$, $T(G_n \times H) = T(G_n) \wedge B(H)$. In particular, if X is any CW complex, the loop space ΩX is equivalent to a topological group, and we have

$$\Omega_{n}^{G \times \Omega X} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \pi_{n+N} \left(\mathbb{T}(G_{n+N} \times \Omega X) \right)$$
$$\stackrel{\cong}{=} \lim_{N \to \infty} \pi_{n+N} \left(\mathbb{T}(G_{n+N}) \wedge X \right) = \widetilde{\Omega}_{n}^{G}(X)$$

This allows us to consider only coefficient groups of homology theories, and later to deduce their general values.

Theorem 6.1 Let G, SG and Z be as above. Let α be a G_k-bundle over BZ whose classifying map induces, via $BZ \rightarrow BG_k \rightarrow BG \rightarrow B({}^G/SG) = BZ$, a homotopy equivalence. Then $\Omega_n^G \cong \widetilde{\Omega}_{n+k}^{SG}(\underline{T}(\alpha))$.

<u>Proof</u> Let X be the classifying map of α . Denote by f_N the composite of

$$B(SG_N) \times BZ \xrightarrow{i \times \chi} B(G_N) \times B(G_k) \xrightarrow{S\psi_{N,k}} B(G_{N+k}).$$

These maps are compatible with i_N , hence there is a limit map f : B(SG) × BZ \rightarrow BG. We claim that f induces isomorphisms of homotopy groups, this is clear from the definition of f, the exact sequence

$$\dots \pi_r (SG) \rightarrow \pi_r (G) \rightarrow \pi_r Z \rightarrow \pi_{r-1} (SG) \dots,$$

and the fact that (up to an automorphism) χ * splits the projection $\pi_r(G) \to \pi_r(Z)$.

Now by definition, f_N is covered by a bundle map of the direct sum of the universal bundle over $B(SG_N)$ and α to the universal bundle over $B(G_{N+k})$. Thus we also have a map

$$g_{N}: T(SG_{N}) \land T(\alpha) \rightarrow T(G_{N+lc}).$$

Since $f_{\rm N}$ induces homotopy isomorphisms in the limit, so does ${\rm g}_{\rm N}^{\, \star}$. We now have

$$\Omega_{n}^{G} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \pi_{N+n+k}(T(G_{N+k}))$$
$$= \lim_{N \to \infty} \pi_{N+n+k}(T(SG_{N}) \wedge T(\alpha))$$
$$= \widetilde{\Omega}_{n+k}^{SG}(T(\alpha)).$$

The next result is a companion to (6.1), but needs less hypotheses. It is related to the Thom isomorphism theorem. Theorem 6.2 Let G be a stable group satisfying (M), P a topological

space, α a G_k -bundle over P_{\bullet} . Then $\Omega_n^G(P) \cong \widetilde{\Omega}_{n+k}^G(T(\alpha))$. <u>Proof</u> Let χ classify α , f_N denote the composite

$$B(G_N) \times P \xrightarrow{1 \times \chi} B(G_N) \times B(G_k) \xrightarrow{R \vee N,k} B(G_{N+k}),$$

and F_N the map $B(G_N) \times P \to B(G_{N+k}) \times P$ whose components are f_N and projection on the second factor. F_N is covered by a bundle map of the direct sum of ω_N and α to ω_{N+k} . Also, $B(G_N)$ is mapped by the natural injection i to $B(G_{N+k})$, and we have a commutative exact diagram

Thus F is an isomorphism in the limit as $N \to \infty$. We have an induced map of Thom spaces

$$\mathbb{T}(\mathbb{G}_{\mathbb{N}}) \wedge \mathbb{T}(\alpha) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}(\mathbb{G}_{\mathbb{N}+k}) \wedge \mathbb{P}^{O},$$

which then also in the limit gives homotopy isomorphisms.

The conclusion of the proof is now as before.

Corollary 6.2.1 With the hypotheses of 6.1, if β is an SG -bundle over BZ, we have an isomorphism:

$$\Omega_n^{SG}(BZ) \cong \widetilde{\Omega}_{n+k}^{SG}(T(\beta)).$$

To obtain exact sequences from these results we need some restriction on BZ - or rather, on Z. We will now assume that either $Z = 0_1 \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ or $Z = U_1 \cong S^1$. Correspondingly, BZ = P (say) is infinite real, resp. complex, projective space. Let us write d = 1 in the first case and d = 2 in the second.

The following will be useful for checking the hypothesis of (6.1). Since BZ is an Eilenberg - Maclane space, a map BZ \rightarrow BZ is a homotopy equivalence if and only if it induces an automorphism of the homotopy group or equivalently, of the lowest homology group.

We will make the further assumption that the standard real or complex line bundle η over P is a \mathbb{G}_{d} -bundle, inducing a homotopy equivalence (which must be, up to sign, the identity) $P \rightarrow P$. This is easily verified in each of the cases mentioned earlier. In the complex case, the conjugate $\overline{\eta}$ is then also a \mathbb{G}_{2} -bundle. We now take $\alpha = (m+1) \eta + m\overline{\eta}$. Since the first Stiefel-Whitney (resp. Chern) class of this is a generator, we can apply Theorem 6.1. To compute P^{α} , we note that if the structure group is extend to Q_{m+1} , α becomes equivalent to $(2m+1)\eta$, and so P^{α} is homeomorphic to P/P_{2m} , where P_{2m} is the sub-projective space of dimension 2m. This proves

Corollary 6.1.1 With the above assumptions,

$\Omega_{n}^{G} \cong \widetilde{\Omega}_{n+(2m+1)d}^{SG} (^{P}/P_{2m}).$

We also apply 6.2.1 with $\beta = 2m\eta$, so $P^{\beta} = P/P_{2m-1}$, to obtain <u>Corollary 6.2.2</u> $\Omega_n^{SG}(F) \cong \widetilde{\Omega}_{n+2md}^{SG}(P/F_{2m-1})$. Also note that $\Omega_n^{SG}(P) \cong \widetilde{\Omega}_n^{SG}(P) \oplus \Omega_n^{SG}$, and that taking m = 0 in 6.1.1, $\Omega_n^G \cong \widetilde{\Omega}_{n+d}^{SG}(P)$

Putting these together, we have

Corollary 6.2.3
$$\Omega_{n-d}^{G} \oplus \Omega_{n}^{SG} \cong \widetilde{\Omega}_{n+2md}^{SG} (P/P_{2m-1}).$$

We now obtain the exact sequences.

Theorem 6.3 Let G be a stable group satisfying (M), SG a subgroup with $G_n/SG_n = 0_1 \text{ or } U_1 \text{ for } n \ge d (d = 1 \text{ for } 0_1, 2 \text{ for } U_1), \text{ and stable for}$ $\psi, P = P_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \text{ or } P_{\infty}(\mathbb{L}).$ Suppose the standard line bundle η over P is a G_d -bundle, inducing a map $P \rightarrow P$ homotopic to the identity. Then there are

 $\begin{array}{cccc} \underline{\text{exact sequences (where }} & \mathbb{P}_2 = \mathbb{P}_2(\mathbb{R}) & \text{or } \mathbb{P}_2(\mathbb{C}). \\ \hline (i) & \dots & \Omega_n^{\text{SG}} \to & \Omega_n^{\text{G}} \to & \Omega_{n-d}^{\text{SG}} \oplus & \Omega_{n-2d}^{\text{G}} \to & \Omega_{n-1}^{\text{SG}} \to \dots \\ \hline (ii) & \dots & \widetilde{\Omega}_{n+d}^{\text{SG}} & (\mathbb{P}_2) \to & \Omega_n^{\text{G}} \to & \Omega_{n-2d}^{\text{G}} \to & \widetilde{\Omega}_{n+d-1}^{\text{SG}} & (\mathbb{P}_2) \to \dots \\ \hline (iii) & \dots & \Omega_n^{\text{SG}} \to & \widetilde{\Omega}_{n+d}^{\text{SG}} & (\mathbb{P}_2) \to & \Omega_{n-d}^{\text{SG}} \to & \Omega_{n-1}^{\text{SG}} \to \dots \end{array}$

<u>Proof (i)</u> $P_1 \subset P$ is a sphere S^d . The sequence of spaces

$$s^{d} \rightarrow P \rightarrow P'_{P_{1}}$$

has an exact homology sequence for Ω_{\star}^{SG} . Also, we have $\widetilde{\Omega}_{n+d}^{SG}(S^d) \cong \Omega_n^{SG}$ by (5.2.4), $\widetilde{\Omega}_{n+d}^{SG}(P) \cong \Omega_n^G$ by (6.1.1) with m = 0, and $\widetilde{\Omega}_{n+d}^{SG} (P/P_1) \cong \Omega_{n-2d}^G \oplus \Omega_{n-d}^{SG}$ by (6.2.3) with m = 1. This gives (i). (ii) Replace P_1 by P_2 in the above, and use the fact ((6.1.1) with $m \approx 1$) that $\widetilde{\Omega}_{n+d}^{SG} (P/P_2) \cong \Omega_{P^{n-2d}}^G$. (iii) Here we note that $2/P_1$ is a sphere S^{2d} , and use the exact $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\star}^{SG}$ -sequence of $S^d \to P_2 \to S^{2d}$.

We now turn to the geometrical approach, and will give a second complete proof, at the same time giving a more precise description of the maps in the sequences. Our second proof will illustrate sequence (i) as of the type described in (2.3); we will give a full discussion of this, and the rest will then follow. We will also improve several details of the theorem.

Now (2.3) gives us an exact sequence in which the third term is the cobordism group $\Omega_{\rm m}^{\rm G,3G}$ of bounded G-manifolds with an SG-structure on the boundary. We will evaluate this using the idea introduced after (2.3).

Let us agree, in order to avoid unnecessarily complicated notation below, that the G-structure of a manifold M is specified by the classifying map of its stable normal bundle, $\nu_{\rm m}$: M \rightarrow BG: that we have a fibration BSG \rightarrow BG $\stackrel{\pi}{\rightarrow}$ P; and that an SG-structure of M is determined by a nullhomotopy of $\pi \circ \nu_{\rm m}$ which is thus covered by a homotopy of $\nu_{\rm m}$ to a map into BSG. We shall also need the G-structure on the standard line bundle over P, classified by P $\stackrel{\pi}{\rightarrow}$ BG $\stackrel{2}{\rightarrow}$ BG; here we may assume that $\pi \circ z \circ \pi$ is the identity map of P, l_p . We write $(-1)_p$ for the negative of the identity; in the real case, we can take $(-1)_p = l_p$, and in the complex case, define $(-1)_p$ by complex conjugation. Now P is an H-space, and the diagram

is homotopy commutative; we shall alter (if necessary) our model of BG to make it commutative.

Now if M^{m} is a G-manifold, we consider the map $\pi \circ \nu_{m} : M \to P$. Altering by a homotopy, if necessary, we may suppose that this maps M to a finite dimensional projective subspace P_{k} . By (II, 4.2.1), we can make this map transverse to the submanifold P_{k-1} , whose preimage will then be a smooth submanifold V^{m-d} of M^{m} , with normal bundle induced from η . Moreover, if ∂M has an SG-structure, $\pi \circ \nu_{m}$ is trivial on ∂M (which has trivial normal bundle in M), so may be assumed to avoid P_{k-1} . Thus V lies in the interior of M, and is closed.

We now give V^{m-d} an SG-structure. Indeed, the stable normal bundle of V is the sum of the bundles induced from ν_m and from η ; i.e. is induced by

 $V \subset M \xrightarrow{\nu} BG \xrightarrow{1\times \pi} BG \times P$.

We shall give the second summand <u>minus</u> the obvious structure. So the normal bundle v_v is now induced by

 $V \xrightarrow{\nu_{\rm m} \mid V} BG \xrightarrow{1 \times \pi} BG \times P \xrightarrow{1 \times -1} BG \times P \xrightarrow{1 \times \eta} BG \times BG \xrightarrow{B\psi} BG.$ The composite $\pi \circ \nu_{\rm v}$ is thus induced by

 $V \xrightarrow{\nu_{\rm m} \mid V} BG \xrightarrow{\pi} P \xrightarrow{(1,-1)} P \times P \rightarrow P,$

and if we fix (once for all) a nullhomotopy of the composite map $P \rightarrow P$, we define one for $\pi \circ v_{v}$, and hence an SG-structure for V.

Now we showed in Chapter 2 that M was (G, SG)-cobordant to a tubular neighbourhood of V. This is a bundle over V, with fibre D^d , associated to $(\pi \circ \nu_m | V)^* \eta$; hence its (G, SG)-cobordism class is determined by the class of $(V, \pi \circ \nu_m | V)$ in $\Omega_{m-d}^{SG}(P)$. The formula which determines it is as follows. Let η' be the bundle induced from η . Then $\nu_v = \nu_m + \overline{\eta'}$, where the bar recalls the sign change above. Thus $\nu_v + \eta' = \nu_m + \overline{\eta'} + \eta' = \nu_m + 2\epsilon$ (ϵ a trivial G_d -bundle). It is clear from this that given any element of $\Omega_{m-d}^{SG}(P)$,

represented say by (V, f), we can take the bundle E with fibre D^d associated to f^*_{η} and give it a G-structure. Moreover, the stable normal bundle $v\partial E$ of the boundary ∂E is the restriction of v_E . But $\pi \circ v_E$ is essentially f, by definition, and is covered by a bundle map over V of E to the disc bundle associated to η , and hence of ∂E to the corresponding sphere bundle Σ . But Σ is contractible, so we have a well defined nullhomotopy of $\partial E \to \Sigma \to P$, and so an SG-structure on ∂E . Since all our constructions can - as in Chapter 4 - be carried over for cobordisms, we have an isomorphism $\Omega_m^{G,SG} \cong \Omega_{m-d}^{SG}(P)$.

We now wish to use the remark immediately preceding (4.1.1) that the extra structure provided by a submanifold gives the same cobordism group as the extra structure provided by a map to its Thom space; and combine this with the remark that P is homeomorphic to the Thom space of η . The details resemble those above: we have a map (χ_v say), of V to P, or more precisely to P_{k-1}. We make this transverse to P_{k-2}, and write $B = \chi_v^{-1}(P_{k-2})$. Then

$$v_{\rm B} = v_{\rm v} | \mathbf{B} + (\chi_{\rm v} | \mathbf{B})^* \eta,$$

and we use this formula to give B a G-structure. Our construction again works for cobordisms; since the class of (V, f) determines the cobordism classes of V and B, we have a homomorphism

$$\Omega_{m-d}^{SG}$$
 (P) $\rightarrow \Omega_{m-d}^{SG} \oplus \Omega_{m-2d}^{G}$

In fact this is an isomorphism, for the class of (V, f) is determined by that of $(V, B, and the map <math>B \rightarrow P$ inducing the normal bundle of B in V); by Corollary (3.2.1) we can separate the two elements of the pair, provided the stable normal bundle of B is induced by $B \rightarrow B(SG) \times P$ and finally, by the proof of (6.1), this latter is homotopy equivalent to B(G).

We have thus obtained sequence (1); to complete the discussion, we must determine the boundary map

$$\Omega_{m-d}^{SG} \oplus \Omega_{m-2d}^{G} \to \Omega_{m-1}^{SG}.$$

As to the first component, we can suppose B empty and χ_v trivial. Then the disc bundle is trivial, and has boundary $V \times S^{d-1}$. This describes it as a G-manifold; for the SG-structure we must be more careful. All the construction it that of a product, hence we obtain multiplication by the class, α say, of S^{d-1} with appropriate SG-structure. To determine this, we can take V to be

a point and M a disc D^d . Recall that V was constructed from M by making $\pi \circ \nu_m : M \to P_k$ transverse to P_{k-1} . Now $\partial M = S^{d-1}$ was mapped to a point by this, so $S^d = M/\partial M$ is mapped to meet P_{k-1} transversely in just one point. This coincides (up to homotopy) with the inclusion of a projective line P_1 . So α is the class of S^{d-1} , with SG-structure defined by a framing of the normal bundle, twisted in this way. One can analyse the twisting more in general, but it is by now easier to remark that when d = 1 we have S^O , and each point has the positive orientation (this twists the standard framing of ∂D^1 by changing a sign). Thus in this case the map $\Omega_{m-1}^{SG} \to \Omega_{m-1}^{SG}$ is just multiplication by 2. In the case d = 2 we have S^1 , and the twisted framing differs from the standard one. Here elementary homotopy theory tells us that $2\alpha = 0$.

Write (d_1, d_2) for the components of the map $\Omega_m^G \to \Omega_{m-d}^{SG} \oplus \Omega_{m-2d}^G$, so that the image of the class of M by d_1 resp. d_2 is determined by V, resp. B. We now construct a map $\phi : \Omega_{m-2d}^G \to \Omega_m^G$ and show that $d_1 \circ \phi = 0$ and $d_2 \circ \phi = id$. From this, and the exactness of the sequence

$$\Omega_{m}^{G} \xrightarrow{(d_{1}, d_{2})} \Omega_{m-d}^{SG} \oplus \Omega_{m-2d}^{G} \xrightarrow{(\lambda d_{1})} \Omega_{m-1}^{SG}$$

now follows that the second component (c) of the boundary map vanishes.

Suppose then that B^{m-2d} is a G-manifold, form $(\pi \circ \nu_B)$, which we may take as a map $B \to P_k$ for appropriate k. Then $\eta + \epsilon^{2d}$ can be regarded as a real (resp. complex if d = 2) bundle over P_k ; we form the associated projective bundle Q_{k+2} , and let M^m be the induced bundle over B, V^{m-d} the subbundle corresponding to $\eta + \epsilon^d$, and identify B itself with the subbundle of V corresponding to η . It is well known that if to τ_M we add the bundle induced by $M \to B \to P_k$ from η , the result is the sum of a bundle induced by τ_B and three (real or complex) line burdles, corresponding to η , ϵ^d , and ϵ^d ; and all induced from η , say by maps f_1, f_2 and f_2 . We give these the F-structures induced by f_1, f_2 and $-1 \circ f_2$: this defines a G-structure on M, and as the construction applies to cobordisms and to disjoint unions, we have defined the desired map ϕ .

Although the G-structure itself is somewhat complicated, it is easy to see that $\pi \circ \nu_{M}$ is induced via the bundle map $\beta : M \to Q_{k+2}$ covering the original map $B \to P_{k}$. We will now write down a map $\zeta : Q_{k+2} \to P_{k+2}$ which is transverse to P_{k+1} and P_{k} , which have preimages the sub-bundles associated to $\eta + \epsilon^{d}$ and η . Since Q is explicit, it is easy to see that $\zeta \circ \beta \simeq \pi \circ \nu_{M}$:

thus M gives rise to V and B in the usual way. Hence we have $d_2 \circ \phi = id$. To see $d_1 \circ \phi = 0$, we must find an SG-manifold with boundary V: in fact, as V is a $P_1(=S^d -)$ bundle over B, we take the associated diso bundle: such exists since the group of the bundle is not the full projective group but only $Z (= S^{d-1})$, and is topologically the product by I of the mapping cylinder of the principal bundle. Since the principal bundle was obtained from $\pi \circ \nu_p$, this has an SG-structure.

It remains to construct ζ : for this we follow Atiyah. Let $k = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} in the cases $Z = S^{\mathbb{C}}$ or $S^{\mathbb{I}}$, and consider the decomposition $k^{\mathbb{K}+3} = k^{\mathbb{K}+1} \oplus k^2$. Then $P_{\mathbb{K}}$ is the projective space of $k^{\mathbb{K}+1}$, and we can identify the fibre of $\eta + \epsilon^{2d}$ over the line $\ell \subset P_{\mathbb{K}}$ with $\ell \oplus k^2$, and so $Q_{\mathbb{K}+2}$ with the subspace of $P_{\mathbb{K}} \times P_{\mathbb{K}+2}$ of pairs (ℓ, m) of lines with $m \subset \ell \oplus k^2$. We take ζ as projection on $P_{\mathbb{K}+2}$; so for $\zeta^{-1}(P_{\mathbb{K}+1})$ we need $m \subset \ell \oplus k \oplus 0$ and for $\zeta^{-1}P_{\mathbb{K}}$, $m = \ell$. Both transversalities are clear. We have established

Moreover, the following sequence is exact: $\Omega_n^{SG} \xrightarrow{s} \Omega_n^{RG} \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_{n-d}^{SG} \xrightarrow{\times \alpha} \Omega_{n-l}^{SG} \cdots$

Here, r is the forgetful map and i the inclusion; the first sequence shows that r factorises as r = is, and the corollary is immediate. Moreover, on comparing the above with Theorem 6.3, we are led to the identification

$$\Omega_n^{\text{RG}} = \widetilde{\Omega}_{n+d}^{\text{SG}}(P_2).$$

In fact yet another definition is sometimes more convenient: $\Omega_{\mathbf{m}}^{\mathrm{RG}}$ is the cobordism group of G-manifolds M^{m} provided with a homotopy of $\pi \circ \nu_{\mathrm{M}}$: $\mathrm{M} \to \mathrm{P}$ to a map into P_{1} (=S^d). For the corresponding V is then mapped to P_{0} and B to P_{-1} , so B is empty, so such manifolds lie in Ker d₂. Conversely, if M is in Ker d₂, an extension of cobordisms argument shows that B may be supposed empty. But then the image of $\pi \circ \nu_{\mathrm{M}}$: $\mathrm{M} \to \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k}}$ avoids $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k}-2}$, so is homotopic (by an obvious projection) to a map to the complementary P_{1} .

Chapter 7

Equivariant Cobordism

The object of this chapter is to give a programme for reducing the calculation of equivariant cobordism groups to that of the bordism groups of certain classifying spaces. It will first be necessary to develop thoroughly the foundations of the theory of smooth group actions.

Let H be a compact Lie group, M a smooth manifold (perhaps with boundary, or corner) and let

 $\phi : \mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{H} \to \mathbf{M}$

define a smooth action of H on M. For each P & M, write

$$H_{P} = \{h \in H : \phi(P, h) = P\}.$$

Then $H_{\mathbf{p}}$ is a closed subgroup, called the <u>isotropy group</u> of P. We have $\phi(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{h}_1) = \phi(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{h}_2) \iff \phi(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{h}_1\mathbf{h}_2^{-1}) = \mathbf{P}$ $\iff h_1h_2^{-1} \in H_{\mathbf{P}} \iff H_{\mathbf{P}}h_1 = H_{\mathbf{P}}h_2$.

It follows that ϕ induces a bijection ψ of the space of right cosets H/H_P onto the set of points $\phi(P, h)$ ($h \in H$) - which is called the <u>orbit</u> of P. It also follows that $H_{\phi}(P, h) = h^{-1}H_Ph$. Thus the isotropy groups at the points of an orbit form a complete conjugate set of closed subgroups of H. Such sets are called <u>orbit types</u>, and the set containing H_P is the type of the orbit of P.

Lemma 7.1 The orbit of P is a smooth submanifold of M, and ψ is a diffeomorphism.

<u>Proof</u> (1) Since H/H_P is compact and ψ injective, we know that ψ is a topological imbedding in M.

(2) Since ϕ is a smooth map, so is ψ .

(3) It is now sufficient to show that $d\psi$ is everywhere injective.

(4) Now ψ is an equivariant map for smooth H-actions: translating by elements of H, we see that if $d\psi$ is injective at the unit element, it is injective everywhere, and conversely.

Suppose then $d\psi$ not injective anywhere. By a result of A. Sard 'Images of critical sets', Ann. of Math. 68 (1958) 247-259, if r is the topological dimension of H/H_P, the Hausdorff r-dimensional measure of $\psi(H/H_{\overline{P}})$, the orbit of P, is zero. By Theorem VII. 3 of W. Hurewicz and A. Wallman, 'Dimension theory', the dimension of $\psi(H/H_{\overline{P}})$ is $\leq r - 1$. This contradicts the fact that ψ is an imbedding, and proves the lemma. Now let V be the set of points of M with isotropy group H_p , a the set of conjugates of H_p , and W_{\star} the union of the orbits with type ω , so that we have $W_{\alpha} = \phi$ (V × H). Let N_p be the normaliser of H_p in H: then V is invariant under the induced action of N_p . <u>Theorem 7.2</u> <u>V and W are smooth submanifolds of M and ϕ induces</u> <u>a diffeomorphism of V × N_p H onto W</u>.

We do not assert that all components of V (or of \mathbb{W}_{o}) have the same dimension.

<u>Proof</u> We first assert that M admits a Riemannian metric which is invariant under the action of H. Indeed, by we have a metric μ ; now the action of H on M induces an action on the Riemann bundle, and we will use $\nu = \int_{H}^{t} \mu^{h} dh$, where integration is with respect to Haur measure on the compact group H. Since positive definite symmetric matrices form a convex set, we obtain a positive definite scalar product on each tangent space, and the cross-section ν is clearly smooth.

Now consider the exponential map $\exp: M_p \to M$. Since we have an H-invariant metric, and $H_p \subset H$ operates in an induced way on M_p , exp is equivariant for the actions of H_p . In particular, the action of $h \in H_p$ on M is determined locally at P by the action on M_p which is linear - and, indeed, orthogonal. So the action of H_p on M near P is locally isomorphic to the action on Euclidean space given by an orthogonal representation ϕ of H_p . In particular, the set V_1 of fixed points of any subgroup H_1 of H_p corresponds to a linear subspace of M_p , and hence is a smooth submanifold.

Write $0_{\rm p}$ for the tangent space at P to the orbit of P; let $S_{\rm p}$ be its orthogonal complement, $S'_{\rm P}$ a small enough ϵ -nbd of 0 in $S_{\rm p}$, and $S = \exp S'_{\rm P}$. Any element of $H_{\rm T}$ leaves $0_{\rm P}$ invariant (it is invariantly defined), hence also Sp, $S'_{\rm P}$ and S. Now since by (7.1) d ψ is onto $Q_{\rm P}$, it follows that orbits of S fill up a neighbourhood of P. Also, ϕ induces a map

$$\chi : S \times_{H_{\mathcal{D}}} H \to M$$

which, by the above, is a smooth immersion. Since the orbit of P is imbedded, so (by 0, 2.7.1) is some mbd of it. Thus if ϵ is small enough, χ is an imbedding.

We deduce first that the orbit types of all points near P- which are the types of orbits of points Q of S - have $H_Q \subset H_F$: they are the isotropy groups of the action of H_P on S. Since dim S < dim M we deduce by induction on dim M that there are only a finite number of orbit types near P, and hence that the set of points with isotropy group H_1 is an open subset of the set fixed by H_1 . So V is smooth. It is immediate that ϕ induces a bijection of $V \times_{N_P} H$ onto W ; it follows from Lemma 1 that we have a diffeomorphism.

Now we have laid the foundations of the theory of smooth actions of compact groups, we can return to our cobordism problem. Observe that any point of the closure of V is fixed under H_{p^*} Thus to ensure that V is a closed submanifold (or equivalently, that W is), it is sufficient to require that ∞ is maximal in the orbit types of the given action.

The following special case is easily solved, and will be a pattern for the general result 7.5. Let $A = \{1\}$ contain the unit subgroup only. Then the action of H^h on M^m must be free. Thus M has the structure of a principal bundle with group and fibre H, and base X^{m-h} , say (the orbit space of the action): by the results of 7.1 and 7.2, X is also a smooth manifold. Let $\chi : X \to BH$ classify the bundle. Then the bordism class of χ belongs to $\Omega^0_{m-R}(BH)$. Lemma 7.3 $I^0_m(H; \{1\}) \cong \Omega^0_{m-h}(BH)$.

<u>Proof</u> If W is a cobordism on which H acts freely, the orbit space W/H is a cobordism, mapping into BH: thus the two ends of W determine the same bordism class in BH, and we have a well-defined map I_m^0 (H; $\{1\}$) $\rightarrow \Omega_{m-h}^0$ (BH).

The map is surjective, for given $f: X \to BH$, we consider the induced principal bundle over X with group H: this is a smooth m-manifold on which H operates freely, so defines an element of I_m^0 (H; {1}) which maps to the bordism class of f. Similarly it is injective, for if M and M' are such that M/K, M'/H define the same bordism class, we let g: $W \to BH$ denote a cobordism, and note that the induced principal H-bundle over W gives the required cobordism of M to M'.

[†] <u>Note</u> Since BH can be replaced by a smooth manifold (see §4 above) and f by a smooth map, we need only consider smooth bundles.

•--

We continue our investigation of \mathbb{W}_{Q} : our main aim is the exact sequence of (7.4). We will suppose that the orbit type \mathbb{Q} is maximal for the given action (i.e. that if $H_p \in \mathbb{Q}$, H_p is not strictly contained in any H_Q). Let \mathbb{N}_Q be an ϵ -mbd of \mathbb{W}_Q in the invariant metric. Then the usual projection (I, 2.5) which gives N the structure of disc bundle over \mathbb{W}_Q is an equivariant map. We are thus let to consider the following objects:

 $\pi : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{W}_{\infty}$ is the projection of a smooth disc bundle; we identify \mathbb{W}_{α} with the zero cross-section. The group H acts on \mathbb{N}_{α} and \mathbb{W}_{α} ; π is equivariant, and the orbit type of a point of \mathbb{T}_{α} is α ; at other points of \mathbb{N}_{∞} , the orbit type is different (hence is less than α). We have dim \mathbb{N}_{α} = m; the components of \mathbb{T}_{α} may have different dimensions.

For our exact sequence we incorporate one further element of structure. Let G be a stable group satisfying (M), (A) and (S), and M have a G-structure (on its stable tangent bundle). Suppose the compact Lie group H operates smoothly on M. We will say that H respects the G-structure if the following condition is satisfied. For some n, we are given an action of H on the principal G_n -bundle P which defines the G-structure, lifting the given action of H on M. This defines actions of H on the associated bundles; in particular, on the principal G_{n+1} -bundle, so the condition is independent of n.

Write I_m^G (H; A) for the group of cobordism classes of manifolds M^m with G-structure and an H-action which respects it, and such that each orbit type belongs to the set A. We choose a maximal element q of A, and write $A^{\dagger} = A - \{q\}$.

Write $A_{m}^{G}(H; A', \alpha)$ for the group of cobordism classes of manifolds W with a smooth disc bundle $\pi : \mathbb{N}^{m} \to \mathbb{W}$ such that \mathbb{N}^{m} is as above, π is equivariant (where W is identified with the zero cross-section), and the orbit type at a point of W is α ; at other points of N belongs to A'.

The following illustrates (2.3) and the remark following it. Theorem 7.4. There is an exact sequence

 $I_{m}^{G}(H; A') \xrightarrow{\alpha} I_{m}^{G}(H; A) \xrightarrow{\beta} A_{m}^{G}(H; A', \alpha) \xrightarrow{\gamma} I_{m-1}^{G}(H; A') \xrightarrow{\alpha} I_{m}^{G}(H; A).$

<u>Proof</u> First we define the maps. Set α the natural map induced by taking the same representative. Next, if N admits an action with orbit types $\in A$, form $W_{C_{\alpha}}$ and $N_{C_{\alpha}}$ as above to define β . As to γ , take the class of the boundary ∂N

 $(\alpha, \beta) \text{ is exact } \beta \alpha = 0, \text{ for if the orbit types of M belong to A',} we have <math>W_{\Omega} = \phi$. Conversely, let M_{Ω} bound X and L be the corresponding disc bundle over X, so that $\partial_{c}L = N_{\Omega}$ and $\partial_{+}L$ is the sphere bundle over X. Attach L to $M \times I$ by glueing $\partial_{0}L$ to $N_{\Omega} \times I$. The resulting cobordism L' (with corner rounded) clearly admits the desired structures, and α no longer occurs as orbit type in $(M - N_{\Omega}) \times I$ or in $\partial_{+}L$. Thus L' is a cobordism of M to $\partial_{+}L$ representing a class in I_{-}^{G} (H; A').

 (β, γ) is exact Starting with M as above we form N_Q, then ∂N_{Q} . But this bounds the complement of N_Q in M, so represents zero in I_{m-1}^{G} (H; A'). Conversely, given N with ∂N bounding C, we attach N to C along the boundary to obtain a closed manifold M, and the orbit type α occurs in M only at the centre of N.

 (γ, α) is exact Starting with $\pi : N \to N$, we need only observe that ∂N bounds N to check that $\alpha \gamma = 0$. The converse is perhaps the most interesting part of exactness. If V represents an element of the kernel of $I_{m-1}^{G}(H; A^{*}) \to I_{m-1}^{G}(H; A)$, it bounds a manifold M, say. Since ∞ is not an orbit type of $V = \partial M$, we can perform our construction in the usual way to obtain W_{∞} and N_{∞} in M. The complement of N now gives a cobordism of V to ∂N_{∞} , as required. The exact sequence is thus established.

To complete our programme, we must give some means of calculation of the groups A_m^G (H; A', α). We first observe that given a representative $\pi : \mathbb{N}^m \to \mathbb{W}$, we have for each $\mathbb{P} \in \mathbb{W}$ an induced orthogonal representation ρ of \mathbb{H}_p on the fibre. As all isotropy groups are conjugate, we have an orthogonal representation of \mathbb{H}_p defined for each $\mathbb{P} \in \mathbb{W}$. Clearly, these vary continuously with \mathbb{P} . But since \mathbb{H}_p is compact, neighbouring representations are conjugate. Thus each connected component of \mathbb{W} corresponds to a single conjugacy class of representations ρ of \mathbb{H}_p .

Now it is clear that ρ can occur if and only if each isotropy group of ρ (\subseteq H_P \subseteq H) has class belonging to A', except for the isotropy group of the origin. We call such ρ (A', .) - allowable.

Since the same decomposition applies to cobordisms, we find that A_m^G (H; A', ...) is expressed as a direct sum over allowable representations ρ of H_p (of rank $\leq m$): say

4)

$$A_{m}^{G}(H; A', \infty) = \Theta_{\rho} A_{m}^{G}(H; A', \infty, \rho)$$

Thus we are reduced to calculating the A-group for a fixed allowable representation ρ . Here, we follow the method of 7.3.

Let q be the rank of ρ . Let P be the principal 0_q -bundle associated to π . On P we have the natural action of 0_q , also an induced action of H which commutes with it, hence an action of $H \times 0_q$. This action (as is easily seen) has only a single orbit type, with M (say) as an isotropy group. We now use a standard method for reducing this action to a free one, to which we can apply the bundle classification theorem. In fact, let Q be the submanifold of P consisting of points with isotropy group equal (not merely conjugate) to M. Then the normaliser N(M) of M in $H \times 0_q$ acts on Q, via a free action of L = N(M)/N.

In the present case, we can be even more explicit. Since P is the set of isometries of \mathbb{R}^{q} on fibres of π , each element of P determines an explicit orthogonal representation of the stabiliser of the corresponding fibre. Fix a particular $H_{p} \in \mathbb{C}$ and representation ρ of H_{p} in the desired equivalence class, and let Q be the subset of P inducing the representation ρ (not merely some conjugate) of the subgroup H_{p} . Then M is the set of elements $\{h^{-1}, \rho(h)\}$: $h \in H_{p}\}$ in $H \times 0_{q}$, and $N(M) = \{(n, r) : \rho(n^{-1}hn) = r^{-1}\rho(h) r$ for all $h \in H_{p}\}$ is an extension of the centraliser C_{ρ} of $\rho(H_{p})$ in 0_{q} by the subgroup of N_{p} which takes the representation ρ of H_{p} into some conjugate (this will in any case contain the component of the identity in N_{p}). We write L_{ρ} for N(M)/M, and X for Q/L_{ρ} . The dimension of L_{ρ} will depend on properties of ρ ; however, se see at once that

 $x = \dim X = \dim W - \dim H + \dim H_{\gamma}$.

Also, \mathbb{V} is determined by the closed manifold X^{X} , and the principal L_{ρ} -bundle over it, which in turn is determined by the classifying map $X \to BL_{\rho}$. <u>Theorem 7.5.</u> Let ϕ be an (A', α) -allowable representation. Write $c = \dim H - \dim \alpha$. Then $A_{m}^{0}(H; A', \alpha, \rho) \approx \Omega_{m=0}^{0}(BL_{\rho})$.

For, as was just pointed out, if the G-structure is ignored, the homotopy class of $X \to BL_{\rho}$ determines the isomorphism class of W with all its structure. Since the identical argument applies to bounded manifolds, we can pass to cobordism classes.

It is not at present clear how to modify the above to take account of G-structure.

C. T. S. WALL & DATE STRUCTURE STRUC

Contents : -



1. Introduction : transformation groups

 Analytic topology of topological groups and topological transformation groups.

3. G - vector bundles.

4. Local briviality.

5. Slices.

6. Orbit types and principal cobles.

The reference for Sec. 5 and Sec. 6 is A. Stred & Declaration Transformation Groups, Sep Vil (by G. E. Erenen) and Chy Vill (by R. S. Felsie). The other four decreases are some results from de-

N. Bourbaki : Gravel Tayelogy, Part L. C. Charalloy : Electy of Lie Graaps, L. Hewitt and Ress : Musisers Hermonic Amelysic, F. S. Lang : Turcodistics to Deffavoriteite Manifolds D. Resamplier : Eller Friday.

Los busilenes a dro di d'acces della della centa a acces a della si des compressants della della cente della della della cente della competena della competena della competena della unastruccia della della della competena della della competena della competena della competena della competena d competena della dividi competena della competena della fore producta della competena della competena della competena della de

the set of left (right) whethe of a multic H.

1. Introduction : Transformation Groups

A (RIGHL)TRANSFORMATION GROUP is a set X + a group G + e function (or ACTION) ϕ : X × G \rightarrow X such that :-

T1. $\phi(x,1) = x$ T2. $\phi(x,gh) = \phi(\phi(x,g),h)$ $\forall x \in X, g_{g}h \in H$

2 E =

Such a transformation group will be denoted by ϕ : X × G \rightarrow X, i.e. by the action. We speak of G ACTING ON X.

Writing, $\phi(y,g)$ as y,g, T1 x T2 become :--

Tl. x.l = x

$$Y = X, g, h \in (T_2, x(gh) = (x, g)h$$

Denote by ϕ_y : G \Rightarrow X the map g \Rightarrow yg, for $y \in X$; and denote by g: X \Rightarrow X the map x \Rightarrow x.g for $g \in G$. Note that g: X \Rightarrow X is a bijection, with inverse g^{-1} : X \Rightarrow X.

The action is EFFECTIVE if for each $g \in G$, $g \neq 1$, $\exists x \in X$ s.t x.g $\neq x$

" FREE if x.g ≈ x ≥> g ≈ l

TRANSITIVE if V pairs x,y = X, Bg = G s.t y = x.g.
 Note that the action is free => the action is effective.

The set $G_x = \{g \in G : xg = x\}$ is a subgroup of G, called the ISCTROPY GROUP OF x.

Define an equivalence relation ~ on X by : $x \sim y \iff \exists g \in G$ s.t $x_{\circ g} = y_{\circ}$ The equivalence classes are called ORBITS ; the equivalence class of $x \in Z$ - the ORBIT THROUGH $x = is \{x \in G = x_{\circ}g : g \in G\}$. The set of equivalence classes, denoted X/G, is called the ORBIT SET. Further there is a canonical projection $p : X \rightarrow X/G, x \ll xG$.

1.1 Proposition:

A natural bijection θ : $\mathbb{G}_{\mathbf{X}} \setminus \mathbb{G} \to \mathbb{X} \mathbb{G}_{g}$, $\mathbb{G}_{\mathbf{X}} \circ \mathbb{G} \to \mathbb{X} \circ \mathbb{G}$

Prcof:

 $G_{\chi^{\circ}}g = G_{\chi^{\circ}}h \iff hg^{\sim}g \in G_{\chi} \iff x_{\circ}hg^{\sim}g = \chi \iff xh = xg_{\circ}$

QED

A TOPOLOGICAL GROUP is a group G endowed with a topological space structure such that :-

TG 1., The map $G \rightarrow G$, $g \sim g^{-1}$, is continuous.

TG 2. The map $G \times G \Rightarrow G$, $(g_{\theta}h) \Rightarrow gh_{\theta}$ is continuous. If G is a topological group, then a subset $H \subset G$ is a (TOPOLOGICAL) SUBGROUP of G if H is an abstract subgroup of the abstract group G_{θ} and H_{θ} given the subspace topology, satisfies TG 1 and TG 2.

A TOPOLOGICAL TRANSFORMATION GROUP (TTG) is a topological space X + a topological group G + a continuous action ϕ : X × G → X satisfying T1 and T2. X is called a G \Rightarrow SPACE.

Notice that $g : X \rightarrow X$ is a homeo.

1.2. Proposition 8

If ϕ : X × X \rightarrow X is a TTG, then X is Hausdorff \Rightarrow isotropy groups are alosed.

Proof

Take $x \in X$. ϕ_x : $G \Rightarrow X$ is the composite $G \xrightarrow{1} X \times G \Rightarrow X$, where i(g) = $(x,g)_i$ and in therefore continuous. X Hausdorff $x \ge \{x\}$ is closed $x \ge \phi_x^{-1}(x)$ is closed ; and $\phi_x^{-1}(x) = G_x$. QED.

As remarked above, we have a canonical projection $p : X \to X/G$. We give X/G the identification topology given by $p(i \in U \text{ is defined to be open in } X/G \iff p^{-1}(U)$ is open in X). X/G with this topology, is called the ORBIT SPACE. Further, p is continuous.

1.3. Proposition

 $p : X \rightarrow X/G$ is an open map.

Proof 8

Let V be open in X. We want to prove that p(V) is open in X/G₀ is that $p^{-1}p(V)$ so open in X. We have $s \approx$

p[¬]p(V) = {x ∈ X : p(x) = p(v), for some v ∈ V} = {x ∈ X : x = vg, for some v ∈ V and some g ∈ G} = U V.g g ∈ G

్రాల

But each Vog is open since $g : X \to X$ is a homeo => $p^{-1}p(V)$ is open.

QED

 $\theta \ : \ G_x \ G_x \ G_x \ g_x \ g_x \ g_y \ herefore a continuous bijection.$

Proof :

We have only to show @ is continuous. We have the commutative diagram,



 $\mathbb{G}_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus \mathbb{G}$ has (by definition) the identification topology given by Π_{\circ} Thus ¢. is continuous ⇒>0 is continuous.

If G is a topological group and H a (topological) subgroup, than H acts continuoualy on G by right translations, is ϕ : G × H → G, (g,h)~> gho The orbit through $g \in G$ is gH and the orbit space G/H = the space of left coasts of G by H - is called an HOMOGENOUS SPACE .

A LIE GROUP is a group G with a smooth manifold structure soto

LL. The map $G \to G, g \sim g^{-1}$, is smooth

Γ2 The map $G \times G \rightarrow G_{2}$ $(g_{2}h) \rightarrow gh_{2}h_{2}$ is smooth

A DIFFERENTIABLE (or LIE) TRANSFORMATION GROUP (DTG) is a smooth manifold M + a Lie group G + a smooth action ϕ : M × G \rightarrow M satisfying T1 and T2. M is called a G - MANIFOLD or a SMOOTH G - SPACE

Notice that g : $H \rightarrow H_0 \times \infty \times g_0$ is a diffe-

Examples :=

(1)

-ನ್ರಿ-

(2) <u>Topological groups</u>: All Lie groups; Q^{2} under addition, groups of all homeos $X \rightarrow X_{0}$ where X is a compact topological space, the group having the compact open topology.

(3) <u>Hemogenous spaces</u>: Spheres $S^{n-1} = 0_n / 0_{n-1}$ Stiefel manifolds $V_k^n = 0_n / 0_{n-k}$ Grassmann manifolds $G_k^n = 0_n / (0_{n-k} \times 0_k)$.

See Chevally : Introduction to Lie Groups I, for (1) - (3).

(h) <u>Differentiable transformation groups</u> :

-5-

X a Riemannian manifold, G the group of all isometries of X_{\circ}

If X is a compact smooth manifold and ν is a vector field on X, then a unique action ϕ : X × R \rightarrow X s.t. for each x \in X, the tangent at x of the curve t $\phi(x,t)$ is $s(x) = ie \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = v \circ \phi_o$ (See Lang : Introduction to Differentiable Manifolds Chapter IV).

(5) Topological transformation groups :

G a topological space, H a subgroup of G, then G acts on the homogenous space $H \ G$ by ϕ : $H \ G \times G \Rightarrow H \ G$, $(Hg_1, g_2) \rightsquigarrow Hg_1 g_2$.

2. Analytic Topology of Topological Groups and Topological

÷

Transformation Groups.

2.1. Proposition :

(1) H an abstract subgroup of a topological group $G_{\implies} \tilde{H}$ is a (topological) subgroup of G. Further H is normal $\implies \tilde{H}$ is normal.

(2) H is a normal subgroup of G ≈> G/H is a topological group.

Proof :

(1) Denote by $\theta : G \times G \rightarrow G$ the map $(x,y) \gg xy^{-1}$. Take $g_0 g^0 \in \overline{\mathbb{H}}$ and consider $g' g^{-1}$. Let U be any neighbourhood of $g' g^{-1}$, then by the continuity of θ (which follows from the definition of a topological group) \exists neighbourhoods $\nabla_0 \nabla^0$ of $g_0 g^0$ respectively. s.t. $\nabla^0 \nabla^{-1} \subset U_0$. Since $g_0 g^0 \in \overline{\mathbb{H}}$, \exists h $\in V \cap H$ and $h^0 \in V^{-1} \cap H_{\cong >} h' h^{-1} \in (\nabla^0 V^{-1}) \cap H \subset$ $U \cap H$, is $U \cap H \not = \phi$. So $g^0 g^{-1} \in \overline{\mathbb{H}} \Rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{H}}$ is a subgroup.

Now suppose H is normal. Take $x \in \overline{H}$ and consider a $x \in \overline{a}$, where a $\in G_{\circ}$ Let U be a neighbourhood of a $x \in \overline{a}$, then since the map $y_{\sim >}$ a^{-1} y a is a homeo, a^{-1} Ua is a neighbourhood of x. Hence a^{-1} Ua \cap H $\neq \phi$ which implies a^{-1} (U \cap H)a $\neq \phi$ (since H is normal) = $U \cap H \neq \phi$ => a x $a^{-1} \in \overline{H}$, i.e. a $\overline{H} a^{-1} \subset \overline{H}$.

(2) This is straightforward.

QED.

2.2. Proposition 8

H an open subgroup of $G_{\gg>}$ H is closed and G/H is discrete. <u>Proof</u> 8

Hopen ⇒ Hgopen, VgEG⇒HsG-UHg is closed. g∉H

Any point in G/H is both open and closed. QED.

Separation

From now onwards, a topological group will always be assumed to be T_{O} (is if x,y are two distinct points then either \exists a neighbourhood of x not containing y or \exists a neighbourhood of y not containing x).

2.3. Proposition :

Topological groups are T_{j_i} (is points are closed). **Proof**:

Let G be a topological group. Let $a \in \{\bar{1}\}, a \neq 1, * \}$ Normalized normalized bourhoods A of $a, A \cap \{1\} \neq \phi$, is $l \in A$. Since G is T \exists a normalized bourhood B of l, such that $a \in B$ and hence $a \notin B \cap B^{-1}$ - contradiction. So $\{\bar{1}\} = \{1\}, i \in \{1\}$ is closed \Rightarrow all points of G are closed (since for any $x \in G$ the map $p_{x} \in G$, $y \ll xy$, is a homeo), i.e. G is $T_{1} \in 2_{2}4_{2}$. Proposition \approx

(1) If H is a subgroup of the topological subgroup G, then G/H is $T_{\gamma} \ll H$ is closed in G.

(2) T_1 homogenous spaces are regular.

Proof

(1) G/H has the identification topology given by the canonical projection $p : G \rightarrow G/H$. Hence H is closed in C <==> $\{l_{G/H}\}$ is closed in G/H ==> all points of G/H are closed <==> G/H is T_p.

(2) Let G/H be a T₁ homogenous space. Let C be closed in G/H and Let $x \in G/H = C$. Since $\phi : G \times G/H \Rightarrow G/H$ $(g_1, g_2, H) \Rightarrow g_1, g_2, H$, is

continuous, $(l_x x)$ has an open neighbourhood $U \times V$ mapped into $c_x/H = C$ by $\phi(since G/H = C$ is a neighbourhood of x). Thus U^{-1} C and V are disjoint sets ; V is an open neighbourhood of x and we show that \overline{U}^{-1} C is an open neighbourhood of C.

The map $G \to G$, $g \to g^{-1}$, is a homeo $\Rightarrow U^{-1}$ is open. If $y = zH \in C_p$ then \overline{U}^{-1} s is open in $G \Rightarrow \overline{U}^{-1}y$ is open in G/H, since $p : G \to G/H$ is open by Proposition. 1.3.

2.5. Proposition 8

If H is a subgroup of the topological group G, then G/H is Hausdorff <=> H is closed in G.

Proof :

Let g_1H , $g_2H \in G/H$ sot $g_1H \neq g_2H$, is sot $g_1g_2^{-1} \notin H$ where H is closed. The map $G \times G \rightarrow G_0$ $(\mathbf{x}_0 \mathbf{y}) \rightsquigarrow xy^{-1}$ is continuous and so taking a

neighbourhood W of $g_1 g_2^{\neg 1}$ sot $W \cap H = \phi$ (which is possible since H is closed), \exists neighbourhoods U of g_1 , V of g_2 sot $UV^{\neg 1} \subset W_0$

Now p(U), P(V) are open neighbourhoods of g_1 H, g_2 H respectively, where $p : G \rightarrow G/H$ is the projection, and further p(U) and p(V) are disjoint. For if $pU \cap pV \neq \phi$ then $\exists u \in U$, $v \in V$ sot $uH \approx vH < \infty > uv^{-1} \in H$ contradicting $UV^{-1} \subset W$ and $W \cap H \approx \phi_0$ So G/H is Hausdorff.

G/H is Hausdorff \approx G/H is T₁ \approx H closed, by Proposition 2.4. <u>QED</u>.

2.6 Corollory :

H is closed subgroup of G <=>> G/H is T_3 (i.e. regular and Hausdorff). Using Proposition 2.3 and putting H = [1] in 2.6, we have :-

2.7 Corollory :

Topological groups are T_z.

Note : 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 did not use the fact that G was T_.

Compactness

2.8 Proposition 8

Let a topological group G act on a locally compact space X, s.t X/G is Hausdorff. Then X/G is locally compact and for any compact $K^0 \subset X/G$, B a compact $K \subset X$ s.t $p(K) = K^0$, where $p : X \to X/G$ is the projection.

Proof :

Take $xG \in X/G$. X is locally compact $x \gg x$ has a compact neighbourhood A.p open and continuous $x \gg p(A)$ is a compact neighbourhood of $p(x) \propto xG$. So X/G is locally compact.

Let K^{0} be compact in X/G. For each $y \in K^{0}$, let Vy be a compact neighbourhood of some point of $p^{-1}(y)$ in X ($\approx > f(Vy)$ is a compact neighbourhood of y). There area finite number of $y_{\frac{1}{2}} \in K^{0}$ sot the $f(Vy_{\frac{1}{2}})$ cover K^{0} .

Let $K_{\underline{i}}$ be the compact set $\bigcup \forall y_{\underline{i}}$ in X_{\circ} We have $K^{\circ} \subset f(K_{\underline{i}})$ and hence $K \approx K_{\underline{i}} \cap f^{\frown \underline{i}}$ (K°) is compact (since X/G Hausdorff $\approx K^{\circ}$ is closed $\approx K_{\underline{i}} \cap f^{\frown \underline{i}}$ (K°) is closed in $K_{\underline{i}}$) and $f(K) \approx K^{\circ}$.

QED.

We quote the next two results, which can be found in Hewitt and Ross : Abstract Harmonic Analysis I :

2.9 Proposition 8

(1) If H is a subgroup of the topological group G, then H and G/H are compact (locally compact) => G is compact (locally compact). (See p.39)

(2) A locally compact topological group is paracompact, and hence normal. (See p.76).

Connectedness

If G is a topological group, denote by G the component of G which contains 1.

2.10 Proposition :

If G is a topological group then G is a closed normal subgroup.

Proof:

 G_{o} is closed by definition. The maps $G \rightarrow G_{o} \times x \rightarrow x^{-1}$ and $x \rightarrow a^{-1} \times a_{o}$ for some $a \in G_{o}$ are homeos leaving 1 fixed \approx they map G_{o} into G_{o} . The map # : $G \times G \rightarrow G_{o} (x_{o}y) \rightarrow xy$ is continuous. G_{o} connected $\approx G_{o} \times G_{o}$ is connected \approx $f(G_{o} \times G_{o})$ is connected; and $f(G_{o} \times G_{o})$ contains 1. So G_{o} is a normal subgroup. QED.

2.11 Proposition :

If H is connected subgroup of G and A is a connected subset of G/H_p then $p^{-1}(A)$ is a connected subset of G, p & G \approx G/H being the projection. <u>Proof</u>:

Suppose $p^{-1}(A) = P \cup Q$, where P,Q are disjoint and open in $p^{-1}(A)$. Since each orbit is connected (because H is), each of P,Q is a union of orbits, $P = p^{-1}(B)$, $Q = p^{-1}(C)$ say, $\Rightarrow A = B \cup C$, $B \cap C = \phi$ and B, C are open in $B \cup C = A$. So one of P,Q must be empty. 2.12 Corollary :

If H is a subgroup of the topological group G, then :-

(1) H and G/H are connected => G is connected

- 9 -

(2) The only connected subsets of G/G_{\odot} are points (in G/H_{\odot} is TOTALLY DISCONNECTED).

2.13 Proposition :

Let G be a connected topological group and U any open subset of G_\circ Then U generates the abstract group G_\circ

Proof:

Let H be the subgroup generated by U. Then H contains a neighbourhood (in G) of each $u \in U$ and hence contains a neighbourhood of each of its points. H is thus an open subgroup. By Proposition 2.2, G/H is discrete, and is connected, \approx G/H has only one point. QED.

2.14 Proposition :

Let G be a connected topological group and D a discrete normal subgroup. Then D is contained in the centre of G.

Proof :

The map $x \gg x^{-1} dx$, for some d in G, is a homeo $G \rightarrow G_{\circ}$ If $d \in D$ then [d] is a neighbourhood of d and so \exists a neighbourhood U of 1 s.t U¹ $d \cup \subset \{d\}$, i.e $x^{-1} dx = d \forall x \in U$. Using 2.13, we see that $y^{-1} dy = d_{\circ} \forall y \in G_{\circ}$

Proper Actions

For this section, the reader is referred to Bourbaki : General Topology, Part 1, Chapter I \$10 and Chapter III \$4.

A continuous map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is PROPER if f is closed and $f^{\mathbb{Z}}(y)$ is compact for each $y \in Y$.

An action ϕ : X × G \Rightarrow X of a TTG is PROPER if (i, ϕ) : X × G \Rightarrow X × X, (x,g) \rightsquigarrow (x,xg) is a proper map.

We will assume the following result (proved in Bourbaki Chapter I §10.1 and \$10.2) :-

Axion :

The composite of two proper maps is proper.

2.15. Proposition 8

A map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is proper >> for any compact set $K \subset U_0$ $f^{-1}(K)$ is compact.

Proof :

The map $f_{\overline{K}} : f^{-1}(\overline{K}) \to K_{\rho}$ $f_{\overline{K}} = f | f^{-1}(\overline{K})_{\rho}$ is proper (true for any set $\overline{K} \subset Y)_{\rho}$ and so is the map $\overline{K} \to P_{\rho}$ where P is a l=point space (since K is compact). Hence the composite $f^{-1}(\overline{K}) \xrightarrow{f_{\overline{K}}} \overline{K} \to P$ is proper $\Longrightarrow f^{-1}(\overline{K})$ is compact. QED

2,16. Theorem :

An action ϕ : X × G \rightarrow X of a TTG, where X is Hausdorff, is proper $\Rightarrow \phi$ is closed and all isotropy groups of X are compact.

Proof 8

The closed condition on ϕ is obvious.

If ϕ is proper then the map $(i, \phi) : X \times G \rightarrow X \times X, (x,g) \rightsquigarrow (x,xg)_{0}$ is proper $\Rightarrow (i,\phi)^{-1} (x,y) = \{(x,g) \in \{x\} \times G : : : xg = y\} = G_{x,y}$ is compact $\forall (x,y) \in X \times X_{0}$ In particular $G_{x,X} = \{x\} \times G_{X}$ is compact $\forall x \in X \Rightarrow G_{X}$ is compact $\forall x \in X_{0}$ QED

Example: If ϕ : X × G → X is a proper action of a TTG, and G = R or \mathbb{Z}_{γ} then $\forall x \in X, G_{\varphi} = \{1\}$.

2.17. Proposition 8

Let G be a topological group acting on a Hausdorff space X and let $K \subset G$ be a compact set. Then $p : X \times K \rightarrow X$, $(x,s) \rightarrow x,s$, is proper. <u>Proof</u>:

p is the composite $X \times K \xrightarrow{\alpha} X \times K \xrightarrow{proj} X_p$ where $\alpha(\mathbf{x}_{pg}) = (\mathbf{x}_{g_p}\mathbf{x})$ and α is a homeo. K compact \Rightarrow proj : $X \times K \rightarrow X$ is proper, and hence p is proper.

QED

2.18. Corollory :

With the notation of 2.17,

(1) A is a closed (compact) subset of $X \approx A_{\circ}K$ is a closed (compact) subset of X

(2) $p : X \rightarrow X/K$ is proper.

We also deduce :-

2.19. Theorem :

If G is a compact topological group acting on a Hausdorff space X_{γ} then the action is proper. Further, p : $X \rightarrow X/G$ is proper.

2.20 Corollory :

If G is a compact topological group acting on a Hausdorff space X_0 then X/G is compact (locally compact) <=> X is compact (locally compact). 2.21. Theorem:

If a topological group G acts properly on a space X, then X/G is Hausdorff. Further, X is Hausdorff.

Proof 8

Let $\phi \, : \, X \times G \Rightarrow X$ be the action, ϕ proper $\Rightarrow (1,\phi) \, : \, X \times G \Rightarrow X \times X$ is proper, and in particular closed. So the set $C = \{(x_0,xg) \in X \times X :$ $\forall x \in X, g \in G\}$ is closed in $X \times X$. But $C = (p \times p)^{-1} (\Delta)$, where $p \times p :$ $X \times X \Rightarrow X/G \times X/G$ and Δ is the diagonal in X/G. Hence $\Delta = (p \times p)$ (C) is closed (since X/G has the identification topology determined by $p) \Rightarrow X/G$ is Hausdorff.

Since G is T_{1} , the map $\theta \, : \, X \to X \times G$, $x \rightsquigarrow (x,1)$, is a homeo onto a closed subset of $X \times G$ and is therefore proper. Composing θ with the map $X \times G \to X \times X_0$ (x,g) (x,xg), which is proper by hypothesis, we get a proper map $X \to X \times X_0$ $x_{\infty}(x,x) \gg \Delta(X)$ is closed $\Rightarrow X$ is Hausdorff. <u>QED</u> 2.22. Proposition :

Let G be a compact topological group acting on a space X_p and let I be a G - invariant subset of X. Then any neighbourhood of I contains a G - invariant neighbourhood of I_o

Proof 8

Let V be an open set consaining I. Then $W = X = p^{-1}$ (p(X = V)) is G = invariant and $W \subset V$, where p : X \rightarrow X/G is the projection. By Theorem 2.19, p is proper => W is open; further, $I \subset W$.

The following result will be very useful :-

2.23 Theorem :

Let G act property on X, ϕ : X × G \rightarrow X, then the following hold :-

- (1) Isotrophy groups are compact.
- (2) ϕ_x : $G \rightarrow X$, $g \rightarrow xg$, is a proper map, for each $x \in X$.

- 13 -

- (3) Orbits are closed.
- (4) The natural map $\theta \approx G_x/G \rightarrow x_0G$, $G_xg \sim x_0g$, is a homeo, for each $x \in X_0$.

Proof :

- (1) Apply Theorem 2.21 to Theorem 2.16.
- (2) If $y \in x$, $\phi_{\mathbf{x}} \stackrel{\circ}{=} \{ (y) = \{ g \in G : xg = y \} \sim \text{ which was proved to be compact in the proof of Theorem 2.16.$

Theorem 2.21 \Rightarrow X is Hausdorff. Hence, F is closed in G \Rightarrow {x} × F is closed in X × G \Rightarrow (x, $\phi_{\mathbf{x}}$ (F)) = (i, ϕ) (x, F) is closed in X × X and so in {x} × X. So $\phi_{\mathbf{x}}$ (F) is closed in X. Thus $\phi_{\mathbf{x}}$ is proper.

- (3) $\{x\} \times G$ is closed in $X \times G \implies x_{\circ}G$ is closed in X_{\circ}
- (4) We have the commutative diagram :-



 θ has already been shown to be a continuous bijection, so it is sufficient to show that it is closed. F closed in $G_x/G \iff \pi^{-1}$ (F) closed in G => ϕ_x ($\pi^{-1}(F)$) is closed in x.G => θ (F) is closed in xG. QED

2.23 Corollory :

If G acts properly on a compact space X, then X/G and G are compact. Proof:

p: X \rightarrow X/G is continuous \Rightarrow p(X) = X/G is compact (this is true for any action). By part (2) to Theorem 2.23, ϕ_x : G \rightarrow X is proper; by part (3), xG is closed in X and is therefore compact. G = $\phi_x^{-1}(xG)$ and is therefore compact.

QED.
We now quote two useful results; proofs will be found in Bourbaki Chp III. 2.25 Theorem :

Let G be a locally compact group acting on a Hausdorff space X. Then G acts properly on X.

<==> for each pair of points x, y $\in X$, and neighbourhoods ∇_{xl} of x, Vy of y sot {g $\in G$: Vy $\cap V_x g \neq \phi$ } has compact closure (see \$4.4 Prep.7)

 $< >> \forall$ compact K, L $\subset X_{\rho}[g : Kg \cap L \neq \phi]$ has compact closure.

(See § 4.5 Theorem 1)

If G is a locally compact group acting on a Hausdorff space X, then $x \in X$ is a WANDERING POINT if it has a neighbourhood V_x sot $\{g \in G : V_x \circ g \cap V_x\}$ $\neq \phi \}$ has compact closure, or equivalently, if \exists a compact subset $\{e, K \subseteq G : e_x\}$ $g \notin K \Rightarrow V_x \circ g \cap V_x = \phi.$

It follows that the action is proper <==> all points of X are wandering points. The set of all wandering points is clearly open.

An action ϕ : X × G \rightarrow X is called a PRINCIPAL BUNDLE if ϕ is free and proper. If ϕ : X × G \rightarrow X is a proper action and G is a discrete group, ϕ is said to be PROPERLY DISCONTINUOUS (==> isotropy groups are finite).

3. G-Vector Bundles

Let V be a real (or complex), finite ~ dimensional vector space, and let # : V × G → V be a TTG s.t \forall g ∈ G, the map g : V → V, v ~> v.g, is linear (and hence a linear isomorphism). The action # is called a LINEAR ACTION and V is called a REPRESENTATION SPACE OF G (or G-MODULE).

In the case $\phi \otimes V \times G \rightarrow V$ is a DTG, ϕ is called a SMOOTH LINEAR ACTION and V is called a SMOOTH REPRESENTATION SPACE OF G.

Let G be a topological group. A G-VECTOR BUNDLE is a real (or complex) vector bunde p : E \rightarrow X with finite \sim dimensional fibre, together with TTG's ϕ : X × G \rightarrow X, ϕ : E × G \rightarrow E, such that :=

(1) The following diagram is commutative

$$E \times G \xrightarrow{\psi} E$$

$$i_{\circ}e \phi(p(e), g) \approx p \psi(e_{\circ}g) \forall e \in E,$$

$$g \in G \text{ which is written,}$$

$$p \times 1 \downarrow p$$

$$p(e)_{\circ}g \approx p(e_{\circ}g)$$

$$X \times G \xrightarrow{\phi} X$$

p is thus a morphism of G-spaces (or EQUIVARIANT MAP)

(2) The induced action of ψ on each fibre is linear, i.e. given $\mathbf{x} \in X$, $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in p^{-1}(\mathbf{x})$, then $(\lambda \mathbf{v} + \mu \mathbf{w}) \mathbf{g} = \lambda(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{g}) + \mu(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{g})$, $\forall \mathbf{g} \in G_0 \lambda \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ (or C).

Example

Let ϕ : $M \times G \twoheadrightarrow M$ be a DTG, then we have a canonical action $d\phi$: $TM \times G$ \rightarrow TM given by $((x,v), g) \sim (xg, dgx(v))$. It is easy to see that the projection # : $TM \rightarrow M$ together with the DTG's ϕ : $M \times G \rightarrow M$ and $d\phi$: $TM \times G$ \rightarrow TM is a smooth G-vector bundle.

Let $p : E \to X$ be a vector bundle. Denote by $E \times_X E$ the set $\{(v,w) \in E \times E : p(v) = p(w)\}$. In the case X is a topological space, $E \times_X E$ is a subspace of $E \times E$; in the case X is a manifold, $E \times_X E$ is a submanifold of $E \times E$ (see for instance Lang.).

A vector bundle $p : E \to X$, with finite - dimensional fibre, is said to have RIEMANN STRUCTURE if \exists a continuous map <, > : $E \times_X E \to \mathbb{R}$, $(v,w) \rightsquigarrow \langle v, w \rangle_{\mathfrak{s}}$ so t for each $x \in X <_{\mathfrak{s}} > |p^{-1}(x) \times p^{-1}(x) : p^{-1}(x) \times p^{-1}(x) \to \mathbb{R}$ is an inner product; the Riemann structure is said to be SMOOTH if <, > E = R is smooth. Note that if X is paracompact (which is the case if X is a manifold) then any vector bundle $\phi : E \to X$ has a Riemann structure (see Husemoller).

One object of this section is to prove that if $p : E \to X$ is a G-vector bundle with Riemann structure, where G is compact, then \exists a G-invariant Riemann structure on the bundle; that is, \exists a Riemann structure \langle , \rangle : $E \xrightarrow{}_{X} E \to IR \ s_{\circ} t \forall (v_{\circ}w) \in E \xrightarrow{}_{X} E \ \forall g \in G, \langle v_{\circ}, w \rangle \equiv$ $\langle vg_{\circ}wg \rangle$

We need the following result (for the proof see Hewitt and Ross : Abstract Harmonic Analysis I and Chevalley : Theory of Lie Groups I, Chp. V.)

3.1 Arion:

(a) If G is a compact topological group, then \exists a linear map $\int_{G} \circ$ C (G, IR) \rightarrow IR (where C(G, IR) is the space of continuous maps $G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$) such that \circ

Il If $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is non-negative, then $\int_G f >$, 0

I2 If f : G \rightarrow IR is non-negative and not identically zero, then $\int_{G} f > 0$

13 If $f: G \to \mathbb{R}$ is identically 1, then $\int_G f = 1$

14 For any $g \in G$, $f \in C(G, IR)$, $\int_G f \circ p_g = \int_G f = \int f \circ Ag$, where $pg : G \to G$, $A g : G \to G$ are the right, left translations of G by g. 15 For any $f \in C(G, IR) \int_G f = \int_G f \circ i$, where $i : G \to G$, $g \to g^{-1}$

(b) Further, if G is Lie group, then $\int_G \circ C(G, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the usual integral defined on compact, oriented manifolds (recall that all Lie groups are oriented).

3.2 Proposition:

If G is a compact group, then f : M×G \rightarrow IR continuous (resp.smooth) \implies F: M \rightarrow IR, $x \implies \int_G f(x, g) dg$, is continuous (resp.smooth). Proof:

(a) The continuous case. For each $(x, g) \in M \times G$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, by the continuity of f B neighbourhoods $V_{x, g}$ of x in X and $U_{x, g}$ of g in G s_ct $(y, h) \in V_{x, g} \times U_{x, g} \implies |f(y, h) = f(x, g)| < \varepsilon$.

For a fixed $x \in X$, $\{U_{x, g} : g \in G\}$ is a cover for $G \cong \mathbb{R}$ a finite subcover $U_{x, gl, \cdots, p}$, $U_{x, g_{k}}$; put $V_{x} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{k} V_{x, g_{i}}$. Then $y \in V_{x} \Rightarrow |i|(y, g)$ $= f(x, g) | < e, \forall g \in G.$

Denote by fy : $G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the map $g \gg f(y, g)$ and let || || be the sup norm on C (G, \mathbb{R}). We have :-

 $y \in \mathbb{V}_{x} \Rightarrow \exists f_{y}(g) - f_{x}(g) \exists \langle \mathfrak{e}, \forall g \in G \Rightarrow || f_{y} = f_{x} || \langle \mathfrak{e} \Rightarrow \int_{G} (f_{y}(g) - f_{x}(g)) dg | \leq \int_{G} ||f_{y} - f_{x}|| dg < \int_{G} \mathfrak{e} dg = \mathfrak{e} \int_{G} l dg = \mathfrak{e} l_{0}\mathfrak{e},$ $y \in \mathbb{V}_{x} \Rightarrow \int_{G} f(y, g) dg - \int_{G} f(x, g) dg | \langle \mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{e}, F \mathfrak{i} \mathfrak{s} \text{ continuous}.$

(b) The smooth case. We only have to prove the result in the case $M = U_s$ an open set in some Euclidean space. If (∇, ψ) is a chart of G and $\beta : U \times V \rightarrow IR$ is a smooth map, then the map $B : U \rightarrow IR_s \psi \rightsquigarrow \int_{\nabla} \beta(u_s g) dg$ $= \int_{\psi} (\nabla) \beta (u, \psi^{-1}(x)) dx \neq$ the usual Lebesque integral, is smooth: for the proof see Disudonne P.172 (Leibnitz's rule).

Let $\{(V_{i} \notin_{i}) : i = 1 \dots p\}$ be a finite collection of charts of G s.t the V_{i} cover G, and let $\{\Psi_{i} : i = 1 \dots p\}$ be an associated smooth partition of unity. The maps $U \times V_{i} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $(x, g) \rightarrow \Psi_{i}$ (g) f (x, g), for $i = 1 \dots p$, are smooth, and by the result above so are the maps $U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, x \rightarrow \int_{\nabla i} \Psi_{i}$ (g) f(x, g) dg, for $i = 1 \dots p$, \Rightarrow the map $U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ $x \rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{p} \int_{V_{i}} \Psi_{i}$ (g) f (x, g) dg is smooth. But, i=1 $\int_{G} \sum_{i=1}^{p} \Psi_{i}$ (g) f(x, g) dg $= \sum_{i=1}^{p} \int_{G} \Psi_{i}$ (g) f(x, g) dg = $\int_{G} f(x, g) dg = \int_{G} f(x, g) dg = F(x)$ Hence F: $M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, x \rightarrow \int_{G} f(x, g) dg$, is smooth QED

-17-

Note that the group properties of G were not used in the proof of this theorem.

The existance of partitions of unity follows from the paracompactness of manifolds (See Lang.)

3.3 Proposition:

Let $V \times G \Rightarrow V$ be a continuous (resp. smooth) linear action, where G is compact and V is a finite-dimensional, real vector space. Then \exists a continuous (resp. smooth) G-invariant inner product on V.

Proof :

Let <, >* be an inner product on V. We have a continuous (resp.smooth) amp V × V × G → IR, (v, w, g) \implies <vg, wg>*; it follows from Prop.3.2 therefore that the map <, > : V × V \rightarrow IR given by $(v,w)_{\sim>} \int_{G} < vg$, wg>*dg is continuous (resp.smooth).

We show that <, > is an inner product. The bilinearity of <, > follows from that of <, >* and the linearity of the integral; <, > is symmetric since <, >* is. For any $v \in V$.

 $\langle v, v \rangle > \circ \langle a \rangle \int_{\mathcal{G}} \langle vg, vg \rangle dg > 0 \langle a \rangle \langle vg, vg \rangle > 0$ for some $g \in \mathcal{G}$ $\langle a \rangle vg \neq 0 \langle a \rangle v \neq 0$

So $<_{\rho}$ > is an inner product. It is G-invariant since for any $v_{\rho} ~ w \in V_{\rho}$ $k \in G_{0}$

3.4 Theorem:

Let p: E \rightarrow X be a (smooth) G - vector bundle, where G is compact, with a (smooth) Riemann structure; then \exists a (smooth) G-invariant Riemann structure on p: E \rightarrow X.

-18-

Proof:

Let the given Riemann structure be <, >* : E $x_x \to \mathbb{R}$. We have a continuous (smooth) map $E \times_x E \times G \to \mathbb{R}$, $(v, w, g) \rightsquigarrow \langle vg, wg \rangle *$. By Prop 3.2, the map <, > : E $\times_x E \to \mathbb{R}$, $(v, w_g) \rightsquigarrow \int_G \langle vg, wg \rangle * dg$, is continuous in the continuous case and smooth in the smooth case; by Prop 3.3, for any $x \in X$, <, > $|p^{-1}(x) \times p^{-1}(x)|$ is a G-invariant inner product.

QED .

Remark:

Let ϕ : M × G → M be a DTG, where G is compact. Then the canonical projection π : TM → M together with the DTG's ϕ : M × G → M, d ϕ : TM × G → TM, is a G-vector bundle; by Theorem 3.4, we can give the bundle π : TM → M a G-invariant Riemann structure. It follows that for any g \in G, the map g : M → M is sot for any $\pi \in M_p$ dgx : $M_{\chi} \to M_{\chi_0 g}$ preserves the Riemann structure. Since the exponential map depends only on the metric, we have $\forall \ \pi \in M_p$ g \in G, the commutative diagram,



Using this result we can deduce,

3.6 Theorem ;

Let ϕ : M × G \rightarrow M be a DTG, where G is compact, and let $p \in M$ be a stationary point (i.e. p.g = p, V $g \in G$). Then \exists a (G - invariant) neighbourhood U of p in M s.t U is isomorphic as a G-space to an open set in all near representation space of G.

Proof:

Give H a C-invariant metric. By Prop 2.22, \exists a C-invariant neighbourhood \bigcup of p, $\Rightarrow \phi \mid U \times G : U \times G \Rightarrow U$ is a DTG.

Assume U is small enough s.t U is the diffeomorphic image of a dise V, centre O, in M_p under exp. The action of $d\phi$: T M ×G \rightarrow TM restricted to M_p × G is s.t((p,v), g) $\overset{\sim}{}$ (p, dgp (v)), since p is a stationary point. M has a G-invariant metric \equiv d ϕ Mp × G sends V × G onto V; we then have the commutative diagram,

> $V \times G \xrightarrow{d\phi} V$ exp × l $\downarrow \approx \qquad \approx \downarrow$ exp U × G \xrightarrow{\phi} U

ì

4. Local Triviality

First we state the Rank Theorem; proofs can be found in Dieudonne : Foundations of Modern Analysis, and in Flett : Modern Analysis.

4.1 The Rank Theorem (Vector Spaces) :

Let E be an m-dimensional, and F an n-dimensional, real vector space, A an open neighbourhood of $a \in E$, and $f : E \rightarrow F a C^{q}$ map sot $\forall x \in A$, dfx has rank p, for some fixed integer p. Then \exists_{p}

(1) An open neighbourhood $U \subset A$ of a and a $C^{q} \sim \text{diffeo} u :$ $U \rightarrow I^{m}$ (the m - cube).

(2) An open neighbourhood $V \supset f$ (A) of f (a) and a C^{q} - diffeo $v : I^{n} \rightarrow V$, sot $f/U = v \cap i \cap u$, where $i : I^{m} \rightarrow I^{n}$ is the map $(x_{1}, \dots, x_{m}) \sim (x_{1}, \dots, x_{p}, o, \dots c)$

From 4.1 we deduce :-

4.2 The Rank Theorem (Smooth Manifolds) :

Let M be a smooth m - manifold and N a smooth n - manifold, A an open neighbourhood of $a \in M$, and $f : M \rightarrow N$ a smooth map $s_o t \forall x \in A$, dfx has rank p, for some fixed integer p. Then \exists a neighbourhood W of 0 in M_g and diffeos $u^* : W \approx u^*$ (W) $\subset M$, where $u^*(W)$ is a neighbourhood of a

$$v^*$$
: fu^{*}(W) \approx dfa (W).

such that : dfa W = v fu W

Proof:

1

We can assume A is sot (A,ϕ) is a chart of M around A, for some ϕ_0 and that $f(A) \subset B$, where (B,ϕ) is a chart of N around f(a) for some ψ_0 . We have the commutative diagram,

> $A \xrightarrow{f} B \qquad \text{and we can apply 4.1 to } f \text{ on } \phi(A)$ $\phi \downarrow \qquad \downarrow \psi$ $\phi (A) \xrightarrow{} \phi(B)$ $\tilde{f} = \psi f \phi^{-1}$

Hence \exists an open neighbourhood $U^{\circ} \subset \phi(A)$ of $\phi(a)$ an open neighbourhood $V^{\circ} \supset \tilde{f} \phi(A)$ of $f \phi(a)$, and (smooth) diffeos $u^{\circ} : U^{\circ} \rightarrow I^{m}, v^{\circ} : I^{n} \rightarrow V^{\circ}$ s.t. $\tilde{f}^{\circ}|U^{\circ} = v^{\circ} \circ i \circ u^{\circ}$, where $i : I^{m} \rightarrow I^{n} (x_{1} \cdots x_{m}) \rightsquigarrow (x_{1}, \cdots, x_{p}, \circ \cdots \circ)$. It follows that \exists open neighbourhoods UCA of Aa and VCB of f(a) such that the following commutes,



Hence, dfa =
$$dv(iu(e))$$
 o io dua , where dua : $\mathbb{M}_{a} \approx \mathbb{R}^{m}$
i : $\mathbb{R}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$
dv (iu(a)); $\mathbb{R}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{f}(e)$

 \implies dfa = dv (iu(a)) $\circ v^{-1} \circ (t)$ $\circ v^{-1} \circ dta$, on the open set (dua)⁻¹ (Iⁿ) ie dfa $| W = v^{*} f u^{*} | W$, where W is an open neighbourhood of 0 in M and u*: W \approx u* (W) ~ a neighbourhood of a in M

& u*: fu * (₩) ≈ dfa (₩)

QED

Let $f : X \to X$ be a continuous map. We say f is LOCALLY TRIVIAL if for each $x \in X$ B a set $U \subset X$ containing x s.t $f \mid U : U \to f(U)$ is a hemeomorphism onter a neighbourhood of f(x) in Y. U is called LOCAL CROSS SECTION AT x.

4.3 Theorem:

Let ϕ : $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{G} \to \mathbb{M}$ be a DTG and let $x \in \mathbb{M}$ have trivial isotropy group (is $\mathbb{G}_x = \{1\}$). Then the map ϕ_x : $\mathbb{G} \to \mathbb{M}$, $g \sim x, g$ is an immersion. <u>Proof:</u>

Let p_g : G \rightarrow G denote the right translation of G by g_s i.e. $p_g(h) = hg$ V $h \in G$, and recall that we denote by g: M \rightarrow M the diffeo given by $x \gg x \cdot g_s$, V $x \in M_s$. We have a commutative disgram,



which gives rise to the commutative diagram,



 \implies rank of $d \phi_x g$ is the same $\forall g \in G$

-22-

4.4 Corollary

Let G be a Lie group acting smoothly and properly on the smooth manifold M, and let $x \in M$ have trivial isotropy group. Then the map $\phi_x : G \rightarrow M, g \sim x_{\circ}g$, is an embedding. Proof:

The action of G on M is proper => $\phi_{\rm X}$: G -> M is proper, by Theorem 2.23; in particular $\phi_{\rm X}$ is closed. Theorem 4.3 => $\phi_{\rm X}$ is an injective immersion.

¢

٠

ه

ж

×

٠

Hence by the rank theorem, ϕ_x is locally equivalent to $d \phi_x$! (if \exists suitable differs u*, v* s.t $\phi_x = u* d\phi_x$ lo v* on a suitable neighbourhood) But ϕ_x is injective and hence so is $d \phi_x g$, $\forall g \in G$, is ϕ_x is an immersion.

QED

We now come to the main result of this section :-

4.5 Theorem:

Let ϕ : $M \times G \rightarrow M$ be a DTG, where the action of ϕ is free and proper. Then p : $M \rightarrow M$ G, the canomical projection, is locally trivial. <u>Proof:</u>

For each $x \in M$, we have an immersion $\phi_x : G \to M$ (by Thm.4.3), and so $d \phi_x l : G_1 \to M_x$ is injective. (in fact, ϕ_x is an embedding by Cor 4.4.). Thus $M_x = T_x \oplus d \phi_x l (G_1)$, where T_x is the orthogonal compliment of $d \phi_x l (G_1)$ in M_x ; note that $d \phi_x l (G_1)$ is the tangent space of the orbit through x, at x. Choose a disc (is closed ball) DET solve D is a neighbourhood of 0 in T_x and solve D is so small to be mapped diffeomorphically by exp into a chart neighbourhood of x; thus we can assume exp D is in Euclidean space. Note that $x \in \exp D$.

We claim that \exists a disc $D \Rightarrow \subset D$ ($D \Rightarrow$ a neighbourhood of 0 in T₂) s.t $p | exp D^*$

maps exp D* homeomorphically onto a neighbourhood of p(x) in M|G, which will prove the theorem. The action is proper \implies M|G is Hausdorff (by Thm. 2.21). Hence since D* is compact, p| exp D* is closed, and it is therefore sufficient to prove :=

(1) p | exp D* is injective

(2) p (exp D*) is a neighbourhood of p(x) in M |G.

(1) We shall show that $\exists a \operatorname{disc} D \approx c \ D \ s.t \ \phi \ | \ (exp.D*) \times G$ is injective, from which it follows that $x_1 \ G = x_2 G \Longrightarrow x_1 = x_2, \ \forall \ x_1, \ x_2 \in exp \ D*$, and so that $p(x_1) = p(x_2) \Longrightarrow x_1 = x_2, \ \forall \ x_2 \in exp \ D*$. That is, we shall show that $\exists a \operatorname{disc} D* \ D \ s.t$ orbits which intersect exp D* only intersect at single points, i.e. that yg = z, y, $z \in exp \ D*$, $g \in G \Longrightarrow y = z$, g = 1. By Thm. 2.25, the set $K = \{g \in G: \exp D \cap (\exp D) g \neq \phi\}$ is compact; so we know that yg = z, y, $z \in \exp D$. $\Longrightarrow g \in K$. The set $J = \{(y, z, g) \in \exp D x \exp D x K : yg = z\}$ is a closed (and therefore compact) subset of $\exp D x \exp D x K = \text{since it is the inverse image}$ of the diagonal in $\exp D x \exp D x K = \text{since it is the inverse image}$ of the diagonal in $\exp D x \exp D$ under the map $\exp D x \exp D x K \to \exp D$ $x \exp D$, $(y, z, g) \sim (yg, z)$ Further J does not meet $\{x\} \times \{x\} \times (K - \{1\});$ by the Hausdorff property of M, it follows that J is disjoint from some neighbourhood W of $\{x\} \times \{x\} \times (K - \{1\})$ in $\exp D \times \exp D \times (K - \{1\})$ (and therefore in $M \times M \times K$). We can assume $W = \exp D^* x \exp D^* x L$, where $D^* \notin D$ is a small enough disc. Then ϕ is exp $D^* \times G$ is injective.

(2) Since p is an open map (Prop.1.3), it is sufficient to prove (exp D*) G is a neighbourhood of x in M. By taking partial differentials, we have that $d \phi (x, 1) : M_x \times G_1 \rightarrow M_x$ is given by,

 $d\phi(x, 1)(a, V) = a + d\phi_x 1(v).$

So the restriction $d \phi(x, 1) | D * \times G_1 : D^* \times G_1 \to D^* \oplus d \phi_x 1 (G_1)$ is an isomorphism, since $d \phi_x 1$ is injective and D^* lies in the orthogonal compliment of $d \phi_x 1 (G_1)$. D*is a neighbourhood of 0 in $T_x \Longrightarrow D^* \oplus d \phi_1 (G_1)$ is a neighbourhood of 0 in $T_x \oplus d \phi_1 1 (G_1) = M_x^\circ$. So the rank of $d \phi(x, 1) | D^* \times G_1$ is dim M.

 $d \phi (x, 1) \mid D^* \times G_1$ has maximal rank $\Rightarrow d\phi (y,g) \mid D^* \times G_1$ has maximal rank, for (y,g) in some neighbourhood N of (x, 1) in M × G, and since D* is compact, we can assume (exp D* × U) N, where U is some neighbourhood of 1 in G, By the Rank Theorem, it follows that ϕ (exp.D* × U) is a neighbourhood of x \Longrightarrow (exp. D*) G is a neighbourhood of x.

QED

4.6 Corollory:

If G is a Lie group and H a closed Lie subgroup, then the canonical projection $p : G \rightarrow G|H$ is locally trivial.

Proof:

The action $G \times H \rightarrow G$, $(g, h) \sim gh$, is smooth and free. We show it is proper; the result then follows from Theorem 4.5. The map (i, ϕ) : $G \times G \rightarrow G \times G$, $(g_1, g_2) \rightarrow (g_1, g_1, g_2)$, is a homeo and is therefore proper. H is closed in $G \implies G \times H$ is closed in $G \times G \implies (i, \phi)$ $| G \times H : G \times H \rightarrow G \times G$ is also proper, <=> the action $G \times H \rightarrow G$, $(g, h)_{\sim \gg}$ gh is proper (by definition).

QED.

<u>N.B</u> If U is a local cross section of $p : G \rightarrow G[H \text{ at } 1, \text{ then } H \cap U = \{1\}$.

Remark : G H has a C-manifold structure.

First, we put a manifold structure on $G|H_0$ For each $x \in G_0$, $\exists a$ set U_x , containing $x \, s_0 t \, p | U_x : U_x \to p (U_x)$ is a homeo onto a neighbourhood of $p(x)_0$. Let (V, θ) be a chart of G around x_0 then $(p(V) \cap p(u_x) t \theta q_x)$ is defined to be a chart of G|H around p(x) where $q_x :$ $p(u_x) \to U_x$ is $(p | V_x)^{=1}$ and t is a "straightening" map from the relevant Euclidean space to itself (recall that p is an open map). Clearly the set of all such charts forms a smooth atlas for $G|H_0$.

Finally, we have the commutative diagram,

$$G \times G \xrightarrow{\alpha} G$$

$$p \times l \downarrow \qquad \downarrow p \quad \text{where } \alpha (g_1, g_2) = g_2 g_1$$

$$G/H \times G \xrightarrow{\alpha} G/H \qquad \& \qquad \widetilde{\alpha} (g_1 H_2, g_2) = g_2 g_1 H_2$$

The manifold structure defined on $G|H \implies p$ is smooth, and in fact, is a local diffeomorphism $\implies \tilde{\alpha}$ is smooth; and we have already shown in Sec.l, that $\tilde{\alpha}$: $G|H \times G \rightarrow G|H$ is a transformation group.

So GH is a G-manifold

4.7 Theorem:

Let ϕ : M × G → M be a DTG and let x ∈ M have (closed) isotropy group H. Then the map θ : H|G → M, Hg $\sim x_0$ g, is an immersion.

Proof:

H being a closed subgroup, is thus a Lie subgroup (see Chevalley: Introduction to Lie Groups I, P130-5). Hence $p : G \rightarrow H|G$ is a local diffeo. We have the commutative diagram,



p a local diffeo, ϕ_x smooth ==> θ smooth.

Let $\mathcal{P}[g]$ $H[G \to H]G$ be the right translation of H[G by $[g] = \rho(g)$ i.e. $\rho[g][h] = [hg] \cdot \rho[g]$ is a diffeo by the remark above. We have the commutative diagram,



Hence the rank of $d \theta [g]$ is the same $\forall [g] \in H[G]$. By the rank theorem, $d\theta [g]$ is locally equivalent to θ , $\forall (g) \in H[G]$, θ injective $\Longrightarrow d\theta [g]$ injective $\forall [g] \in H[G]$, so θ is an immersion.

QED

4.8 Corollory:

Let ϕ : M × G → M be a DTG, where the action of ϕ is proper, and let $x \in M$ have isotropy group H. Then ∂ : H|G → M, Hg \approx xg, is an embedding.

5. Slices

Let ϕ : M × G \Rightarrow M be a DTG, and H a closed subgroup of G. A (SMOOTH) H \Rightarrow SLICE IN M is a subset S of M sot :=

- (1) S is invariant under H.
- (2) Sg∩S ∳ φ ⇒> g ∈ H
- (3) If U is a local cross-section at 1 of the projection p : G → H|G₂ then φ | S × U : S × U → M is a diffeo onto some neighbourhood in M.

In the case of a TTG, the concept of an H - slice can be defined analogously - "diffeomorphism" in (3) being replaced by "homeomorphism". Note: By(2), $s \in S \implies G_{p} \subset H$. Further, if $x \in M$, then a SLICE AT x is a G_x -slice S which contains x, and s.t., with the notation of (3), $\phi \mid S \times U \rightarrow M$ is a diffeomorphism onto a neighbourhood of x in M (ϕ (S × U) automatically contains x).

5.0 Lemma :

Let $f: V \times Z \rightarrow V$ be a continuous map, where V is a metric space and Z a topological space. Let K be a compact subset of Z and let $v \in V$; then given e > 0, $\exists \gg > 0$ sot dist $(v, w) < \$ \Longrightarrow$ dist $(f(v, z), f(w, z)) < e_{\$} \forall z \in K$.

Proof:

By the continuity of f, $\exists \delta(z) > 0$ and an open neighbourhood N_z of z s.t f: $B(u, \delta(z)) \times N_z \rightarrow B$ (f (v, z) $\epsilon | 2$), where B (a, λ) denotes the open λ - disc centred at a. Note that if $\mathbf{s}^{\circ} \in N_z$, then dist $(v, w) < \delta(Z) \implies dist (f(v, z^{\circ}), f(w, z^{1})) < \epsilon$.

The open sets N_z form a cover for K and K compact \Longrightarrow \exists a finite subcover $\{N_{z_1}, \dots, N_{z_k}\}$ for K. Put $\delta = \min \{\delta(Z_i) : i = 1 \dots k\}$. Then $\forall z^i \in K$, dist $(v, w) < \delta = \det (f(v, z^i), f(w, z^l)) < \epsilon$. <u>QED</u>

5.1 Theorem (Existance of Slices):

Let ϕ : $M \times G \rightarrow M$ be a DTG, where the action of ϕ is proper. Then B a smooth slice at each point of M.

Proof:

Take $x \in M$ and let x have isotropy group H. The action of ϕ is proper see> H is compact ==> we can give M an H-invariant metric. By Theorem 4.7, the map $\theta : H|G \rightarrow M$, Hg xg, is an immersion (it is in fact an embedding by Cor 4.8) ==> d $\theta l : (H|G)_{l} \rightarrow M_{x}$ is injective. Note that $d \theta l (H|G)_{l}$ is the tangent space to the orbit of x at x. Let T_{x} be the orthogonal subspace of $d \theta l (H|G)_{l}$ in M_{x} and take a disc D in T_{x} s.t D is a neighbourhood of O in T_{x} and s.t D is so small that it is mapped diffeomorphically by exp. Put S = exp.D. We shall show that by restricting D if necessary, S is a slice at x. As in the proof of Theorem 4.5, we can assume S is contained in some chart neighbourhood of x_{p} ie that S is in Euclidean space. Since M has an H-invertant metric, for h ∈ H, we have the commutative diagram,



Recall that the differential of exp is the identity map, from which it follows that exp maps d θ l $(H|G)_1$ = the tangent space of xG at x = onto xG. Hence, xG is invariant under h => d θ l $(H|G)_1$ is invariant under dhx. Since M has an H-invariant metric, T_x is therefore invariant under dhx => dhx $(D) = D => S = \exp o dhx (D) = h(S) =$ S.h. So S is H-invariant.

(2) We want to show that $Sg \cap S \neq \phi \implies g \in H$

Let U be a local cross-section of $p : G \to H|G$ at 1, s.t p(U)open (which exists by Cor 4.6). Then $p^{-1} p(U) = HU$, and since p(U) is open in $H|G_0$ HU is open in G (since H|G has the identification topology). HU is thus an open neighbourhood of H; and G - HU is closed. ϕ is proper $\Rightarrow \phi_{\chi} : G \to M$ is proper and hence closed. So $\chi (G - HU)$ is closed in M and further $\chi \notin \chi(G-Hu)$.

The action of ϕ is proper $\implies \exists$ a neighbourhood V_x of x s.t K = $\{g \in G : V_x g \in V_x \notin \phi\}$ is compact (Theorem 2.25), and we can assume D is small enough s.t $S \subset V_x$, (Note that $H \subset K$)

It follows from Lemma 5.0 that given $\epsilon > 0$, $\exists \$ > 0$ sot dist $(x_0y) < \vartheta \implies dist (xg, yg) < \varepsilon_0 \forall g \in K_0$

Let dist $(x, x (G-HU)) = \epsilon$, then by restricting D if necessary we can assume that the radius of S is $< \delta < \epsilon | 2$, where δ is so t dist $(x, y) < \delta \Longrightarrow$ dist $(xg, yg) < \epsilon | 2$, $\forall g \in K$.

Suppose $S \in \cap S \neq \phi$, then $\exists s_s t \in S$, $g \in K s_o t$ $s_o g = t$. Now dist $(x, xg) \leq dist (x, t)$ and dist (t, xg) = dist (x, t) $\Rightarrow dist(sg, xg) < \frac{@/2}{2} \Rightarrow \frac{@/2}{2} = @$ Hence $g \notin G = HU$, i.e. $g \in HU$, So $g \equiv hu$, for some $h \in H$, $u \in U$. We have that $t = sg = shu = s^{1} u$, where $sh = s^{1} \in S$ - since S is H invariant. If $u \notin 1$ (=> $u \notin H$, since $U \cap H = \{1\}$ - see N.B. (2) to Cor.4.6), then $x \mid u \notin x$ and so \exists a neighbourhood W of x s.t Wu $\cap W = \phi$. Restricting D if necessary, we can assum $S \subset W \Longrightarrow Su \cap S = \phi$. Hence $t = s^{1} u$, t, $s^{1} \in S$, $u \in U \Longrightarrow u = 1$. so g = h.

(3) We want to show that if U is a local cross-section of p : $G \rightarrow H|G$ at l_p then $\phi|S \times U$: $S \times U \rightarrow S_0U$ is a diffeomorphism onto a neighbourhood of x in M.

(a) $\phi | S \times U \circ S \times U \to S_{\circ}U$ is a homeomorphism. Since ϕ is continuous and closed (since it is proper) we only have to show it is injective. Suppose su = tv, where s, t \in S, u, v \in V, then $uv \stackrel{=}{\to} \in H$ (by (2)),, $uv \stackrel{=}{\to} h$ say. So $u = hv \Rightarrow p(u) = p(hv) = p(v) < m > u = v$ since $p | U : U \to p(U)$ is a homeo $\Rightarrow s = t_{\circ}$

(b) $\phi | S \times U : S \times U \rightarrow S_{\circ}U$ is a homeo onto a neighbourhood of \mathbf{x}_{\circ} Let $\tilde{\phi} : S \times p(U) \rightarrow S_{\circ}U$ be the map defined by $\tilde{\phi}$ (s, Hu) = su (which is welldefined since $U \cap H = \{1\}$), so we have the commutative diagram,



 $\phi | S \times U$ is injective $\Rightarrow \phi$ is injective. ϕ is smooth, $p | U \circ U \Rightarrow p(U)$ is a diffeomorphism $\Rightarrow \phi$ is smooth.

Recall that the tangent space to S at x is T_x (since the differential of exp is the identity) $d\phi(x_0)$: $T_x \times p(U)_1 \rightarrow M_x$ is given by, $d\phi(x_0)$ (a, b) = a + $d\phi_x l$ (b), where $\phi_x : p(U) \rightarrow S_0U_0$, Hu $\sim ux_0$, i.e., $\phi_x = \theta | p(U)$

 $p(U) \subset H|G \implies T_x$ lies in the orthogonal compliment of $d \phi_x l (p(U)_1) \implies d \phi$ (x, l) is injective. p(U) a neighbourhood of l in $H|G \implies p(U)_1 = (H|G)_1 \implies d \theta (x,l) : T_x \times p(U)_1 \gg T_x \otimes d \phi_x l (p(U)_1) = T_x \oplus d \theta l(H|G)_1 = M_x$. So $d \phi (x, l)$ is surjective, and is therefore an isomorphism. $d \phi (y_p, Hg)$ is therefore an isomorphism for (y, Hg) in some neighbourhood N of (x, l) in $S \times p(U)$. The rank theorem $\Longrightarrow \phi (N)$ is a neighbourhood of x in $M \Longrightarrow \phi (S \times U) \cong S_0U$. is a neighbourhood of x in M.

(c) $\phi \mid S \times U \rightarrow S_{\circ}U$ is an immersion. By (b), \exists a neighbourhood N of (x, 1)in $S \times p(U)$ s.t $(y, Hg) \in N \Rightarrow d \tilde{\phi} (y, Hg)$ is an isomorphism. S compact \Rightarrow we can take S so small s.t $S \times \{1\} \subset N_{\circ}$

We have the commutative diagram,

Hence $d \phi$ (s, Hu) is an isomorphism - and in particular injective - \forall (s, Hu) in S × p(U). ϕ is thus an immersion => ϕ is an immersion (by the commutative diagram of (b)).

QED.

<u>N.B</u>.

(1) In constructing a slice at point $x \in M$, we have thrown away the original metric on M and replaced it by a $G_x \sim$ invariant one. Thus the exponential map used in constructing the slice, will not necessarily be the same as the exponential map used to construct a slice at another point y when M has a $G_y \sim$ invariant metric.

(2) The slice theorem shows in effect that points with isotropy groups of the same dimension (<==> their orbits have the same dimension) are locally equivalent, in the sense that they have neighbourhoods diffeomorphic to $D \times U$, where D is a disc of dimension = dim M-dim (orbit) and U is a local cross-section of $p:G \rightarrow H|G$ at l, of dimension = dim (orbit) (since $p|U: U \rightarrow p(U)$ is a homeo onto a neighbourhood and θ : $H|G \approx x G$). A continuous map $f : X \rightarrow X^{\circ}$ between G - spaces X, X° is EQUIVARIANT if $\forall x \in X_{\rho} g \in G$, we have f(x,g) = f(x) g.

5.2 Theorem:

Let $f : X \to X^{\circ}$ be a continuous (resp. smooth) equivariant map between G-spaces (resp. G-manifolds) X, X^o, and let S^o be an H - slice (resp. smooth H - slice) in X^o. Then S = f^{-1} (S^o) is an H - slice (resp. smooth H-slice) in X.

Proof:

We verify that conditions (1) - (3) for slices, hold for S. (1) If $s \in S$, $h \in H$ then $f(sh) = f(s) \cdot h \in S^\circ$, since S° is H-invariant, substituting S = S = S is H - invariant.

(2) If $s = t_{o}g$, where $s_{g}^{t} \in S_{g} \in G$, then $f(s) = f(t)g \implies g \in H$ since f(s), $f(t) \in S^{\circ}$. So $Sg \cap S \neq \phi \implies g \in H_{o}$

(3) (a) In the continuous case, all we have to show is that $\phi = \phi | S \times U$: $S \times U \rightarrow S_0 U$ is a homeo onto a neighbourhood of X, where ϕ : $X \times G \rightarrow X$ is the action of G on X, and U is a local cross-section of p : $G \rightarrow H | G$ at 1. Let ϕ^{γ} : $X^{\varepsilon} \times G \rightarrow X^{\varepsilon}$ be the action of G on X^{ε}.

Note that S.U. $\subseteq f^{\circ l}$ (S^v.U). We have the commutative diagram, $S \times U \xrightarrow{f \times l} S^{\circ} \times U$ $\overleftrightarrow{\phi} \downarrow \qquad \approx |\phi^{\circ}| S^{\circ} \times U$ the commutativity follows from the $f^{\circ l}(S^{\circ}.U) \xrightarrow{f} S^{\circ}.U$ equivariance of f.

Clearly ϕ is onto f^{-1} (S'U) i.e. S.U = f^{-1} (S'U). ϕ is also injective, since if $s_1u_1 = s_2u_2$ where S_1 , $S_2 \in S$, $u_1 u_2 \in U$, then $s_1 = s_2u_2u_1^{-1}$, $\langle = \rangle$ $u_2 u_1^{-1} = 1$ (since U \cap H = {1}) $\langle = \rangle u_1 = u_2$ and $s_1 = s_2$. ϕ continuous and closed => ϕ is a homeo. S'U is a neighbourhood in X' => f^{-1} (S'U) is a neighbourhood in X, and f^{-1} (S'U) = S.U. $\widetilde{\phi}$: S x U \rightarrow S U is thus a homeo onto a neighbourhood in X.

(b) In the differentiable case we have to show further that $\tilde{\phi}$ is a diffeomorphism. $\tilde{\phi}$ is smooth; we show that $\tilde{\phi}^{-1}$ is smooth. Consider the two maps

¢₁:SU → U su → u) and ψ₂:SU → S, su → s) ∀s ∈ S, u ∈ U

So ψ_{1} is the composite : So $U \xrightarrow{f} S^{\circ} U \approx S^{\circ} \times U \xrightarrow{proj} u$ so $u \approx f(s)u \approx (f(s), u) \approx u$

and is therefore smooth; and ψ_{0} is the composite.

and so ψ_2 is smooth. And $\tilde{\phi}^{-1}$: SU \rightarrow S \times U is given by su $\sim_{\mathfrak{P}}$ (su), is su $\sim_{\mathfrak{P}} (\psi_2$ (su), ψ_1 (su)) $\simeq \tilde{\phi}^{-1}$ is smooth.

QED

Theorem 5.2 is the first step in extending the slice existance theorem to the topological case, but before we can continue we need the following Lemmas :-

5.3 Lemma :

Let G be a compact Lie group and H a closed subgroup. Then \exists a linear representation space, V, of G and an element $v \in V$ s.t the isotropy group of v, G_v, is equal to H.

For the proof see Borel : Seminar on Transformation Groups, Chp. VIII (by Palais), Prop. 2.2.

5.4 Lemma :

Let ϕ : X × G \rightarrow X be a TTG, where G is compact, and let $x_0 \in X$. Then \exists an equivariant map of X into a linear representation space of G, which is injective on x_0 G.

Proof:

By Lemma 5.3 B a linear representation space V of G and an element $v \in V$ s.t

~32-

 $G_v = G_{x_o}$; hence the map $f : x_o G \rightarrow vG$, $x_g \sim vg$, is well defined, continuous and equivariant. Since $x_o G$ is a compact subset of X, and X is Hausdorff, we can apply Tietze's Extension Theorem to obtain a continuous extension \hat{f} : X - W of f; note that \hat{f} is injective on xG.

The map $G \rightarrow V$, $g \rightsquigarrow \tilde{f} (xg) g^{-1}$ is continuous (since it is the composite $g \rightsquigarrow (xg, g^{-1}) \rightsquigarrow (\tilde{f} (xg) g^{-1}) \sim \tilde{f} (xg) g^{-1})$. G is compact \Longrightarrow (see Proposition 3.2) the map $F : X \rightarrow V$ is continuous, where, $F(x) = \int_{G} \tilde{f} (xg) g^{-1} dg$.

We show that F is equivariant and is injective on x = G.

(i)
$$F(xh) = \int_{G} \tilde{f}(xhg) g^{-1} dg = \int_{G} \tilde{f}(xk) k^{-1} h dk$$
, where $k = hg$

$$= (\int_{G} \tilde{f}(xk) k^{-1} dk)h = F(x) h, \quad \forall x \in X, h \in G_{\circ}$$
(ii) $F(x_{O}h) = \int_{G} \tilde{f}(x_{O}hg) g^{-1} dg = \int_{G} f(x_{O}hg) g^{-1} dg = \int_{G} f(x_{O}h) dg$

$$= (\int_{G} f(x_{O}) dg) h = (\int_{G} v dg) h = v_{\circ}h, \quad \forall h \in G$$

= F is injective on x G, since $G_{y} = G_{x}$.

We are now in a position to extend 5.1 to the case of topological transformation groups, where the group of the action is a compact Lie group.

5.5 Theorem (Existence of Slices) :

Let ϕ : X × G \rightarrow X be a TTG, where G is a compact Lie Group. Then \exists a slice at each point of X.

Proof:

Take $x \in X$. By Lemma 5.4, \exists an equivariant map $F : X \to V$, where V is a linear representation space of G, s.t F is injective on $x = G_{x} = G_{F(x)}^{\circ}$. V is a smooth G-space and so \exists a (smooth) $G_{F(x)}^{\circ}$ slice S^o at F(x). By Theorem 5.2, F^{-1} (S^o) is a $G_{F(x)}^{\circ}$ slice at x; but $G_{f(x)}^{\circ} = G_{x}^{\circ}$. <u>QED</u>

6. Orbit Types and Principal Orbits

Let G be a group. We define an equivalence relation on the subgroups of G by:-

$$H_1 \sim H_2 \iff \exists g \in G \text{ sot } H_1 = g^{\exists} H_2 g.$$

The equivalence classes of this equivalence relation. (i.e. the conjugacy classes of the subgroups) are called ORBIT TYPES

QEI)

Let ϕ : $X \times G \to X$ be a TTG, The ORBIT TYPE OF A POINT $x \in X$ is defined to be the orbit type of the isotropy group of x. Note that if $x \in X$ has isotropy group H, then $xg \in xG$ has isotropy group g^{-1} Hg; so the points on a particular orbit have the same orbit type. The (ORBIT) TYPE OF AN ORBIT IN X is the orbit type of any point that lies on the orbit.

Two orbits, of perhaps different G-spaces, are called EQUIVALENT if 3 an equivariant homeomorphism (i.e a continuous equivariant map with continuous inverse) mapping one orbit onto the other.

6.1 Proposition :

Two orbits have the same type <==> they are equivariant.

Proof:

Let Γ be an orbit in the G-space X, and let Γ° be an orbit in the G-space X° . If $\Gamma_{\rho} \Gamma^{\circ}$ have the same orbit type, then $\exists x \in \Gamma_{\rho} x^{\circ} \in \Gamma^{\circ}$ sot $G_{x} = G_{x^{\circ}}$. The mapping $f : \Gamma \to \Gamma^{\circ}$, $xg \sim x^{\circ}g$, is well defined, bijective and equivariant. It is continuous with a continuous inverse because of the commutative diagram.,



This proves the first part.

Now suppose \exists an equivariant homeo $f: \Gamma \to \Gamma^{\vee}$. Then for each $x \in \Gamma_{\rho}$ the fact that $f: xg \rightsquigarrow f(x)g$ assures that $G_x \subset G_{f(x)}^{\circ}$. F is an equivariant homeo $\implies f^{-1}$ is an equivariant homeo $\implies G_{f(x)} \subset G_x^{\circ}$. Hence $G_x = G_{f(x)} \xrightarrow{r \gg \Gamma_{\rho}} \Gamma^{-1}$ have the same orbit type.

QED.

We now come to our main result for orbit types : -

6.2 Theorem

Let ϕ : M × G → M be a DTG, where the action of ϕ is proper. Then M has locally a finite number of orbit types.

-34~

Proof:

In the case M is 0-dimensional, for each $x \in M$, $\{x\}$ is a neighbourhood of x and $\{x\}$, since it contains just the one point, contains just one orbit type. So the theorem is tre in this case.

Now suppose it is true in the case M is a k = manifold, $\forall k \text{ s.t } 0 \leq k \leq n$. This implies that if M is compact, M has a finite number of orbit types \implies if $M = S^k$, $0 \leq k \leq n$, M has a finite number of orbit types. We shall show that this last result \implies the theorem is true in the case M is an (n + 1) - manifold. This will prove the theorem.

Let M be an $(n+1) \sim$ manifold and let $x \in M_{\circ}$. Let H be the isotropy group of x and give M an H - invariant metric (recall that the action is proper ==>> isotropy groups are compact). We can construct a slice S at x (as in Theorem 5.1) =>x has a neighbourhood (e.g S.U where U is a local cross-section at 1 of $p : G \rightarrow H[G)$ s.t every orbit in the neighbourhood meets S. So it is sufficient to show that there are only a finite number of orbit types in Se Recall that s \in S \iff G \subset H, so we only have to consider the action of H on S, i.e we can restrict our attention to the DTG - ϕ M x H : M x H \rightarrow M. x is a stationary point of H, and further S is H - invariant. By Theorem 3,6 we see that S is isomorphic, as an H-space, to the disc $D = \exp^{-2}S$, where exp: $M_{\downarrow} \Rightarrow M_{\circ}$ Recall that D is a neighbourhood in N₂, where N₂ is the normal space to xG at x. H leaves 0 E D fixed, acts linearly and isometrically on D, and leaves D in N_; so H acts orthogonally on D. Clearly, all points on the same open radius (i.e a radius excluding the centre point) of D have the same isotropy group, so the different orbit types occur on the boundary of D and at 0. The boundary of D is S^k, for some k s.t $0 \le k \le n$, and the inductive hypothesis assures that there are only a finite number of orbit types on Sk; further, there is just one orbit type at 0 - namely the one determined by H. D has thus a finite number of orbit types, ==> S has a finite number of orbit types.

In order to introduce the concept of a "principal orbit", we need the following proposition :=

6.3 Proposition:

Let G be a compact Lie group and let H be a proper Lie subgroup.

QED.

Then either (1) dim $H < \dim G$

or (2) dim H = dim G, and H has fewer components than G

Proof:

First note that the compactness of G =>> G has a finite number of components. Also, $H \subset G =>$ dim $H \leq dim G$.

Suppose dim H = dim G. Then H contains a neighbourhood of l in G ==> H contains G_0 . H is a proper subgroup of G ==> H|G_0 is a proper subgroup of G|G_0 (recall that G_0 is a closed normal subgroup, by Prop. 2.10). G|G_0 is compact discrete (since G_0 is open and closed), and is therefore finite. But the components of G are the cosets of G_0 . QED_

Let ϕ : M × G \rightarrow M be a DTG, where the action of ϕ is proper. Since the action is proper, the isotropy groups are compact. In the class of all isotropy groups of the action, we can therefore speak of a particular isotropy group H being "minimal" in the sense that :--

(1) dim H is a s small as possible.

(2) subject to (1) the number of components of H is as small as possible.

An orbit of the action is called a PRINCIPAL ORBIT if there is a point on the orbit whose isotropy group is minimal in the sense described above. Note that condition (1) implies the dimension of the principal orbit is as large as possible.

Proposition 6.3 assures that principal orbits always exist.

Notation:

If ϕ : M × G \rightarrow M is a DTG, where the action of ϕ is proper, the set of points in M lying on the principal orbits of the action is denoted by P(H,G). <u>6.4 Lemma:</u>

Let ϕ : X× G \rightarrow X be a TTG and let S be an H - slice in X. Then the map α : S|H \rightarrow SG|G, sH \sim > sG, is a homeo.

Proof:

We have the following two restrictions of ϕ , and their associated projections from the corresponding restrictions of X to their corresponding orbit spaces :-

 ϕ_1 : SG × G → SG; p_1 SG → SG G, sg \rightarrow sG ϕ_2 : S × H → S; p_2 : S → S H, s \rightarrow sH

-36-

Note that p_{γ} (S) = SG[G

For s_1 , $s_2 \in S$, $s_1^G = s_2^G \iff s_1 = s_2^g$, for some $g \in G \iff s_1 = s_2^g$ for some $g \in H$ (condition (2) for slices) $\iff s_1^H = s_2^H$. So α is injective. We have the commutative diagram,



 $p_1|S, p_2 \text{ onto } \implies \alpha \text{ is onto; } p_1|S, p_2 \text{ open and continuous } \implies \alpha \text{ is open and}$ continuous. Thus $\alpha : S|H \rightarrow SG|G$ is a homeo.

QED.

We now come to the main (and rather surprising) result of this section :-

6.5 Theorem (Principal Orbits):

Let ϕ : $M \times G \rightarrow M$ be a DTG, where <u>M is connected</u> and the action of ϕ is proper. Then P(M,G) is an open dense set in M, whose image in $M \mid G$ is connected. Further, all principal orbits are of the same type.

Proof:

In the case M is 0 - dimensional, M has just one element and the theorem follows trivially. We assume the result is true in the case M is a connected k = manifold, $\forall k \text{ s.t } 0 \leq k \leq n$, and show that this implies the result is true in the case M is a connected (n + 1) = manifold. This will prove the theorem.

(1) P(M, G) is open

Take $x \in P(M,G)$ and let S be a slice at x. Then SG is a neighbourhood of x and every orbit in SG meets S. For $s \in S$, $G_s \subseteq G_x$, but $G_s \notin G_x$ because G_x is minimal. Hence $G_s = G_x \implies s \in P(M, G) \implies SG \subset P$.

(2) P(M, G) is dense

Since M is connected, it is enough to show that P(M, G) is open. Take $x \in \overline{P(M_{9}, G)}$ and let S be a slice at x. Recall that SG is a neighbourhood of x and every orbit of SG meets S, hence S meets $P(M_{9}, G)$ say in y. Put $G_{x} = H$ and consider the action of H on S. i.e. $\phi | S \times H$: $S \times H \rightarrow S$, for $s \in S$, $G_{g} \subset G_{y} := H$ $\implies G_{g} = H_{g}$. Hence $s \in S$ lies on a principal orbit of the action $\phi | S \times H \rightarrow S_{9}$ i.e. SG $\times G \rightarrow SG \iff s$ lies on a principal orbit of the action $\phi | S \times H \rightarrow S_{9}$ i.e. $s \in P(S, H) \iff s \in P(SG, G) \cap S \iff sg \in P(SG, G) \forall g \in G$ (a) In the case dim $s \neq 0$, we have that $S = x \approx \exp^{-1}(S=x) = (\exp^{-1}S) = 0 \approx S^k \times [0, 1]$, for some k s.t $0 \leq k \leq n$, where exp: $M_x \Rightarrow M$. We have the commutative diagram,

where $\tilde{\phi}$, ϕ^* are the actions induced by ϕ , and the map q, is the homeo

 $(\exp^{-1} S) = 0 \Rightarrow S^k \times]0, 1]$

As remarked in the proof of Theorem 6.2 H acts orthogonally on D, which implies the induced action of H on the cylinder $S^k \times [0, 1]$ is one of rotation about its axis, i.e. H acts orthogonally on S^k but does not act on [0, 1]. We are saying in fact that

 $\phi^* = (\phi^*_{o}, 1) : (S^k \times]0, 1) \times H \Rightarrow S^k \times]0, 1], ((s,t) h) \implies (\phi^*_{o}, (s,h)_{o}^t)$ $\forall s \in S^k, t \in]0, 1], h \in H, for some orthogonal action \phi^*_{o} : S^k \times H \Rightarrow S^k_{o}$

The principal orbits of ϕ_0^* : $S^k \times H \to S^k$ are, by the inductive hypothesis dense in S^k , for $k \neq 0$; when k = 0, there is either just the one orbit or the isotropy group of both points of S^0 is $H \Longrightarrow P(S^0, H) = S^0$, in either case. Hence the principal orbits of ϕ^* ($S^k \times [0, 1]$) $\times H \to S^k \times [0, 1]$ are dense \Longrightarrow the principal orbits of $\phi[(S = x) \times H : (S = x) \times H \to S = x$ are dense in S = x and hence in $S \Longrightarrow P(SG, G) \cap S$ is dense in S (by above) $\Longrightarrow P(M, G)$ $\cap S$ is dense in S. Hence $S \subset \overline{P(M,G)}$ and so $SG \subset \overline{P(M,G)}_{o}$. Thus $\overline{P(M,G)}$ is open.

(b) In the case dim S = 0, xG has the same dimension as M (since dim $S = 0 \Rightarrow$ $S = \{x\}_{=>} xG$ is a neighbourhood in M). The map θ : $H|G \rightarrow x G \subset M$, $Hg \rightarrow xg$ is a diffeo (Cor. 4.8) => xG is open, by the inverse function theorem. Since the action of ϕ is proper, xG is closed (Theorem 2.23). Thus the connectedness of M => xG = M, xG being the only orbit is thus principal.

(3) P(M. G) G is connected

Suppose
$$P(M,G)|G = U \cup V$$
, where U, V are disjoint open sets in $P(M,G)|G$. Then
 $P(M,G) = p^{-1}(U) \cup p^{-1}(V)$, where p: $M \to M|G$ is the canonical projection.
By (2), $M = \overline{P(M,G)} = p^{-1}(U) \cup p^{-1}(V) \implies \exists x \in p^{-1}(U) \cap p^{-1}(V)$, since M is connected.

Let S be a slice at x. If dim S = 0, it follows from (2)(b) that P(M,G)|G is a one point set and is thus connected. So we can suppose dim S $\frac{1}{2}$ 0.

From (2), we have that $P(SG,G) \cap S = P(S,H)$; and if $p_1 : SG \rightarrow SG|G$ is the canonical projection, the relation $p_1(sg) = p_1(s) = sG$, $\forall s \in S$, $g \in G_s$, assures $(P(SG,G) \cap S) | G = P(SG,G) | G$. Applying Lemma 6.4, we have that $P(S, H) | H \approx (P(SG, G) \cap S) | G = P(SG, G) | G$; in particular $P(SG - xG,G) | G \approx P(S - x, H) | H \approx (P(SG, G) \cap S) | G = P(SG, G) | G$; in particular $P(SG - xG,G) | G \approx P(S - x, H) | H = (P(S^k, H) \times]0, \frac{1}{2}) | H = P(S^k, H) | H \times]0, 1]$. The inductive hypothesis implies $P(S^k, H) | H$ is connected which implies $P(SG - xG_s, G) | G$ is connected, and so P(SG,G) | G is connected. Since $P(SG,G) = P(M,G) \cap SG$ and SG and P(M,G) are neighbourhoods in M, $P(SG_sG) | G = P(M,G) | G = U \cup V$, so $P(SG_sG) | G$ lies entirely in U or entirely in V ==> either U or V is empty. So $P(M_s, G) | G$ is connected.

(4) The principal orbits are of the same type.

The proof of (1) \implies each point of p has a neighbourhood, in M, in which all the principal orbits are of the same type. Hence the orbits of a given type form an open set in M and therefore its image in M[G, and thus in P(M, G)|G is open. Thus P(M, G)|G is the disjoint union of open sets, each open set being the image im M[G of the open set in M consisting of points in P(M, C)|G a particular orbit type. P(M,G)|G connected => all but one of these disjoint open sets is empty. So all the principal orbits have the same type.

QED

-39-